

Statistical Modeling and Analysis of Neural Data (NEU 560)

Princeton University, Spring 2018

Jonathan Pillow

Lecture 3B notes: Least Squares Regression

1 Least Squares Regression

Suppose someone hands you a stack of N vectors, $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_N\}$, each of dimension d , and an scalar observation associated with each one, $\{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$. In other words, the data now come in pairs (\vec{x}_i, y_i) , where each pair has one vector (known as the *input*, the *regressor*, or the *predictor*) and a scalar (known as the *output* or *dependent variable*).

Suppose we would like to estimate a linear function that allows us to predict y from \vec{x} as well as possible: in other words, we'd like a weight vector \vec{w} such that

$$y_i \approx \vec{w}^\top \vec{x}_i.$$

Specifically, we'd like to minimize the squared prediction error, so we'd like to find the \vec{w} that minimizes

$$\text{squared error} = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \vec{x}_i \cdot \vec{w})^2 \quad (1)$$

We're going to write this as a vector equation to make it easier to derive the solution. Let Y be a vector composed of the stacked observations $\{y_i\}$, and let X be the vector whose rows are the vectors $\{\vec{x}_i\}$ (which is known as the *design matrix*):

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{bmatrix} \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} - & \vec{x}_1 & - \\ & \vdots & \\ - & \vec{x}_N & - \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we can rewrite the squared error given above as the squared vector norm of the residual error between Y and $X\vec{w}$:

$$\text{squared error} = \|Y - X\vec{w}\|^2 \quad (2)$$

The solution (stated here without proof): the vector that minimizes the above squared error (which we equip with a hat $\hat{\vec{w}}$ to denote the fact that it is an estimate recovered from data) is:

$$\vec{w} = (X^\top X)^{-1}(X^\top Y).$$

2 Derivation #1: using orthogonality

I will provide two derivations of the above formula, though we will only have time to discuss the first one (which is a little bit easier) in class. It has the added advantage that it gives us some insight into the geometry of the problem.

Let's think about the design matrix X in terms of its d columns instead of its N rows. Let $\{X_j\}$ denote the j' th column, i.e.,

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ X_1 & \cdots & X_d \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

The columns of X span a d -dimensional subspace within the larger N -dimensional vector space that contains the vector Y . Generally Y does not lie exactly within this subspace. Least squares regression is therefore trying to find the linear combination of these vectors, $X\vec{w}$, that gets as close to possible to Y .

What we know about the optimal linear combination is that it corresponds to dropping a line down from Y to the subspace spanned by $\{X_1, \dots, X_D\}$ at a right angle. In other words, the error vector $(Y - X\vec{w})$ (also known as the *residual error*) should be orthogonal to every column of X :

$$(Y - X\vec{w}) \cdot X_j = 0, \quad (4)$$

for all columns $j = 1$ up to $j = d$. Written as a matrix equation this means:

$$(Y - X\vec{w})^\top X = \vec{0} \quad (5)$$

where $\vec{0}$ is d -component vector of zeros.

We should quickly be able to see that solving this for \vec{w} gives us the solution we were looking for:

$$X^\top (Y - X\vec{w}) = X^\top Y - X^\top X\vec{w} = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\implies (X^\top X)\vec{w} = X^\top Y \quad (7)$$

$$\implies \vec{w} = (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top Y. \quad (8)$$

So to summarize: the requirement that the residual errors $Y - X\vec{w}$ be orthogonal to the columns of X was all we needed to derive the optimal weight vector \vec{w} . (Hooray!)

3 Derivation #2: Calculus

3.1 Calculus with Vectors and Matrices

Here are two rules that will help us out for the second derivation of least-squares regression. First of all, let's define what we mean by the gradient of a function $f(\vec{x})$ that takes a vector (\vec{x}) as its input. This is just a vector whose components are the derivatives with respect to each of the components of \vec{x} :

$$\nabla f \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_d} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where ∇ (the “nabla” symbol) is what we use to denote gradient, though in practice I will often be lazy and write simply $\frac{df}{d\vec{x}}$ or maybe $\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{x}} f$.

(Also, in case you didn’t know it, \triangleq is the symbol denoting “is defined as”).

Ok, here are the two useful identities we’ll need:

1. Derivative of a linear function:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{x}} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{x}} \vec{a}^\top \vec{x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{x}} \vec{x}^\top \vec{a} = \vec{a} \quad (9)$$

(If you think back to calculus, this is just like $\frac{d}{dx} ax = a$).

2. Derivative of a quadratic function: if A is symmetric, then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{x}} \vec{x}^\top A \vec{x} = 2A\vec{x} \quad (10)$$

(Again, thinking back to calculus this is just like $\frac{d}{dx} ax^2 = 2ax$).

If you ever need it, the more general rule (for non-symmetric A) is:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{x}} \vec{x}^\top A \vec{x} = (A + A^\top) \vec{x},$$

which of course is the same thing as $2A\vec{x}$ when A is symmetric.

3.2 Calculus Derivation

We can call this derivation (i.e., the \vec{w} vector that minimizes the squared error defined above) the “straightforward calculus” derivation. We will differentiate the error with respect to \vec{w} , set it equal to zero (i.e., implying we have a local optimum of the error), and solve for \vec{w} . All we’re going to need is some algebra for pushing around terms in the error, and the vector calculus identities we put at the top.

Let’s go!

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{w}} SE = \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{w}} (Y - X\vec{w})^\top (Y - X\vec{w}) \quad (11)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{w}} \left(Y^\top Y - 2\vec{w}^\top X^\top Y + \vec{w}^\top X^\top X \vec{w} \right) \quad (12)$$

$$= -2X^\top Y + 2X^\top X \vec{w} = 0. \quad (13)$$

We can then solve this for \vec{w} as follows:

$$X^\top X \vec{w} = X^\top Y \quad (14)$$

$$\implies \vec{w} = (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top Y \quad (15)$$

Easy, right?

(Note: we're assuming that $X^\top X$ is full rank so that its inverse exists, implying that $N > d$ and the rows are not all linearly dependent.)