

CONCEPTS OF  
PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES

# Chapter 7

## Expressions and Assignment Statements



ROBERT W. SEBESTA

12/E

ISBN 0-321-49362-1

# Chapter 7 Topics

---

- Introduction
- Arithmetic Expressions
- Overloaded Operators
- Type Conversions
- Relational and Boolean Expressions
- Short-Circuit Evaluation
- Assignment Statements
- Mixed-Mode Assignment

# Introduction

---

- Expression:
  - the fundamental means of specifying computations in a programming language
- Expression evaluation:
  - need to be familiar with the orders of operator and operand evaluation
- Essence of imperative languages
  - is dominant role of assignment statements

# Arithmetic Expressions

---

- Arithmetic evaluation
  - one of the motivations for the development of the first programming languages
- Arithmetic expression
  - consist of operators, operands, parentheses, and function calls
- e.g.,
  - In Lisp:  $(+ a (* b c))$        $// a + b * c$
  - In Java :  $(x+y)/100$

# Arithmetic Expressions: Design Issues

---

- Design issues for arithmetic expressions
  - Operator:
    - precedence rules
    - associativity rules
    - overloading
  - Operand:
    - Evaluation order?
    - Evaluation side effects?
  - Type mixing in expressions?

# Arithmetic Expressions: Operators

---

- Unary operator has **one** operand
  - E.g., Java: `count++`;
- Binary operator has **two** operands
  - E.g., Java: `HW + exam`;
- Ternary operator has **three** operands
  - E.g., Java: `( a ) ? b : c; // conditional operator`

# Arithmetic Expressions: Operator Precedence Rules

---

- The *operator precedence rules*
  - define the order in which “adjacent” operators of different precedence levels are evaluated
- Typical precedence levels
  - parentheses
  - unary operators
  - Exponentiation **\*\*** (Ruby, Ada:  $2^{**}3 = 2^3 = 8$ )
  - $*$ ,  $/$
  - $+$ ,  $-$

# Arithmetic Expressions: Operator Associativity Rule

---

- Operator associativity rules:
  - define the **how operators** with the same precedence level are evaluated
- **Typical associativity rules:**
  - Left to right:
    - E.g., Java:  $a + b - c + d + e$ ; // left to right
  - **\*\***, which is right to left:
    - E.g., Ruby:  $2 ** 2 ** 3 = 256$  // right to left
- APL is different
  - all **operators** have equal precedence
  - all operators associate **right to left**
    - E.g.,  $3 \times 4 + 5 = 27$



# Expressions in Ruby and Scheme

---

- Ruby
  - operators are implemented as methods
    - arithmetic, relational, assignment, array indexing, shifts, and bit-wise logic
  - operators can be overridden by application programs
- Scheme (and Common Lisp)
  - All arithmetic and logic operations are by explicitly called subprograms
  - $a + b * c$  is coded as `(+ a (* b c))`

# Arithmetic Expressions: Conditional Expressions

---

- Conditional Expressions

- C-based languages (e.g., C, C++)

- E.g.,:

```
average = (count == 0) ? 0 : sum / count
```

- Evaluates as if written as follows:

```
if (count == 0)
    average = 0
else
    average = sum / count
```

# Arithmetic Expressions: Operand Evaluation Order

---

- *Operand evaluation order*
  - Variables:
    - fetch the value from memory
  - Constants:
    - fetch from memory; sometimes is in the machine language instruction
  - Parenthesized expressions:
    - evaluate all operands and operators first

# Arithmetic Expressions: Potentials for Side Effects

---

- Functional side effects:
  - function changes a **two-way** parameter or a **non-local variable**
- Problem with functional side effects:
  - when a function referenced in an expression **alters another operand** (e.g., **g**) of the expression;
  - e.g., in C++

```
int g=10;

int fun() { g=20;  return g;}

int main(int  arg, char* args[]) {
    printf("%d\n", fun() + g);           // 40
    printf("%d\n", g + fun());         // 30
    return 0;}

