

Project 6: Interpreter

Background

For this project, you will write an *interpreter* for a small fragment of JavaScript. To write an interpreter, you need a *parser* to turn JavaScript's *concrete syntax* into an *abstract syntax tree* (as explained in class). You don't need to write the parser yourself. We have provided it for you.

Concrete Syntax

The following grammar describes the concrete syntax of the fragment of JavaScript that you will be working with.

Numbers	$n ::= \dots$	
Variables	$x ::= \dots$	
Expressions	$e ::= n$	numeric constant
	true	boolean value true
	false	boolean value false
	x	variable reference
	$e_1 + e_2$	addition
	$e_1 - e_2$	subtraction
	$e_1 * e_2$	multiplication
	e_1 / e_2	division
	$e_1 \&\& e_2$	logical and
	$e_1 e_2$	logical or
	$e_1 < e_2$	less than
	$e_1 > e_2$	greater than
	$e_1 === e_2$	equal to
Statements	$s ::= \text{let } x = e;$	variable declaration
	$x = e;$	assignment
	if (e) b_1 else b_2	conditional
	while (e) b	loop
	print(e);	display to console
Blocks	$b ::= \{ s_1 \dots s_n \}$	
Programs	$p ::= s_1 \dots s_n$	

Parser

We have provided two parsing functions. The function `parser.parseExpression` parses an expression (e) and the function `parser.parseProgram` parses a program (p). The following are their function signatures:

```
parseExpression(str: string): Result<Expr>
parseProgram(str: string): Result<Stmt[]>
```

The type definitions are as follows:

```
type Result<T> = { kind: 'ok', value: T } | { kind: 'error', message: string };

type Binop = '+' | '-' | '*' | '/' | '&&' | '||' | '>' | '<' | '===';

type Expr = { kind: 'boolean', value: boolean }
           | { kind: 'number', value: number }
           | { kind: 'variable', name: string }
           | { kind: 'operator', op: Binop, e1: Expr, e2: Expr };

type Stmt = { kind: 'let', name: string, expression: Expr }
           | { kind: 'assignment', name: string, expression: Expr }
           | { kind: 'if', test: Expr, truePart: Stmt[], falsePart: Stmt[] }
           | { kind: 'while', test: Expr, body: Stmt[] }
           | { kind: 'print', expression: Expr };
```

Here is an example of what `parseExpression` returns given “1” as the argument:

```
> parser.parseExpression("1")
{
  value: {
    kind: "number",
    value: 1
  },
  kind: "ok"
}
```

Programming Task (due Tue, 13 April 2021)

Your task is to implement the following functions:

```
// Given a state object and an AST of an expression as arguments,
// interpExpression returns the result of the expression (number or boolean)
interpExpression(state: State, e: Expr): number | boolean
// The State type is explained further down the document.

// Given a state object and an AST of a statement,
// interpStatement updates the state object and returns nothing
interpStatement(state: State, p: Stmt): void

// Given the AST of a program,
// interpProgram returns the final state of the program
interpProgram(p: Stmt[]): State
```

The `State` type is defined below:

```
type State = { [key: string]: number | boolean }
```

This notation indicates that a `State` object would have variable number of properties with values of type `number` or `boolean`.

Note that the inputs of these functions are abstract syntax trees, *not concrete syntax*. Therefore, you can run your code by using the parser, or by directly constructing ASTs by hand. E.g.:

```

> interpProgram(parser.parseProgram("let x = 10; x = x * 2;").value)
{ x: 20 }
> interpProgram([
  { kind: "let", name: "x", expression: { kind: "number", value: 10 } },
  { kind: "assignment", name: "x",
    expression: {
      kind: "operator", op: "*", e1: { kind: "variable", name: "x" },
      e2: { kind: "number", value: 2 } } } ]]);
{ x: 20 }

```

Error Handling

An interpreter can generally not meaningfully continue after an error (as opposed to compilers). Thus, if you find an error, you should abort the program, with an informative error message. You need to do a number of checks (e.g., correct typing, and missing or duplicate declarations). You may assume that an AST has the right fields and types. Do not assume other parser checks (no type checking), as your functions can be tested with ASTs that don't come from the parser.

Variable Scoping

Scoping rules are important. As in most languages, a block starts a new inner scope. A variable declared in an inner scope (block) will shadow an outer declaration (any variable use will refer to the inner declaration). On exiting a scope, the variables declared there are no longer accessible (except through closures, which we don't have). In particular, they should not be in the global state at the end. The nesting of block scopes corresponds to a stack, which you can implement as a linked list, by adding a link to an outer scope to your State object. This allows all functions to keep their signatures, as the link is just an extra property. JavaScript and lib220 allow property names which are not identifiers; this avoids the link name clashing with any potential variable. The global state cannot have extra properties, but does not need a link, as the last state on the list.

Suggested Approach

We suggest taking the following approach:

1. Implement `interpExpression`, following the template shown in class. You can use an empty object (`{ }`) for the state if you do not have any variables, or you can set the values of variable by hand. For example

```

test("multiplication with a variable", function() {
  let r = interpExpression({ x: 10 }, parser.parseExpression("x * 2").value);
  assert(r === 20);
});

```

2. Implement `interpStatement` and `interpProgram`, following the template shown in class. You should be able to test that assignment updates variables. For example:

```

test("assignment", function() {
  let st = interpProgram(parser.parseProgram("let x = 10; x = 20;").value);
  assert(st.x === 20);
});

```

3. Finally, test your interpreter with some simple programs. For example, you should be able to interpret an iterative factorial or Fibonacci sequence computation.