# Lagoon Overflow Project

■ Def. Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$  and  $0 \neq \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^N$  then  $n^{th}$  Krylov subspace generated by  $\mathbf{A}$  from  $\mathbf{v}$  is defined by:

$$\mathcal{K}_n = \{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{v}, \cdots, \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{v}\}.$$

Obviously,  $\mathcal{K}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{K}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{K}_3 \subseteq \cdots$ .

■ Def. Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$  and  $0 \neq \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^N$  then  $n^{th}$  Krylov subspace generated by  $\mathbf{A}$  from  $\mathbf{v}$  is defined by:

$$\mathcal{K}_n = \{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{v}, \cdots, \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{v}\}.$$

Obviously,  $\mathcal{K}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{K}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{K}_3 \subseteq \cdots$ .

■ Def. Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$  and  $0 \neq \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^N$  then  $n^{th}$  Krylov subspace generated by  $\mathbf{A}$  from  $\mathbf{v}$  is defined by:

$$\mathcal{K}_n = \{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{v}, \cdots, \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{v}\}.$$

Obviously,  $\mathcal{K}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{K}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{K}_3 \subseteq \cdots$ .

#### Lemma

There is a positive integer  $1 \le \mu \le N$  such that

$$dim(\mathcal{K}_n(A, v)) = \begin{cases} n & if \quad n \leq \mu \\ \mu & if \quad n \geq \mu \end{cases}$$

 $\mu$  is called grade of v with respect to A.

■ Def. Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$  and  $0 \neq \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^N$  then  $n^{th}$  Krylov subspace generated by  $\mathbf{A}$  from  $\mathbf{v}$  is defined by:

$$\mathcal{K}_n = \{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{v}, \cdots, \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{v}\}.$$

Obviously,  $\mathcal{K}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{K}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{K}_3 \subseteq \cdots$ .

#### Lemma

There is a positive integer  $1 \le \mu \le N$  such that

$$dim(\mathcal{K}_n(A, v)) = \begin{cases} n & if \quad n \leq \mu \\ \mu & if \quad n \geq \mu \end{cases}$$

 $\mu$  is called grade of v with respect to A.

- As soon as we hit the invariant subspace of A, we have the exact solution.

■ Def. A (standard) Krylov space method for solving linear system  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  is an iterative method that starts with an initial guess  $\mathbf{x}_0$  and generates approximations

$$\mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{x}_0 + q_{n-1}(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{r}_0 \in \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathcal{K}_n(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{r}_0).$$

where  $q_{n-1}$  is of exact degree n-1.

■ Def. A (standard) Krylov space method for solving linear system  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  is an iterative method that starts with an initial guess  $\mathbf{x}_0$  and generates approximations

$$\mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{x}_0 + q_{n-1}(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{r}_0 \in \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathcal{K}_n(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{r}_0).$$

where  $q_{n-1}$  is of exact degree n-1.

■ Def. A (standard) Krylov space method for solving linear system  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  is an iterative method that starts with an initial guess  $\mathbf{x}_0$  and generates approximations

$$\mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{x}_0 + q_{n-1}(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{r}_0 \in \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathcal{K}_n(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{r}_0).$$

where  $q_{n-1}$  is of exact degree n-1. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{r}_n = \mathbf{r}_0 - \mathbf{A} q_{n-1}(\mathbf{A}) \mathbf{r}_0 = p_n(\mathbf{A}) \mathbf{r}_0 \in \mathbf{r}_0 + \mathbf{A} \mathcal{K}_n(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{r}_0) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{n+1}$$

Where degree of  $p_n$  is exactly n, i.e.  $p_n \in \mathbf{P}_n \backslash \mathbf{P}_{n-1}$ .

■ Note that  $p_n(z) = 1 - zq_{n-1}(z)$  and therefore,  $p_n(0) = 1$ .



**IDR** is a Krylov subspace solver with one more flavor. The residuals in IDR are forced to be in subspaces  $G_j$  of decreasing dimension.

**IDR** is a Krylov subspace solver with one more flavor. The residuals in IDR are forced to be in subspaces  $\mathcal{G}_j$  of decreasing dimension. These nested subspaces are defined by:

$$\mathcal{G}_j = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A})(\mathcal{G}_{j-1} \cap \mathcal{S})$$

where S is a fixed proper subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  and  $0 \neq \omega_j$ 's are scalars.

**IDR** is a Krylov subspace solver with one more flavor. The residuals in IDR are forced to be in subspaces  $\mathcal{G}_j$  of decreasing dimension. These nested subspaces are defined by:

$$\mathcal{G}_j = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A})(\mathcal{G}_{j-1} \cap \mathcal{S})$$

where S is a fixed proper subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  and  $0 \neq \omega_j$ 's are scalars.

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be any matrix in  $\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$  and let  $v\neq 0$  be any vector in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , and let  $\mathcal{G}_0$  be the full Krylov space  $\mathcal{K}_{N+1}(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{v})$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be any (proper) subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  such that  $\mathcal{G}_0$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  do not share any nontrivial invariant subspace of  $\mathbf{A}$  and define  $\mathcal{G}_j$  by

$$\mathcal{G}_j = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A})(\mathcal{G}_{j-1} \cap \mathcal{S})$$

for  $j=1,2,3,\cdots$  where  $0 \neq \omega_j$  are scalars then:



**IDR** is a Krylov subspace solver with one more flavor. The residuals in IDR are forced to be in subspaces  $\mathcal{G}_j$  of decreasing dimension. These nested subspaces are defined by:

$$\mathcal{G}_j = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A})(\mathcal{G}_{j-1} \cap \mathcal{S})$$

where S is a fixed proper subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  and  $0 \neq \omega_j$ 's are scalars.

