

Programs, Semantics, Errors, Nondeterminism

CS 536: Science of Programming, Spring 2018

Due Wed Feb 14, 11:59 pm — No Late Assignments

2/15: solved

A. Instructions

- You can work together in groups of ≤ 4 . Submit your work on Blackboard. Submit one copy, under the name of one person in the group (doesn't matter who). Include the names and A-IDs of everyone in the group (including the submitter) inside that copy.
- Solution will be posted Thu, Feb 15 to maximize the time you have to study it before Exam 1 (Mon, Feb 19).

B. Why?

- Our simple programming language is a model for the kind of constructs seen in actual languages.
- Our programs stand for state transformers.

A. Why?

- Program semantics can be understood using operational, step-by-step evaluation of program / state snapshots or using denotational, state-transformation semantics.
- Runtime errors cause failure of normal program execution.
- Nondeterminism can help us develop programs without worrying about overlapping cases.

B. Outcomes

After this homework, you should be able to

- Translate simple programs into our language and calculate their meaning;
- Write simple predicate functions.
- Use operational semantics to describe step-by-step execution of programs in our language.
- Give the denotational semantics of a program in a state.
- Say when and how evaluation of an expression or program fails due to a runtime error.
- Evaluate a nondeterministic **if-fi** and **do-od**.

C. Problems [100 points total]

Part 1: Programs and Operational Semantics

For Problems 1 – 3, translate the given C-like programs into our programming language.

1. [6 points] `for (j = m, x = 1; j >= 1;) x *= y[--j];`
2. [6 points] `j = m; x = 1; while (--j >= 0) x *= y[j];`
3. [6 points] `x = 1; j = 0; while (j++ < m) x *= y[j];`

4. [12 = 2 * 6 points] Let $\sigma = \{(x, \alpha), (y, \beta)\}$ (or in ASCII, let $\sigma = \{(x, \alpha), (y, \beta)\}$). Evaluate each $\langle S, \sigma \rangle$ below to completion.
- $S \equiv t := x; x := y; y := t$
 - $S \equiv \text{if } x < 0 \text{ then } y := -x \text{ else } y := x \text{ fi}$
5. [13 points] Let $S \equiv s := 0; \text{while } n \geq 0 \text{ do } S_1 \text{ od}$ where the loop body $S_1 \equiv s := s+n; n := n-1$.
- [3 points] If $\sigma(n) < 0$, what are the operational semantics of S in σ ?
 - [4 points] Give the operational semantics of the loop body S_1 in an arbitrary state τ .
 - [6 points] Let $\sigma(n) = 3$. Write out the complete execution sequence for $\langle S, \sigma \rangle$. Use multi-step execution as in Example 10 in Lecture 6.
6. [7 points] First study this definition of a predicate function $\text{Match}(b, b', x, y, n)$:

$$\text{Match}(b, b', x, y, n) \equiv \forall k. 0 \leq k < n \rightarrow b[x+k] = b'[y+k]$$

Then $\sigma \models \text{Match}(b, b', x, y, n)$ when in σ , the n elements $b[x..x+n-1]$ are equal to $b'[y..y+n-1]$, element-wise ($b[x] = b'[y]$, $b[x+1] = b'[y+1]$, etc). E.g., if $b = (0, 1, 2, 3, 2, 3)$ and $b' = (1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 2)$, then all three of $\text{Match}(b, b', 0, 1, 4)$, $\text{Match}(b, b', 2, 3, 2)$, and $\text{Match}(b, b', 4, 3, 2)$ are true. (More technically, if $\sigma(b)$ and $\sigma(b')$ are as given then $\sigma \models \text{Match}(b, b', 0, 1, 4)$, etc.)

For this problem, modify the definition for Match to get a predicate function $\text{Reverse}(b, b', x, y, n)$ that checks for the b and b' subsequences being reverses of each other. I.e., we now want $b[x..x+n-1]$ (with indexes increasing) to equal $b'[y+n-1..y]$ (with indexes decreasing). E.g., For the same b and b' , $\text{Reverse}(b, b', 0, 0, 2)$ and $\text{Reverse}(b, b', 2, 3, 3)$ are true but $\text{Reverse}(b, b', 0, 0, 3)$ is false.

