

A Mitochondrial Stratigraphy for Island Southeast Asia

Catherine Hill, Pedro Soares, Maru Mormina, Vincent Macaulay, Dougie Clarke, Petya B. Blumbach, Matthieu Vizuete-Forster, Peter Forster, David Bulbeck, Stephen Oppenheimer, and Martin Richards

Island Southeast Asia (ISEA) was first colonized by modern humans at least 45,000 years ago, but the extent to which the modern inhabitants trace their ancestry to the first settlers is a matter of debate. It is widely held, in both archaeology and linguistics, that they are largely descended from a second wave of dispersal, proto-Austronesian-speaking agriculturalists who originated in China and spread to Taiwan ~5,500 years ago. From there, they are thought to have dispersed into ISEA ~4,000 years ago, assimilating the indigenous populations. Here, we demonstrate that mitochondrial DNA diversity in the region is extremely high and includes a large number of indigenous clades. Only a fraction of these date back to the time of first settlement, and the majority appear to mark dispersals in the late-Pleistocene or early-Holocene epoch most likely triggered by postglacial flooding. There are much closer genetic links to Taiwan than to the mainland, but most of these probably predated the mid-Holocene “Out of Taiwan” event as traditionally envisioned. Only ~20% at most of modern mitochondrial DNAs in ISEA could be linked to such an event, suggesting that, if an agriculturalist migration did take place, it was demographically minor, at least with regard to the involvement of women.

Island Southeast Asia (ISEA), the area encompassed by modern Indonesia, East Malaysia, and the Philippines, was colonized by modern humans at least 45,000 years ago¹ and possibly >50,000 years ago.² At that time, the region was split between the Pleistocene continent of Sunda, which stretched from Sumatra to Bali and Palawan, and Wallacea, which included the islands east of Wallace’s line (fig. 1). The Sunda shelf was flooded when sea levels rose in the early-Holocene epoch, spurring the development of maritime exchange between populations on the remnant Sunda islands (especially Borneo and Palawan) and populations in Wallacea.^{4–6} However, despite this evidence for a dynamic population history in early ISEA, paleoanthropologists tend to classify all early human remains in the region as “Australo-Melanesian” (i.e., related to the indigenous people of Australia and New Guinea) and argue for a mid-Holocene immigration of the ancestors of most of the present-day inhabitants.⁴

The primary justification for a two-tier population history in ISEA is historical linguistics. Almost all indigenous populations in the region speak languages belonging to the Malayo-Polynesian branch of Austronesian, also found in the Pacific and Madagascar, whereas the other nine primary branches of Austronesian (the Formosan languages) are spoken only by aboriginal Taiwanese.⁷ This is interpreted as implying that the Austronesian languages must have developed in Taiwan and spread, by sea, from there to across their current distribution, with the various branches of Malayo-Polynesian separating along the voyage,⁸ a model known as “Out of Taiwan.”

In the 1970s, archaeologists began to draw a direct relation between the dispersal of early Austronesian languages and the onset of the Neolithic period in ISEA (and in the Pacific outside of New Guinea). Documentation of the prehistory of ISEA was (and, to an extent, remains) meager,^{4,9} but several sites have yielded preceramic sequences followed by the introduction of pottery at a mid-Holocene date. The appearance of pottery was used as a proxy for the arrival of the Taiwanese “Austronesians,” whose agricultural subsistence allowed them to supplant or assimilate the indigenous Australo-Melanesian foragers.¹⁰ Subsequent archaeological work in China, however, demonstrated the establishment of large settlements dependent on rice agriculture during the early Holocene. Archaeological comparisons suggested that the earliest Neolithic cultures in Taiwan resemble earlier cultures found in South China and also have parallels to Neolithic sites found in the Philippines. According to the model most popular among ISEA archaeologists today, rice agriculturalists in South China dispersed into Taiwan ~5,500 years ago, where they developed the Austronesian languages before expanding again into the Philippines and the rest of ISEA ~4,000 years ago.^{4,11}

Vigorous debate continues among archaeologists, however, as to how the evidence should be interpreted. Although the model allows for the assimilation of indigenous populations, the extent of any assimilation cannot be assessed from the archaeological record.¹² Moreover, the archaeobotanic evidence in ISEA seems not to fit the simple rice-fueled Out of Taiwan model, placing the mo-

From the Institute of Integrative and Comparative Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom (C.H.; P.S.; M.M.; M.R.); Department of Statistics, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom (V.M.); Department of Chemical and Biological Sciences, University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield, United Kingdom (C.H.; D.C.); McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom (P.B.B.; M.V.-F.; P.F.); School of Archaeology and Anthropology, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia (D.B.); and Department of Anthropology, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom (S.O.)

Received July 28, 2006; accepted for publication October 25, 2006; electronically published November 16, 2006.

Address for correspondence and reprints: Dr. Martin Richards, Institute of Integrative and Comparative Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, L. C. Miall Building, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, United Kingdom. E-mail: m.b.richards@leeds.ac.uk
Am. J. Hum. Genet. 2007;80:29–43. © 2006 by The American Society of Human Genetics. All rights reserved. 0002-9297/2007/8001-0005\$15.00



Figure 1. Map of Taiwan and Southeast Asia, showing both modern coastlines (darker shading) and the 120-m depth contour below sea level (lighter shading), indicating the extent of Sundaland at the Last Glacial Maximum. Sampling locations or populations are indicated by bold circles and are labeled with short codes if they were from a specific location or population. Taiwan: ATA = Atayal; BUN = Bunun; AMI = Ami; PAI = Paiwan. ISEA: MED = Medan; PAD = Padang; PEK = Pekanbaru; PLB = Palembang; BGK = Bangka; KK = Kota Kinabalu; BAN = Banjarmasin; MND = Manado; PAL = Palu; TOR = Toraja; UJP = Ujung Padang; MTR = Mataram; WAI = Waingapu. Map outline kindly provided by H. Voris and C. Simpson, Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago.³

tive force for the dispersal in question.^{13–15} South of northern Luzon, the only possible evidence for Neolithic (pre-Iron Age) rice in ISEA is restricted to western Borneo,¹⁶ where it predates the supposed Austronesian arrival. Generally speaking, the Neolithic of ISEA is characterized by tremendous local diversity, rather than a uniform dispersal package,¹⁷ and it has even been suggested that Taiwan received some aspects of its Neolithic technology from the mainland and some from ISEA.¹⁸

The model, therefore, relies heavily on the linguistic argument—but this is not quite as powerful as often assumed. The Austronesian languages may indeed be most diverse in Taiwan, but assigning a root to the language tree is still not straightforward. Since the reconstructed tree is starlike, with nine Formosan branches and one Malayo-Polynesian branch,⁷ the root could be anywhere within Taiwan or ISEA. Indeed, some linguists cluster the Philippine languages with those of Taiwan,¹⁹ and recent attempts to apply phylogenetic methodologies from biology to the linguistic data have only suggested a root somewhere within the general area of Taiwan, the Philippines, and Borneo.²⁰ A root in ISEA would mesh better with alternative views of the archaeological evidence that place ISEA at the center of population dispersals and Tai-

wan at the periphery—with only sporadic mainland influence—and with the languages most likely emerging during the Holocene within “Austronesia”¹⁸ itself. This would imply an early offshoot to Taiwan and subsequent leveling of language diversity across the Malayo-Polynesian area as a result of the formation of extensive socioeconomic networks.^{5,6,18}

The debates reviewed above can be tested with genetic data. The Out of Taiwan model would predict that at least some ISEA lineages should trace back to ancestral populations in Taiwan and, ultimately, South China, with the age of those ISEA-specific lineage clusters being no more than ~4,000 years ago. Earlier dispersals, perhaps stimulated by climate change and sea-level rise, as suggested in several alternative models,^{5,6,18} would, in contrast, predict dispersals centered on ISEA and dating to the late Pleistocene or early Holocene. If several distinct dispersal processes shaped the genetic variation in the region, their signatures should each be evident in the genetic record.

