

ABSTRACT

This research sets out to test whether principles of brain adaptation can be leveraged to increase the radiation resistance of neuromorphic space hardware. Neuromorphic architectures provide energy efficient platforms for AI applications in space. Structural similarities between neuromorphic architectures and the brain may allow them to benefit from brain-inspired design on the topic of damage

recovery. Space environments can provide challenging conditions with significant radiation exposure, that may damage neuromorphic space hardware. To explore approaches to mitigate such damages, a simulated radiation test with- and without a brain inspired implementation adaptation is investigated. These adaptations are applied to a spiking neural network (SNN) implementation of a distributed

minimum dominating set approximation algorithm by Alipour et al. The experiment is performed using Intel's Lava 0.3.0 Framework. With radiation induced neuron death probabilities of 25%, adaptation increased SNN robustness, compared to no adaptation. At lower neuron death probabilities, no difference is observed.

METHODOLOGY

0.1 Select Distributed Algorithm

The Minimum Dominating Set Approximation algorithm as presented by Alipour et al., is specified as:

Input: Connected, planar, triangle-free graph of size n .

Output: Set of nodes that form a minimum total dominating set.

1. In the first round, each node v_i chooses a random number $0 < r_i < 1$ and computes its weight $w_i = d_i + r_i$ and sends w_i to its adjacent neighbours.

2. In the second round, each node v marks a neighbour vertex v_i whose weight w_i is maximum among all the other neighbours of v .

for m rounds do

- Let x_i be the number of times that a vertex is marked by its neighbour vertices, let $w_i = x_i + r_i$
- Unmark the marked vertices.
- Each vertex marks the vertex with maximum w_i among its neighbour vertices.

end


3. The marked vertices are considered as the vertices in our total dominating set for G .

0.2 Convert Algorithm to Spiking Neural Network(SNN)

Next, an SNN implementation of this algorithm is generated using Leaky-Integrate-and-Fire (LIF) neurons. This implementation takes as input connected, triangle-free, planar graphs (E.g. Fig 1.).


Fig. 3: Redundancy

Fig. 1: Input Graph



Then it converts these graphs into the specification of an SNN that is encoded in a new graph (E.g. Fig 2.). These graphs can then be simulated using the Lava backend by Loihi, or a custom networkx SSN simulator backend.


Fig. 2: Output SNN



0.3 Apply Adaptation Mechanism to SNN

This default network is enhanced with strategically placed redundant neurons that are inhibited by the default network neurons.

Fig. 4: Adaptation: Redundancy (And Radiation in Red)



multi-pathway structures found in brains of higher vertebrates and is proposed as the main adaptive mechanism in response to damage.

0.5 Compare SNN Performance With-/out Adaptation

Hardware

At the time of writing, no single-event effect (SEEs) propagation mechanisms are identified for space radiation exposure on the Loihi 1 & 2 neuromorphic chips, a high-level software simulation of these single-event effects is performed. This is done by assuming that the non-neuromorphic components of the chips are performing nominally, and that the SEEs propagate from, for example, transient bit-flips, towards neuronal and synaptic parameter changes. The first assumptions may be accurate if local radiation hardening and redundancy is applied to the non-neuromorphic components. Weight and/or energy saving could be a motivation to apply these radiation counter-measures sparsely. The second assumption is based on the digital nature of the components that make up the neural components of the Loihi.

Testing

To test whether the brain adaptation implementation may be used to increase radiation robustness of neuromorphic space hardware, it is compared to a baseline without brain adaptation. The test metrics are *Radiation Robustness* - a percentage score indicating the ratio of successful solution generation on random input graphs, and *Energy Efficiency* - the number of spikes consumed by implementations.

Simulated Radiation Damage

This work simulates radiation damage propagation of SEEs as neuron deaths by setting the neuron thresholds $v_{th} = 1000[V]$ at from the start of the simulation. The 1000 [V] is arbitrary yet large enough to prevent spiking. Transient effects are ignored along with neuron property changes in δu , δv , $\delta bias$, synaptic death, and synaptic property changes in: *sign*, *weight*.

Brain Adaptation Mechanism

The selected SNN implementation is enhanced with redundant neurons and neuronal pathways to realise simulated radiation robustness. A basic example is shown in Fig 3.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Radiation Robustness

Table 1: Average fraction of correct outputs of the SNN implementation with and without adaptation. Neuron deaths can occur in default and redundant LIF neurons.

