Optimisation and Control of Distributed Energy Resources in a Microgrid

Silvio Tarca^a

^aSchool of Mathematical Sciences and
 ARC Centre of Excellence for Mathematical & Statistical Frontiers
 The University of Adelaide, South Australia 5005

silvio.tarca@adelaide.edu.au

Energy Storage Research Symposium 25 May 2018





South Australia

Variable renewable energy generation and storage

- SA is a world-leading jurisdiction for penetration of variable renewable energy generation and storage
 - In fiscal year 2016–17, 48.4% of the electricity generated in SA came from variable renewable energy sources 39.2% wind and 9.2% rooftop PV
 - Largest battery in the world (100MW/129MWh) is coupled to the Hornsdale wind farm in the state's mid-north
 - SA government has announced a policy to create the world's largest virtual power plant — 50,000 households equipped with solar panels and a battery
- SA has the highest ratio of rooftop PV generation to operational consumption in the National Electricity Market, and likely for any major grid in the world
 - Installed capacity of 800MW on more than 30% of the state's 760,000 households
 - Australian Energy Market Operator forecasts that rooftop PV generation in SA will nearly double by 2026–27
 - First region in the NEM in which rooftop PV generation resulted in operational minimum demand shifting from overnight to the middle of the day (2012–13)
 - AEMO forecasts negative minimum demand in 2025–26*

Research motivation

- Mathematics in Industry Study Group brings together mathematicians, scientists and engineers from universities, government and the private sector to tackle complex technical problems facing Australian and New Zealand businesses and industries
- SA Power Networks submitted to MISG (2017) a project to study electricity pricing and control mechanisms for microgrids
- This research extends the work of MISG by applying an advanced control technique for multivariate control problems using real-world data supplied by SAPN



ACEMS

Optimisation and Control of DER in a Microgrid

25 May 2018

3 / 18

Distributed energy resources in a microgrid

Optimisation and control

- Suppose that:
 - Microgrid has a thin gateway connection to the main grid
 - Each household is equipped with rooftop solar panels and a residential battery
- Model power imported from/ exported to the main grid as a function of DER in the microgrid
- Implement controller to optimise DER in the microgrid
- Perform virtual trials (i.e., equation-based simulations using real-world data) to examine:
 - Objective function and process constraints that minimise the cost of power imported from the grid while reducing operational maximum demand
 - Effect of electricity tariff structure on the operational load profile
 - Savings achieved by optimising at the microgrid level rather than the individual household level

Notation

Single-period setting

Let	m	be the number of households in the microgrid
	n	number of time intervals in the prediction and control horizons
	N	number of time intervals in the simulation horizon
	δ	conversion factor from power (kW) to energy (kWh)
	η	one-way battery charge/discharge efficiency
	$\boldsymbol{c}(t)$	vector of weighting coefficients (e.g., tariffs) for time interval t
	$p_i(t)$	power imported from the grid by household i during time interval t^\dagger
	$b_i(t)$	battery charge control signal for household i resolved at time t^{\ddagger}
	$d_i(t)$	battery discharge control signal for household i resolved at time t^{\ddagger}
	$l_i(t)$	load generated by household i forecast at time t^\S
	$g_i(t)$	power generated by rooftop PV of household i forecast at time t^\S
	$e_i(t)$	state of charge (SOC) of the battery of household i at time t

 $^{^{\}dagger}p_{i}(t)<0$ implies power exported to the grid

ACEMS

Optimisation and Control of DER in a Microgrid

25 May 2018

5 / 18

State-space model predictive control (MPC)

Single-period state-space model

- MPC discretises prediction, control and simulation horizons into half-hourly time intervals (i.e., $\delta = 0.50$)
- Suppose that discrete times t-1 and t, respectively, translate to clock times ς and τ ; then "at time t" refers to clock time τ , and "during time interval t" refers to clock time interval $(\varsigma, \tau]$
- State-space model of DER in a microgrid represents power imported from/ exported to the main grid as a function of load, rooftop PV generation, and power charging, or discharged from, the battery

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_i(t+1) \\ e_i(t+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_i(t) \\ e_i(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ \delta \eta & -\delta/\eta & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_i(t) \\ d_i(t) \\ l_i(t) \\ g_i(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{y}_i(t+1) \qquad A \qquad \mathbf{x}_i(t) \qquad B \qquad \mathbf{y}_i(t)$$

for
$$i=1,\ldots,m$$
 and $t=1,\ldots,N$

 $^{^{\}ddagger}$ Control signals resolved at time t apply during time interval $t\!+\!1$

 $[\]S$ Control signals set to forecasts produced at time t are valid for time interval $t{+}1$

State-space model predictive control (MPC)

Multi-period prediction and control horizons

■ Set receding prediction/control horizons to 8 hours (n = 16), and let

$$\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i,t+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{y}_i(t+1)^T & \boldsymbol{y}_i(t+2)^T & \dots & \boldsymbol{y}_i(t+n)^T \end{bmatrix}^T,$$

$$\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i,t} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}_i(t)^T & \boldsymbol{u}_i(t+1)^T & \dots & \boldsymbol{u}_i(t+n-1)^T \end{bmatrix}^T$$