A number of genetic studies have already attempted to address the question of a putative Austronesian expansion, but most mtDNA studies to date have focused on Pacific islanders, with little work on the potential source populations. Because of this and because most studies only examined the fast-evolving first hypervariable segment of the control region (HVS-I), firm conclusions have been elusive. Indeed, the existing mtDNA data have been used both to support^{21–26} and to contradict^{27–29} the Out of Taiwan model. Better sampling in Southeast Asia has been achieved in a number of Y-chromosome studies,^{30–34} and various partitions of the data into Neolithic and pre-Neolithic have been suggested. However, different studies with similar data sets have failed to agree on how the data should be partitioned. This is a consequence of poor phylogenetic resolution—insufficient markers to allow reconstruction of the main branches of the genealogical history. This, in turn, results in poor phylogeographic resolution, since branches of the tree that may be distributed differently in space cannot be distinguished. The use of more markers will be necessary before the Y-chromosome contribution to the debate can be clarified.

In the meantime, we have addressed the poor quality of previous mtDNA data, both by sampling almost 1,000 individuals from locations throughout ISEA and by analyzing the samples at a higher resolution than done previously, by including coding-region as well as control-region variants gleaned from complete sequence data. This dramatically improved data set substantiates recent suggestions that major rethinking is needed with regard to the prehistory of the region.

Material and Methods

Subjects

Sampling locations are shown in figure 1. A total of 929 anonymous, unlinked DNA samples from across ISEA and Taiwan were analyzed and comprised 180 Sumatrans (42 from Medan, 24 from Padang, 52 from Pekanbaru, 28 from Palembang, and 34 from

Bangka—previously published by Hill et al.³⁵), 46 Javanese (36 Tengger, 1 from Yogyakarta, 1 from Banjumas, 1 from Garut, 1 from Jakarta, 1 from Probolinggo, 1 from Semarang, 3 from Solo, and 1 from Wonogiri), 157 individuals from Borneo (68 from Kota Kinabalu and 89 from Banjarmasin), 2 individuals from Bajawa in Flores, 82 Balinese (including 67 from Denpasar, 3 from Gianyar, 1 from Nusa Dua, 1 from Semarapura, 4 from Singaraja, 2 from Tabanan, and 2 from Ubud), 44 individuals from Mataram in Lombok, 237 individuals from Sulawesi (46 from Ujung Padang, 38 from Palu, 89 from Manado, and 64 Toraja), 50 individuals from Waingapu in Sumba, 43 individuals from Ambon, 45 individuals from Alor, 61 Filipinos, and 78 Taiwanese aboriginals (21 Ami, 18 Atayal, 18 Bunun, and 21 Paiwan—data updated from Sykes et al.²⁴ and Melton et al.³⁶). All were provided by the Medical Research Council Molecular Haematology Unit, University of Oxford, except for the non-Tengger Javanese samples, three of the Denpasar samples, and the samples from other locations in Bali. The study was approved by the University of Huddersfield Ethics Committee.

Comparative data were taken from the literature, mostly comprising HVS-I sequence data, often with only the 9-bp deletion in the COII/tRNA^{Lys} intragenic region included in addition (with a few invaluable exceptions^{37–41}). The data used included samples from Thailand, Malaysia, Taiwanese aboriginals, the Philippines, Sabah, East Indonesia, Papua New Guinea, Pacific Islanders, the Nicobars, Taiwanese Han, Hong Kong Han, China, Japan, Mongolia, Korea, and Central Asia and the authors' unpublished data from Singapore, West Papua, and Burmese Moken.^{22,24,25,36–59}

Sequencing and RFLP Typing

HVS-I (minimum length sequenced was nucleotide positions (np) 16080–16370; maximum length sequenced np 15996–16569; average length sequenced np 16020–16500) was sequenced in all samples, and HVS-II (minimum length sequenced np 130–400; maximum length sequenced np 40–429; average length sequenced np 50–420) was also sequenced in selected samples, as described elsewhere.^{40,60} The samples from Medan and Pekanbaru were sequenced by the University of Dundee sequencing service, by use of an ABI 3700 sequencer; all other samples were sequenced at the University of Huddersfield, by use of a Beckman-Coulter CEQ8000 sequencer, except for the 30 done at Cambridge, which were sequenced using an ABI 3100 sequencer. Sequences were aligned to the revised Cambridge Reference Sequence (CRS)⁶¹ and were read by two people; any unusual mutations (e.g., transversions or transitions at sites with a low relative mutation rate) were rechecked. Approximately 10% of the samples were resequenced, to act as quality checks or controls. The sequences were also checked phylogenetically for sites between np 16051 and np 16365,⁶² and anomalous samples were resequenced. RFLP screening was used to resolve haplogroup status in a hierarchical fashion, as follows: haplogroups M (+10397 *AluI*; +10394 *DdeI*), N (–10397 *AluI*; –10394 *DdeI*), M7 (+9824 *HinfI*), D (–5176 *AluI*), E (–7598 *HhaI*), G (+4830 *HhaI*), P (+15606 *AluI*), U (+12308 *HinfI*), and I (+10032 *AluI*). Haplogroup B affiliation was checked by screening for the 9-bp deletion in the COII/tRNA^{Lys} region,⁴⁵ haplogroup F affiliation by sequencing position 10310 within the fragment 10270–10991, and macrohaplogroup N affiliation by sequencing position 8701 within the fragment 8196–9163. All haplogroup E samples with the control-region transition at position 16051 were checked by sequencing across the position 8730.

Phylogenetic, Phylogeographic, and Population Analyses

Reduced median networks⁶³ were constructed for each haplogroup by use of the package Network 4.1 (Fluxus-engineering.com). The diversity and the time to the most recent common ancestor of a putative monophyletic lineage cluster was estimated using the statistic ρ , calibrated using a mutation rate of 1 transition every 20,180 years in the region from np 16090 to np 16365.^{64,65} Inferences concerning the dispersal of particular lineages were made by applying a founder analysis, by use of the *fi* criterion to help control for the effects of back-migration.⁶⁰ Thus, interpretations of the time depth of lineages within a particular region (in this case, Taiwan and/or ISEA) were made on the basis of the distribution of the clade in its potential source region—in this case, the Chinese and/or Southeast Asian mainland. If a certain clade is unique to ISEA, the time depth indicates the minimum age of the lineages within that region. If it derives from a single founder type (matching a type or node in the source phylogeny), with a diversity similar to or reduced with respect to the potential source, the interpretation would be a dispersal from the source around the time of the coalescence of the clade within ISEA. If there were multiple founders, then the time of dispersal would be inferred from the time estimate back to subclades with a single founder type. Haplogroup nomenclature followed Kong et al.⁶⁶ as much as possible.

Intragroup haplotype diversity was estimated by $1 - \sum_i x_i^2$, where x_i is the relative frequency in the sample of the *i*th haplotype,⁶⁷ for haplotypes defined between np 16090 and np 16365. Principal component (PC) analysis was used to visualize haplogroup frequency profiles.⁶⁸ HVS-I data alone cannot always be resolved clearly into mtDNA haplogroups and, therefore, were not included in the PC analysis, although, in many cases, sufficient motif information was present to include them in phylogenetic analyses of particular haplogroups or subclades. Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA), computed with the package Arlequin,⁶⁹ was used to detect and quantify differences between populations. Frequency distributions were displayed using the Kriging algorithm of Surfer 8, combining the population samples into regions, to ensure sample sizes were adequate.

