## Random Numbers

## **Chapter 3. Random Numbers**

Truly random generators can be seen in substance undergoing atomic decay. It occurs at random points in *time* independently.

**Problem**: Difficult to measure the time intervals and inputting those measurements into the computers. Thus a simulator is needed.

Truly random generators can be seen in substance undergoing atomic decay. It occurs at random points in *time* independently.

<u>Problem</u>: Difficult to measure the time intervals and inputting those measurements into the computers. Thus a simulator is needed.

**Notation**: X, random variable associated with certain distribution.

X = x, a realization of X.

**Note**: Random numbers (U(0,1)) or random variates (transformation of U(0,1)) simulate *realizations* of random variables.

- The building block of a simulation study is the ability to generate random numbers, where a random number represents the value of a random variable uniformly distributed on (0,1).
- In this chapter we explain how such numbers are computer generated and also begin to illustrate their uses.

- Mechanical approach:
  - Manual methods: Spinning wheels/ rolling dice/ shuffling cards, ...
    - Handy but slow and not reproducible!
    - Random number table: Reproducible.
      - Slow and easy to run out of table.
  - Mid-square method: Middle part of the square of the preceeding numbers.
    - Random? Terminated at zero!
- Modern approach: Computer.
  - VonNeumann and Ulam during World War II.

- Mechanical approach:
  - Manual methods: Spinning wheels/ rolling dice/ shuffling cards, ...
    - Handy but slow and not reproducible!
    - Random number table: Reproducible.
      - Slow and easy to run out of table.
  - Mid-square method: Middle part of the square of the preceeding numbers.
    - Random? Terminated at zero!
- Modern approach: Computer.
  - VonNeumann and Ulam during World War II.



- Mechanical approach:
  - Manual methods: Spinning wheels/ rolling dice/ shuffling cards, ...
    - Handy but slow and not reproducible!
    - Random number table: Reproducible.
      - Slow and easy to run out of table.
  - Mid-square method: Middle part of the square of the preceeding numbers.
    - Random? Terminated at zero!
- Modern approach: Computer.
  - VonNeumann and Ulam during World War II.



- Mechanical approach:
  - Manual methods: Spinning wheels/ rolling dice/ shuffling cards, ...
    - Handy but slow and not reproducible!
  - Random number table: Reproducible.
    - Slow and easy to run out of table.
  - Mid-square method: Middle part of the square of the preceeding numbers.
    - Random? Terminated at zero!
- Modern approach: Computer.
  - VonNeumann and Ulam during World War II.



- Mechanical approach:
  - Manual methods: Spinning wheels/ rolling dice/ shuffling cards, . . .
    - Handy but slow and not reproducible!
  - Random number table: Reproducible.
    - Slow and easy to run out of table.
  - Mid-square method: Middle part of the square of the preceeding numbers.

- Mechanical approach:
  - Manual methods: Spinning wheels/ rolling dice/ shuffling cards, . . .
    - Handy but slow and not reproducible!
  - Random number table: Reproducible.
    - Slow and easy to run out of table.
  - Mid-square method: Middle part of the square of the preceeding numbers.
    - Random? Terminated at zero!
- Modern approach: Computer.
  - VonNeumann and Ulam during World War II.

- Mechanical approach:
  - Manual methods: Spinning wheels/ rolling dice/ shuffling cards, . . .
    - Handy but slow and not reproducible!
  - Random number table: Reproducible.
    - Slow and easy to run out of table.
  - Mid-square method: Middle part of the square of the preceeding numbers.
    - Random? Terminated at zero!
- Modern approach: Computer.
  - VonNeumann and Ulam during World War II.

- Mechanical approach:
  - Manual methods: Spinning wheels/ rolling dice/ shuffling cards, . . .
    - Handy but slow and not reproducible!
    - Random number table: Reproducible.
      - Slow and easy to run out of table.
    - Mid-square method: Middle part of the square of the preceeding numbers.
      - Random? Terminated at zero!
- Modern approach: Computer.
  - VonNeumann and Ulam during World War II.