Recursively applying the single-period state-space model

$$\boldsymbol{y}_i(t+1) = A\boldsymbol{x}_i(t) + B\boldsymbol{u}_i(t)$$

over the n-period horizon yields

$$\vec{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i,t+1} = K\boldsymbol{x}_i(t) + L\vec{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i,t},$$

where

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ A^2 \\ \vdots \\ A^n \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } L = \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ AB & B & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A^{n-1}B & A^{n-2}B & \dots & AB & B \end{bmatrix}$$

for
$$i = 1, \ldots, m$$
 and $t = 1, \ldots, N$

ACEMS

Optimisation and Control of DER in a Microgrid

25 May 2018

7 / 18

MPC controller

Multi-period performance index

- Performance index is weighted to reflect cost of power imported from the grid
- Define the multi-period performance index as

$$f = \left\| \sqrt{\Lambda} \left(K \boldsymbol{x}_i(t) + L \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i,t} \right) \right\|_2^2,$$

where $\Lambda = \mathrm{diag}\left([\boldsymbol{c}(t+1)^T, \boldsymbol{c}(t+2)^T, \dots, \boldsymbol{c}(t+n)^T]\right)$ is a positive semi-definite diagonal weighting matrix reflecting the electricity tariff structure

- Optimisation of performance index is subject to process constraints:
 - Rooftop PV generation and load are set to solar power and demand forecasts
 - Upper and lower bounds on SOC of the battery
 - Energy capacity accounts for its decay over the lifetime of the battery
 - Charge/discharge rates cannot exceed rated power (continuous) of the battery
 - Linear complementarity of battery charge and discharge control signals

MPC controller

Mixed integer quadratic programming

 Expanding the performance index, dropping the constant terms and imposing process constraints, the quadratic program is written in standard form

$$\underset{\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i,t}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i,t}^T \left(L^T \Lambda L \right) \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i,t} + \left(K \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(t) \right)^T \Lambda L \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i,t}$$

$$\operatorname{subject to} \quad \underline{b}_i \leq b_i (t+k-1) \leq \overline{b}_i,$$

$$\underline{d}_i \leq d_i (t+k-1) \leq \overline{d}_i,$$

$$\underline{e}_i \leq e_i (t+k-1) + \delta \eta b_i (t+k-1) - \frac{\delta}{\eta} d_i (t+k-1) \leq \overline{e}_i,$$

$$b_i (t+k-1) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad d_i (t+k-1) = 0,$$

$$k = 1, \dots, n$$

for
$$i = 1, \ldots, m$$
 and $t = 1, \ldots, N$

 Introducing binary variable to ensure linear complementarity of battery charge and discharge control signals transforms the optimisation problem into a mixed integer quadratic program (MIQP)

ACEMS

Optimisation and Control of DER in a Microgrid

25 May 2018

9 / 18

Optimisation and control

Mixed integer linear programming

- Let $p_i(t) \ge 0$ be power imported from the grid by household i during time interval t, and $q_i(t) \ge 0$ power exported to the grid by household i during time interval t
- Power balance equation is given by

$$p_i(t+k) = b_i(t+k-1) - d_i(t+k-1) + l_i(t+k-1) - g_i(t+k-1) + q_i(t+k),$$

$$k = 1, \dots, n$$

for
$$i = 1, \dots, m$$
 and $t = 1, \dots, N$

■ Introduce additional binary variable to ensure linear complementarity of power imported from and power exported to the grid

Optimisation and control

Mixed integer linear programming

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimise} & \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^n c(t+k)p_i(t+k) \\ \text{subject to} & \displaystyle\frac{b_i \leq b_i(t+k-1) \leq \overline{b_i},}{d_i \leq d_i(t+k-1) \leq \overline{d_i},} \\ & \displaystyle\frac{e_i \leq e_i(t+k-1) + \delta \eta b_i(t+k-1) - \frac{\delta}{\eta} d_i(t+k-1) \leq \overline{e}_i,}{b_i(t+k-1) = 0} \quad \text{or} \quad d_i(t+k-1) = 0, \\ & \displaystyle b_i(t+k) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad q_i(t+k) = 0, \\ & \displaystyle \hat{l}_i(t+k) \leq l_i(t+k-1) \leq \hat{l}_i(t+k), \\ & \displaystyle \hat{g}_i(t+k) \leq g_i(t+k-1) \leq \hat{g}_i(t+k), \\ & k = 1, \dots, n \\ & \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, m \text{ and } t = 1, \dots, N \end{array}$$

ACEMS

Optimisation and Control of DER in a Microgrid

25 May 2018

11 / 18

Data, variables and parameters

- Real-world data from Salisbury trial:
 - Actual half-hourly time series of rooftop PV generation and load for 75 households over 16-week simulation horizon (04/02/2017–26/05/2017)
 - Assume perfect foresight
 - No household has more than 0.5% of 5,378 half-hourly intervals with missing data — filled with zeroes
- Suppose that each household has installed a Tesla Powerwall 2.0 DC battery:
 - 11.5 kWh energy storage capacity mid-point assuming decay to 70% of its original capacity over its lifetime
 - 5 kW power rating (continuous charge and discharge)
 - $\bullet~80\%$ discharge cycle SOC maintained between 10% and 90%
 - 88% round-trip efficiency when coupled to a solar inverter (i.e., $\eta = \sqrt{0.88}$)
- Power imported from the grid is subject to time-of-day (TOD) tariff (\$/kWh)

