Αj	
Jo.	sh

Group 5

Link:

https://www.figma.com/file/CoKRfb5dzIvFDtDXSi81HT5d/App?node-id=0%3A1

User Testing:

All study session were conducted December 1st from 11-1pm I tested for subjects from my kitchen. The subjects announce what they will be doing while they are doing it. All three subject enjoy card games like hearthstone and dominion. We only have one version of the app to test on user. We decided to combine our ideas into on app early on because time was going to become more of an issue as we get later into the semester. All of our best ideas have already been integrated together.

Prototype: A	Heuristic: All	Tester: AJ

Subject #1 Bob, software engineer, 27, Male

This user experienced some issues with the back button(See UAR report) but for the most art was able to figure out what he wanted to do. particularly between viewing a post up-close and clicking n the profile button. The page changed and the user did not realize it for awhile.

- What did you like about Prototype A?
 The main functionality was obvious on the homepage.
- What did you dislike about Prototype A?

The back button was

- What was confusing about these prototypes?
 Back button was confusing for going back.

 The back button departs always as back to where he thought
 - The back button doesn't always go back to where he thought
- Do you have any suggestions for improving these prototypes?
 A share button for social media

Subject #2 Jake, Horticulturist, 28, Male

Was able to navigate through the prototype easily however came into an issue where a button was not properly linked. And that caused confusion.

- What did you like about Prototype A?
 The UI is simple and uncluttered
- What did you dislike about Prototype A?
 The Interface was difficult to test because it was testing on a computer.
- What was confusing about these prototypes?
 Make the button different colors scheme
- Do you have any suggestions for improving these prototypes?
 Edit profile feature

Subject #3 Sarah, 28, Marketing analyst, Female

Was the last confident going into the app of what to do. She was different and wanted to delete post. There was an issue she though the profile page was a post so she hit delete post and it took her too the page were she can actually delete a post and then she already thought she was done. So it was not obvious enough what to do.

- What did you like about Prototype A?
 Streamlined, easy to post, easy to create content.
- What did you dislike about Prototype A?
 The navigation was weird and back button didn't work as expected.
- What was confusing about these prototypes?
 Back button
- Do you have any suggestions for improving these prototypes?
 Add as a friend functionality. Ways to sort post.

Subject #4 Farhan, 28 Desktop Support, Male

Wanted to combine post into the app. It worked really well for him. However when he was viewing his custom article he mistook it as a place where he was supposed to type in and did not realize he was viewing the custom article. Taking and posting a photo went well for him.

- What did you like about Prototype A?
 It was functional and easy to figure out to do the basics
- What did you dislike about Prototype A?
 Not being able to actually type
- What was confusing about these prototypes?
 Took awhile to get back to homepage
- Do you have any suggestions for improving these prototypes?
 No

usability-aspect-report

Usability Aspect Report Template

From Shaun Kane, based on UAR Template from Brad A. Myers and Bonnie John http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~bam/uicourse/UARTemplate.doc

Complete this form *for each* problem or good aspect that you observe.

UAR #:HE	Problem/Good: The Back button was not working properly	Rated by: AJ
Name:		
Back Button		
Relevant heuristic:		
consistency and sta	ndards; Error prevention	
Steps to reproduce:		
Most of the pages o	n the app had a back button, and the way I w	red up the prototype was wrong.

Detailed explanation				
	oack buttons wrong so w	hen a user would try	to go back the button v	would go
back to the wrong a	ge			
Descible colutions D				
prototype all together	ewire, or since most phor	nes nave a built in bac	ck button, remove it iro	om tne
prototype all togethe) 1			
Severity (low, mediu	m, high, critical):High	See also:		
	,g.,	1 000 000		
UAR #: HE	Problem/Good:		Rated by:	
	not being able to actual	ly type, or take a	AJ	
	photo in app	,		
Name:				
TYPO				
Relevant heuristic: U	Iser control and freedom			
Steps to reproduce:				
-	st or the after you take a	=	text input box so the	user can
simulate what using	the app would actually b	e like.		
Detailed evalenation				
Detailed explanation	_: ied to click the boxes, I e	wantually tald tham ak	and of time that it was	a ivet te
	at was not an actual work	<u> </u>		s just to
demonstrate and the	it was not an actual work	ang function at the th	iic.	
Possible solution:				
	better and making it a w	vorking function		
3 3 3	3	3 1 111		
Severity (low, mediu	m, high, critical):	See also:		
low				
Usability Aspect Rep	oort Template			
-	sed on UAR Template from	-	onnie John	
http://www.cs.cmu.ed	<u>du/~bam/uicourse/UARTer</u>	<u>mplate.doc</u>		

Complete this form for each problem or good aspect that you observe.

UAR #: HE	Problem/Good:	Rated by:

	Testing a phone app on a con intuitive	nputer was not	AJ
Name: Wrong Hardw	are		
Relevant heuristic: Match between syst	em and the real world		
Steps to reproduce: Test the figma app of	n the desktop instead of the p	hone	
Detailed explanation	:		
Possible solution: Pull up figma on my	phone and run the test there		
Severity (low, mediu	m, high, critical):medium	See also:	
		L	
UAR #:	Problem/Good: UI is simple and uncluttered. content creation easy.	Made flow with	Rated by:
Name: Simply Done	<u> </u>		
Relevant heuristic: A	esthetic and minimalist design	1	
Steps to reproduce:			
	: ique from the users they enjoy not too many features were cl		
Possible solution:			
Severity (low, mediu	m, high, critical):	See also:	

UAR #:	Problem/Good:		
	The page changes we	ere not obvious enough	
Name: changes			
Relevant heuristic	: Consistency and standa	ards	
Steps to reproduc	e:		
particularly between	en viewing a post up-clo	se and clicking n the profile button. The page change	d and
the user did not re	alize it for awhile.		
.			
Detailed explanation			
		pages and button are very similar. however the profile	
_		user often would realize they were seeing a different	page.
User were confusi	ng the profile page with	a post.	
Possible solution:			
Add animations to	buttons and make page	s that aren't posting different looking.	
	. •		
Severity (low, med	lium, high, critical):	See also:	
critical			