- **(0,1)** marginals;
- independent (long period)
- reproducible, but changeable
- fast
- portable;
- easy to implement.

- **(0,1)** marginals;
- independent (long period);
- reproducible, but changeable;
- fast
- portable;
- easy to implement.

- **(0,1)** marginals;
- independent (long period);
- reproducible, but changeable;
- fast
- portable;
- easy to implement.

- **(0,1)** marginals;
- independent (long period);
- reproducible, but changeable;
- fast;
- portable;
- easy to implement.

- **(0,1)** marginals;
- independent (long period);
- reproducible, but changeable;
- fast;
- portable;
- easy to implement.

- **(0,1)** marginals;
- independent (long period);
- reproducible, but changeable;
- fast;
- portable;
- easy to implement.

$$x_i = f(x_{i-1}, \dots, x_{i-k})$$
, multiple recursion.

- **Note**: 1. The number of previous numbers used, k, is called the order of the generator.
  - 2. The value at the **start** of the resursion is called the *seed*.
  - 3. Each time the recursion is begun with the same seed, the same sequence is generated. It's reproducible!



$$x_i = f(x_{i-1}, \dots, x_{i-k}),$$
 multiple recursion.

- **Note**: 1. The number of previous numbers used, k, is called the *order* of the generator.
  - 2. The value at the **start** of the resursion is called the **seed**.
  - 3. Each time the recursion is begun with the same seed the same sequence is generated. It's reproducible!



$$x_i = f(x_{i-1}, \dots, x_{i-k})$$
, multiple recursion.

- **Note**: 1. The number of previous numbers used, k, is called the *order* of the generator.
  - 2. The value at the **start** of the resursion is called the **seed**.
  - 3. Each time the recursion is begun with the same seed, the same sequence is generated. It's reproducible!



# Congruential Generator

(Lehmer (1951).)

**<u>Notation</u>**: a, b, c, k are integers, and  $c \neq 0$ .

 $a \equiv b \mod c$  if and only if b = ck + a, for some k and  $0 \le a < c$ .

$$X_i \equiv (aX_{i-1} + c) \mod m, i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots,$$

where a, c, m and  $X_0$  are integers.

Take 
$$U_i = X_i/m \in [0, 1), i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

- <u>Note</u>: 1. The *initial value*  $X_0$  is the **seed**. Here, order=1.
  - 2. c = 0 multiplicative congruential method.
  - 3.  $c \neq 0$  mixed congruential method.
  - 4.  $\{U_1, U_2, \ldots\}$  contains at most m distinct numbers



$$X_i \equiv (aX_{i-1} + c) \mod m, i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots,$$

where a, c, m and  $X_0$  are integers.

Take 
$$U_i = X_i/m \in [0, 1), i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

- **Note**: 1. The *initial value*  $X_0$  is the **seed**. Here, order=1.
  - 2. c = 0 multiplicative congruential method.
  - 3.  $c \neq 0$  mixed congruential method.
  - 4.  $\{U_1, U_2, \ldots\}$  contains at most m distinct numbers



$$X_i \equiv (aX_{i-1} + c) \mod m, i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots,$$

where a, c, m and  $X_0$  are integers.

Take 
$$U_i = X_i/m \in [0, 1), i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

**<u>Note</u>**: 1. The *initial value*  $X_0$  is the **seed**. Here, order=1.

- 2. c = 0 multiplicative congruential method.
- 3.  $c \neq 0$  mixed congruential method.
- 4.  $\{U_1, U_2, \ldots\}$  contains **at most** m distinct numbers



$$X_i \equiv (aX_{i-1}+c) \mod m, \ i=1,2,3,\ldots,$$

where a, c, m and  $X_0$  are integers.

Take 
$$U_i = X_i/m \in [0, 1), i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

- **<u>Note</u>**: 1. The *initial value*  $X_0$  is the **seed**. Here, order=1.
  - 2. c = 0 multiplicative congruential method.
  - 3.  $c \neq 0$  mixed congruential method.
  - 4.  $\{U_1, U_2, \ldots\}$  contains at most m distinct numbers



$$X_i \equiv (aX_{i-1} + c) \mod m, i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots,$$

where a, c, m and  $X_0$  are integers.