Results

The samples fall into 56 named haplogroups or paragroups (the latter paraphyletic groupings including unclassified lineages within a clade and are marked with an asterisk [*]), all within the three principal non-African haplogroups: M, N, and R (table 1) (GenBank). The phylogenetic relationships between the main known East Eurasian haplogroups and those found in ISEA are shown in figure 2A and 2B. Table 2 shows the haplogroup distribution within the ISEA populations, and table 3 shows age estimates of the main founder clusters within ISEA (indicating likely dispersal and/or arrival times), alongside the overall age of the clade to which they belong. Several new haplogroups and subhaplogroups are defined for the first time

Table 1. Distribution of HVS-I Sequences and RFLP Diagnostic Markers in ISEA and Taiwan

The table is available in its entirety in the online edition of *The American Journal of Human Genetics*.

eastern populations to contain haplogroups N9a6 and Y2, which could be due to recent arrivals from the west or north. The Taiwanese are outliers in both PCs, whereas the Filipinos are found at the western extreme of PC2 (14.1%) but just within the eastern part of PC1 (15.9%). The pattern was generally robust to a coarser haplotype classification. When Chinese data are included, Taiwan clusters closely with ISEA in PC1 (20.2%) and is clearly distinct from South China, albeit marginally closer than ISEA (data not shown; see also the work of Trejaut et al.⁴¹).

AMOVA showed that the east-west patterning seen in the PC analysis is small but significant. When the populations were split into two groups relative to Wallace's line, the difference between the two groups was found to be 0.17% of the total variation ($P = .0186$). This difference was even more significant when a central group (made up of the populations from Java, Borneo, Bali, and Mataram) was separated from the others; in this case, the difference between the three groups was 0.26% of the total variation ($P = .0039$). However, no significant difference was found when the populations were separated according to language. The difference between those groups that speak western Malayo-Polynesian languages and those that speak central Malayo-Polynesian languages was found to represent 0.06% of the total variation ($P = .2893$). However, all but two of the groups studied speak western Malayo-Polynesian languages (the exceptions are those from Alor and Waingapu).

Phylogeography of Lineages within Macrohaplogroup M

The most common entirely indigenous haplogroup in ISEA, at ~14%, is haplogroup E (figs. 4 and 5A). It is also common in Taiwanese aboriginals but is almost absent in China, although its likely sister clade, M9a, is found on the East Asian mainland. It is also virtually absent in the Pacific, so that—although it is largely restricted to Austronesian speakers—it does not span their entire distribution. There are two major subclades: E1 (comprising E1a and E1b) and E2.⁴¹ E1a is almost entirely restricted to Taiwan and ISEA. E1b is predominantly found in ISEA but is absent in Taiwan. Haplogroup E as a whole dates to ~25,000 years ago, with subclades ranging from ~6,000 to 16,000 years ago.

Haplogroup M7c1c, dating to 8,000 years ago, is also virtually absent from the mainland and is common throughout Taiwan aboriginals and ISEA (figs. 5B and 6). It makes up ~8% of the sample and, like haplogroup E, is almost exclusively Austronesian in its distribution (although it does not extend into the Pacific farther than a few instances in Fiji and Micronesia). Other M7 subclades probably have a mainland origin (although M7b3 is largely restricted to Taiwan) and total <4% in ISEA.

Haplogroup D5 is found at ~3% overall in ISEA, although it reaches >10% in some parts of Sulawesi (fig. 5C). There is a distinct subclade, D5d1, which dates to ~4,000

years ago in ISEA and belongs to a larger clade (D5d) with a mainland Chinese origin ~12,000 years ago.

The only other branch of macrohaplogroup M to be found at relatively high levels in ISEA is haplogroup Q. This haplogroup is predominantly found in New Guinea and Near Oceania and has recently been found at low levels in Remote Oceania.²⁶ It falls into three major subclades,⁷² all of which occur in ISEA. The highest levels of the haplogroup in ISEA are found in Alor (~30%) and Ambon (~12%), with far fewer examples elsewhere, although it has been found as far west as Borneo. More data from New Guinea would be needed to assess the time depth of these lineages in Indonesia.

The remaining M types appear largely unrelated to any lineages found elsewhere in the world. At least three new basal M haplogroups can be tentatively identified: M45 and M46, with local time depths of ~40,000–70,000 years ago, and the very rare M47. In addition, a novel branch of M21, M21d (previously found concentrated in the aboriginal inhabitants of Malaysia^{35,73}), has been found in several individuals in ISEA, the Malay Peninsula, and South China and also occurs at high frequency among the Austronesian-speaking Moken “sea-gypsies” of Burma. All other unclassified haplogroup M* types found in ISEA appear to be only very distantly related to each other, and their shared root dates to 58,900 ($\pm 13,600$) years ago. Although the HVS-I dating is particularly imprecise in these cases, it seems likely that all these novel lineages are very ancient within ISEA.

Phylogeography of Lineages within Macrohaplogroup N

One of the most common haplogroups in ISEA is haplogroup B, which falls into two main clades, B4 and B5, although the unity of haplogroup B remains conjectural, since it is defined solely by a recurrent 9-bp deletion and a fast transition at an HVS-I site. The majority of B lineages in ISEA fall within haplogroup B4a, which is most frequent among Taiwanese aboriginals and in the Philippines. B4a includes the “Polynesian motif” (now classified as the root type within B4a1a1, formerly B4a1⁶⁶), which approaches fixation in Remote Oceania.^{22,27}

However, only the root type of B4a and one derived type (which may have been generated by recurrent mutation) are shared between ISEA and Taiwan. In fact, most Taiwanese B4a lineages sit on a separate branch (B4a2a⁴¹), which has only been found elsewhere in two individuals from South China and which dates to 19,600 ($\pm 13,100$) years ago. Lineages within B4a date to ~15,000 years ago in ISEA. Despite the extensive sampling of ISEA, only 19 individuals were found to belong to haplogroup B4a1a1 and hence share the Polynesian motif. These types were found as far west as Kalimantan and Lombok but are most common in Ambon and Sulawesi. In contrast to the high diversity of B4a1a1 in the eastern Indonesian data of Redd et al.,²² all but two of the types found in this study were the root type, with an age of ~6,000 years ago in ISEA.