```

# Functional Side Effects

---

- Two possible solutions to the problem
  1. disallow functional side effects
    - No two-way parameters in functions
    - No non-local references in functions
    - **Advantage:** it works!
    - **Disadvantage:** inflexibility of one-way parameters and lack of non-local references
  2. demand that operand evaluation order be fixed
    - **Disadvantage:** limits some compiler optimizations
    - **Java** requires that operands appear to be evaluated in left-to-right order

# Referential Transparency

---

- A program has the **property of *referential transparency***
  - if any two **expressions** in the program that **have the same value** can be substituted for one another anywhere in the program, without affecting the action of the program

```
result1 = (fun(a) + b) / (fun(a) - c);
```

```
temp = fun(a);
```

```
result2 = (temp + b) / (temp - c);
```

If **fun()** has no side effects, `result1 = result2`

Otherwise, not, and **referential transparency is violated**

# Referential Transparency

---

- Advantage of referential transparency
  - Semantics of a program is much easier to understand if it has referential transparency
- Programs in pure functional languages are referentially transparent as they do not have variables
  - Functions cannot have state, which would be stored in local variables
  - If a function uses an outside value, it must be a constant (there are no variables). So, the value of a function depends only on its parameters

# Overloaded Operators

---

- *operator overloading*:
  - use of an operator for more than one purpose
- Some are common:
  - e.g., `+` for `int` and `float`
- Some are potential trouble:
  - e.g., `*` in C and C++
  - Loss of compiler error detection (omission of an operand should be a detectable error)
  - loss of readability



# Overloaded Operators

---

- C++, C#, and F#
  - allow user-defined overloaded operators
  - when sensibly used, such operators can be an aid to readability (avoid method calls, expressions appear natural)
  - potential problems:
    - users can define nonsense operations
    - readability may suffer, even when the operators make sense
      - E.g.,  
`a = b * c;`  
`a.assign( b.mul( c ) );`

# Type Conversions

---

- *Narrowing conversion:*
  - an object is **converted** to a **type** that **cannot** **include** all of the values of the **original** **type**
  - e.g., `float` to `int`
- *Widening conversion:*
  - an object is **converted** to a **type** that can **include** at least approximations to all of the values of the **original** **type**
  - e.g., `int` to `float`

# Type Conversions: Mixed Mode

---

- *Mixed-mode expression*:
  - has operands of different types
- *Coercion*: an implicit type conversion
  - Disadvantage of coercions:
  - decrease in the type error detection ability of the compiler
- In most languages, all numeric types are coerced in expressions, using widening conversions
  - e.g., in Java
  - `int a; float b, c, d;    d = b * a;    // convert int a to float`
- In ML and F#
  - there are no coercions in expressions

# Explicit Type Conversions

---

- Called *casting*
- Examples
  - C: `(int) angle`
  - F#: `float(sum)`
  - Java: `Vehicle v; Sedan s = (Sedan) v;`

Note that F#'s syntax is similar to that of **function calls**

# Errors in Expressions

---

- Causes
  - limitations of arithmetic  
e.g., division by zero
  - Limitations of computer arithmetic  
e.g. overflow (positive or negative)

# Relational Expressions

---

- Relational Expressions
  - Use relational operators and operands of various types, e.g.,  $a > b$
  - Evaluate to some Boolean representation
  - Operator symbols used vary somewhat among languages ( $\neq$ ,  $\neq$ ,  $\sim$ ,  $\text{.NE.}$ ,  $<>$ ,  $\#$ )
- JavaScript and PHP
  - two additional relational operator,  $===$  and  $!==$
  - similar to their cousins,  $==$  and  $!=$ , except that they do not coerce their operands
  - Ruby uses  $==$  for equality relation operator that uses coercions and  $eq?$  for those that do not