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be any matrix in  $\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$  and let  $v\neq 0$  be any vector in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , and let  $\mathcal{G}_0$  be the full Krylov space  $\mathcal{K}_{N+1}(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{v})$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be any (proper) subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  such that  $\mathcal{G}_0$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  do not share any nontrivial invariant subspace of  $\mathbf{A}$  and define  $\mathcal{G}_j$  by

$$\mathcal{G}_j = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A})(\mathcal{G}_{j-1} \cap \mathcal{S})$$

for  $j=1,2,3,\cdots$  where  $0 \neq \omega_j$  are scalars then: (i)  $\mathcal{G}_j \subset \mathcal{G}_{j-1}, \forall j > 0$ .



**IDR** is a Krylov subspace solver with one more flavor. The residuals in IDR are forced to be in subspaces  $\mathcal{G}_j$  of decreasing dimension. These nested subspaces are defined by:

$$\mathcal{G}_j = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A})(\mathcal{G}_{j-1} \cap \mathcal{S})$$

where S is a fixed proper subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  and  $0 \neq \omega_j$ 's are scalars.

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be any matrix in  $\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$  and let  $v\neq 0$  be any vector in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , and let  $\mathcal{G}_0$  be the full Krylov space  $\mathcal{K}_{N+1}(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{v})$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be any (proper) subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  such that  $\mathcal{G}_0$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  do not share any nontrivial invariant subspace of  $\mathbf{A}$  and define  $\mathcal{G}_j$  by

$$\mathcal{G}_j = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A})(\mathcal{G}_{j-1} \cap \mathcal{S})$$

for  $j=1,2,3,\cdots$  where  $0 \neq \omega_j$  are scalars then:

(i) 
$$\mathcal{G}_j \subset \mathcal{G}_{j-1}$$
,  $\forall j > 0$ .

(ii) 
$$\mathcal{G}_j = \{\mathbf{0}\}$$
 for some  $j \leq N$ 

Usually in Krylov solvers we find  $\mathbf{x}_n$  then we find corresponding  $\mathbf{r}_n$ . In IDR we will do the other way arround, if a recurssion for  $\mathbf{r}_n$ 's is given, we have to be able to find corresponding  $\mathbf{x}_n$ 's.

Usually in Krylov solvers we find  $\mathbf{x}_n$  then we find corresponding  $\mathbf{r}_n$ . In IDR we will do the other way arround, if a recurssion for  $\mathbf{r}_n$ 's is given, we have to be able to find corresponding  $\mathbf{x}_n$ 's. Assuming this is possible we have the following, Let  $\nabla u_k := u_k - u_{k-1}$ .

$$\mathbf{A}\nabla\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_n) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_n$$

$$= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_n$$

$$= -\mathbf{r}_{n+1} + \mathbf{r}_n$$

$$= -p_{n+1}(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{r}_0 + p_n(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{r}_0$$

$$= (p_n(\mathbf{A}) - p_{n+1}(\mathbf{A}))\mathbf{r}_0$$

Usually in Krylov solvers we find  $\mathbf{x}_n$  then we find corresponding  $\mathbf{r}_n$ . In IDR we will do the other way arround, if a recurssion for  $\mathbf{r}_n$ 's is given, we have to be able to find corresponding  $\mathbf{x}_n$ 's. Assuming this is possible we have the following, Let  $\nabla u_k := u_k - u_{k-1}$ .

$$\mathbf{A}\nabla\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_n) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_n$$

$$= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_n$$

$$= -\mathbf{r}_{n+1} + \mathbf{r}_n$$

$$= -p_{n+1}(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{r}_0 + p_n(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{r}_0$$

$$= (p_n(\mathbf{A}) - p_{n+1}(\mathbf{A}))\mathbf{r}_0$$

We can find  $\mathbf{x}_{n+1}$  here without solving the system if  $p_n(z)-p_{n+1}(z)$  is divisible by z, as follows:

Usually in Krylov solvers we find  $\mathbf{x}_n$  then we find corresponding  $\mathbf{r}_n$ . In IDR we will do the other way arround, if a recurssion for  $\mathbf{r}_n$ 's is given, we have to be able to find corresponding  $\mathbf{x}_n$ 's. Assuming this is possible we have the following, Let  $\nabla u_k := u_k - u_{k-1}$ .

$$\mathbf{A}\nabla\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_n) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_n$$

$$= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_n$$

$$= -\mathbf{r}_{n+1} + \mathbf{r}_n$$

$$= -p_{n+1}(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{r}_0 + p_n(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{r}_0$$

$$= (p_n(\mathbf{A}) - p_{n+1}(\mathbf{A}))\mathbf{r}_0$$

We can find  $\mathbf{x}_{n+1}$  here without solving the system if  $p_n(z)-p_{n+1}(z)$  is divisible by z, as follows:

$$\mathbf{A}\nabla\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \mathbf{A}\psi_n(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{r}_0$$

We saw in any Krylov solvers  $\mathbf{r}_n = p_n(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{r}_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}(\backslash \mathcal{K}_n)$  where degree of  $p_n$  is exactly n.

We saw in any Krylov solvers  $\mathbf{r}_n = p_n(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{r}_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}(\backslash \mathcal{K}_n)$  where degree of  $p_n$  is exactly n. Therefore, we have:

$$\mathbf{r}_n = \sum\limits_{k=0}^n lpha_k \mathbf{A}^k \mathbf{r}_0$$
 ,  $lpha_n 
eq 0$ 

We saw in any Krylov solvers  $\mathbf{r}_n = p_n(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{r}_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}(\backslash \mathcal{K}_n)$  where degree of  $p_n$  is exactly n. Therefore, we have:

$$\mathbf{r}_n = \sum\limits_{k=0}^n lpha_k \mathbf{A}^k \mathbf{r}_0$$
 ,  $lpha_n 
eq 0$ 

Let  $\mathbf{v}_n \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1} \backslash \mathcal{K}_n$  be any arbitrary vector, then  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n \in \mathcal{K}_{n+2} \backslash \mathcal{K}_{n+1}$ .