Take 
$$U_i = X_i/m \in [0, 1), i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

- **<u>Note</u>**: 1. The *initial value*  $X_0$  is the **seed**. Here, order=1.
  - 2. c = 0 multiplicative congruential method.
  - 3.  $c \neq 0$  mixed congruential method.
  - 4.  $\{U_1, U_2, \ldots\}$  contains at most m distinct numbers



**Ex**. 1. 
$$a = 2, c = 1, m = 8$$
, i.e.  $X_i \equiv 2X_{i-1} + 1 \mod 8$ .

i	0	1	2	3	4	•••
$X_i$	2					

**Ex**. 1. 
$$a = 2, c = 1, m = 8$$
, i.e.  $X_i \equiv 2X_{i-1} + 1 \mod 8$ .

		1		• • •
$X_i$	2	5		

**Ex**. 1. 
$$a = 2, c = 1, m = 8$$
, i.e.  $X_i \equiv 2X_{i-1} + 1 \mod 8$ .

i	0	1	2	3	4	•••
$X_i$	2	5	3	7	7	

**Ex**. 1. 
$$a = 2, c = 1, m = 8$$
, i.e.  $X_i \equiv 2X_{i-1} + 1 \mod 8$ .

i	0	1	2	3	4	
$X_i$	2	5	3	7	7	

**Ex**. 1. 
$$a = 2, c = 1, m = 8$$
, i.e.  $X_i \equiv 2X_{i-1} + 1 \mod 8$ .

i	0	1	2	3	4	
$X_i$	2	5	3	7	7	
$U_i$	.25	.625	.375	.875	.875	

**Ex**. 1. 
$$a = 5, c = 1, m = 8$$
, i.e.  $X_i \equiv 5X_{i-1} + 1 \mod 8$ .

"Full" period!

**Ex**. 1. 
$$a = 5, c = 1, m = 8$$
, i.e.  $X_i \equiv 5X_{i-1} + 1 \mod 8$ .

"Full" period

**Ex**. 1. 
$$a = 5, c = 1, m = 8$$
, i.e.  $X_i \equiv 5X_{i-1} + 1 \mod 8$ .

"Full" period!

**Ex**. 1. 
$$a = 5, c = 1, m = 8$$
, i.e.  $X_i \equiv 5X_{i-1} + 1 \mod 8$ .

**Ex**. 1. 
$$a = 5, c = 1, m = 8$$
, i.e.  $X_i \equiv 5X_{i-1} + 1 \mod 8$ .

**Ex**. 1. 
$$a = 5, c = 1, m = 8$$
, i.e.  $X_i \equiv 5X_{i-1} + 1 \mod 8$ .

**Ex**. 1. 
$$a = 5, c = 1, m = 8$$
, i.e.  $X_i \equiv 5X_{i-1} + 1 \mod 8$ .

**Ex**. 1. 
$$a = 5, c = 1, m = 8$$
, i.e.  $X_i \equiv 5X_{i-1} + 1 \mod 8$ .

**Ex**. 1. 
$$a = 5, c = 1, m = 8$$
, i.e.  $X_i \equiv 5X_{i-1} + 1 \mod 8$ .



### **Note**: a and m should be chosen such that

of being a sequence of  $\mathbf{independent}$   $\mathbf{uniform}$  (0,1) random variables.

1. For any initial seed, the resultant sequence has the "appearance"

- 2. For any initial seed, the number of variables that can be generated before repetition begins is large.
- 3. The values can be computed efficiently on a digital computer.

See Gentle (2003) or Rubinstein (1981) for details.

### **Note**: a and m should be chosen such that

of being a sequence of  $\operatorname{independent\ uniform\ }(0,1)$  random variables.

1. For any initial seed, the resultant sequence has the "appearance"

- 2. For any initial seed, the number of variables that can be generated before repetition begins is large.
- 3. The values can be computed efficiently on a digital computer.