	Off-peak	Shoulder 12:00–16:00	Peak 16:00–21:00
April–October	0.24	0.36	0.36
November–March	0.24	0.36	0.48

while power exported to the grid earns a feed-in tariff of \$0.08/kWh

Virtual trials

- MPC controller determines battery charge/discharge control signals that minimise cost of power imported from the grid subject to process constraints
- Evaluate optimisation algorithms, control techniques and simulation parameters by their effect on:
 - · Net cost of electricity for households
 - Operational peak demand (i.e., network upgrades)
 - Battery charge/discharge cycles (i.e., life of the battery)
 - Simulation runtime
- Virtual trials compare:
 - No battery energy storage versus Tesla Powerwall 2.0 DC installed
 - Single-period (half-hour, tariff independent) versus multi-period (8 hours, TOD tariff) control horizon
 - Optimisation at the household level versus the microgrid level
 - MILP versus MIQP algorithm

ACEMS

Optimisation and Control of DER in a Microgrid

25 May 2018

13 / 18

Virtual trials

Empirical research findings

1. Battery energy storage reduces net cost of electricity substantially

	Net cost of electricity (\$)	Operational peak demand (kW)	Charge/discharge cycles
MIQP, household, single-period, no BESS MIQP, household, single-period, BESS	28,086 16,017	242.8 183.8	N/A 74.3
% change	-43.0	-24.3	N/A

2. Further cost savings are achieved by optimising at the microgrid level relative to the individual household level

	Net cost of electricity (\$)	Operational peak demand (kW)	Charge/discharge cycles
MIQP, household, single-period MIQP, microgrid, single-period	16,017 11,956	183.8 242.8	74.3 82.1
% change	-25.4	32.1	10.6

[¶]Microgrid level opitimisation simply aggregates rooftop PV generation, load and energy storage capacity across households in the microgrid for each half-hourly interval

Virtual trials

Empirical research findings

3. Peak operational demand is markedly lower for a multi-period control horizon employing MIQP relative to a single-period control horizon

	Net cost of electricity (\$)	Operational peak demand (kW)	Charge/discharge cycles
MIQP, microgrid, single-period MIQP, microgrid, multi-period	11,956 12,285	242.8 152.7	82.1 89.4
% change	2.7	-37.1	8.8

4. Differences between MIQP and MILP algorithms for a single-period control horizon is marginal

	Net cost of electricity (\$)	Operational peak demand (kW)	Charge/discharge cycles
MIQP, microgrid, single-period MILP, microgrid, single-period	11,956 11,997	242.8 242.8	82.1 82.1
% change	0.3	0.0	0.0

ACEMS

Optimisation and Control of DER in a Microgrid

25 May 2018

15 / 18

Virtual trials

Empirical research findings

5. Operational peak demand for a multi-period control horizon employing MIQP is a fraction of that employing MILP |

	Net cost of electricity (\$)	Operational peak demand (kW)	Charge/discharge cycles
MILP, microgrid, multi-period MIQP, microgrid, multi-period	12,748 12,285	434.4 152.7	83.6 89.4
% change	-3.6	-64.9	7.0

6. MILP (48 min 10 sec) solves faster than MIQP (2 hr 11 min 29 sec) on an iMac with 2.7 GHz processor and 8 GB memory when optimising DER in a microgrid at the household level over a multi-period control horizon**

 $[\]parallel$ QP penalises large power imports from the grid during a given time interval disproportionately more heavily than small imports, while LP penalises large power imports from the grid during a given time interval proportionately equally as small imports

^{**}MPC controller is coded in MATLAB and invokes solvers cplexmilp() and cplexmilp() from the CPLEX for MATLAB Toolbox

Actual DER management

Salisbury trial

75 households in 16-week Salisbury trial
from 04/02/2017 to 26/05/2017

Consumption	177,527 kWh
Rooftop PV generation	152,310 kWh
Energy charging battery	45,627 kWh
Energy discharge from battery	42,064 kWh
Operational peak demand	217.7 kW
Energy imported from the grid	79,020 kWh
Energy exported to the grid	49,945 kWh
Net cost of electricity	\$19,316

ACEMS

Optimisation and Control of DER in a Microgric

25 May 2018

17 / 18

Other applied mathematics research on clean energy

Dependable supply of wind power with battery energy storage

- Tilt Renewables has announced that it will connect a solar farm (44MW) and utility-scale battery (21MW/26MWh) to its Snowtown wind farm (369MW) in the mid-north of South Australia
- Collaboration with Tilt Renewables is applying optimisation and control techniques to firm-up wind power dispatch using battery energy storage
- Conjecture that if wind farms were to dependably supply power scheduled during pre-dispatch, then wholesale electricity prices would be less volatile and, on average, lower