We saw in any Krylov solvers  $\mathbf{r}_n = p_n(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{r}_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}(\backslash \mathcal{K}_n)$  where degree of  $p_n$  is exactly n. Therefore, we have:

$$\mathbf{r}_n = \sum\limits_{k=0}^n lpha_k \mathbf{A}^k \mathbf{r}_0$$
 ,  $lpha_n 
eq 0$ 

Let  $\mathbf{v}_n \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1} \backslash \mathcal{K}_n$  be any arbitrary vector, then  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_n \in \mathcal{K}_{n+2} \backslash \mathcal{K}_{n+1}$ . Hence, any arbitrary vector in  $\mathcal{K}_{n+2} \backslash \mathcal{K}_{n+1}$  can be written as:

$$-\alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k \mathbf{r}_{n-k}$$

We saw in any Krylov solvers  $\mathbf{r}_n = p_n(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{r}_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1}(\backslash \mathcal{K}_n)$  where degree of  $p_n$  is exactly n. Therefore, we have:

$$\mathbf{r}_n = \sum\limits_{k=0}^n lpha_k \mathbf{A}^k \mathbf{r}_0$$
 ,  $lpha_n 
eq 0$ 

Let  $\mathbf{v}_n \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1} \backslash \mathcal{K}_n$  be any arbitrary vector, then  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_n \in \mathcal{K}_{n+2} \backslash \mathcal{K}_{n+1}$ . Hence, any arbitrary vector in  $\mathcal{K}_{n+2} \backslash \mathcal{K}_{n+1}$  can be written as:

$$-\alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k \mathbf{r}_{n-k}$$

Therefore, we can write:

$$\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = -\alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k \mathbf{r}_{n-k}$$

Our goal was computing  $\mathbf{x}_{n+1}$  provided that  $\mathbf{r}_{n+1}$  is known. Let's replace  $r_i$ 's by  $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_i$  in above formula to get  $\mathbf{x}_{n+1}$ :

Our goal was computing  $\mathbf{x}_{n+1}$  provided that  $\mathbf{r}_{n+1}$  is known. Let's replace  $r_i$ 's by  $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_i$  in above formula to get  $\mathbf{x}_{n+1}$ :

$$\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = -\alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k \mathbf{r}_{n-k} \longrightarrow$$

$$\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{n+1} = -\alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{n-k})$$

$$= (\sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k) \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} (\alpha \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k \mathbf{x}_{n-k})$$

Our goal was computing  $\mathbf{x}_{n+1}$  provided that  $\mathbf{r}_{n+1}$  is known. Let's replace  $r_i$ 's by  $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_i$  in above formula to get  $\mathbf{x}_{n+1}$ :

$$\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = -\alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k \mathbf{r}_{n-k} \longrightarrow$$

$$\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{n+1} = -\alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{n-k})$$

$$= (\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k) \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} (\alpha \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k \mathbf{x}_{n-k})$$

Consequently  $\mathbf{x}_{n+1}$  would be solution of

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = (1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k)\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{A}(\alpha \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k \mathbf{x}_{n-k}).$$

Our goal was computing  $\mathbf{x}_{n+1}$  provided that  $\mathbf{r}_{n+1}$  is known. Let's replace  $r_i$ 's by  $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_i$  in above formula to get  $\mathbf{x}_{n+1}$ :

$$\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = -\alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k \mathbf{r}_{n-k} \longrightarrow$$

$$\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{n+1} = -\alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{n-k})$$

$$= (\sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k) \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} (\alpha \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k \mathbf{x}_{n-k})$$

Consequently  $\mathbf{x}_{n+1}$  would be solution of

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = (1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k)\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{A}(\alpha \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k \mathbf{x}_{n-k}).$$

 $\mathbf{x}_{n+1}$  is computable without solving the system if  $(1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k) = 0$ .

We want  $1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k = 0$  or equivalently  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k = 1$ . This equation can be established by:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k \mathbf{r}_{n-k} = \mathbf{r}_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1}.$$

We want  $1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k = 0$  or equivalently  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k = 1$ . This equation can be established by:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k \mathbf{r}_{n-k} = \mathbf{r}_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1}.$$

Because,

$$\beta_0 \mathbf{r}_n + \beta_1 \mathbf{r}_{n-1} + \dots + \beta_n \mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{r}_n - \gamma_1 \nabla \mathbf{r}_n - \gamma_2 \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \dots - \gamma_n \nabla \mathbf{r}_1$$

$$= (1 - \gamma_1) \mathbf{r}_n + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) \mathbf{r}_{n-1} + \dots$$

$$+ (\gamma_{n-1} - \gamma_n) r_1 + \gamma_n \mathbf{r}_0$$

We want  $1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k = 0$  or equivalently  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k = 1$ . This equation can be established by:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k \mathbf{r}_{n-k} = \mathbf{r}_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1}.$$

Because,

$$\beta_0 \mathbf{r}_n + \beta_1 \mathbf{r}_{n-1} + \dots + \beta_n \mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{r}_n - \gamma_1 \nabla \mathbf{r}_n - \gamma_2 \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \dots + \gamma_n \nabla \mathbf{r}_1$$

$$= (1 - \gamma_1) \mathbf{r}_n + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) \mathbf{r}_{n-1} + \dots$$

$$+ (\gamma_{n-1} - \gamma_n) r_1 + \gamma_n \mathbf{r}_0$$

which implies:

$$\beta_0 = 1 - \chi_1$$

$$\beta_1 = \chi_1 - \chi_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\beta_n = \chi_1$$

So, in general for any Krylov solver we can write:

$$\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = -\alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k \mathbf{r}_{n-k}.$$

So, in general for any Krylov solver we can write:

$$\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = -\alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k \mathbf{r}_{n-k}.$$

Now let's replace  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k \mathbf{r}_{n-k}$  by  $\mathbf{r}_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1}$  to get:

$$\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = \mathbf{r}_n - \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n - \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1}$$
 (2.1)

So, in general for any Krylov solver we can write:

$$\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = -\alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k \mathbf{r}_{n-k}.$$

Now let's replace  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k \mathbf{r}_{n-k}$  by  $\mathbf{r}_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1}$  to get:

$$\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = \mathbf{r}_n - \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n - \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1}$$
 (2.1)

In equation (2.1) we are using all residuals from 0 to n. Depth of recurssion is so long, in order to get short recurssions we need to have  $\gamma_k = 0$  for  $k \ge m$  where  $m \ll N$ .