See Gentle (2003) or Rubinstein (1981) for details.

### **Note**: a and m should be chosen such that

of being a sequence of  $\mbox{independent uniform}\ (0,1)$  random variables.

1. For any initial seed, the resultant sequence has the "appearance"

- 2. For any initial seed, the number of variables that can be generated before repetition begins is large.
- 3. The values can be computed efficiently on a digital computer.

See Gentle (2003) or Rubinstein (1981) for details.

# Suggestions: 1. For a 32-bit word machine,

$$m = 2^{31} - 1, a = 7^5 = 16807, c = 0.$$

2. For a 36-bit word machine,

$$m = 2^{35} - 31, a = 5^5, c = 0.$$

3. In IMSL,  $m = 2^{31} - 1$ , a = 16807, 397204094, 950706376 with

**CALL RNOPT(OPT)**, OPT=1, 3, 5, respectively.



We assume that we have a "black box" that gives a random number on request.

# Splus:

- Continuous uniform(a, b): runif(n, min=a, max=b),
   runif(10, 2, 4), runif(10, max=4), runif(10)
- Discrete uniform(m,n): m + floor((n-m+1)\*r) where  $r \sim U(0,1)$ .

# Applications |

One of the earliest applications of random numbers was in the computation of integral.



# Case 1. Integration.

$$\underline{\mathbf{Ex}}$$
. 1.  $\int_0^1 g(x)dx = ?$ 

**Recall**: (SLLN) If  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. random variables with pdf  $f(\cdot)$ , then

$$rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X_{i}})\longrightarrow\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X})], ext{ a.s.}, ext{ as } n
ightarrow\infty,$$

provided that  $E[g(X)] = \int g(x)f(x)dx$  exists

# Case 1. Integration.

$$\underline{\mathbf{Ex}}$$
. 1.  $\int_0^1 g(x) dx = ?$ 

**Recall**: (SLLN) If  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. random variables with pdf  $f(\cdot)$ , then

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X_i}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X})], \text{ a.s., } \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

provided that  $E[g(X)] = \int g(x)f(x)dx$  exists.

# Case 1. Integration.

$$\underline{\mathbf{Ex}}$$
. 1.  $\int_0^1 g(x) dx = ?$ 

**Recall**: (SLLN) If  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. random variables with pdf  $f(\cdot)$ , then

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}_{i})\longrightarrow\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X})],\text{ a.s., as }n\rightarrow\infty,$$

provided that  $E[g(X)] = \int g(x)f(x)dx$  exists.

$$\theta = \int_0^1 g(x)dx = \int_0^1 g(x) \cdot \mathbf{1} dx = \int g(x)\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) dx = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X})],$$

with  $X \sim U(0,1)$ 

$$\theta = \int_0^1 g(x)dx = \int_0^1 g(x) \cdot \mathbf{1} dx = \int g(x)f(x)dx = \mathbb{E}[g(X)],$$

with  $X \sim U(0,1)$ 

$$\theta = \int_0^1 g(x)dx = \int_0^1 g(x) \cdot \mathbf{1} dx = \int g(x)\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})dx = \mathbb{E}[g(\mathbf{X})],$$

with  $X \sim U(0,1)$ .

$$\theta = \int_0^1 g(x)dx = \int_0^1 g(x) \cdot \mathbf{1} dx = \int g(x)\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})dx = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X})],$$

with  $X \sim U(0,1)$ .

$$\theta = \int_0^1 g(x)dx = \int_0^1 g(x) \cdot \mathbf{1} dx = \int g(x)\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) dx = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X})],$$

with  $X \sim U(0, 1)$ .

- Algorithm: 1. Generate  $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n \sim U(0, 1)$ .
  - 2. Compute  $q(U_i), i = 1, 2, ..., n$ .

3. 
$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(U_i)$$
.

**Note**: This approach to approximating integrals is called the

Monte-Carlo approach.