There are multiple recursive and non-recursive definitions for Match ; give a non-recursive one.

Part 2: Denotational Semantics, Errors and Nondeterminism

For Questions 1 – 4, calculate the denotational semantics $M(\text{statement}, \text{state})$ using the denotational semantics rules given in Lecture 6: $M(v := e, \sigma) = \sigma[v \mapsto \sigma(e)]$, $M(S_1; S_2, \sigma) = M(S_2, \tau) = M(S_2, M(S_1, \sigma))$, etc. Note: Integer division truncates, as does `sqrt(...)`. If the meaning is some flavor of \perp , be specific and say \perp_d or \perp_e .

- [5 points] Calculate $M(\text{if } x \text{ odd then } x := x-1 \text{ fi}; x := x/2, \sigma)$ where σ is an arbitrary state. Your answer will be symbolic and have two cases. Note $x \text{ odd} \equiv x \% 2 = 1$.
- [5 points] Calculate $M(W, \{x = 0, y = 1\})$ where $W \equiv \text{while } x \neq 3 \text{ do } S \text{ od}$ and $S \equiv x := x+1; y := y+y$. Use the technique of characterizing the sequence of states seen at the loop test. Note it will help if you calculate $M(S, \tau)$ for an arbitrary τ .
- [6 points] Calculate $M(W, \{x = 4, y = 1\})$ where W is as in the previous problem.

4. [20 = 4*5 points] Calculate $M(S, \sigma)$ where $S \equiv v := b[x]; w := x/v; y := \text{sqrt}(w), \sigma = \{b = (3, 0, -2), x = \alpha\}$, and a value for α as below.
- a. $\alpha = -1$ b. $\alpha = 0$ c. $\alpha = 1$ d. $\alpha = 2$
5. [5 points] What are the semantic similarities and differences among IF_1, IF_2 , and IF_3 . where
- $IF_1 \equiv \text{if } B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \square B_2 \rightarrow S_2 \text{ fi}$
 - $IF_2 \equiv \text{if } B_2 \rightarrow S_2 \square B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \text{ fi}$ and
 - $IF_3 \equiv \text{if } B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \square B_2 \rightarrow S_2 \square \neg B_1 \wedge \neg B_2 \rightarrow \text{skip fi}$
6. [9 points] Let $S \equiv \text{do } j < 3 \rightarrow k := k+1; j := j+1 \square j < 5 \rightarrow k := k-1; j := j+1 \text{ od}$, and let $\sigma = \{j = 0, k = 0\}$. What is $M(S, \sigma)$? (It will be a subset of Σ_{\perp} .) You can argue informally (“After one iteration, $j = 1$ and $k = \dots$ or \dots .”.)

Solution to Homework 2 — Programs, Semantics, Errors, Nondeterminism

Spring 2018

Part 1

(program translation)

1. $j := m; x := 1; \text{while } j \geq 1 \text{ do } j := j - 1; x := x * y[j] \text{ od}$
2. $j := m; x := 1; j := j - 1; \text{while } j \geq 0 \text{ do } x := x * y[j]; j := j - 1 \text{ od}$
3. $x := 1; j := 0; \text{while } j < m \text{ do } j := j + 1; x := x * y[j] \text{ od}; j := j + 1$

4. (Examples of operational execution)