Adaptation	Neuron Death Percentage in SNN			
	0%	5%	10%	25%
Without	1	1	0	
With	1	1	0.5	

Neuronal, Synaptic & Energy Costs

Table 2: The multiple of the amount of neurons and synapses used by adaptation implementation, compared to the default SNN implementation.

Graph Size	Average Cost of Adaptation Mechanism		
	Neuronal	Synaptic	Spikes
3	5.7	8.3	32
4	5.8	10	37.5
5	5.8	10.2	64

Note: Discussion on average overcapacity for $m = 0$ and $m = 1$ combined. The reliability of the results can be improved by running the algorithm on more and larger graphs. Running on the Loihi 2 using the Lava 0.4.0 Framework may facilitate this.

The apparent non-linear growth of the energy consumption in terms of spikes is not expected for the brain adaptation implementation. This is not expected because the redundant neurons should either be inhibited once, or, if they take over from the dead neuron, repeat the exact same spike pattern the dead neuron would have given. This would result in an additive spike increase of roughly the SNN size, not in an exponentially growing multiple. After inspecting the spike behaviour, it is noted that some of the inhibition between neurons and

redundant neurons does not stop over time. This causes unneeded spikes generation.

Even though no public SEE propagation mechanisms are known for the Loihi 2 at the time of writing, the representativeness of the simulation can be increased by taking transient effects, synaptic changes, neuron property changes and Von Neumann component malfunctions into account. The current form of redundancy that is implemented is still dependent on particular neuron properties, and no automated method for arbitrary LIF neuron redundancy is presented. A more intelligent adaptation mechanism may allow for a more active neural pathway redirection to realise equivalent robustness levels at a lower neuronal and synaptic overhead.

Population Coding

Other encoding mechanisms than sparse coding may be considered to realise radiation robustness. For example, in population coding, a population of neurons could be used to represent integer values instead of a single neuron. The *spike_once* neuron with a synaptic output weight of x could be replaced by x neurons along with m excitatory controller neurons that verify whether each of those neurons is still functional. If part of the population dies, the controller neurons can excite parts of the population to compensate this loss. The m controller neurons could inhibit each other and form a redundancy in the redundancy mechanism.

Rate Coding

The first round of the algorithm by Alipour et al. has also been implemented using Lava V0.3.0 using a rate-coding approach, where the numbers are represented as a frequency. No radiation damage simulation has yet been performed on this implementation. How-

ever, it is expected that spike frequency modulation can be leveraged to mitigate radiation induced spike loss.

Algorithm Selection

This work has focussed on a particular optimisation problem, it can be noted that clever algorithm selection (and/or design) for radiation robust SNNs may be used to exchange approximation accuracy for robustness. For example, instead of selecting an algorithm that breaks if a single neuron dies, one could consider shortest-path algorithms that automatically yield a longer path that works around the neuron death. Furthermore, selecting applications that are closer to natural brain functionalities, such as event-based vision, may facilitate brain adaptation mechanisms at a lower cost. For example, in some deep neural networks, neuron death may be a feature instead of a bug, as the retraining phase can in some cases be used to increase the generalisability of the network.

Physical Testing

Many of the discussed brain adaptation implementations will fail if the boiler-plate architecture of the SNN suffer from SEEs. This issue can be resolved using fault-tolerance acceptance, redundancy and/or local shielding of boiler-plate architecture components, and by taking boiler-plate SEE propagation mechanisms into account in SNN design. The latter would require physical testing and/or detailed hardware analysis. Industry partners of the Intel Neuromorphic Research Community, such as ESA, NASA and Raytheon are also working on radiation robustness and may be able to share insight in the more detailed radiation effects on the Loihi 2 without incurring export license limitations.

CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS

Brain-inspired adaptation mechanisms may be used in SNN implementations of graph optimisation problems to increase the radiation robustness of neuromorphic space hardware if SEE propagations can lead to neuron death. Creating more intelligent adaptation mechanisms than separate redundant neuronal pathways for each neuron

may increase the radiation robustness of graph optimisation applications in space at a lower neuronal and synaptic cost.

The overarching research project aims to perform physical radiation tests to gain more insight in the practical usefulness of brain-inspired adaptation mechanisms to hedge against radiation damage in neu-

romorphic space hardware. Since these tests are costly, a more thorough analysis on a broader scope of adaptation mechanisms is proposed. In particular, the population coding and rate coding options will be explored.