# Splus code

```
to approximate \theta = \int_0^1 e^x dx:
a_runif(100000);
v_exp(a);
print("Approximate value: ");
mean(v);
print("True value: ");
print(exp(1)-1)
```

$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 2.  $\int_a^b g(x) dx = ?$ 

Method I: Here

$$\theta = \int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx = (b - a) \int_{a}^{b} g(x) \frac{1}{b - a} dx = (b - a)E[g(X)]$$

where  $X \sim U(a, b)$ 

So 
$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{b-a}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(X_i), \quad X_i \sim U(a,b)$$
 ???

$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 2.  $\int_a^b g(x) dx = ?$ 

### Method I: Here

$$\theta = \int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx = (b - a) \int_{a}^{b} g(x) \frac{1}{b - a} dx = (b - a)E[g(X)],$$

where  $X \sim U(a, b)$ .

So 
$$\hat{ heta} = rac{b-a}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i), \;\; X_i \sim U(a,b)$$
 ???

$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 2.  $\int_a^b g(x) dx = ?$ 

### Method I: Here

$$\theta = \int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx = (b - a) \int_{a}^{b} g(x) \frac{1}{b - a} dx = (b - a)E[g(X)],$$

where  $X \sim U(a, b)$ .

So 
$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{b-a}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(X_i), X_i \sim U(a,b)$$
 ???

**Recall**:  $U \sim U(0,1)$  then  $\mathbf{X} = a + (b-a)U \sim \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ .

Algorithm: 1. Generate  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \sim U(0, 1)$ .

2. Set 
$$X_i = a + (b - a)U_i$$
,  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ .

- 3. Compute  $g(X_i), i = 1, 2, ..., n$ .
- 4. Set  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n}(b-a)\sum_{i=1}^{n} g(X_i)$ .



**Recall**:  $U \sim U(0,1)$  then  $\mathbf{X} = a + (b-a)U \sim \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ .

**Algorithm**: 1. Generate  $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n \sim U(0, 1)$ .

- 2. Set  $X_i = a + (b a)U_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n.
- 3. Compute  $g(X_i), i = 1, 2, ..., n$ .
- 4. Set  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n}(b-a)\sum_{i=1}^{n} g(X_i)$ .



# Method II: Make a change of variables such that

$$\int_a^b g(x)dx = \int_0^1 h(y)dy = E[h(Y)],$$

with  $Y \sim U(0,1)$  for some h.

Consider y = (x - a)/(b - a) so dx = (b - a)dy and

$$h(y) = g(a + (b - a)y)(b - a)$$
. Thus

$$\theta = \int_0^1 g(a + (b - a)y)(b - a)dy = E[(b - a)g(a + (b - a)Y)],$$

where  $Y \sim U(0,1)$ .



# Method II: Make a change of variables such that

$$\int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx = \int_{0}^{1} h(y)dy = E[h(Y)],$$

with  $Y \sim U(0,1)$  for some h.

Consider 
$$y = (x - a)/(b - a)$$
 so  $dx = (b - a)dy$  and

$$h(y) = g(a + (b - a)y)(b - a)$$
. Thus

$$\theta = \int_0^1 g(a + (b - a)y)(b - a)dy = E[(b - a)g(a + (b - a)Y)],$$

where  $Y \sim U(0,1)$ .



# Method II: Make a change of variables such that

$$\int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx = \int_{0}^{1} h(y)dy = E[h(Y)],$$

with  $Y \sim U(0,1)$  for some h.

Consider y = (x - a)/(b - a) so dx = (b - a)dy and

$$h(y) = g(a + (b - a)y)(b - a)$$
. Thus

$$\theta = \int_0^1 g(a + (b - a)y)(b - a)dy = E[(b - a)g(a + (b - a)Y)],$$

where  $Y \sim U(0,1)$ .



- **Algorithm**: 1. Generate  $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n \sim U(0, 1)$ .
  - 2. Set  $h(U_i) = (b-a)g(a+(b-a)U_i), i = 1, ..., n$ .