So, in general for any Krylov solver we can write:

$$\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = -\alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k \mathbf{r}_{n-k}.$$

Now let's replace  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k \mathbf{r}_{n-k}$  by  $\mathbf{r}_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1}$  to get:

$$\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = \mathbf{r}_n - \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n - \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1}$$
 (2.1)

In equation (2.1) we are using all residuals from 0 to n. Depth of recurssion is so long, in order to get short recurssions we need to have  $\gamma_k=0$  for  $k\geq m$  where  $m\ll N.$ So, I will change the upper limit from n to l.

$$\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = \mathbf{r}_n - \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n - \sum_{k=1}^l \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1}$$
 (2.2)

Equation (2.2) can be written in general for all Krylov solvers. It is time to add IDR flavor.

$$\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = \mathbf{r}_n - \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n - \sum_{k=1}^l \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1}$$
 (2.2)

Equation (2.2) can be written in general for all Krylov solvers. It is time to add IDR flavor.

We want to force residuals to be in  $\mathcal{G}_{j+1} := (\mathbf{I} - \omega_{j+1} \mathbf{A})(\mathcal{G}_j \cap \mathcal{S})$ . Therefore, there should be a  $\mathbf{v}_n \in \mathcal{G}_j \cap \mathcal{S}$  such that

$$\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_{j+1} \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}_n \tag{2.3}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = \mathbf{r}_n - \alpha \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n - \sum_{k=1}^{l} \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1}$$
 (2.2)

Equation (2.2) can be written in general for all Krylov solvers. It is time to add IDR flavor.

We want to force residuals to be in  $\mathcal{G}_{j+1} := (\mathbf{I} - \omega_{j+1} \mathbf{A})(\mathcal{G}_j \cap \mathcal{S})$ . Therefore, there should be a  $\mathbf{v}_n \in \mathcal{G}_j \cap \mathcal{S}$  such that

$$\mathbf{r}_{n+1} = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_{j+1} \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}_n \tag{2.3}$$

Equating (2.2) and (2.3) leads to

$$\mathbf{v}_n := \mathbf{r}_n - \sum_{k=1}^l \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1}$$
 and  $\alpha := \omega_{j+1}$ 

Making this change in (2.2) gives the following:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{r}_{n+1} &= (\mathbf{I} - \omega_{j+1} \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}_n \\ \mathbf{v}_n &= \mathbf{r}_n - \sum_{k=1}^l \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1} \in \mathcal{G}_j \cap \mathcal{S} \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

Making this change in (2.2) gives the following:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{r}_{n+1} &= (\mathbf{I} - \omega_{j+1} \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}_n \\ \mathbf{v}_n &= \mathbf{r}_n - \sum_{k=1}^l \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1} \in \mathcal{G}_j \cap \mathcal{S} \end{cases}$$
 (2.4)

Finding  $\mathbf{v}_n$  and  $\omega_j$  would be a great step toward our goal. So, let  $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P}^*)$  where  $\mathbf{P} = [\mathbf{p}_1 \ \mathbf{p}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{p}_s]$ .  $\mathcal{S}$  has codimension s.

Making this change in (2.2) gives the following:

$$\begin{cases}
\mathbf{r}_{n+1} &= (\mathbf{I} - \omega_{j+1} \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}_n \\
\mathbf{v}_n &= \mathbf{r}_n - \sum_{k=1}^l \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1} \in \mathcal{G}_j \cap \mathcal{S}
\end{cases} (2.4)$$

Finding  $\mathbf{v}_n$  and  $\omega_j$  would be a great step toward our goal. So, let  $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P}^*)$  where  $\mathbf{P} = [\mathbf{p}_1 \ \mathbf{p}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{p}_s]$ .  $\mathcal{S}$  has codimension s.

Since  $\mathbf{v}_n \in \mathcal{S}$ , we have to have  $\mathbf{P}^*\mathbf{v}_n = 0$ , and consequently,

$$\mathbf{P}^*(\sum_{k=1}^l \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1}) = \mathbf{P}^* \mathbf{r}_n$$

Making this change in (2.2) gives the following:

$$\begin{cases}
\mathbf{r}_{n+1} &= (\mathbf{I} - \omega_{j+1} \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}_n \\
\mathbf{v}_n &= \mathbf{r}_n - \sum_{k=1}^l \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1} \in \mathcal{G}_j \cap \mathcal{S}
\end{cases} (2.4)$$

Finding  $\mathbf{v}_n$  and  $\omega_j$  would be a great step toward our goal. So, let  $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P}^*)$  where  $\mathbf{P} = [\mathbf{p}_1 \ \mathbf{p}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{p}_s]$ .  $\mathcal{S}$  has codimension s.

Since  $\mathbf{v}_n \in \mathcal{S}$ , we have to have  $\mathbf{P}^*\mathbf{v}_n = 0$ , and consequently,

$$\mathbf{P}^*(\sum_{k=1}^l \gamma_k \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-k+1}) = \mathbf{P}^* \mathbf{r}_n$$

where  $\gamma_k$ 's are unknowns, so, we have a linear system of s equations in l unknowns, which is uniquely solvable if l=s.