Table 2. Haplogroup Frequencies in China and Southeast Asia

Haplogroup	NW China ^a	NE China ^b	SW China ^c	SE China ^d	Thailand	Melayu Malays	Orang Asli	Taiwan	Philippines	Sumatra	Borneo	Java	Bali	Lombok	Sumba	Sulawesi	Alor	Ambon	Total ISEA
B*	.2	.5	.8	.5	.4	...	1.2	3.9	...	2.249
B4*	1.2	2.1	2.8	2.0	3.78	.46	...	1.243
B4a*	1.0	3.4	6.5	6.1	5.3	.9	...	9.2	11.3	6.1	7.0	2.2	2.4	4.5	2.0	5.1	...	9.3	5.5
B4a1a1	1.3	2.3	...	3.8	2.2	14.0	2.0
B4a22	.3	.2	11.5
B4b1	2.0	2.7	1.8	3.19	...	6.6	1.6	.6	1.98	6.6	4.7	.9
B4c1b	.2	2.1	.3	1.6	...	2.7	...	3.3	...	4.4	1.9	2.2	6.0	3.8	3.0
B4c28	1.0	2.3	2.8	2.2	5.7	...	7.28	2.2
B5a	2.2	3.4	6.8	4.9	10.5	9.2	.8	5.1	...	3.9	4.5	2.2	4.8	6.8	...	2.5	4.4	7.0	3.5
B5b	1.0	1.4	.6	2.69	5.8	...	9.7	2.2	1.3	2.3	...	2.5	2.0
C	4.7	4.3	5.7	5.2	3.6	.9	1.3	2.2	...	2.345
D*	9.9	12.6	4.9	6.3	4.026	2.5	...	4.7	.9
D4	3.2	3.4	4.6	4.7	.49	2.21
D5	3.0	7.1	2.6	5.6	1.6	.9	...	4.1	4.5	...	1.2	2.3	...	8.4	...	2.3	3.2
E1*	.21	.2	2.46	.6	...	1.2	2.1	...	7.0	...
E1a9	...	6.2	8.1	3.3	8.9	...	3.6	2.3	2.0	17.7	6.6	4.7	8.0
E1b	3.7	2.2	1.9	2.2	1.2	6.8	12.0	3.8	4.4	...	3.0
E29	...	3.1	1.6	.6	3.2	2.0	2.9	...	4.7	...
F*	.7	1.4	.6	2.4	2.5	...	1.5	2.36
F1a*	1.0	2.1	4.9	2.9	4.7	3.82	1.6	4.4	.6	2.8	6.0	9.1	2.0	1.7	2.2	...	2.8
F1a1*	2.5	2.5	1.9	3.3	1.2	3.7	...	2.5	1.3	2.2	4.8	...	2.0	.49
F1a1a	.7	.2	3.7	2.7	8.9	8.3	10.9	5.0	.6	4.4	2.4	9.1	4.0	1.3	2.2	2.3	2.6
F1a32	1.97	8.2	1.1	1.9	5.6	...	2.2	4.0	1.7	...	7.0	2.3
F1a42	.257	...	1.1	1.9	2.3	6.0	5.1	6.7	4.7	2.9
F1a5	1.7	...	13.04	1.0
F1b	2.0	2.7	2.8	3.3	.841
F2	1.0	1.8	2.9	1.9	2.45
F3a	.7	.9	1.1	1.4	1.6	.9
F3b012	7.1	19.0	...	5.7	2.0	2.5
F4	.228	11.8	...	1.79
I61
M*	11.1	4.1	8.0	7.0	4.7	14.2	1.6	.7	2.4	10.1	8.3	13.0	12.0	11.4	8.0	3.0	2.2	2.3	6.9
M7*	.7	.2	.9	1.2	.8	2.8	.6	...	1.2	...	2.0	1.3	...	2.3	1.2
M7b*	1.0	1.1	3.4	3.0	2.016	1.3	...	1.2	2.3	.5
M7b1	1.7	3.2	4.6	2.1	2.8	3.77	...	1.7	.6	2.2	4.8	6.84	...	2.3	1.4

M7b3	.2	10.2	8.0	1.38
M7c1*	1.2	.2	.3	.9	1.2	.941
M7c1a574	1.262
M7c1c5	.24	4.6	3.1	4.2	11.3	8.9	7.0	10.9	6.0	2.3	12.0	11.0	4.4	2.3	8.3
M122	.7	2.4	2.86	...	1.22
M21a	6.9	4.6	21.061
M21b4	.9	3.56	1.344
M21d9	2.42
M4555	1.9	3.9	2.5	2.2	4.8	2.3	2.0	1.3	...	2.3	2.3
M46	3.8	5.0	6.4	4.3	4.8	9.1	...	3.0	4.4	2.3	4.0
M47	1.12
N*	2.0	1.8	.4	.8	...	1.8	2.8	.6	15.2	1.3
N9a638	2.8	6.2	3.3	.6	2.28	1.1
N214	1.8	9.36	1.24	4.45
N22	1.6	8.04
P9	4.0	1.35
Q	1.8	1.3	...	1.2	...	4.0	2.1	28.9	11.6	2.9
R*2	.7	.6	...	1.8	1.662
R9*2	.39	2.2	1.26
R9b	.7	.2	1.7	.9	1.2	.9	9.3	1.7	.6	4.4	...	2.389
R9c	.2	.2	.3	.4	.9	1.8	3.3	...	1.9	2.0	.8	11.1	...	1.3
R2286	1.9	2.2	7.2	11.4	8.0	.4	2.2	...	2.3
R23	6.0	...	2.06
U761
Y27	1.8	...	1.2	12.9	6.7	1.9	2.2	1.2	1.7	2.9
Z	3.2	4.6	1.3	1.2	.46	.34

NOTE.—An ellipsis (...) indicates a zero frequency.

^a Northwest China: Gansu, Qinghai, Sichuan, Shanxi, and Xinjiang.

^b Northeast China: Zhejiang, Anhui, Jiangsu, Qingdao, Shanghai, and Wuhan.

^c Southwest China: Guangxi and Yunnan.

^d Southeast China: Macau, Hunan, Fujian, Guangdong, and Jiangxi.

Table 3. Ages and Diversities of the Most Common ISEA Haplogroups

Age Range and Haplogroup	Overall Age (SE) ^a [years]	Age (SE) in ISEA ^b [years]
>25,000 years ago:		
M46	62,700 (12,400)	62,700 (12,400)
M45	47,700 (21,600)	47,700 (21,600)
M45b	40,400 (18,900)	40,400 (18,900)
N21	43,000 (25,000)	30,300 (15,400)
M45a	30,300 (15,600)	30,300 (15,600)
R22	29,800 (19,200)	28,300 (18,700)
E	25,400 (11,500)	26,000 (10,900)
F3b	36,100 (14,600)	25,600 (12,300)
5,000–25,000 years ago:		
B4c2	21,100 (12,200)	17,900 (13,600)
B4a	26,900 (6,800)	14,800 (6,900)
B4c1b3	15,900 (5,300)	13,500 (6,700)
R23	10,100 (5,800)	10,100 (5,800)
B5a	16,200 (3,300)	9,200 (3,000)
B4b1	22,200 (5,500)	8,400 (5,600)
B5b	35,300 (11,400)	8,200 (3,000)
M7c1c	8,200 (2,500)	8,100 (2,200)
E1a	9,400 (2,800)	7,500 (3,000)
F1a1a	9,300 (2,800)	7,300 (2,700)
E2	15,600 (12,500)	7,200 (3,800)
E1b	6,400 (2,300)	6,000 (3,000)
B4a1a1	7,900 (2,000)	5,700 (2,700)
<5,000 years ago:		
F1a4	5,400 (2,600)	4,100 (1,800)
D5d1	12,300 (8,200)	4,000 (2,900)
F1a3	4,000 (2,900)	4,000 (2,900)
Y2	3,600 (2,000)	3,400 (1,700)
F1a2	3,200 (1,400)	3,400 (1,900)

^a Age estimates with use of all data.

^b Age estimates within ISEA determined on the basis of geographical specificity and/or identification of plausible founder types by use of the *f1* criterion.⁶⁰

Other B4 lineages are rather rare in most of ISEA, although B5a is found at ~4%.

The other major branch of haplogroup N in the region is R9. Most extant lineages belong to haplogroup F, and the most common branch of F in ISEA is haplogroup F1a, dating to 33,900 ($\pm 11,300$) years ago, which itself contains two hierarchically nested subclades, F1a1 and F1a1a. The three nested subclades are found together at appreciable frequencies only in mainland Southeast Asia, and F1b and F1c are largely restricted to South China, suggesting a possible origin for F1 and F1a in this region. F1a1* types are rare, but both F1a* and F1a1a are common across Southeast Asia. Several geographically restricted subclades in F1a* are found with ages of ~3,000–4,000 years, similar to the minor haplogroup Y2 (fig. 5D). Haplogroup F1a1a, dating to ~9,000 years ago, is mainly found in the west and south of ISEA and is elsewhere most common in Thailand and in aboriginal Senoi groups of the Malay Peninsula.³⁵ The only other branch of haplogroup F that is common in ISEA is F3b, which is largely restricted to the Philippines and Borneo and is of a Pleistocene age similar to its mainland sister clade, F3a.

