

Leveraging brain-adaptation principles to increase radiation resistance of neuromorphic space hardware

A.H.H. Toeter

Delft University of Technology, Radboud University
The Netherlands
a.h.h.toeter@tudelft.nl

D.M. Stam

Delft University of Technology
The Netherlands
D.M.Stam@tudelft.nl

J.H.P. Kwisthout

Radboud University
The Netherlands
johan.kwisthout@donders.ru.nl

A. Menicucci

Delft University of Technology
The Netherlands
A.Menicucci@tudelft.nl

ABSTRACT

This research sets out to test whether principles of brain adaptation can be leveraged to increase the radiation resistance of neuromorphic space hardware. Neuromorphic architectures provide energy efficient platforms for AI applications in space. Structural similarities between neuromorphic architectures and the brain may allow them to benefit from brain-inspired design on the topic of damage recovery. Space environments can provide challenging conditions with significant radiation exposure, that may damage neuromorphic space hardware. To explore approaches to mitigate such damages, a simulated radiation test with- and without an implementation of brain adaptation is investigated.

The differences in radiation robustness are then analysed and discussed in the context of space applications of neuromorphic hardware. The spiking neural network (SNN) implementation by Diehl et al. of the minimum dominating set approximation algorithm by Alipour et al. is enhanced with brain adaptation mechanisms for these tests. The tests are performed using Intel's Lava 0.3.0



Framework.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Hardware** → **Circuit hardening**; *Transient errors and upsets*.

KEYWORDS

neuromorphic engineering, spiking neural networks, brain adaptation, radiation hardening

ACM Reference Format:

A.H.H. Toeter, J.H.P. Kwisthout, D.M. Stam, and A. Menicucci. 2022. Leveraging brain-adaptation principles to increase radiation resistance of neuromorphic space hardware. In *Proceedings of International Conference on*

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference acronym 'ICONS, July 27–29, 2022, Knoxville, Tennessee

© 2022 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6509080>

Neuromorphic Systems (Conference acronym 'ICONS). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 5 pages. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6509080>

1 INTRODUCTION

Space exploration poses several challenges. Launching material into orbit is still expensive, and once in space, the environment can be harsh due to extreme temperatures, the vacuum, and radiation. In addition, deep space missions have to deal with increasing communication delays with greater distances from Earth. Neuromorphic engineering is a field that can yield applications and use-cases in the domain of space exploration to overcome some of these challenges. The use of spiking neural networks (SNN) in neuromorphic architectures has been shown to greatly increase energy efficiency in Artificial Intelligence (AI) applications [?]. Accordingly, neuromorphic space hardware may be a viable option to increase the autonomy of robotic deep space exploration missions.

This interest in the applications of neuromorphic architectures has led to assessment of the impact of radiation on neuromorphic space hardware [? ?]. Furthermore, research is being performed to increase the fault-tolerance of neuromorphic hardware [?] and into the radiation robustness of neuromorphic hardware for aerospace applications [?].

With the recent trend of launching small-satellite networks such as Starlink, interest in optimisation algorithms that can be used in these swarms has increased. For example, Qin et al. created a distributed weight-based dominating set clustering algorithm [?]. For this research, a distributed minimum dominating set approximation algorithm is selected, that may be used to approximate the minimum satellites in a swarm that can propagate a message to the entire swarm within a single time step. The distributed nature of the algorithm may allow it to leverage the parallel nature of SNNs. Next, the existing SNN algorithm is enhanced with an implementation inspired by the principle of brain adaptation on neuromorphic hardware. The SNN algorithm is then tested for radiation robustness in a simulation of space radiation exposure induced Single Event Effects (SEEs). At the time of writing, Intel did not publish radiation tests results on the Loihi 1 and/or 2 chips, as this may result in export licence limitations with respect to nuclear applications [?]. To overcome this challenge, two simplifications are made for this preliminary research. First, non-SNN component SEEs, such as network initialisation errors caused by single event upsets (SEUs), spike routing errors caused by memory bit flips, etc.

are ignored. Secondly, from all possible SNN errors, the research focuses on neuron death. A recommendation is included for future work that may remove the need for some of these assumptions.

This paper is structured as follows. First, a brief background on known brain adaptation mechanisms is included in section 2. Next, section 3 presents the Von Neumann and neuromorphic implementations of the minimum dominating set approximation algorithm, and the brain adaptation implementations. Additionally, it presents the experiment setup and approach to simulate orbit radiation exposure. The simulation results and measured radiation robustness are presented in section 4. Section 5 interprets the impact of these results, and aims to sketch how well the simulation applies to real radiation exposure conditions of neuromorphic hardware. Section 6 summarises the research and indicates whether the chosen implementation of brain adaptation principles is successful at increasing the radiation robustness and at what cost such changes are realised. Section 7 provides suggestions on how to build upon this work with future research.

