

Erratum

The complete tree-level result up to order $1/Q$ for polarized deep-inelastic leptonproduction [Nucl. Phys. B 461 (1996) 197]

P.J. Mulders, R.D. Tangerman

The result given for $\Delta^{[\gamma]}$ in Eq. (60) is incomplete. The full result is

$$\Delta^{[\gamma]}(z, \mathbf{k}'_T) = \frac{k_T^i}{P_h^-} D^\perp(z, \mathbf{k}_T'^2) + \frac{\lambda_h \epsilon_T^{ij} k_{Tj}}{P_h^-} D_L^\perp(z, \mathbf{k}_T'^2) + \frac{M_h \epsilon_T^{ij} S_{hTj}}{P_h^-} D_T(z, \mathbf{k}_T'^2). \quad (1)$$

The function D_T is non-vanishing upon integration over \mathbf{k}_T . The functions D_L^\perp and D_T also appear in one of the relations that are a consequence of the equations of motion; to be precise Eq. (69) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} g_T^{\alpha\beta} \Delta_{D\beta}^{[\gamma^-]} + i \epsilon_T^{\alpha\beta} \Delta_{D\beta}^{[\gamma^- \gamma s]} &= k_T^\alpha \left(\frac{D^\perp}{z} + i \frac{m}{M_h} H_1^\perp \right) \\ &+ i \epsilon_T^{\alpha\beta} k_{T\beta} \left(\frac{G_s^\perp}{z} - \frac{m}{M_h} H_{1s}^\perp - i \lambda_h \frac{D_L^\perp}{z} \right) \\ &+ i \epsilon_T^{\alpha\beta} S_{hT\beta} \left(\frac{M_h}{z} G_T' - m H_{1T} - i M_h \frac{D_T}{z} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The corresponding functions for antiquarks are

$$\overline{D}_T(z, \mathbf{k}_T'^2) = D_T(-z, \mathbf{k}_T'^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{D}_L^\perp(z, \mathbf{k}_T'^2) = -D_L^\perp(-z, \mathbf{k}_T'^2).$$

The hadronic tensor acquires additional terms, in both the symmetric part (Eq. (77)) and the antisymmetric part (Eq. (78)), respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} 2M \mathcal{W}_S^{\mu\nu} &= \dots + 2z \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_T d^2 \mathbf{p}_T \delta^2(\mathbf{p}_T + \mathbf{q}_T - \mathbf{k}_T) \\ &\times \left[\frac{2M_h \hat{\mathbf{i}}^{\{\mu} \epsilon_\perp^{\nu\}\rho} S_{h\perp\rho}}{Q} f_1 \frac{D_T}{z_h} + \frac{2 \hat{\mathbf{i}}^{\{\mu} \epsilon_\perp^{\nu\}\rho} k_{\perp\rho}}{Q} \lambda_h f_1 \frac{D_L^\perp}{z_h} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$2M \mathcal{W}_A^{\mu\nu} = \dots + 2z \int d^2 k_T d^2 p_T \delta^2(p_T + q_T - k_T) \\ \times \left[-i \frac{2M_h \hat{t}^{[\mu} S_{h\perp}^{\nu]} }{Q} g_{1s} \frac{D_T}{z_h} - i \frac{2 \hat{t}^{[\mu} k_{\perp}^{\nu]} }{Q} \lambda_h g_{1s} \frac{D_L^\perp}{z_h} \right]. \quad (4)$$

Performing the integration over q_T , the hadronic tensor in Eq. (81) also acquires additional terms containing D_T . They are of the same form as already existing terms. To include them simply make the replacement $D_{1T}^{\perp(1)} \rightarrow D_T/z_h + D_{1T}^{\perp(1)} = \tilde{D}_T/z_h$, and correspondingly the same replacement in the $1/Q$ terms in the expressions for $d\sigma_{00}$ in Eq. (83), $d\sigma_{LL}$ in Eq. (87) and in the expression for $\mathcal{P}_{hy} N_h$ in Eq. (102).

The functions D_T and D_L^\perp appear as a consequence of the non-applicability of time reversal invariance for fragmentation. They are ‘interaction-dependent’ functions. Eq. (C.24) in the appendix becomes

$$g_T^{\alpha\beta} \Delta_{A\beta}^{[\gamma^-]} + i\epsilon_T^{\alpha\beta} \Delta_{A\beta}^{[\gamma^- \gamma_s]} \\ = k_T^\alpha \left(\frac{\tilde{D}^\perp}{z} + i \frac{m}{M_h} \tilde{H}_1^\perp \right) - \frac{(k_T^\alpha k_T^i + \frac{1}{2} k_T^2 g_T^{\alpha i}) \epsilon_{Tij} S_{hT}^j}{M_h} \tilde{D}_{1T}^\perp \\ + i\epsilon_T^{\alpha\beta} k_{T\beta} \left(\frac{\tilde{G}_s^\perp}{z} - i \lambda_h \frac{\tilde{D}_L^\perp}{z} \right) + i\epsilon_T^{\alpha\beta} S_{hT\beta} \left(\frac{M_h}{z} \tilde{G}_T' - i M_h \frac{\tilde{D}_T}{z} \right) \quad (5)$$

and $D_T = \tilde{D}_T - z D_{1T}^{\perp(1)}$ and $D_L^\perp = \tilde{D}_L^\perp$.

The relation for G_T in Eq. (C.41) should read

$$G_T(z) = -z \int_z^1 dy \frac{G_1(y)}{y^2} + \frac{m}{M_h} \left[z H_1(z) + z \int_z^1 dy \frac{H_1(y)}{y} \right] \\ + \left[\tilde{G}_T(z) + z \int_z^1 dy \frac{\tilde{G}_T(y)}{y^2} \right] \\ = G_1(z) - z^3 \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{G_{1T}^{(1)}(z)}{z} \right]. \quad (6)$$

This does not lead to the sum rule in Eq. (C.43), which should be discarded. Similarly the relation for H_L in Eq. (C.42) should read

$$H_L(z) = -2 \int_z^1 dy \frac{H_1(y)}{y} + \frac{m}{M_h} \left[z G_1(z) + 2 \int_z^1 dy G_1(y) \right] + \tilde{H}_L(z) + 2 \int_z^1 dy \frac{\tilde{H}_L(y)}{y} \\ = H_1(z) + z^3 \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{H_{1L}^{\perp(1)}(z)}{z} \right]. \quad (7)$$

This does not lead to the sum rule in Eq. (C.44), which should be discarded.

Finally, there exists the following useful relation between the fragmentation functions D_T and $D_{1T}^{\perp(1)}$:

$$\frac{D_T(z)}{z} = z^2 \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{D_{1T}^{\perp(1)}(z)}{z} \right]. \quad (8)$$

We are indebted to R. Jakob (NIKHEF), who pointed out the omission of the functions D_T and D_L^{\perp} .