

Individual Peer Review

EE 595

Spring 2021

Part 1: Reviewer Information

Name : Alex Chiem, Damian Avery, Max Burrell, Martin Kariuki

myWSU ID : v636r529, w963h945, Z749D638, y957t896

Date : 04/03/2022

Part 2: Review: Team Name Go Getter

Review of: Alotaibi Mutlaq

Requirement	Possible Points	Points Awarded
Identified their Technical Contributions	10	10
Explained their technical contributions to a peer, and to person of a different discipline	20	20
Pointed out the technical requirements that impact their specific work statement, or deliverable	10	10
Explained the testing requirements of their specific work statement or deliverable.	20	20
Retrieved, displayed, or demonstrated their specific work products	20	20
Adequately answered technical questions about the work presented as their contribution(s)	20	20
Total	100	100

Individual Peer Review

EE 595

Spring 2021

Part 1: Reviewer Information

Name : Alex Chiem, Damian Avery, Max Burrell, Martin Kariuki

myWSU ID : v636r529, w963h945, Z749D638, y957t896

Date : 04/03/2022

Part 2: Review: Team Name Go Getter

Review of: Alotaibi Mutlaq

Requirement	Possible Points	Points Awarded
Identified their Technical Contributions	10	10
Explained their technical contributions to a peer, and to person of a different discipline	20	20
Pointed out the technical requirements that impact their specific work statement, or deliverable	10	10
Explained the testing requirements of their specific work statement or deliverable.	20	20
Retrieved, displayed, or demonstrated their specific work products	20	20
Adequately answered technical questions about the work presented as their contribution(s)	20	20
Total	100	100

Individual Peer Review

EE 595

Spring 2021

Part 1: Reviewer Information

Name : Alex Chiem, Damian Avery, Max Burrell, Martin Kariuki

myWSU ID : v636r529, w963h945, Z749D638, y957t896

Date : 04/03/2022

Part 2: Review: Team Name Go Getter

Review of: Aurell Marshall

Requirement	Possible Points	Points Awarded
Identified their Technical Contributions	10	10
Explained their technical contributions to a peer, and to person of a different discipline	20	20
Pointed out the technical requirements that impact their specific work statement, or deliverable	10	10
Explained the testing requirements of their specific work statement or deliverable.	20	20
Retrieved, displayed, or demonstrated their specific work products	20	20
Adequately answered technical questions about the work presented as their contribution(s)	20	20
Total	100	100

Individual Peer Review

EE 595

Spring 2021

Part 1: Reviewer Information

Name : Alex Chiem, Damian Avery, Max Burrell, Martin Kariuki

myWSU ID : v636r529, w963h945, Z749D638, y957t896

Date : 04/03/2022

Part 2: Review: Team Name Go Getter

Review of: Le, Khanh

Requirement	Possible Points	Points Awarded
Identified their Technical Contributions	10	10
Explained their technical contributions to a peer, and to person of a different discipline	20	20
Pointed out the technical requirements that impact their specific work statement, or deliverable	10	10
Explained the testing requirements of their specific work statement or deliverable.	20	20
Retrieved, displayed, or demonstrated their specific work products	20	20
Adequately answered technical questions about the work presented as their contribution(s)	20	20
Total	100	100

Individual Peer Review

EE 595

Spring 2021

Part 1: Reviewer Information

Name : Alex Chiem, Damian Avery, Max Burrell, Martin Kariuki

myWSU ID : v636r529, w963h945, Z749D638, y957t896

Date : 04/03/2022

Part 2: Review: Team Name Go Getter

Review of: Nguyen, Phuong

Requirement	Possible Points	Points Awarded
Identified their Technical Contributions	10	10
Explained their technical contributions to a peer, and to person of a different discipline	20	20
Pointed out the technical requirements that impact their specific work statement, or deliverable	10	10
Explained the testing requirements of their specific work statement or deliverable.	20	20
Retrieved, displayed, or demonstrated their specific work products	20	20
Adequately answered technical questions about the work presented as their contribution(s)	20	20
Total	100	100