2 BRAIN ADAPTATION

Four methods of brain adaptation have been explored within this research to find potential brain-inspired adaptation mechanisms for neuromorphic space hardware; redundancy, reorganisation, niche construction and timing of developmental trajectories. Starting with redundancy, it is noted that the brains of higher vertebrates often have multiple neural pathways that can support certain behaviour [?]. Using multiple pathways is considered a viable strategy of implementing brain adaptation in the generated SNN. However, for completeness, reorganisation and niche construction are also evaluated. Continuing with reorganisation, evidence suggests that the human brain becomes increasingly hierarchical during post-natal development [?]. No levels of hierarchy are found in the SNNs generated in for the MDS approximation. It is noted that for more advanced applications, such as on-site learning and retraining, the increases in hierarchy of the SNN might allow for automatic recovery after radiation exposure. An example could be a pre-trained network performing re-training after passing through the Van Allen belts before arriving at another solar body. Next, niche construction has been seen in some organisms that can change the neural pathways to select environment information based on a combination of which information the organism needs and what the brain can best process [?]. It is expected that including the aspect of what the brain can process best requires a highly advanced combination of objective functions and information input streams. This option is not considered feasible within this research project. Timing of developmental trajectories can be seen as a compensation mechanism that delays the development of certain brain regions such that the brain can sample information from early environments so that it can optimise its developmental structure [?]. The timing of developmental trajectories could be applied to a Mars rover that learns object detection on Mars instead of on Earth, using two different sensory inputs to provide input data and labels. If there are significant learnable differences with respect to the Earth environment, it could lead to a better trained model. In the context of the radiation robustness, the optimisation of the development structure could be used to ignore damaged neurons after radiation exposure.

Redundancy is selected as the primary method of brain-adaptation for the selected SNN implementation of the algorithm because it does not require structural hierarchies nor model training.

To see how this redundancy can be implemented, the following neural coding mechanisms are evaluated:

- *Rate/frequency coding* - Assumes frequency or rate of action potential increases are accompanied by stimulus intensity increases.
- *Temporal coding* - Uses high-frequency firing rate fluctuations to convey information.
- *Population coding* - Represents stimuli using combined activities of multiple neurons.
- *Sparse coding* - Uses small subsets of neurons to encode items.

Rate/frequency adjustments can be used to increase or lower the *precision* of the spiking representation of numbers. By increasing the frequency, the relative impact of radiation induced spike omission could be reduced. Similarly, with population coding, the population size adjustments can be used to lower the relative impact of radiation induced neuron deaths on numerical representation accuracies. No useful implementations for temporal coding and sparse coding are found in the context of radiation robustness of the generated SNN algorithm for the MDS approximation.

In order to increase the energy efficiency of the designed SNN implementation, a sparse coding scheme has been used. Single neurons and spikes are used to encode integers.

3 METHODOLOGY

The research methodology starts in section 3.1 with a description of the minimum dominating set (MDS) approximation algorithm by Alipour, the SNN implementation of this algorithm by Diehl et al., and the implemented form of brain adaptation [?][?]. Since the overarching research project aims at performing physical radiation tests, section 3.2 specifies the hardware that is used for testing, and how the radiation effects are simulated. The test procedure is detailed in section 3.3.

3.1 Algorithm Selection


The MDS approximation as presented by Alipour et al., is specified in Alg. 1. Next, an SNN implementation of this algorithm is generated using Leaky-Integrate-and-Fire (LIF) neurons. This implementation is created by Diehl et al. [?] using the open-source Lava software framework by Intel. This implementation takes as input connected, triangle-free, planar graphs (E.g. fig. 1). Then it converts these graphs into the specification of an SNN that is encoded in a new graph (E.g. fig. 2). A recursive method then takes a single neuron and converts the encoded SNN that is encoded in the graph into an actual functional SNN that can be run on the simulated on a regular computer.

This SNN implementation of the MDS approximation algorithm is onwards referred to as the default network. This default network is enhanced with strategically placed redundant neurons that are inhibited by the default network neurons. Next, space radiation damage is simulated on the Loihi 2 in the form of random neuron deaths. These neuron deaths imply that a neuron is removed from the SNN, which can occur in both the default network and the set of redundancy neurons. If a default network neuron dies, the


```

1 In the first round, each node  $v_i$  chooses a random number
    $0 < r_i < 1$  and computes its weight  $w_i = d_i + r_i$  and sends
    $w_i$  to its adjacent neighbours.;
2 In the second round, each node  $v$  marks a neighbour vertex
    $v_i$  whose weight  $w_i$  is maximum among all the other
   neighbours of  $v$ .;
3 for  $m$  rounds do
4   Let  $x_i$  be the number of times that a vertex is marked by
      its neighbour vertices, let  $w_i = x_i + r_i$ ;
5   Unmark the marked vertices.;
6   Each vertex marks the vertex with maximum  $w_i$  among
      its neighbour vertices.;
7 end
8 8: The marked vertices are considered as the vertices in our
   total dominating set for  $G$ .;