As with haplogroup M, there are also several rare ancient

haplogroups within haplogroup N and its subhaplogroup R, which are most common along the southern rim of the archipelago. N21 and N22 have previously been seen only in the Orang Asli of the Malay Peninsula,^{35,73} and haplogroup R22, also found in mainland Southeast Asia and the Nicobar Islands⁷⁰ is also found across southern ISEA. Most of these rare lineages appear to date to the Pleistocene.

Discussion

Evidence of the Original Settlers of ISEA

Almost 14% of individuals found in ISEA have mtDNA haplotypes that belong to macrohaplogroup M but that appear unrelated to other M types found outside ISEA and that date to ~40,000–70,000 years ago. It seems likely that these haplotypes, and others found only in the Malay Peninsula, can be traced back to the original inhabitants of ISEA, who would have colonized the area at around that time.⁷³ Haplogroups N21 and R22 may provide further evidence of the persistence of mtDNAs from the earliest settlers. Today, N21 is more common in the aboriginal populations of the Malay Peninsula,³⁵ but the phylogeographic pattern suggests that it arrived there from Sumatra. Haplogroup R22 now appears to be most common in the Shompen group of the Nicobar Islands⁷⁰; however, it is most diverse in ISEA, and the root type is only found in Lombok and Alor, suggesting that it could be an indigenous marker for that area. If haplogroups N21, R22, M45, M46, M47, and M21d and the remaining unclassified M* types do indeed represent indigenous haplogroups, then this suggests that about a fifth of the modern




Figure 3. PC analysis of mtDNA haplogroup frequencies in Taiwan and ISEA (Taiwanese data include that of Trejaut et al.⁴¹).




Figure 4. Reduced median network of HVS-I sequences of haplogroup E in the region 16050–16400. The circles represent mtDNA HVS-I sequence types, shaded according to region and with an area proportional to their frequency in each region. Links are labeled with the nucleotide position of mutations; letters following positions indicate transversions, and the others are transitions. Mutations that have occurred more than once in the tree are underlined. Coding-region positions that were sequenced are indicated in italics. Subclades are labeled, and the M9 ancestor is indicated (arrow).

inhabitants can trace their maternal ancestry back to the first anatomically modern settlers of ISEA.

Possible Markers for a Neolithic Dispersal Out of Taiwan

Elsewhere, it has been claimed that haplogroup B4a1a1 (containing the Polynesian motif) represents an Austronesian signature because of its high frequency in Polynesia and the presence of one-step ancestral types in Taiwanese aboriginals.^{22–24} However, the people of Remote Oceania show unusual genetic patterns due to their recent ancestry and the numerous founder events that have occurred during their history. Because of this, certain mtDNA haplogroups, particularly B4a1a1, are raised to extremely high frequencies in Remote Oceania, but this does not appear to be the case in the rest of the Austronesian-speaking world. In this study, B4a1a1 was found to be relatively rare, making up only ~2% of the population as a whole and reaching a high of ~14% in Ambon. It is completely absent in most of ISEA and is not found further west of Wallace's line than southeast Borneo. The clade in which it nests, B4a1a, is indeed restricted to Austronesian-speak-

ing populations but is pre-Holocene in age,⁴¹ so that its participation in a mid-Holocene Out of Taiwan event can be ruled out.

A more plausible candidate as a potential signature for a mid-Holocene Out of Taiwan dispersal is M7c1c. It has been found in all locations studied in this investigation and accounts for ~8% of the ISEA sample. It has also been found in several Chinese individuals, and the clade from which it derives, M7c1, is most common in China. Furthermore, its starlike phylogeny suggests that it has undergone a population expansion through east and west Indonesia. However, the single founder mtDNA for M7c1c dates to ~8,000 years ago, which is older than would be expected from the traditional Out of Taiwan model. It also appears to be more diverse in Taiwan and Borneo than would be expected if it had arrived from China <6,000 years ago, and its frequency distribution (fig. 5B) centers on Borneo and Sulawesi, resembling that of haplogroup E (fig. 5A), which is more plausibly ascribed to postglacial dispersals. Therefore, although M7c1c is the best candidate we have found for a marker of the Out of Taiwan




Figure 5. Spatial frequency distributions, created using the Kriging algorithm of the Surfer package, of haplogroups E (A), M7c1c (B), D5 (C), and Y2 (D). Samples from each island were merged to a central location, indicated with a point, to reduce any effect of error in small samples.

dispersal, it remains possible that it has been present in Taiwan and/or Borneo since the early Holocene and that its distribution is, in fact, the result of a mid-Holocene dispersal centered on Borneo.

The only other lineages that can be plausibly ascribed to a mid-Holocene Out of Taiwan event are within D5, Y2, and F1a*. The root type of D5 is most common in China and Taiwan and is also found in a few individuals from ISEA. Many ISEA samples belong to the subgroup D5d1, which dates to ~4,000 years ago in ISEA. The root type of this branch is not found in Taiwan, but three derived types are found there, suggesting that the root type may have been lost because of drift, and the absence of D5 from the Philippines may be due to insufficient sampling. F1a* includes two starlike subclades, F1a3 and F1a4, that, in their age and distribution, could be reconciled

with a mid-Holocene dispersal from South China. The distribution and age of Y2 fits quite well with a proposed movement of some Neolithic groups south and west into Borneo and Sumatra from the Philippines.⁴

All told, these potential Out of Taiwan lineages (M7c1c, D5, Y2, F1a3, and F1a4) account for only ~20% of the current data set. This is superficially similar to the results found for the Y chromosome by Capelli et al.,³⁰ who also found that ~20% of their ISEA sample could be accounted for by possible Taiwanese haplogroups (haplogroup O3, although Kayser et al.³³ suggested that haplogroup O1 also took part in the dispersal, increasing the frequency of potential Out of Taiwan Y chromosomes). However, Y-chromosome studies to date suffer from poor phylogenetic resolution and should be interpreted with caution. The results of the present study suggest that if a mid-Holocene




Figure 6. Reduced median network of HVS-I sequences of haplogroup M7c1c. They are labeled as in figure 4. The M7c1 ancestor is indicated (arrow).

Neolithic migration did occur, it was—on the maternal side at least—demographically minor, contributing, at most, only a fifth of modern ISEA mtDNAs. That is, any Neolithic immigrants integrated into the resident population, rather than replacing it.^{9,13,74,75} Whether the putative immigrants can plausibly be assumed to have brought with them and imposed Austronesian languages⁹ or whether they themselves assimilated languages already spoken in ISEA would remain an open question.

Holocene Dispersal from Indochina and Near Oceania

Haplogroup F is a candidate for both postglacial and Neolithic dispersals. F1a1a provides a distinctive pattern; it is diverse in both South China and Indochina, dating to ~9,000 years ago, but is most common in Indochina and some of the indigenous groups of peninsular Malaysia.³⁵ It is not found in Taiwan, the Philippines, or northern Borneo but is found at a frequency of 3%–5% in Sumatra, Bali, and eastern Indonesia, with an estimated founder/dispersal age of ~7,000 years ago in ISEA. This pattern suggests an expansion from mainland Southeast Asia during the Holocene.¹⁵ Another subclade with a similar, if more restricted, southerly distribution is F1a5.

Haplogroup N9a6 also seems to have its origins in Indochina. N9a, as a whole, is common across Japan, Korea, China, and Taiwan; however, the subclade N9a6 is largely restricted to Indochina, the Malay Peninsula, and ISEA,

where it is found at a frequency of ~1%. It is entirely absent in Taiwan and the Philippines. Because of its scarcity, it is difficult to be confident, but an Indochinese origin for N9a6 seems most plausible given the current evidence.

