Very first shadings and their improvements into video games.

COMP110 - Research Journal

1702208

2017-11-08

1 Introduction

There are a lot of shading techniques nowadays. Some of them are pretty old, but still capable to improve on. Those are discussed in B. T. Phong's paper published in 1975 [1]. Although there are newer papers that discuss several variations of shadings [2]. Many of them are usable in games, which are also fast and realistic [3]. In addition, some can be combined to produce better shading for objects [4]. A good shading technique in modern video games is a must nowadays. This will be discussed later in this paper to make it more constructed, of course. Moreover, lightings must fit the day-night cycle to make it more realistic and immersive. Because most players look into graphics rather than gameplay, this makes the development team concentrate on the realistic environment. Thus, a lot of games nowadays are developed exclusively to make players fully immerse in the given environment. In addition to a rich story, the game becomes satisfying to play, although not for everyone. But not about the story now, let's concentrate on the shading and lighting here, shall we?

2 Old, but Gold

Techniques that are described in [1] are old but are good examples of first shadings. Some of them were not precise, but they were improved later on. Furthermore, human's eyes play a huge role in visualizing realistic graphics. "Human visual perception and the fundamental laws of optics are considered in the development of a shading rule that provides better quality and increased realism in generated images." [1, p. 311]. I assume this is worth mentioning, but not discussing a lot as this paper is aimed to talk about video games' graphics. So, there are a few shadings discussed in that paper. First of all, Warnock's shading. Two effects were simulated: "Decreasing intensity of the reflected light from the object with the distance between the light source and the object" [1, p. 313] and "Highlights created by specular reflection" [1, p. 313]. For more details, see [1].

Second shading described in that paper is Newell, Newell, and Sancha's shading. This type of shading presents transparency and highlights. It also highlights the reflection of light. It is not as accurate as the real object would look like, but this will later be improved. A good sample for that is Gouraud's shading. His technique is more advanced. This technique improved the shading itself sufficiently. But "problems still exist, however, one of which is the apparent discontinuity across polygon edges." [1, p. 314]. After all, this has all been improved by now, and these techniques are unlikely to be used in modern games.

3 Several different shading techniques

I'm surely not gonna discuss all 8 of them, but some are worth mentioning. Some of the techniques provided in [2] have been considered more accurate. However, each has its error, which means none of these techniques is perfect, of course. More precise and fast are a few of them. First are Lee and Rosenfeld (L&R). "Their method estimates the depth of an image using local spherical assumption and intensity derivatives" [2, p. 380]. Although this method is "unsuitable for non-spherical surfaces". Second - Pentland (P); This is totally different one. It produces good quality "on most surfaces that change linearly, even if the surface has a naturally varying surface such as person's face" [2, p. 380]. And the last one is Tsai and Shah (T&S). "Their method works very well on smooth objects with the light source close to the viewing direction" [2, p. 381]. It is also worth mentioning that all these techniques were made by using Shape from Shading (SFS) algorithms. This can be divided into two groups: Global approaches and local approaches. Global approaches can also be divided into global minimization approaches and global propagation approaches.

4 Ligting and shading in video games

Lighting and shading in video games make graphics look even prettier. This is made to fully immerse players in the game. It's not just to show how far can graphics be improved. This increases players' level of satisfaction, which they will surely approve. Although not everyone can be interested in examining every single detail. They would rather enjoy gameplay and game's mechanics. But this depends on a person itself. But seeing such details as, for example, scratches on a wall makes one happy. You understand that developers were truly giving their heart for this game. It's not just another casual game. It's something specific, which you want to see more often. Every time you notice some nice-looking details whether it's how lighting works or how accurate is shading, you are mentally satisfied. To realise that into a game, a novel technology was presented in 2003 [3]. "A novel, view-independent technology produces natural-looking lighting effects faster than radiosity and ray tracing. The approach is suited for 3D real-time interactive applications and production rendering." [3, p. 54] This technology doesn't take much time to produce realistic images. Whereas radiosity and ray tracing are also "require large amounts of memory and are difficult for a nonexpert to control." [3, p. 54] A novel technology, in this case, is great for games as it doesn't take much time for a game to load. Players always look into fast-loadings and optimization, which makes the game even smoother. Although a lot of shading techniques are still not accurate enough to "represent complicated materials that exist in the real world." [5, p. 1] But they are reasonable for lower-end GPUs.

5 Conclusion

So, after all, Phong's shading, which was introduced in 1975 [1] was realistic compared to other algorithms known at that time. This was a "part of a technique for improving the appearance of images of curved surfaces" [6, p. 192]. Although, as mentioned before,

this is hardly used in modern video games. A lot of previous techniques were combined together for improvement. That's how more advanced shadings appeared. For video games, ray tracing and radiosity, or these two combined together, produce more realistic models of objects. But they have several issues. 1) Time-consumption; 2) Require large amounts of memory; 3) Difficult to implement for inexperienced programmers. For these reasons, a novel technology was presented. It doesn't take much and is good for game's optimization. Although, surely, there are more techniques, which are much better than these. After all, a novel technology was presented in 2003, which is quite a long time ago. And game industry is a really fast-growing one. Every year we are introduced to more and more advanced technologies. That's why I can't claim that techniques that were introduced in this paper are the best ones. For the better understanding of lighting and shading nowadays, it needs more researching.

References

- [1] B. T. Phong, "Illumination for computer generated pictures," Communications of the ACM, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 311–317, 1975.
- [2] R. Zhang, P.-S. Tsai, et al., "Analysis of shape from shading techniques," in Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 1994. Proceedings CVPR'94., 1994 IEEE Computer Society Conference on, pp. 377–384, IEEE, 1994.
- [3] A. Iones, A. Krupkin, M. Sbert, and S. Zhukov, "Fast, realistic lighting for video games," *IEEE computer graphics and applications*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 54–64, 2003.
- [4] J. F. Blinn and M. E. Newell, "Texture and reflection in computer generated images," Communications of the ACM, vol. 19, no. 10, pp. 542–547, 1976.
- [5] S. McAuley, S. Hill, N. Hoffman, Y. Gotanda, B. Smits, B. Burley, and A. Martinez, "Practical physically-based shading in film and game production," in ACM SIGGRAPH 2012 Courses, p. 10, ACM, 2012.
- [6] J. F. Blinn, "Models of light reflection for computer synthesized pictures," in ACM SIGGRAPH Computer Graphics, vol. 11, pp. 192–198, ACM, 1977.