## PROBLEM SET 3 MGMT 737

- 1. Quantile Regression. This analysis will use the dataset from Problem Set 1, lalonde\_nsw.csv (which I will refer to as NSW), as well as the dataset from Problem Set 2, lalonde\_psid.csv (which I will call PSID).
  - (a) We will begin by defining an estimation approach for doing quantile regression that doesn't require linear programming. This approach comes from Gary Chamberlain (in Chamberlain (1994), and discussed in Angrist et al. (2006)).

Let X be a (discrete) right hand side variable with J discrete values. For each j value of  $X = x_j$ , calculate  $\hat{\pi}_{\tau}(x) = Q_{\tau}(Y|X_j)$ , which is the  $\tau$  percentile of the outcome variable, conditional on the value of X, and  $\hat{p}_j$ , which is the empirical probability of  $X = x_j$ . Do so using the PSID dataset for X = education, for  $\tau = (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9)$ 

(b) Given these inputs, the quantile regression slope estimates is just

$$\hat{\beta}_{\tau} = \arg\min_{b} \sum_{j} (\hat{\pi}_{\tau}(x_j) - x_j b)^2 \hat{p}_j.$$

This is a simple (weighted) linear regression (or minimum distance problem), with the diagonal weight matrix  $\hat{W} = diag(\hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_J)$ . Estimate  $\hat{\beta}_{\tau}$  for the education example above.

(c) Our variance estimator is the sum of two terms (coming from uncertainty in the QCF, and the estimation of the slope conditional on those terms), V and D:

$$V = (\mathbf{x}'\hat{W}\mathbf{x})^{-1} \mathbf{x}'\hat{W}\Sigma\hat{W}\mathbf{x} (\mathbf{x}'\hat{W}\mathbf{x})^{-1},$$

$$\Sigma = diag(\sigma_{\tau,1}^{2}/p_{1}, \dots, \sigma_{\tau,J}^{2}/p_{J})$$

$$D = (\mathbf{x}'\hat{W}\mathbf{x})^{-1} \mathbf{x}'\hat{W}\Delta\hat{W}\mathbf{x} (\mathbf{x}'\hat{W}\mathbf{x})^{-1},$$

$$\Delta = diag((\pi_{\tau,1} - x_{1}\beta_{\tau})^{2}/p_{1}, \dots, (\pi_{\tau,J} - x_{J}\beta_{\tau})^{2}/p_{J}).$$

Everything here should be straight forward to estimate, except for  $\sigma_{\tau,j}^2$ . To do this, define the following order statistics:

$$b_{j} = \max \left\{ 1, \text{ round } \left( \tau N_{j} - z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\tau (1-\tau) N_{j}} \right) \right\}$$
$$t_{j} = \min \left\{ N_{j}, \text{ round } \left( \tau N_{j} + z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\tau (1-\tau) N_{j}} \right) \right\},$$

where round(a) rounds to the closest integer, and  $z_{1-\alpha/2} = 1.96$ , typically, and  $N_j$  is the number of observations in the jth bin of X. Then,

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\tau,j}^2 = N_j \left( \frac{y_{j(t_j)} - y_{j(b_j)}}{2z_{1-\alpha}} \right)^2. \tag{1}$$

Report the standard error on your estimates, which is calculated as  $\sqrt{(V+D)/N}$ 

- (d) Finally, using the NSW dataset, calculate the  $\tau = (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9)$  treatment effects, and their standard errors.
- 2. **Poisson Regression**. This analysis will use the dataset Detroit.csv. You should use built-in pacakges for this in R, use the fixest library. In Stata, use the reghtfe and ppmlhdfe packages.
  - (a) Run an OLS regression of flows (the number of workers who work in home\_ID and work in work\_ID) on distance\_Google\_miles, and include home\_ID and work\_ID fixed effects (absorb them), and cluster on home\_ID. Report the coefficient and standard error on distance\_Google\_miles.

- (b) Run an OLS regression of log(flows) on log(distance\_Google\_miles) and include home\_ID and work\_ID fixed effects, omitting the cells with zero flows. Cluster on home\_ID. Report the coefficient and standard error on log(distance\_Google\_miles).
- (c) Repeat part 1b, but instead of omitting the zero cells, run the OLS regression of log(c + flows) for c = 0.1, 1 and 10. Compare how your coefficients change.
- (d) Finally, repeat part 1a using Poisson regression, and contrast the estimates to Part b and c.
- 3. Duration Modeling. This analysis will use the dataset acs\_duration.csv. The acs\_duration.csv dataset is from the American Community Survey in 2019, and has heads of households' responses to the question "How long have you lived in this home?" (moving\_approx in reality, this value is given as a range in the public data I have imputed using the midpoint. A fun exercise left to the reader is to think about how to generalize this problem using ranges.) and homeownership (homeowner vs. renter).
  - (a) Using the ACS data, write down how to estimate the unconditional probability that a household stays in a home for T or more years, using the available data. Estimate this for T=7 and report the value.
  - (b) Calculate the hazard rate for each observed value of moving\_approx. Report this value for T = 7.
  - (c) Recalculate these hazard values for T=7 for homeowners and renters. Contrast the difference in hazard rates over time.