In this study, the Near Oceanian haplogroups P and Q were found at low levels in ISEA. Haplogroup P is rare and has been found only at low levels in Sulawesi and Sumba. Haplogroup Q is most common in the easternmost locations studied (reaching 29% in Alor, where Papuan as well as Austronesian languages are spoken⁷⁶), but, at 3% of the sample as a whole, it is found as far west as Borneo, indicating long-range gene flow from Near Oceania into ISEA. This may suggest traces of the voyaging corridor proposed by Terrell and Welsch,⁷⁷ although it is unclear how far back in time this influence extends.⁹ It is worth considering the possibility that this may be a genetic trace of a conduit into ISEA for the root and tuber crops, perhaps of New Guinean origin,⁹ that arguably contributed far more to a change in subsistence in the Neolithic period of the region than did the introduction of rice farming from the north or west.

Evidence of Major Postglacial Expansions

Perhaps the most striking result of this study is the signature of another phase of dispersal and settlement, not previously considered by most prehistorians of the region.

This is represented by a third set of lineages, of which the most prominent are subclades of haplogroup E. M9, the larger haplogroup in which E nests, is present on the mainland, but E itself seems almost entirely restricted to Taiwan and ISEA and dates to at least 25,000 years ago, with its major subclades dating to between ~5,000 and 15,000 years ago. It therefore seems that haplogroup E has an ancestry in the Pleistocene and may have originated around the peak of the last glaciation, probably on the east Sunda coastline (fig. 1), with dispersals both north and east as the sea level rose in the early Holocene. Given its distribution, we would not rule out that perhaps the direct ancestor of the Austronesian languages (proto-Austronesian or its hypothetical ancestor, pre-Austronesian) might have been dispersed in the postglacial expansion alongside haplogroup E lineages, rather than with the mtDNAs that we have identified as possible farming-dispersal markers.

Despite its previously assumed role in the putative Out of Taiwan dispersal, it seems that the presence of haplogroup B4a in ISEA can be traced back to the late Pleistocene. B4a is highly diverse in both China and Thailand but is most common in Taiwan and the Philippines. B4a seems to have originated on the mainland, where it is highly diverse and dates to ~36,000 years ago. However, most of the haplotypes found in Taiwan fall within the restricted branch B4a2,⁴¹ which dates to ~20,000 years ago within Taiwan, implying a probable separate ancestry over this period. These lineages appear to have emerged when Taiwan was a peninsula of the Chinese coastline at the peak of the last glaciation and to have been trapped on Taiwan when it separated from the mainland ~12,000 years ago.¹⁸ B4a in Indonesia (within B4a1a) dates to ~15,000 years ago, suggesting that the other offshore lineages were also present before the Holocene. The subhaplogroup B4a1a1, defined by the Polynesian motif, dates to ~6,000 years ago in ISEA, providing further evidence for more-recent expansions within eastern Indonesia. Late-Pleistocene and/or early-Holocene dispersals may also explain the distributions of haplogroups F3b and M7b3, which are also restricted to ISEA and Taiwan and which also both date to the Pleistocene: F3b dates to ~36,000 years ago and M7b3 to ~12,000 years ago.

In conclusion, the rather simple “two-layer” settlement model of Australo-Melanesians ~50,000 years ago followed by “Mongoloid” Austronesians ~4,000 years ago—even with allowance for considerable survival of indigenous lineages, as in more recent versions—clearly does not capture the complexity of demographic history in the region. This chimes with recent analyses of skeletal remains and burial patterns in the region, which stress heterogeneity rather than an abrupt transition.⁷⁸ Instead, we have evidence of dispersals across the region of Austronesia throughout the early to mid Holocene. Some of these may trace a mid-Holocene Neolithic dispersal, although, given the imprecision of the dating, they might equally reflect more-recent or even slightly earlier developments that

may or may not have involved agriculture.¹³ In any case, the strongest signals in our data appear to result from the movement and expansion of indigenous, rather than introgressive, mtDNA lineages, dating to between ~15,000 and ~5,000 years ago. These lineages relate more closely to those of the Southeast Asian mainland than to those of modern aboriginal Australians and New Guineans.

The most likely driving forces behind such large-scale postglacial redispersals are the huge sea-level rises that flooded much of Greater Sundaland, reducing it to the present day archipelago (fig. 1).^{3,5,6,18,79,80} This is the first substantial genetic data set indicating support for such a view, and it suggests that a considerable shift of focus and a broadening of perspective may be necessary with regard to the prehistory of this region.

Acknowledgments

We thank the British Academy, the Bradshaw Foundation, the Royal Society, and the University of Huddersfield, for financial support. We also thank John Clegg and A. S. M. Sofro for providing most of the Indonesian samples, Catharina Forster for the Bali samples, Louis Jorio for the Moken samples, Harold Voris and Clara Simpson for the map outline used in figure 1, Graeme Barker and Chris Hunt for a critical reading of the manuscript, and Alessandro Achilli for advice on the use of Surfer. P.B.B. was supported by a St John's College, University of Cambridge–Ruprecht-Karls Universität, Heidelberg Exchange Scholarship. P.S. was supported by a Marie Curie Early Stage Training Grant.

Web Resources

Accession numbers and URLs for data presented herein are as follows:

Fluxus-engineering.com, <http://www.fluxus-engineering.com/sharenet.htm> (for Network 4 software package)
GenBank, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank/> (for sequences [accession numbers EF068415–EF069260])

References

1. Barker G, Barton H, Beavitt P, Bird M, Daly P, Doherty C, Gilbertson D, Hunt C, Krigbaum J, Lewis H, et al (2002) Prehistoric foragers and farmers in southeast Asia: renewed investigations at Niah Cave, Sarawak. *Proc Prehist Soc* 68:147–164
2. Détroit F, Dizon E, Falguères C, Hameau S, Ronquillo W, Sémah F (2004) Upper Pleistocene *Homo sapiens* from the Tabon cave (Palawan, The Philippines): description and dating of new discoveries. *C R Palevol* 3:705–712
3. Voris HK (2000) Maps of Pleistocene sea levels in Southeast Asia: shorelines, river systems and time durations. *J Biogeogr* 27:1153–1167
4. Bellwood P (1997) Prehistory of the Indo-Malaysian archipelago. University of Hawaii Press, Hawaii
5. Oppenheimer S (1998) Eden in the East. Wiedenfeld and Nicholson, London
6. Solheim WG (2006) Archaeology and culture in southeast Asia:

- unraveling the Nusantara. University of Philippines Press, Quezon City
7. Blust R (1999) Subgrouping, circularity and extinction: some issues in comparative Austronesian linguistics. *Symp Ser Inst Linguistics Academia Sinica* 1:31–94
 8. Blust R (1996) Austronesian culture history: the window of language. In: Goodenough WH (ed) *Prehistoric settlement of the Pacific*. American Philosophical Society, Philadelphia, pp 28–35
 9. Spriggs M (2003) Chronology of the Neolithic transition in Island Southeast Asia and the western Pacific: a view from 2003. *Rev Archaeol* 24:57–80
 10. Schutler R, Marck JC (1975) On the dispersal of Austronesian horticulturalists. *Archaeol Phys Anthropol Oceania* 10:81–113
 11. Bellwood P (2005) *First farmers, the origins of agricultural societies*. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford
 12. Pawley A (2002) Austronesian dispersal: languages, technologies and people. In: Bellwood P, Renfrew C (eds) *Examining the farming/language dispersal hypothesis*. McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, Cambridge, pp 251–273
 13. Paz V (2002) Island Southeast Asia—spread or friction zone? In: Bellwood P, Renfrew C (eds) *Examining the farming/language dispersal hypothesis*. MacDonal Institute for Archaeological Research, Cambridge, pp 275–285
 14. Hunt CO, Rushworth G (2005) Cultivation and human impact at 6000 cal yr B.P. in tropical lowland forest at Niah, Sarawak, Malaysian Borneo. *Quaternary Res* 64:460–468
 15. Anderson A (2005) Crossing the Luzon Strait: archaeological chronology in the Batanes Islands, Philippines and the regional sequence of Neolithic dispersal. *J Austronesian Stud* 1:25–44
 16. Bellwood P, Gillespie R, Thompson GB, Vogel GS, Ardika JW, Datan I (1992) New dates for prehistoric Asian rice. *Asian Perspect* 31:161–170
 17. Szabó K, O'Connor S (2004) Migration and complexity in Holocene Island Southeast Asia. *World Archaeol* 36:621–628
 18. Meacham W (1984–5) On the improbability of Austronesian origins in South China. *Asian Perspect* 26:89–106
 19. Wolff J (1995) The position of the Austronesian languages of Taiwan within the Austronesian group. In: Li P, Tsang J-K, Ho D, Tseng C (eds) *Austronesian studies relating to Taiwan: Institute of History and Philology Symposium Series 3*. Academia Sinica, Taipei
 20. Greenhill S, Gray RD (2005) Testing dispersal hypotheses: Pacific settlement, phylogenetic trees and Austronesian languages. In: Mace R, Holden C, Shennan S (eds) *The evolution of cultural diversity: phylogenetic approaches*. UCL Press, London, pp 31–52
 21. Lum JK, Rickards O, Ching C, Cann RL (1994) Polynesian mitochondrial DNAs reveal three deep maternal lineage clusters. *Hum Biol* 66:567–590
 22. Redd AJ, Takezaki N, Sherry ST, McGarvey ST, Sofro ASM, Stoneking M (1995) Evolutionary history of the COII/trRNA(Lys) intergenic 9-base-pair deletion in human mitochondrial DNAs from the Pacific. *Mol Biol Evol* 12:604–615
 23. Melton T, Peterson R, Redd AJ, Saha N, Sofro ASM, Martinson J, Stoneking M (1995) Polynesian genetic affinities with Southeast Asian populations as identified by mtDNA analysis. *Am J Hum Genet* 57:403–414
 24. Sykes B, Leiboff A, Low-Beer J, Tetzner S, Richards M (1995) The origins of the Polynesians—an interpretation from mitochondrial lineage analysis. *Am J Hum Genet* 57:1463–1475
 25. Lum JK, Cann RL, Martinson JJ, Jorde LB (1998) Mitochondrial and nuclear genetic relationships among Pacific Island and Asian populations. *Am J Hum Genet* 63:613–624
 26. Pierson MJ, Martinez-Arias R, Holland BR, Gemmell NJ, Hurles ME, Penny D (2006) Deciphering past human population movements in Oceania: provably optimal trees of 127 mtDNA genomes. *Mol Biol Evol* 23:1966–1975
 27. Richards M, Oppenheimer S, Sykes B (1998) mtDNA suggests Polynesian origins in eastern Indonesia. *Am J Hum Genet* 63:1234–1236
 28. Oppenheimer SJ, Richards M (2001) Polynesian origins: slow boat to Melanesia? *Nature* 410:166–167
 29. Oppenheimer SJ, Richards M (2001) Fast trains, slow boats, and the ancestry of the Polynesian islanders. *Sci Prog* 84:157–181
 30. Capelli C, Wilson JF, Richards M, Stumpf MPH, Gratrix F, Oppenheimer S, Underhill P, Pascali VL, Ko T-M, Goldstein DB (2001) A predominantly indigenous paternal heritage for the Austronesian-speaking peoples of insular Southeast Asia and Oceania. *Am J Hum Genet* 68:432–443
 31. Kayser M, Brauer S, Weiss G, Underhill PA, Roewer L, Schiefenhövel W, Stoneking M (2000) Melanesian origin of Polynesian Y chromosomes. *Curr Biol* 10:1237–1246
 32. Kayser M, Brauer S, Weiss G, Schiefenhövel W, Underhill PA, Stoneking M (2001) Independent histories of human Y chromosomes from Melanesia and Australia. *Am J Hum Genet* 68:173–190
 33. Kayser M, Brauer S, Weiss G, Schiefenhövel W, Underhill P, Shen PD, Oefner P, Tommaseo-Ponzetta M, Stoneking M (2003) Reduced Y-chromosome, but not mitochondrial DNA, diversity in human populations from West New Guinea. *Am J Hum Genet* 72:281–302
 34. Su B, Jin L, Underhill P, Martinson J, Milmani S, McGarvey ST, Shriver MD, Chu J, Oefner P, Chakraborty R, et al (2000) Polynesian origins: insights from the Y chromosome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 97:8225–8228
 35. Hill C, Soares P, Mormina M, Macaulay V, Meehan W, Blackburn J, Clarke D, Raja JM, Ismail P, Bulbeck D, et al (2006) Phylogeography and ethnogenesis of aboriginal Southeast Asians. *Mol Biol Evol* 23:2480–2491
 36. Melton T, Clifford S, Martinson J, Batzer M, Stoneking M (1998) Genetic evidence for the proto-Austronesian homeland in Asia: mtDNA and nuclear DNA variation in Taiwanese aboriginal tribes. *Am J Hum Genet* 63:1807–1823
 37. Yao Y-G, Kong Q-P, Bandelt H-J, Kivisild T, Zhang Y-P (2002) Phylogeographic differentiation of mitochondrial DNA in Han Chinese. *Am J Hum Genet* 70:635–651
 38. Yao Y-G, Nie L, Harpending H, Fu Y-X, Yuan Z-G, Zhang Y-P (2002) Genetic relationship of Chinese ethnic populations revealed by mtDNA sequence diversity. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 118:63–76
 39. Yao Y-G, Zhang Y-P (2002) Phylogeographic analysis of mtDNA variation in four ethnic populations from Yunnan Province: new data and a reappraisal. *J Hum Genet* 47:311–318
 40. Kivisild T, Tolk H-V, Parik J, Wang Y, Papiha SS, Bandelt H-J, Villems R (2002) The emerging limbs and twigs of the east Asian mtDNA tree. *Mol Biol Evol* 19:1737–1751 (erratum 20: 162)
 41. Trejaut JA, Kivisild T, Loo JH, Lee CL, He CL, Hsu CJ, Li ZY, Lin M (2005) Traces of archaic mitochondrial lineages persist

