

---

# COM 5335

## Lecture 8

### Primality Testing

Scott CH Huang

# Definition

- A prime number is a positive integer  $p$  having exactly two positive divisors, 1 and  $p$ .
- A composite number is a positive integer  $n > 1$  which is not prime.

# Primality Test vs Factorization

- Factorization's outputs are non-trivial divisors.
- Primality test's output is binary: either PRIME or COMPOSITE

# Naïve Primality Test

Input: Integer  $n > 2$

Output: PRIME or COMPOSITE

```
for ( $i$  from 2 to  $n-1$ ){  
    if ( $i$  divides  $n$ )  
        return COMPOSITE;  
}  
return PRIME;
```

# Still Naïve Primality Test

Input/Output: same as the naïve test

```
for ( $i$  from 1 to  $\sqrt{n}$  ){  
    if ( $i$  divides  $n$ )  
        return COMPOSITE;  
}  
return PRIME;
```

# Sieve of Eratosthenes



Input/Output: same as the naïve test

Let  $A$  be an array of length  $n$

Set all but the first element of  $A$  to TRUE

**for** ( $i$  from 2 to  $\sqrt{n}$ ) {

**if** ( $A[i]=\text{TRUE}$ )

        Set all multiples of  $i$  to FALSE

}

**if** ( $A[i]=\text{TRUE}$ ) **return** PRIME

**else return** COMPOSITE

# Primality Testing

## Two categories of primality tests

- Probabilistic
  - Miller-Rabin Probabilistic Primality Test
  - Cyclotomic Probabilistic Primality Test
  - Elliptic Curve Probabilistic Primality Test
- Deterministic
  - Miller-Rabin Deterministic Primality Test
  - Cyclotomic Deterministic Primality Test
  - Agrawal-Kayal-Saxena (AKS) Primality Test

# Running Time of Primality Tests

- Miller-Rabin Primality Test
  - Polynomial Time
- Cyclotomic Primality Test
  - Exponential Time, but *almost* poly-time
- Elliptic Curve Primality Test
  - Don't know. Hard to Estimate, but *looks* like poly-time.
- AKS Primality Test
  - Poly-time, but only asymptotically good.



# Fermat's Primality Test



- It's more of a “compositeness test” than a primality test.
- Fermat's Little Theorem:  
If  $p$  is prime and  $a \nmid p$ , then  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$
- If we can find an  $a$  s.t.  $\gcd(a, n-1) < n-1$ ,  $a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , then  $n$  must be a composite.
- If, for some  $a$ ,  $n$  passes the test, we cannot conclude  $n$  is prime. Such  $n$  is a *pseudoprime*. If this pseudoprime  $n$  is not prime, then this  $a$  is called a **Fermat liar**.
- If, for all  $1 \leq a \leq n-1$ , s.t.  $\gcd(a, n-1) = 1$  we have  $a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  can we conclude  $n$  is prime?
- No. Such  $n$  is called a **Carmichael number**.

# Some Small Carmichael Numbers

Carmichael Numbers	Corresponding Factorizations
561	$3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$
41041	$7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 14$
825265	$5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 73$
321197185	$5 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 37 \cdot 137$

Carmichael numbers  $< 100,000$

561, 1105, 1729, 2465, 2821, 6601, 8911,  
10585, 15841, 29341, 41041, 46657, 52633,  
62745, 63973, and 75361.

# Pseudocode of Fermat's Primality Test

FERMAT( $n, t$ ) {

INPUT: odd integer  $n \geq 3$ , # of repetition  $t$

OUTPUT: PRIME or COMPOSITE

**for** ( $i$  from 1 to  $t$ ) {

    Choose a random integer  $a$  s.t.  $2 \leq a \leq n-2$

    Compute  $r = a^{n-1} \bmod n$

**if** (  $r \neq 1$  )   **return** COMPOSITE

  }

**return** PRIME

}

# Miller-Rabin Probabilistic Primality Test



- It's more of a “compositeness test” than a primality test.
- It does not give *proof* that a number  $n$  is prime, it only tells us that, with high probability,  $n$  is prime.
- It's a randomized algorithm of *Las Vegas* type.

# A Motivating Observation

FACT:

- Let  $p$  be an odd prime.  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ . If  $x^2 = 1$  then  $x = \pm 1$
- Moreover, if  $n - 1 = m2^k$ , and  $m$  is odd.

Let  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ , s.t.  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ . Then either  $a^m \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$   
or  $a^{m2^i} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$  for some  $0 \leq i \leq k - 1$

# Miller-Rabin

- If  $a^m \not\equiv 1$  and  $a^{m2^i} \not\equiv -1 \pmod{n}$ ,  $\forall 0 \leq i \leq k-1$   
Then  $a$  is a **strong witness** for the compositeness of  $n$ .
- If  $a^m \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  or  $a^{m2^i} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$  for some  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$  then  $n$  is called a *pseudoprime w.r.t. base  $a$* , and  $a$  is called a **strong liar**.

# Miller-Rabin: Algorithm Pseudocode

```
MILLER-RABIN( $n, t$ ){  
  INPUT: odd integer  $n \geq 3$ , # of repetition  $t$   
    Compute  $k$  & odd  $m$  s.t.  $n - 1 = m2^k$   
    for (  $i$  from 1 to  $t$  ){  
      Choose a random integer  $a$  s.t.  $2 \leq a \leq n - 2$   
      Compute  $y = a^m \bmod n$   
      if (  $y \neq 1$  and  $y \neq n - 1$  ){  
        Set  $j \leftarrow 1$   
        while(  $j \leq k - 1$  and  $y \neq n - 1$  ){  
          Set  $y \leftarrow y^2 \bmod n$   
          if (  $y = 1$  ) return COMPOSITE  
           $j \leftarrow j + 1$   
        }  
        if (  $y \neq n - 1$  ) return COMPOSITE  
      }  
    }  
  }  
  return PRIME  
}
```

# Miller-Rabin: Example

- $n = 2465 = 5 \cdot 17 \cdot 29$  (a Carmichael number)
- $n-1 = 2464 = 2^5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$
- $a^{m2^i}$  values shown as below

	i = 0	1	2	3	4	5
a=2	1902	1449	1886	1	1	1
a=3	2018	144	1016	1886	1	1
a=5	2145	1335	30	900	1480	1480
a=7	2437	784	871	1886	1	1
a=11	1061	1681	871	1886	1	1
a=13	608	2379	1	1	1	1
a=47	302	-1	1	1	1	1



# Miller-Rabin: Main Theorem

## Theorem:

Given  $n > 9$ . Let  $B$  be the number of strong liars. Then  $\frac{B}{\varphi(n)} \leq \frac{1}{4}$

If the **Generalized Riemann Hypothesis** is true, then

Miller-Rabin primality test can be made deterministic by running  
 $\text{MILLER-RABIN}(n, 2\log^2 n)$