By letting l=s and introducing the following new notaions equation (2.4) can be written in more compact form:

By letting l=s and introducing the following new notaions equation (2.4) can be written in more compact form: Let

$$\nabla \mathbf{R}_{n:n-s+1} := [\nabla \mathbf{r}_n \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-1} \cdots \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s+1}] \text{ and } \mathbf{c}_n = [\gamma_1^{(n)} \ \gamma_2^{(n)} \cdots \gamma_s^{(n)}]^T \text{ gives the following:}$$

By letting l=s and introducing the following new notaions equation (2.4) can be written in more compact form: Let

$$\nabla \mathbf{R}_{n:n-s+1} := [\nabla \mathbf{r}_n \ \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-1} \ \cdots \ \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s+1}] \text{ and } \mathbf{c}_n = [\gamma_1^{(n)} \ \gamma_2^{(n)} \cdots \gamma_s^{(n)}]^T \text{ gives the following:}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{r}_{n+1} = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_{j+1} \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}_n \\ \mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{r}_n - \nabla \mathbf{R}_{n:n-s+1} \mathbf{c}_n \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

By letting l=s and introducing the following new notaions equation (2.4) can be written in more compact form: Let

$$\nabla \mathbf{R}_{n:n-s+1} := [\nabla \mathbf{r}_n \ \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-1} \ \cdots \ \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s+1}] \text{ and } \mathbf{c}_n = [\gamma_1^{(n)} \ \gamma_2^{(n)} \cdots \gamma_s^{(n)}]^T \text{ gives the following:}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{r}_{n+1} = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_{j+1} \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}_n \\ \mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{r}_n - \nabla \mathbf{R}_{n:n-s+1} \mathbf{c}_n \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

For computing  $\mathbf{v}_n$  we need s+1 residuals, therefore, computing the first residual in  $\mathcal{G}_{j+1}$  needs s+1 residuals in  $\mathcal{G}_j$ . So, we can expect  $\mathbf{r}_{n+1}$  be in  $\mathcal{G}_{j+1}$  if  $n+1 \geq (j+1)(s+1)$ .

By letting l=s and introducing the following new notaions equation (2.4) can be written in more compact form: Let

$$\nabla \mathbf{R}_{n:n-s+1} := [\nabla \mathbf{r}_n \ \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-1} \ \cdots \ \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s+1}] \text{ and }$$

$$\mathbf{c}_n = [\gamma_1^{(n)} \ \gamma_2^{(n)} \cdots \gamma_s^{(n)}]^T \text{ gives the following:}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{r}_{n+1} = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_{j+1} \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}_n \\ \mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{r}_n - \nabla \mathbf{R}_{n:n-s+1} \mathbf{c}_n \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

For computing  $\mathbf{v}_n$  we need s+1 residuals, therefore, computing the first residual in  $\mathcal{G}_{j+1}$  needs s+1 residuals in  $\mathcal{G}_j$ . So, we can expect  $\mathbf{r}_{n+1}$  be in  $\mathcal{G}_{j+1}$  if  $n+1 \geq (j+1)(s+1)$ .

Therefore the relationship between n and j will be  $j = \lfloor \frac{n}{s+1} \rfloor$ .

By letting l=s and introducing the following new notaions equation (2.4) can be written in more compact form: Let

$$\nabla \mathbf{R}_{n:n-s+1} := [\nabla \mathbf{r}_n \ \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-1} \ \cdots \ \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s+1}] \text{ and }$$

$$\mathbf{c}_n = [\gamma_1^{(n)} \ \gamma_2^{(n)} \cdots \gamma_s^{(n)}]^T \text{ gives the following:}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{r}_{n+1} = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_{j+1} \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}_n \\ \mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{r}_n - \nabla \mathbf{R}_{n:n-s+1} \mathbf{c}_n \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

For computing  $\mathbf{v}_n$  we need s+1 residuals, therefore, computing the first residual in  $\mathcal{G}_{j+1}$  needs s+1 residuals in  $\mathcal{G}_j$ . So, we can expect  $\mathbf{r}_{n+1}$  be in  $\mathcal{G}_{j+1}$  if  $n+1 \geq (j+1)(s+1)$ .

Therefore the relationship between n and j will be  $j=\lfloor \frac{n}{s+1} \rfloor$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}_{j+1}\subset \mathcal{G}_j$  repeating these calculations will produce new residuals  $r_{n+2},r_{n+3},\cdots$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{j+1}$ .

Choice of  $\omega_j$ 's: The  $\omega_{j+1}$  can be chosen "freely" in calculation of first residual in  $\mathcal{G}_{j+1}$  but the same value must be used in calculation of the subsequent residuals in  $\mathcal{G}_{j+1}$ . We choose  $\omega_{j+1}$  such that  $\mathbf{r}_{n+1}$  has minimum norm.

This choic will leads to  $\omega_{j+1} = \frac{\mathbf{t}^* \mathbf{v}_n}{\mathbf{t}^* \mathbf{t}}$  where  $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_n$ 

The algorithm for solving linear system will be:

■ Compute first s+1 residuals using any (OrthoRres) method like GMRES.