# Boolean Expressions

---

- Boolean Expressions consist of:
  - Boolean variable, Boolean constant, relational expression and Boolean operators (e.g., AND, OR, NOT)
- Boolean operators take:
  - Boolean operands--Boolean variables or relational expression
- C89 has no Boolean type
  - uses `int` type with 0 for false and nonzero for true
- One odd characteristic of C's expressions:  
`a < b < c` is a legal expression:
  - Left operator is evaluated (`a` and `b`), producing 0 or 1
  - The evaluation result is then compared with the third operand (i.e., `c`)

# Short Circuit Evaluation

---

- Result of an expression is determined **without evaluating all** of the operands and/or operators
  - E.g.,:  $(13 * a) * (b / 13 - 1)$   
If  $a$  is zero, no need to evaluate  $(b/13 - 1)$
- Problem with **non-short-circuit** evaluation

```
index = 0;
while (index < length) && (LIST[index] != value)
    index++;
```

  - When  $index=length$ ,  $LIST[index]$  will **cause an indexing problem** (assuming  $LIST$  length is  $length - 1$ )



# Short Circuit Evaluation

---

- C, C++, and Java:
  - use short-circuit evaluation for the usual Boolean operators (&& and ||), but also provide bitwise Boolean operators that are not short circuit (& and |)
- All logic operators in Ruby, Perl, ML, F#, and Python are short-circuit evaluated
- Short-circuit evaluation exposes the potential problem of side effects in expressions  
e.g. `(a > b) || (b++ / 3)`

Note: `b` is changed only when `a <= b`, not every time this expression is evaluated.

# Assignment Statements

---

- General syntax:

`<target_var> <assign_operator> <expression>`

- Assignment operator

`=` Fortran, BASIC, C-based languages

`:=` Ada

- `=` can be bad when it is overloaded for the relational operator for equality (that's why the C-based languages use `==` as the relational operator)

# Assignment Statements: Conditional Targets

---

- Conditional targets (in Perl)

```
($flag ? $total : $subtotal) = 0
```

Which is equivalent to:

```
if ($flag) {  
    $total = 0  
} else {  
    $subtotal = 0  
}
```

# Assignment Statements: Compound Assignment Operators

---

- A shorthand method of specifying a commonly needed form of assignment
- Introduced in ALGOL; adopted by C and the C-based languages
  - E.g.,

`a = a + b`

can be written as

`a += b`

# Assignment Statements: **Unary** Assignment Operators

---

- Unary assignment operators in C-based languages combine increment and decrement operations with assignment
  - E.g.,
    - `sum = ++count` (count incremented, then assigned to sum)
    - `sum = count++` (count assigned to sum, then incremented)
    - `count++` (count incremented)
    - `-count++` (count incremented then negated)

# Assignment as an Expression

---

- In the C-based languages, Perl, and JavaScript, the **assignment statement produces a result** and **can be used as an operand**

```
while ((ch = getchar()) != EOF) {...}
```

`ch = getchar()` is carried out; **the result (assigned to `ch`) is used as a conditional value** for the `while` statement

- **Disadvantage:** another kind of expression side effect

# Multiple Assignments

---

- Perl, Ruby, and Lua allow **multiple-target multiple-source** assignments

```
($first, $second, $third) = (20, 30, 40);
```

Also, the following is legal and performs an interchange:

```
($first, $second) = ($second, $first);
```

# Assignment in Functional Languages

---

- Identifiers in functional languages are only names of values
- ML (MetaLanguage)
  - Names are bound to values with `val`  
`val fruit = apples + oranges;`
  - If another val for **fruit** follows, it is a new, different identifier.
- F#
  - Binding expression to define values for one or more names  
`let i = 1`  
`let i, j, k = (1, 2, 3)`



# Mixed-Mode Assignment

---

- Assignment statements can also be mixed-mode
- In Fortran, C, Perl, and C++
  - any numeric type value can be assigned to any numeric type variable--coercion freely applied
- In Java and C#
  - only widening assignment coercions are allowed
- In Ada,
  - there is no assignment coercion