- 4a. (Here's a very detailed solution.) Let $\sigma = \{(x, \alpha), (y, \beta)\}$ and $S \equiv t := x; x := y; y := t$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle S, \sigma \rangle &= \langle t := x; x := y; y := t, \sigma \rangle \\
 &\rightarrow \langle x := y; y := t, \sigma[t \mapsto \sigma(x)] \rangle \\
 &= \langle x := y; y := t, \sigma[t \mapsto \alpha] \rangle \\
 &\rightarrow \langle y := t, \sigma_1[x \mapsto \sigma_1(y)] \rangle && \text{where } \sigma_1 = \sigma[t \mapsto \alpha] \\
 &= \langle y := t, \sigma_1[x \mapsto \beta] \rangle && \text{bec. } \sigma_1(y) = \sigma[t \mapsto \alpha](y) = \sigma(y) = \beta \\
 &= \langle y := t, \sigma_2 \rangle && \text{where } \sigma_2 = \sigma_1[x \mapsto \beta] = \sigma[t \mapsto \alpha][x \mapsto \beta] \\
 &\rightarrow \langle E, \sigma_2[y \mapsto \sigma_2(t)] \rangle \\
 &= \langle E, \sigma_2[y \mapsto \alpha] \rangle && \text{bec. } \sigma_2(t) = \sigma_1[x \mapsto \beta](t) = \sigma_1(t) = \sigma[t \mapsto \alpha](t) = \alpha
 \end{aligned}$$

- 4b. (This solution has less detail.) Let $\sigma = \{(x, \alpha), (y, \beta)\}$ and $S \equiv \text{if } x < 0 \text{ then } y := -x \text{ else } y := x \text{ fi}$. If $\alpha < 0$, then $\langle S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle y := -x, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle E, \sigma[y \mapsto -\alpha] \rangle$. Otherwise, if $\alpha \geq 0$, then $\langle S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle y := x, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle E, \sigma[y \mapsto \alpha] \rangle$.

5. (Operational semantics of loops) As in the problem statement, let $S \equiv s := 0; W$, where $W \equiv \text{while } n \geq 0 \text{ do } S_1 \text{ od}$ and $S_1 \equiv s := s + n; n := n - 1$.

- 5a. If $\sigma(n) < 0$, then $\langle S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle W, \sigma[s \mapsto 0] \rangle \rightarrow \langle E, \sigma[s \mapsto 0] \rangle$

- 5b. Let's look at the general semantics of the loop body S_1 : In an arbitrary state $\tau[s \mapsto \alpha][n \mapsto \beta]$, we get
 $\langle S_1, \tau[s \mapsto \alpha][n \mapsto \beta] \rangle = \langle s := s + n; n := n - 1, \tau[s \mapsto \alpha][n \mapsto \beta] \rangle \rightarrow \langle n := n - 1, \tau[n \mapsto \beta][s \mapsto \alpha + \beta] \rangle$
 $\rightarrow \langle E, \tau[s \mapsto \alpha + \beta][n \mapsto \beta - 1] \rangle$.

- 5c. If $\sigma(n) = 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle S, \sigma \rangle &\rightarrow \langle W, \sigma_0 \rangle && \text{where } \sigma_0 = \sigma[s \mapsto 0][n \mapsto 3] \\
 &\rightarrow \langle S_1; W, \sigma_0 \rangle && \text{bec. } \sigma_0(n \geq 0) = (3 \geq 0) = T \\
 &\rightarrow^* \langle W, \sigma_1 \rangle && \text{where } \sigma_1 = \sigma_0[s \mapsto 0 + 3][n \mapsto 3 - 1] = \sigma[s \mapsto 3][n \mapsto 2] \\
 &\rightarrow \langle S_1; W, \sigma_1 \rangle && \text{bec. } \sigma_1(n \geq 0) = (2 \geq 0) = T \\
 &\rightarrow^* \langle W, \sigma_2 \rangle && \text{where } \sigma_2 = \sigma_1[s \mapsto 3 + 2][n \mapsto 2 - 1] = \sigma[s \mapsto 5][n \mapsto 1] \\
 &\rightarrow \langle S_1; W, \sigma_2 \rangle && \text{bec. } \sigma_2(n \geq 0) = (1 \geq 0) = T \\
 &\rightarrow^* \langle W, \sigma_3 \rangle && \text{where } \sigma_3 = \sigma_2[s \mapsto 5 + 1][n \mapsto 1 - 1] = \sigma[s \mapsto 6][n \mapsto 0] \\
 &\rightarrow \langle S_1; W, \sigma_3 \rangle && \text{bec. } \sigma_3(n \geq 0) = (0 \geq 0) = T \\
 &\rightarrow^* \langle W, \sigma_4 \rangle && \text{where } \sigma_4 = \sigma_3[s \mapsto 6 + 0][n \mapsto 0 - 1] = \sigma[s \mapsto 6][n \mapsto -1] \\
 &\rightarrow \langle E, \sigma_4 \rangle && \text{bec. } \sigma_4(n \geq 0) = (-1 \geq 0) = F
 \end{aligned}$$