- Compute first s+1 residuals using any (OrthoRres) method like GMRES.
- $\blacksquare$  Compute  $\mathbf{c}_n = (\mathbf{P}^*\nabla\mathbf{R_{n:n-s}})^{-1}\mathbf{P}^*\mathbf{r}_n$

- Compute first s+1 residuals using any (OrthoRres) method like GMRES.
- lacksquare Compute  $\mathbf{c}_n = (\mathbf{P}^* 
  abla \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}:\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{s}})^{-1} \mathbf{P}^* \mathbf{r}_n$
- lacksquare Compute  $\mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{r}_n 
  abla \mathbf{R}_{n:n-s} \mathbf{c}_n$

- Compute first s+1 residuals using any (OrthoRres) method like GMRES.
- lacksquare Compute  $\mathbf{c}_n = (\mathbf{P}^* 
  abla \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}:\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{s}})^{-1} \mathbf{P}^* \mathbf{r}_n$
- lacksquare Compute  $\mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{r}_n 
  abla \mathbf{R}_{n:n-s} \mathbf{c}_n$
- Compute  $\omega_{j+1} = \frac{(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_n)^*\mathbf{v}_n}{||\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_n||_2^2}$  (if  $\mathbf{r}_{n+1}$  is first residual in  $\mathcal{G}_{j+1}$ )

- Compute first s+1 residuals using any (OrthoRres) method like GMRES.
- lacksquare Compute  $\mathbf{c}_n = (\mathbf{P}^* 
  abla \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}:\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{s}})^{-1} \mathbf{P}^* \mathbf{r}_n$
- lacksquare Compute  $\mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{r}_n 
  abla \mathbf{R}_{n:n-s} \mathbf{c}_n$
- Compute  $\omega_{j+1} = \frac{(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_n)^*\mathbf{v}_n}{||\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_n||_2^2}$  (if  $\mathbf{r}_{n+1}$  is first residual in  $\mathcal{G}_{j+1}$ )
- Compute  $\mathbf{r}_{n+1}$

- Compute first s+1 residuals using any (OrthoRres) method like GMRES.
- lacksquare Compute  $\mathbf{c}_n = (\mathbf{P}^* 
  abla \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}:\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{s}})^{-1} \mathbf{P}^* \mathbf{r}_n$
- lacksquare Compute  $\mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{r}_n 
  abla \mathbf{R}_{n:n-s} \mathbf{c}_n$
- Compute  $\omega_{j+1} = \frac{(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_n)^*\mathbf{v}_n}{||\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_n||_2^2}$  (if  $\mathbf{r}_{n+1}$  is first residual in  $\mathcal{G}_{j+1}$ )
- Compute  $\mathbf{r}_{n+1}$
- Compute  $\mathbf{x}_{n+1}$

So far we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{r}_n = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}_{n-1} \\ \mathbf{v}_{n-1} = \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-1:n-s} \mathbf{c}_{n-1} \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

Where 
$$\nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-1:n-s} = [\nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-1} \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-2} \cdots \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s}]$$
 and  $\mathbf{c}_{n-1}^T = [\gamma_1^{(n-1)} \cdots \gamma_s^{(n-1)}].$ 

So far we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{r}_n = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}_{n-1} \\ \mathbf{v}_{n-1} = \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-1:n-s} \mathbf{c}_{n-1} \end{cases}$$
 (2.5)

Where 
$$\nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-1:n-s} = [\nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-1} \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-2} \cdots \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s}]$$
 and  $\mathbf{c}_{n-1}^T = [\gamma_1^{(n-1)} \cdots \gamma_s^{(n-1)}].$ 

I want to change the order of columns in  $\nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-1:n-s}$  and re-write it as  $\nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-s:n-1} = [\nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s} \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s+1} \cdots \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-1}]$ , consequently we have to change order of  $\gamma$ 's and re-write  $\mathbf{c}_{n-1}^T := [\gamma_s^{(n-1)} \cdots \gamma_1^{(n-1)}] =$ 

So far we have

$$\begin{cases}
\mathbf{r}_n = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}_{n-1} \\
\mathbf{v}_{n-1} = \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-1:n-s} \mathbf{c}_{n-1}
\end{cases} (2.5)$$

Where  $\nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-1:n-s} = [\nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-1} \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-2} \cdots \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s}]$  and  $\mathbf{c}_{n-1}^T = [\gamma_1^{(n-1)} \cdots \gamma_s^{(n-1)}].$ 

I want to change the order of columns in  $\nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-1:n-s}$  and re-write it as  $\nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-s:n-1} = [\nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s} \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s+1} \cdots \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-1}]$ , consequently we have to change order of  $\gamma$ 's and re-write

$$\mathbf{c}_{n-1}^T := [\gamma_s^{(n-1)} \cdots \gamma_1^{(n-1)}] = [\gamma_1^{(n-1)} \cdots \gamma_s^{(n-1)}].$$

So far we have

$$\begin{cases}
\mathbf{r}_n = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}_{n-1} \\
\mathbf{v}_{n-1} = \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-1:n-s} \mathbf{c}_{n-1}
\end{cases} (2.5)$$

Where  $\nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-1:n-s} = [\nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-1} \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-2} \cdots \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s}]$  and  $\mathbf{c}_{n-1}^T = [\gamma_1^{(n-1)} \cdots \gamma_s^{(n-1)}].$ 

I want to change the order of columns in  $\nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-1:n-s}$  and re-write it as  $\nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-s:n-1} = [\nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s} \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s+1} \cdots \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-1}]$ , consequently we have to change order of  $\gamma$ 's and re-write  $\mathbf{c}^T_{-1} := [\gamma_s^{(n-1)} \cdots \gamma_s^{(n-1)}] = [\gamma_s^{(n-1)} \cdots \gamma_s^{(n-1)}].$ 