```



At the time of writing, no single-event effect (SEEs) propagation mechanisms are identified for space radiation exposure on the Loihi 1 & 2 neuromorphic chips, a high-level software simulation of these single-event effects is performed. This is done by assuming that the non-neuromorphic components of the chips are performing nominally, and that the SEEs propagate from, for example, transient bit-flips, towards neuronal and synaptic parameter changes. The first assumptions may be accurate if local radiation hardening and redundancy is applied to the non-neuromorphic components. Weight and/or energy saving could be a motivation to apply these radiation counter-measures sparsely. The second assumption is based on the digital nature of the components that make up the neural components of the Loihi. The components that make up the



The diagram illustrates the architecture of the proposed neuromorphic hardware, divided into four main stages: IN, SYNAPSE, DENDRITE, and AXON, leading to an OUT stage.

- IN:** Receives an $axon_id$ input.
- SYNAPSE:** Contains a **SYNAPSE MAP PRE-TRACE** block and a **SYNAPSE MEM** block. It receives N_{syn} and N_{axon} inputs. A **LEARNING** block (containing **UPDATE** and **POST TRACE** sub-blocks) is connected to the SYNAPSE MEM block and receives N_{axon} as input.
- DENDRITE:** Contains several processing blocks:
 - DENDRITE ACCUM:** Receives N_{syn} and outputs $N_{cx} \cdot N_{delay}$.
 - CX META STATE:** Receives N_{axon} and outputs N_{cx} .
 - CX CFG:** Receives N_{axon} and outputs N_{cx} .
 - CX STATE:** Receives N_{axon} and outputs N_{cx} .
 - SOMA STATE:** Receives N_{axon} and outputs N_{cx} .
- AXON:** Contains **AXON MAP** and **AXON MEM** blocks, which receive N_{cx} and output N_{axout} .
- OUT:** Receives N_{axout} and outputs $core_id$ and $axon_id$.

Legend:

- Green line: Input spike handling
- Purple line: Compartment update
- Blue line: Output spike generation
- Red line: Synaptic update

- (1) *Radiation Robustness* - a percentage score indicating the ratio of successful solution generation on random input graphs.
- (2) *Neuronal & Synaptic Overcapacity* - a factor from 0 to n , indicating the ratio of redundant neurons and synapses with respect to the original implementation without adaptation implementation.
- (3) *Energy Efficiency* - the number of spikes consumed by implementations.

3.3.2 Simulated Radiation Damage. This work simulates radiation damage propagation of SEEs as neuron deaths by setting the neuron thresholds $v_{th} = 1000[V]$ at from the start of the simulation. The 1000 [V] is arbitrary yet large enough to prevent spiking. Transient effects are ignored along with neuron property changes in $\delta u, \delta v, bias$, synaptic death, and synaptic property changes in: $sign, weight$.

3.3.3 Brain Adaptation Mechanism. The selected SNN implementation is enhanced with redundant neurons and neuronal pathways to realise simulated radiation robustness. A basic example is shown in fig. 4.




Figure 4: Alternative neural pathway for a redundancy of the spike_once neuron. If the spike_once neuron dies due to simulated radiation-induced SEEs ($v_{th} = inf$), the redundancy neuron inhibition is eliminated. Without inhibition, the redundant spike_once neuron copies the spike_once behaviour with a delay of 1 timestep.

The alternative neural pathway renders a single neuron *original_i* with a input synapses, and b output synapses, redundant at the cost of $2a + 2b$ synapses and 2 neurons. This cost can be reduced by implementing a controller that scans the network and manually redirects neural pathways to a smaller buffer network. However, that shifts the radiation robustness problem as that controller may also endure SEEs. One can also add triple/ n -factor redundancy by including more redundant spike_once neurons that are inhibited by the original spike_once neuron and each other. This induces an additional delay of $t=1$ time step per redundant neuron.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Radiation Robustness

Table 1: Average fraction of correct outputs of the SNN implementation with and without adaptation. Neuron deaths can occur in default and redundant LIF neurons.

Adaptation	Neuron Death Percentage in SNN			
	0%	5%	10%	25%
Without	1	1	0	
With	1	1	0.5	

4.2 Neuronal, Synaptic & Energy Costs

Table 2: The multiple of the amount of neurons and synapses used by adaptation implementation, compared to the default SNN implementation.