6. With $\text{Match}(b, b', x, y, n) \equiv \forall k. 0 \leq k < n \rightarrow b[x+k] = b'[y+k]$, we test $b[x+k] = b'[y+k]$ because they are the k 'th elements from the *left* ends of the b and b' segments. **Reverse** needs to test the k 'th element left of $b[x]$ with the k 'th element *right* of $b'[y+n-2]$. I.e., we should test $b[x+k] = b'[y+n-1-k]$. Quantifying, we get $\text{Reverse}(b, b', x, y, n) \equiv \forall k. 0 \leq k < n \rightarrow b[x+k] = b'[y+n-1-k]+0$.

Part 2 — For 1 - 4, recalculate using $M(S, \sigma) = \dots$ rules — also

1. Let $\alpha = \sigma(x)$ and let $S \equiv \text{if } x \text{ odd then } x := x-1 \text{ fi}; x := x/2$.
- If α is odd, then $\langle S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle x := x-1; x := x/2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle x := x/2, \sigma[x \mapsto \alpha-1] \rangle \rightarrow \langle E, \sigma[x \mapsto (\alpha-1)/2] \rangle$
 - If α is even then $\langle S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{skip}; x := x/2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle x := x/2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle E, \sigma[x \mapsto \alpha/2] \rangle$
- So $M(S, \sigma) = \sigma[x \mapsto (\alpha-1)/2]$ (if α is odd) or $\sigma[x \mapsto \alpha/2]$ (if α is even). Equivalently, $M(S, \sigma) = \sigma[x \mapsto \beta]$ where $\alpha = 2\beta$ or $2\beta + 1$.

Check #2: are these values right?

2. We're given $W \equiv \text{while } x \neq 3 \text{ do } S \text{ od}$ and $S \equiv x := x+1; y := y+y$.
In any arbitrary state $\tau[x \mapsto \delta][y \mapsto \eta]$, the loop body ends with $M(S, \tau[x \mapsto \delta][y \mapsto \eta]) = M(x := x+1; y := y+y, \tau[x \mapsto \delta][y \mapsto \eta]) = \tau[x \mapsto \delta+1][y \mapsto 2\eta]$. Then,

$\langle W, \{x = 0, y = 1\} \rangle$	Continue loop, since $\{x = 0, y = 1\}(x \neq 3) = T$
$\rightarrow^3 \langle W, \{x = 1, y = 2\} \rangle$	$\{x = 1, \dots\}(x \neq 3) = T$, so continue
$\rightarrow^3 \langle W, \{x = 2, y = 4\} \rangle$	$\{x = 2, \dots\}(x \neq 3) = T$, so continue
$\rightarrow^3 \langle W, \{x = 3, y = 8\} \rangle$	$\{x = 3, \dots\}(x \neq 3) = F$, so loop terminates

So $M(W, \{x = 0, y = 1\}) = \{x = 3, y = 8\}$.

3. Given W and S as in the previous problem, we have the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle W, \{x = 4, y = 1\} \rangle \\ &\rightarrow^3 \langle W, \{x = 5, y = 2\} \rangle \\ &\rightarrow^3 \langle W, \{x = 6, y = 6\} \rangle \\ &\rightarrow^3 \langle W, \{x = 7, y = 12\} \rangle \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

where in the general case we have $\langle W, \{x = \beta + 4, y = 2^\beta\} \rangle$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$. In all these configurations, the value of $x \neq 3$, so we have an infinite sequence, so $M(W, \{x = 4, y = 1\}) = \perp_d$.