- in Austronesian speaking Formosan populations. *PLoS Biol* 3:e247
42. Betty DJ, Chin-Atkins AN, Croft L, Straml M, Estéale S (1996) Multiple independent origins of the COII/tRNA^{lys} intergenic 9-bp mtDNA deletion in aboriginal Australians. *Am J Hum Genet* 58:428–433
 43. Comas D, Calafell F, Mateu E, Pérez-Lezaun A, Bosch E, Martínez-Arias R, Clarimon J, Facchini F, Fiori G, Luiselli D, et al (1998) Trading genes along the Silk Road: mtDNA sequences and the origin of central Asian populations. *Am J Hum Genet* 63:1824–1838
 44. Fucharoen G, Fucharoen S, Horai S (2001) Mitochondrial DNA polymorphisms in Thailand. *J Hum Genet* 46:115–125
 45. Hertzberg M, Mickleson KNP, Serjeantson S, Prior JF, Trent RJ (1989) An Asian-specific 9-bp deletion of mitochondrial DNA is frequently found in Polynesians. *Am J Hum Genet* 44:510–540
 46. Horai S, Hayasaka K (1990) Intraspecific nucleotide sequence differences in the major noncoding region of human mitochondrial DNA. *Am J Hum Genet* 46:828–842
 47. Horai S, Murayama K, Hayasaka K, Matsubayashi S, Hattori Y, Fucharoen G, Harihara S, Park KS, Omoto K, Pan I-H (1996) mtDNA polymorphism in East Asian populations, with special reference to the peopling of Japan. *Am J Hum Genet* 59:579–590
 48. Kolman C, Sambuughin N, Bermingham E (1996) Mitochondrial DNA analysis of Mongolian populations and implications for the origin of New World founders. *Genetics* 142:1321–1334
 49. Lee SD, Shin CH, Kim KB, Lee YS, Lee JB (1997) Sequence variation of mitochondrial DNA control region in Koreans. *Forensic Sci Int* 87:99–116
 50. Nishimaki Y, Sato K, Fang L, Ma M, Hasekura H, Boettcher B (1999) Sequence polymorphism in the HV1 region in Japanese and Chinese. *Legal Med* 1:238–249
 51. Oota H, Kurosaki K, Pookajorn S, Ishida T, Ueda S (2001) Genetic study of the Palaeolithic and Neolithic Southeast Asians. *Hum Biol* 73:225–231
 52. Pfeiffer H, Steighner R, Fisher R, Mörnstad H, Yoon CL, Holland MM (1998) Mitochondrial DNA extraction and typing from isolated dentin—experimental evaluation in a Korean population. *Int J Legal Med* 111:309–313
 53. Prasad BVR, Ricker CE, Watkins WS, Dixon ME, Rao BB, Naidu JM, Jorde LB, Bamshad M (2001) Mitochondrial DNA variation in Nicobarese islanders. *Hum Biol* 73:715–725
 54. Qian YP, Chu Z-T, Dai Q, Wei C-D, Chu YJ, Tajima A, Horai S (2001) Mitochondrial DNA polymorphism in Yunnan nationalities in China. *J Hum Genet* 46:211–220
 55. Seo Y, Stradmann-Bellinghausen B, Rittner C, Takahama K, Schneider PM (1998) Sequence polymorphism of mitochondrial DNA control region in Japanese. *Forensic Sci Int* 97:155–164
 56. Zainuddin Z, Goodwin W (2003) Mitochondrial DNA profiling of modern Malay and Orang Asli populations in peninsular Malaysia. *Prog Forensic Genet* 10:428–430
 57. Tanaka M, Cabrera VM, González AM, Larruga JM, Takeyasu T, Fuku N, Guo L-J, Hirose R, Fujita Y, Kurata M, et al (2004) Mitochondrial genome variation in eastern Asia and the peopling of Japan. *Genome Res* 14:1832–1850
 58. Wen B, Li H, Gao S, Mao X, Gao Y, Li F, Zhang F, He Y, Dong Y, Zhang Y, et al (2004) Genetic structure of Hmong-Mien speaking populations in East Asia as revealed by mtDNA lineages. *Mol Biol Evol* 22:725–734
 59. Wen B, Li H, Lu D, Song X, Zhang F, He Y, Li F, Gao Y, Mao X, Zhang L, et al (2004) Genetic evidence supports demic diffusion of Han culture. *Nature* 431:302–305
 60. Richards M, Macaulay V, Hickey E, Vega E, Sykes B, Guida V, Rengo C, Sellitto D, Cruciani F, Kivisild T, et al (2000) Tracing European founder lineages in the Near Eastern mitochondrial gene pool. *Am J Hum Genet* 67:1251–1276
 61. Andrews RM, Kubacka I, Chinnery PF, Lightowlers R, Turnbull D, Howell N (1999) Reanalysis and revision of the Cambridge Reference Sequence for human mitochondrial DNA. *Nat Genet* 23:147
 62. Bandelt H-J, Quintana-Murci L, Salas A, Macaulay V (2002) The fingerprint of phantom mutations in mitochondrial DNA data. *Am J Hum Genet* 71:1150–1160
 63. Bandelt H-J, Forster P, Sykes BC, Richards MB (1995) Mitochondrial portraits of human populations using median networks. *Genetics* 141:743–753
 64. Forster P, Harding R, Torroni A, Bandelt H-J (1996) Origin and evolution of Native American mtDNA variation: a reappraisal. *Am J Hum Genet* 59:935–945
 65. Saillard J, Forster P, Lynnerup N, Bandelt H-J, Nørby SS (2000) mtDNA variation among Greenland Eskimos: the edge of the Beringian expansion. *Am J Hum Genet* 67:718–726
 66. Kong Q-P, Bandelt H-J, Sun C, Yao Y-G, Salas A, Achilli A, Wang C-Y, Zhong L, Zhu C-L, Wu S-F, et al (2006) Updating the East Asian mtDNA phylogeny: a prerequisite for the identification of pathogenic mutations. *Hum Mol Genet* 15:2076–2086
 67. Torroni A, Bandelt H-J, Macaulay V, Richards M, Cruciani F, Rengo C, Martínez-Cabrera V, Villems R, Kivisild T, Metspalu E, et al (2001) A signal, from human mtDNA, of post-glacial recolonization in Europe. *Am J Hum Genet* 69:844–852
 68. Richards MB, Macaulay VA, Torroni A, Bandelt H-J (2002) In search of geographical patterns in European mitochondrial DNA. *Am J Hum Genet* 71:1168–1174
 69. Schneider S, Roessli D, Excoffier L (2000) Arlequin: a software for population genetics data analysis: version 2.000. Genetics and Biometry Laboratory, Department of Anthropology, University of Geneva, Geneva
 70. Trivedi R, Sitalaximi T, Banerjee J, Singh A, Sircar PK, Kashyap VK (2006) Molecular insights into the origins of the Shompen, a declining population of the Nicobar archipelago. *J Hum Genet* 51:217–226
 71. Kivisild T, Shen P, Wall DP, Do B, Sung R, Davis K, Passarino G, Underhill PA, Scharfe C, Torroni A, et al (2006) The role of selection in the evolution of human mitochondrial genomes. *Genetics* 172:373–387
 72. Friedlaender J, Schurr T, Gentz F, Koki G, Friedlaender F, Horvat G, Babb P, Cerchio S, Kaestle F, Schanfield M, et al (2005) Expanding Southwest Pacific mitochondrial haplogroups P and Q. *Mol Biol Evol* 22:1506–1517
 73. Macaulay V, Hill C, Achilli A, Rengo C, Clarke D, Meehan W, Blackburn J, Semino O, Scozzari R, Cruciani F, et al (2005) Single, rapid coastal settlement of Asia revealed by analysis of complete mitochondrial genomes. *Science* 308:1034–1036
 74. Hurles M (2002) Can the hypothesis of language/agriculture co-dispersal be tested with archaeogenetics? In: Bellwood P, Renfrew C (eds) Examining the farming/language dispersal hypothesis. MacDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, Cambridge, pp 299–309

75. Hurles ME, Nicholson J, Bosch E, Renfrew C, Sykes BC, Jobling MA (2002) Y chromosomal evidence for the origins of Oceanic-speaking peoples. *Genetics* 160:289–303
76. Reesink G (2005) West Papuan languages: roots and development. In: Pawley A, Attenborough R, Golson G, Hide R (eds) *Papuan pasts: cultural, linguistic and biological histories of Papuan-speaking peoples*. The Australian National University, Canberra, pp 185–215
77. Terrell JE, Welsch RL (1997) Lapita and the temporal geography of prehistory. *Antiquity* 71:548–572
78. D  troit F (2006) *Homo sapiens* in Southeast Asian archipelagos: the Holocene fossil evidence with special reference to funerary practises in East Java. In: Simanjuntak T, Pojoh IHE, Hisyam M (eds) *Austronesian diaspora and the ethnogeneses of the people in Indonesian Archipelago*. LIPI Press, Jakarta
79. Bird MI, Taylor D, Hunt C (2005) Palaeoenvironments of insular Southeast Asia during the last glacial period: a savanna corridor in Sundaland? *Quaternary Sci Rev* 24:2228–2242
80. Solheim WG (1994) South-east Asia and Korea from the beginnings of food production to the first states. In: De Laet SJ (ed) *The history of humanity*. Vol I. Routledge, London, pp 468–481