Graph Size	Average Cost of Adaptation Mechanism		
	Neuronal	Synaptic	Spikes
3	5.7	8.3	32
4	5.8	10	37.5
5	5.8	10.2	64

Note this is the average overcapacity for $m = 0$ and $m = 1$ combined.

5 DISCUSSION

The reliability of the results can be improved by running the algorithm on more and larger graphs. Running on the Loihi 2 using the Lava 0.4.0 Framework may facilitate this.

The apparent non-linear growth of the energy consumption in terms of spikes is not expected for the brain adaptation implementation. This is not expected because the redundant neurons should either be inhibited once, or, if they take over from the dead neuron, repeat the exact same spike pattern the dead neuron would have given. This would result in an additive spike increase of roughly the SNN size, not in an exponentially growing multiple. After inspecting the spike behaviour, it is noted that some of the inhibition between neurons and redundant neurons does not stop over time. This causes unneeded spikes generation.

Even though no public SEE propagation mechanisms are known for the Loihi 2 at the time of writing, the representativeness of the simulation can be increased by taking transient effects, synaptic changes, neuron property changes and Von Neumann component malfunctions into account. The current form of redundancy that is implemented is still dependent on particular neuron properties, and no automated method for arbitrary LIF neuron redundancy is presented. A more intelligent adaptation mechanism may allow for a more active neural pathway redirection to realise equivalent robustness levels at a lower neuronal and synaptic overhead.

5.1 Population Coding

Other encoding mechanisms than sparse coding may be considered to realise radiation robustness. For example, in population coding, a population of neurons could be used to represent integer values instead of a single neuron. The spike_once neuron with a synaptic output weight of x could be replaced by x neurons along with m excitatory controller neurons that verify whether each of those neurons is still functional. If part of the population dies, the controller neurons can excite parts of the population to compensate this loss. The m controller neurons could inhibit each other and form a redundancy in the redundancy mechanism.

5.2 Rate Coding

The first round of the algorithm by Alipour et al. has also been implemented using Lava V0.3.0 using a rate-coding approach, where

the numbers are represented as a frequency. No radiation damage simulation has yet been performed on this implementation. However, it is expected that spike frequency modulation can be leveraged to mitigate radiation induced spike loss.

5.3 Algorithm Selection

This work has focussed on a particular optimisation problem, it can be noted that clever algorithm selection (and/or design) for radiation robust SNNs may be used to exchange approximation accuracy for robustness. For example, instead of selecting an algorithm that breaks if a single neuron dies, one could consider shortest-path algorithms that automatically yield a longer path that works around the neuron death. Furthermore, selecting applications that are closer to natural brain functionalities, such as event-based vision, may facilitate brain adaptation mechanisms at a lower cost. For example, in some deep neural networks, neuron death may be a feature instead of a bug, as the retraining phase can in some cases be used to increase the generalisability of the network.

5.4 Physical Testing

Many of the discussed brain adaptation implementations will fail if the boiler-plate architecture of the SNN suffer from SEEs. This issue can be resolved using fault-tolerance acceptance, redundancy and/or local shielding of boiler-plate architecture components, and by taking boiler-plate SEE propagation mechanisms into account in SNN design. The latter would require physical testing and/or detailed hardware analysis. Industry partners of the Intel Neuromorphic Research Community, such as ESA, NASA and Raytheon are also working on radiation robustness [?] and may be able to share insight in the more detailed radiation effects on the Loihi 2 without incurring export license limitations.

6 CONCLUSION

Brain-inspired adaptation mechanisms may be used in SNN implementations of graph optimisation problems to increase the radiation robustness of neuromorphic space hardware if SEE propagations can lead to neuron death. Creating more intelligent adaptation mechanisms than separate redundant neuronal pathways for each neuron may increase the radiation robustness of graph optimisation applications in space at a lower neuronal and synaptic cost.

7 FUTURE WORK

The overarching research project aims to perform physical radiation tests to gain more insight in the practical usefulness of brain-inspired adaptation mechanisms to hedge against radiation damage in neuromorphic space hardware. Since these tests are costly, a more thorough analysis on a broader scope of adaptation mechanisms is proposed. In particular, the population coding and rate coding options will be explored, and the focus is shifted to more AI oriented applications. section 5.3 to section 5.4.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

AT would like to acknowledge Elia Montanari and the SpaceBrains foundation for enabling him to pursue this work. Furthermore, great appreciation is expressed to Nathal Dorval from ESA for providing feedback on the work that led to this paper. This research has been

funded by the SpaceBrains foundation in collaboration with the European Space Agency (ESA) as part of the REACH (Research Empowered Achieves Change) programme.

JK and AT thank Intel Corporation for the opportunity to access the Loihi environment as part of the Intel Neuromorphic Research Community.