3. Set 
$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(U_i)$$
.



$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 3.  $\int_0^\infty g(x)dx = ?$ 

$$h(y) = \frac{g(\frac{1}{y} - 1)}{y^2}.$$

Ex. 4. 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} g(x) dx = 0$$

Consider 
$$y = 1/(1-x)$$
 s.t.  $\theta = \int_0^1 h(y)dy$ , for some  $h$ 

$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 5.  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx = ?$ 

Note that 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{0} g(x)dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x)dx$$
.



$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 3.  $\int_0^\infty g(x)dx = ?$ 

$$h(y) = \frac{g(\frac{1}{y} - 1)}{y^2}.$$

Ex. 4. 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} g(x) dx = 0$$

Consider 
$$y = 1/(1-x)$$
 s.t.  $\theta = \int_0^1 h(y) dy$ , for some  $h$ 

$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 5.  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx = ?$ 

Note that 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{0} g(x)dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x)dx$$



$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 3.  $\int_0^\infty g(x)dx = ?$ 

$$h(y) = \frac{g(\frac{1}{y} - 1)}{y^2}.$$

$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 4.  $\int_{-\infty}^{0} g(x) dx = ?$ 

Consider y = 1/(1-x) s.t.  $\theta = \int_0^1 h(y)dy$ , for some h

$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 5.  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx = ?$ 

Note that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{0} g(x)dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x)dx$ 



$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 3.  $\int_0^\infty g(x)dx = ?$ 

$$h(y) = \frac{g(\frac{1}{y} - 1)}{y^2}.$$

$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 4.  $\int_{-\infty}^{0} g(x) dx = ?$ 

Consider 
$$y = 1/(1-x)$$
 s.t.  $\theta = \int_0^1 h(y)dy$ , for some  $h$ .

$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 5.  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx = ?$ 

Note that 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{0} g(x)dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x)dx$$

$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 3.  $\int_0^\infty g(x)dx = ?$ 

$$h(y) = \frac{g(\frac{1}{y} - 1)}{y^2}.$$

$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 4.  $\int_{-\infty}^{0} g(x) dx = ?$ 

Consider 
$$y = 1/(1-x)$$
 s.t.  $\theta = \int_0^1 h(y)dy$ , for some  $h$ .

$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 5.  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx = ?$ 

Note that 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{0} g(x)dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x)dx$$



$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 3.  $\int_0^{\infty} g(x) dx = ?$ 

Consider y = 1/(1+x) s.t.  $\theta = \int_0^1 h(y)dy$ , where

$$h(y) = \frac{g(\frac{1}{y} - 1)}{y^2}.$$

$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 4.  $\int_{-\infty}^{0} g(x) dx = ?$ 

Consider 
$$y = 1/(1-x)$$
 s.t.  $\theta = \int_0^1 h(y)dy$ , for some  $h$ .

$$\underline{\mathsf{Ex}}$$
. 5.  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx = ?$ 

Note that 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{0} g(x)dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x)dx$$
.

4 m x 4 m x 4 m x 4 m x 1 m x

П

we can use

Similarly, for  $\theta = \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 g(x_1, \dots, x_k) dx_1 \dots dx_k = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{X})]$ , where  $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k) \sim U[0, 1]^k$ , or  $X_1, \dots, X_k \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} U(0, 1)$ ,

$$\hat{ heta} = rac{1}{n} \sum_{\mathbf{j}=1}^{n} \mathbf{g}(X_{\mathbf{j}}) = rac{1}{n} \sum_{\mathbf{j}=1}^{n} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{j}}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{j}}),$$

where 
$$X_i^j \sim U(0,1)$$
, for  $i = 1, ..., k, j = 1, ..., n$ .



## **Case 2. Estimating Probabilities.**

Ex. The estimation of  $\pi$ .

 $\pi =$  Area of a circle of radius 1.

i.e. Area of 
$$A = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \le 1\}$$
.

### Case 2. Estimating Probabilities.

Ex. The estimation of  $\pi$ .