With this cosmetic change (2.5) turns into (2.6):

$$\begin{cases}
\mathbf{r}_n = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}_{n-1} \\
\mathbf{v}_{n-1} = \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-s:n-1} \mathbf{c}_{n-1}
\end{cases} (2.6)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{n-1} = \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-s:n-1} \mathbf{c}_{n-1}$$

$$= \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s+k} \gamma_{k+1}^{(n-1)}$$

$$= \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} (r_{n-s+k} - r_{n-s+k-1}) \gamma_{k+1}^{(n-1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_{n-1} &= \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-s:n-1} \mathbf{c}_{n-1} \\ &= \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s+k} \gamma_{k+1}^{(n-1)} \\ &= \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} (r_{n-s+k} - r_{n-s+k-1}) \gamma_{k+1}^{(n-1)} \\ &= \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - (-\gamma_1 r_{n-s-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} r_{n-s-1+k} (\gamma_k^{(n-1)} - \gamma_{k+1}^{(n-1)}) + \gamma_s \mathbf{r}_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{n-1} = \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \nabla \mathbf{R}_{n-s:n-1} \mathbf{c}_{n-1}$$

$$= \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \nabla \mathbf{r}_{n-s+k} \gamma_{k+1}^{(n-1)}$$

$$= \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} (r_{n-s+k} - r_{n-s+k-1}) \gamma_{k+1}^{(n-1)}$$

$$= \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - (-\gamma_1 r_{n-s-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} r_{n-s-1+k} (\gamma_k^{(n-1)} - \gamma_{k+1}^{(n-1)}) + \gamma_s \mathbf{r}_{n-1})$$

$$= \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - (R_{n-s-1:n-1} \begin{bmatrix} -\gamma_1^{(n-1)} \\ \gamma_1^{(n-1)} - \gamma_2^{(n-1)} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_s^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix})$$

So far we got,

$$\mathbf{v}_{n-1} = \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - (\mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} \begin{bmatrix} -\gamma_1^{(n-1)} \\ \gamma_1^{(n-1)} - \gamma_2^{(n-1)} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_s^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix})$$

$$= \mathbf{r}_{n-1} + (\mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1^{(n-1)} \\ \gamma_2^{(n-1)} - \gamma_1^{(n-1)} \\ \vdots \\ -\gamma_s^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix})$$

So far we got,

$$\mathbf{v}_{n-1} = \mathbf{r}_{n-1} - (\mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} \begin{bmatrix} -\gamma_1^{(n-1)} \\ \gamma_1^{(n-1)} - \gamma_2^{(n-1)} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_s^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix})$$

$$= \mathbf{r}_{n-1} + (\mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1^{(n-1)} \\ \gamma_2^{(n-1)} - \gamma_1^{(n-1)} \\ \vdots \\ -\gamma_s^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix})$$

$$=\mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1}\begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1^{(n-1)} \\ \gamma_2^{(n-1)} - \gamma_1^{(n-1)} \\ \vdots \\ 1 - \gamma_s^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} := \mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} \ \mathsf{diff} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{c}_{n-1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

On the last page we derived:

$$\mathbf{v}_{n-1} = \mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} diff \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{c}_{n-1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

On the last page we derived:

$$\mathbf{v}_{n-1} = \mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} diff \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{c}_{n-1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbf{r_n} = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}_{n-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{n}} = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_{j} \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} diff \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{c}_{n-1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} := (\mathbf{I} - \omega_{j} \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} \mathbf{y}_{n}$$
(2.7)

On the last page we derived:

$$\mathbf{v}_{n-1} = \mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} diff \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{c}_{n-1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbf{r_n} = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}_{n-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{r_n} = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} diff \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{c}_{n-1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} := (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} \mathbf{y}_n$$
(2.7)

Equation (2.7) brings us the following theorem:

THM: The generalized Hessenberg decomposition for IDR(s) is given by

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{R}_n\mathbf{Y}_n\mathbf{D}_w^{(n)}=\mathbf{R}_{n+1}\underline{\mathbf{Y}}_n^o$$

where  $\mathbf{R}_{n+1} = [\mathbf{r}_0 \cdots \mathbf{r}_n]$ . For  $s < k \le n$  the  $k^{th}$  column of upper triangular matrix  $\mathbf{Y}_n \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  and of the extended Hessenberg matrix  $\underline{\mathbf{Y}}_n^o \in \mathbb{C}^{(n+1) \times n}$  are defined by:

$$\mathbf{Y}_{n}\mathbf{e}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{o}_{k-(s+1)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{k} \\ \mathbf{o}_{n-k} \end{bmatrix}, \underline{\mathbf{Y}}_{n}^{o}\mathbf{e}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{o}_{k-(s+1)} \\ \mathbf{y}_{k} \\ -1 \\ \mathbf{o}_{n-k} \end{bmatrix}$$

where 
$$\mathbf{y}_k = \begin{bmatrix} & \gamma_1^{(k)} \\ & \gamma_2^{(k)} - \gamma_1^{(k)} \\ & \vdots \\ & 1 - \gamma_s^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}$$
 and diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{D}_\omega^{(n)}$  is given

by  $\mathbf{e}_k^T \mathbf{D}_{\omega}^{(n)} \mathbf{e}_k = \omega_j$ ,  $j = \lfloor \frac{k}{2+1} \rfloor$ .

The leading portions of matrices  $\mathbf{Y}_n, \underline{\mathbf{Y}}_n^o$  and  $\mathbf{D}_o mega^{(n)}$  are given by Hessenberg decomposition of starting procedure.

The leading portions of matrices  $\mathbf{Y}_n, \underline{\mathbf{Y}}_n^o$  and  $\mathbf{D}_o mega^{(n)}$  are given by Hessenberg decomposition of starting procedure.

Proof: We sort the terms in equation (2.7) according to occurance of the matrix  $\bf A$  and obtain:

$$\mathbf{r_n} = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} \mathbf{y}_n \tag{2.7}$$

$$\omega_j \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} \mathbf{y}_n = \mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} - \mathbf{r}_n = \mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_n \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2.8)

Which is the  $n^{th}$  column:

The leading portions of matrices  $\mathbf{Y}_n, \underline{\mathbf{Y}}_n^o$  and  $\mathbf{D}_o mega^{(n)}$  are given by Hessenberg decomposition of starting procedure.

