

# Week Thirteen – Social Cost of Carbon

Andie Creel

31<sup>st</sup> August, 2023

## 1 Social Cost of Carbon

The DICE model started as a modeling exercise but really took off as *the* model. Keep in mind that it relies on Negishi weights which are the inverse of marginal utility of money. Negishi weights up-weight the rich and down-weights the poor because wealthy people tend to have a lower marginal utility for money.

$$SWF = \max_{c(t)} \sum_t U(C(t), P(t))(1 + \rho)^{-1} \quad (1)$$

$$U(C, P) = \frac{P(C^{1-\alpha} - 1)}{1 - \alpha} \quad (2)$$

$$r = \rho + \alpha g \quad (3)$$

Where  $C$  is consumption and  $P$  is population and  $\rho$  is the pure rate of social time preference,  $r$  is the discount rate. Not that ?? is the Ramsey rule, which we have seen before.

Production is written

$$Q(t) = \Omega(t)A(t)K(t)^\gamma P(t)^{1-\gamma} \quad (4)$$

$\gamma$  is the percent change in capital wrt change in capital.  $K$  is produced capital. The population is the labor supply. This is Cobb-Douglas form which means we've assumed constant returns to scale.  $A$  is the Solow residual *aka* total factor productivity.  $\Omega$  is where the climate effects enter.

$$C(t) = Q(t) - I(t) \quad (5)$$

The consumer is just production  $Q$  minus investment.

$$K(t) = (1 - \delta_K)K(t-1) + I(t) \quad (6)$$

where  $\delta_K$  is the depreciation of capital.

Emissions are written

$$E(t) = [1 - \mu(t)]\sigma(t)Q(t) \quad (7)$$

$\mu$  is the investment in emissions reduction.  $\sigma$  assumes no emissions control but assumes market powers will make it so that production is less emissions-intensive.

$$M(t) = \beta E(t) + (1 - \delta_M)M(t-1) \quad (8)$$

where  $M$  the stock of emissions in the atmosphere. Notice is=t works just like the capital stock.

$$F(t) = f(M(t)) \quad (9)$$

where  $F(t)$  is the effect of emissions on climate.

Nordhaus then creates a damage function

$$d(t) = 0.0133\left(\frac{T(t)}{3}\right)^2 Q(t) \quad (10)$$

damages don't change investment or the depreciation of capital. It does affect productivity

$$\Omega(t) = \frac{(1 - b_1)\mu(t)^{b_3}}{1 + d(t)} \quad (11)$$

Eli: we're over-investing in getting a damage function rather than figuring out how to have damages affect investment and depreciation.

$$\max_{C(t), \mu(t)} = \sum_t \frac{P(t)(C(t)^{1-\alpha} - 1)}{1 - \alpha} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \rho}\right)^t \quad (12)$$

Finally, we can plug loads of stuff in.

$$\Delta K = (1 - \delta_K)K(t-1) + \frac{(1 - b_1)\mu(t)^{b_3}}{1 + d(t)} A(t-1)K(t-1)^\gamma P(t-1)^\gamma - C(t-1)P(t-1) - K(t-1) \quad (13)$$

$$\Delta M = (1 - \delta_M)M(t-1) + \beta(1 - \mu(t-1))\sigma(t-1) \frac{(1 - b_1)\mu(t-1)^{b_2}}{1 + \delta(M(t-1))} A(t-1)K(t-1)^\gamma P(t-1)^{(1-\gamma)} - M(t) \quad (14)$$

$$H = \frac{P(t)(C(t)^{1-\alpha} - 1)}{1 - \alpha} \frac{1}{1 + \rho} + \lambda(t) \frac{1}{1 + \rho} \Delta K + \eta(t) \frac{1}{1 + \rho} \Delta M \quad (15)$$

?? is just a Hamiltonian with two stocks,  $K$  and  $M$ . He solved it using the expensive version of solver. Eli described this first DICE model as a term project on steroids.

## 2 EPA's "textbook" on SCC

Best methods available for calculating SCC: [https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2022-11/epa\\_scghg\\_report\\_draft\\_0.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2022-11/epa_scghg_report_draft_0.pdf)

As of April of 2023, it was still being peer-reviewed.