 $\pi =$  Area of a circle of radius 1.

i.e. Area of 
$$A = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \le 1\}.$$

Let  $\Omega = [-1,1] \times [-1,1]$  and (X,Y) be a point randomly selected within  $\Omega$ , then

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1/4 & \text{if } -1 \le x,y \le 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = f_X(x) \cdot f_Y(y)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{(-1,1)}(x) \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{(-1,1)}(y).$$

That is,  $X,Y\overset{\mathrm{i.i.d.}}{\sim}U(-1,1)$ , or (X,Y) is uniformly distributed over  $\Omega$ .

Let  $\Omega = [-1,1] \times [-1,1]$  and (X,Y) be a point randomly selected within  $\Omega$ , then

$$\begin{array}{lcl} f_{X,Y}(x,y) & = & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1/4 & \text{if } -1 \leq x,y \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ & = & \left. \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{(-1,1)}(x) \, \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{(-1,1)}(y). \end{array} \right.$$

That is,  $X,Y\overset{ ext{i.i.d.}}{\sim} U(-1,1)$ , or (X,Y) is uniformly distributed over  $\Omega.$ 

Let  $\Omega = [-1,1] \times [-1,1]$  and (X,Y) be a point randomly selected within  $\Omega$ , then

$$\begin{array}{lcl} f_{X,Y}(x,y) & = & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1/4 & \text{if } -1 \leq x,y \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ & = & \left. \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{(-1,1)}(x) \, \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{(-1,1)}(y). \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

That is,  $X, Y \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} U(-1, 1)$ , or (X, Y) is uniformly distributed over  $\Omega$ .

Consider  $A=\{(x,y): x^2+y^2\leq 1,\}\subset \Omega.$  Then  $|A|=\pi$  and  $|\Omega|=4.$  Thus,

$$P((X,Y) \in A) = |A|/|\Omega| = \pi/4.$$

Consider  $A=\{(x,y): x^2+y^2\leq 1,\}\subset \Omega.$  Then  $|A|=\pi$  and  $|\Omega|=4.$  Thus,

$$P((X,Y) \in A) = |A|/|\Omega| = \pi/4.$$

## $\underline{\mathbf{Q}}$ : How to estimate $\theta = P((X,Y) \in A)$ ?

**Answer**: Let  $Z = \mathbf{1}_A(X, Y)$ , an indicator random variable. Then

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{P}((\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \in \mathbf{A}) = \theta.$$

Hence, if  $Z_1,\ldots,Z_n \overset{\mathrm{i.i.d.}}{\sim} Z$ , we have

$$\hat{ heta} = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{Z}_i \longrightarrow heta, \; \mathsf{a.s., \; as} \; n 
ightarrow \infty$$

 $\underline{\mathbf{Q}}$ : How to estimate  $\theta = P((X,Y) \in A)$ ?

**Answer**: Let  $Z = \mathbf{1}_A(X, Y)$ , an indicator random variable. Then

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{P}((\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \in \mathbf{A}) = \theta.$$

Hence, if  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} Z$ , we have

$$\hat{ heta} = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{Z}_{i} \longrightarrow heta, \text{ a.s., as } n 
ightarrow \infty$$

 $\underline{\mathbf{Q}}$ : How to estimate  $\theta = P((X,Y) \in A)$ ?

**Answer**: Let  $Z = \mathbf{1}_A(X, Y)$ , an indicator random variable. Then

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{P}((\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \in \mathbf{A}) = \theta.$$

Hence, if  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} Z$ , we have

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{Z}_{i} \longrightarrow \theta$$
, a.s., as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Algorithm**: 1. Generate  $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} U(-1, 1)^2$ .

$$(X_i = -1 + 2U_i, Y_i = -1 + 2U'_i, i = 1, \dots, n.)$$

- 2. If  $X_i^2 + Y_i^2 \le 1$ , set  $Z_i = 1$ ; otherwise, set  $Z_i = 0$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .
- 3. Set  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_i$ .
- 4. Set  $\hat{\pi} = 4 \cdot \hat{\theta}$

# Splus code

```
estimatePI_function(n){
u1_runif(n,-1,1);
u2_runif(n,-1,1);
s2_u1 \land 2+u2 \land 2;
cat("Esimate of PI: ", length(s2[s2<1])/n,"\n");
n_100000;
estimatePI(n);
```