Equivalence of Semidomain and Integral Domain Property of Grothendieck Gro

We aim to prove that for a semiring \$S\$, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) \$S\$ is a semidomain.
- (b) The multiplication of \$S\$ extends to the Grothendieck group \$\mathcal{G}(S)\$ of \$(S,+)\$ turning \$\mathcal{G}(S)\$ into an integral domain.

(a) implies (b):

Suppose \$S\$ is a semidomain. We want to show that the multiplication on \$S\$ extends to the Grothendieck group \$\mathcal{G}(S)\$, making it an integral domain.

- Consider the Grothendieck group \$\mathcal{G}(S)\$. The elements of \$\mathcal{G}(S)\$ can be written as formal differences \$a b\$ with \$a, b \in S\$, and the operation in \$\mathcal{G}(S)\$ is induced by the addition in \$S\$.
- We define multiplication in $\mathcal{G}(S)$ as follows: for a b and c d in $\mathcal{G}(S)$, set

$$$$(a - b)(c - d) = ac + bd - (ad + bc).$$$$

- Since \$S\$ is a semidomain, \$ac = 0\$ implies \$a = 0\$ or \$c = 0\$. Thus, \$\mathcal{G}(S)\$, with this multiplication, has no zero divisors, making it an integral domain.

(b) implies (a):

Now, suppose the multiplication on \$S\$ extends to the Grothendieck group \$\mathcal{G}(S)\$, turning it into an integral domain. We aim to show that \$S\$ is a semidomain.

- If \$S\$ were not a semidomain, there would exist non-zero elements \$a, b \in S\$ such that \$ab = 0\$. However, in \$\mathcal{G}(S)\$, the elements of \$S\$ naturally embed, so \$a - 0\$ and \$b - 0\$ are

non-zero in \$\mathcal{G}(S)\$.

- The product (a 0)(b 0) = ab 0 = 0 would contradict the fact that $\mathcal{G}(S)$ is an integral domain with no zero divisors.
- Hence, \$S\$ must be a semidomain, since \$ab = 0\$ cannot occur for non-zero \$a\$ and \$b\$.

Conclusion: Both implications hold, thus \$S\$ is a semidomain if and only if the multiplication on \$S\$ extends to the Grothendieck group \$\mathcal{G}(S)\$, turning it into an integral domain.