4. (Runtime errors) We have $S \equiv v := b[x]; w := x/v; y := \text{sqrt}(w)$ and $\sigma = \{b = (3, 0, -2), x = \alpha\}$

4a. If $\sigma(x) = \alpha = -1$, then $\sigma(b[x]) = \perp_e$, since the array index x is -1 , which is illegal. So

$$\langle v := b[x]; w := x/v; y := \text{sqrt}(w), \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle w := x/v; y := \text{sqrt}(w), \perp_e \rangle \rightarrow \langle E, \perp_e \rangle.$$

- 4b. If $\sigma(x) = \alpha = 0$, then $\langle v := b[x]; w := x/v; y := \text{sqrt}(w), \sigma \rangle$
 $\rightarrow \langle w := x/v; y := \text{sqrt}(w), \sigma[v \mapsto 3] \rangle$
 $\rightarrow \langle y := \text{sqrt}(w), \sigma[v \mapsto 3][x \mapsto 0] \rangle$
 $\rightarrow \langle E, \sigma[v \mapsto 3][x \mapsto 0][y \mapsto 0] \rangle$, so $M(S, \sigma) = \sigma[v \mapsto 3][x \mapsto 0][y \mapsto 0]$.
- 4c. If $\sigma(x) = \alpha = 1$, then $\langle v := b[x]; w := x/v; y := \text{sqrt}(w), \sigma \rangle$
 $\rightarrow \langle w := x/v; y := \text{sqrt}(w), \sigma[v \mapsto 0] \rangle$
 $\rightarrow \langle E, \perp_e \rangle$ (evaluation of x/v fails because v is zero in $\sigma[v \mapsto 0]$)
 So $M(S, \sigma) = \perp_e$.
- 4d. If $\sigma(x) = \alpha = 2$ then $\langle v := b[x]; w := x/v; y := \text{sqrt}(w), \sigma \rangle$
 $\rightarrow \langle w := x/v; y := \text{sqrt}(w), \sigma[v \mapsto -2] \rangle$ (in σ , $x = 2$ and $b[2] = -2$)
 $\rightarrow \langle y := \text{sqrt}(w), \sigma[v \mapsto -2][w \mapsto -1] \rangle$ (the value of $x = 2$ in the current state)
 $\rightarrow \langle E, \perp_e \rangle$ (evaluation of $\text{sqrt}(w)$ fails because $w = -1$)
 So $M(S, \sigma) = \perp_e$.
5. IF_1 and IF_2 have the same semantics because the order of the guarded commands doesn't matter. (If both tests are true we choose nondeterministically from the set of statements $\{S_1, S_2\}$.) IF_1 and IF_2 have the same semantics as IF_3 when one of B_1 and B_2 is true and the other is false. If both B_1 and B_2 are false then IF_1 and IF_2 both fail (produce \perp_e) but IF_3 executes **skip**.
6. We execute the loop for 5 iterations, since both guarded commands increment j by 1. The iterations where $j < 3$ can execute either guarded command body, so for $j = 0, 1$, and 2 , we nondeterministically increment or decrement k by 1, so k is $0 \pm 1 \pm 1 \pm 1$ (by which I mean the value of $k \in \{0+1+1+1, 0+1+1-1, \dots\}$). The set of possible final states turns out to be $\{\{j = 5, k = \beta\} \mid \beta \in \{-5, -3, -1, 1\}\}$:

$j = \dots$	$k \in \dots$
0	$\{0\}$
1	$\{0\} \pm 1 = \{-1, 1\}$
2	$\{-1, 1\} \pm 1 = \{-2, 0, 2\}$
3	$\{-2, 0, 2\} \pm 1 = \{-3, -1, 1, 3\}$
4	$\{-3, -1, 1, 3\} - 1 = \{-4, -2, 0, 2\}$
5	$\{-4, -2, 0, 2\} - 1 = \{-5, -3, -1, 1\}$