Proof: We sort the terms in equation (2.7) according to occurance of the matrix  ${\bf A}$  and obtain:

$$\mathbf{r_n} = (\mathbf{I} - \omega_j \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} \mathbf{y}_n \tag{2.7}$$

$$\omega_j \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} \mathbf{y}_n = \mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n-1} - \mathbf{r}_n = \mathbf{R}_{n-s-1:n} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_n \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2.8)

Which is the  $n^{th}$  column:

$$\omega_j \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R}_n \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{o}_{n-(s+1)} \\ \mathbf{y}_n \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R}_{n+1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{o}_{n-(s+1)} \\ \mathbf{y}_n \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Restart of IDR

We know convergence of all these methods depends on how good our initial guess is. We do n iterations then we can use current information to start the procedure with a better initial vector.

#### Restart of IDR

We know convergence of all these methods depends on how good our initial guess is. We do n iterations then we can use current information to start the procedure with a better initial vector.

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  Using IDR(s)Eig after n steps we get:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{R}_n\mathbf{Y}_n\mathbf{D}_{\omega}^{(n)} = \mathbf{R}_n\underline{\mathbf{Y}}_n^0 - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{e}_n^T$$

Let's call  $\mathbf{Y}_n\mathbf{D}_{\omega}^{(n)}$  just  $\mathbf{Y}_n$  for simplicity.

We know convergence of all these methods depends on how good our initial guess is. We do n iterations then we can use current information to start the procedure with a better initial vector.

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  Using IDR(s)Eig after n steps we get:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{R}_{n}\mathbf{Y}_{n}\mathbf{D}_{\omega}^{(n)} = \mathbf{R}_{n}\underline{\mathbf{Y}}_{n}^{0} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{e}_{n}^{T}$$

Let's call  $\mathbf{Y}_n \mathbf{D}_{\omega}^{(n)}$  just  $\mathbf{Y}_n$  for simplicity.

 $\mathbf{Y}_n$  and  $\underline{\mathbf{Y}}_n^0$  have some structure like the structure given below for

$$n=7$$
 and  $s=3$ :

$$Y_n = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & * & * & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 &$$

We can transfer the pencil  $(\mathbf{Y}_n^0, \mathbf{Y}_n)$  to  $(\mathbf{T}_n, \mathbf{S}_n)$  where  $\mathbf{T}_n$  and  $\mathbf{S}_n$  are (quasi) triangular while defending the structure using LZ algorithm.

We can transfer the pencil  $(\mathbf{Y}_n^0, \mathbf{Y}_n)$  to  $(\mathbf{T}_n, \mathbf{S}_n)$  where  $\mathbf{T}_n$  and  $\mathbf{S}_n$  are (quasi) triangular while defending the structure using LZ algorithm. Then we will have the following equations:

$$\mathbf{T}_n = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{Y}_n^0 \mathbf{Z}, \quad \mathbf{S}_n = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{Y}_n \mathbf{Z} \tag{3.1}$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{R}_{n}\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_{n}\mathbf{Z}^{-1} = \mathbf{R}_{n}\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{n}\mathbf{Z}^{-1} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{e}_{n}^{T}$$

We can transfer the pencil  $(\mathbf{Y}_n^0, \mathbf{Y}_n)$  to  $(\mathbf{T}_n, \mathbf{S}_n)$  where  $\mathbf{T}_n$  and  $\mathbf{S}_n$  are (quasi) triangular while defending the structure using LZ algorithm. Then we will have the following equations:

$$\mathbf{T}_n = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{Y}_n^0 \mathbf{Z}, \quad \mathbf{S}_n = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{Y}_n \mathbf{Z} \tag{3.1}$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{R}_{n}\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_{n}\mathbf{Z}^{-1} = \mathbf{R}_{n}\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{n}\mathbf{Z}^{-1} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{e}_{n}^{T}$$

Letting  $\mathbf{R}_n\mathbf{L}^{-1}=\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n$  and applying  $\mathbf{Z}$  on right we get:

$$\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n\mathbf{S}_n = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_n\mathbf{T}_n - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}^T$$

where  $\mathbf{z}^T$  is the last row of  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

By discarding the last k = n - m columns of each side we get:

$$\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}\mathbf{S}_{m} = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}\mathbf{T}_{m} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_{0}^{T}$$

Where  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_m$  consist of first m columns of  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n$ ,  $\mathbf{S}_m$  and  $\mathbf{T}_m$  are  $m \times m$  leading submatrix of  $\mathbf{S}_n$  and  $\mathbf{T}_n$  respectively and  $\mathbf{z}_0^T$  consist of first m components of  $\mathbf{z}^T$ .

By discarding the last k = n - m columns of each side we get:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\hat{R}}_{m}\mathbf{S}_{m} = \mathbf{\hat{R}}_{m}\mathbf{T}_{m} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_{0}^{T}$$

Where  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_m$  consist of first m columns of  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n$ ,  $\mathbf{S}_m$  and  $\mathbf{T}_m$  are  $m \times m$  leading submatrix of  $\mathbf{S}_n$  and  $\mathbf{T}_n$  respectively and  $\mathbf{z}_0^T$  consist of first m components of  $\mathbf{z}^T$ .

Now, we have to turn this equation to a generalized Hessenberg decomposition. We start doing that by creating zero at the first component of  $\mathbf{z}_0^T$ .

By discarding the last k = n - m columns of each side we get:

$$\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}\mathbf{S}_{m} = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}\mathbf{T}_{m} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_{0}^{T}$$

Where  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_m$  consist of first m columns of  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n$ ,  $\mathbf{S}_m$  and  $\mathbf{T}_m$  are  $m \times m$  leading submatrix of  $\mathbf{S}_n$  and  $\mathbf{T}_n$  respectively and  $\mathbf{z}_0^T$  consist of first m components of  $\mathbf{z}^T$ .

Now, we have to turn this equation to a generalized Hessenberg decomposition. We start doing that by creating zero at the first component of  $\mathbf{z}_0^T$ .

There will be different number of elimination matrices at each step chasing the bulge both up and down, so, to avoid having tons of notifications I will use "hat" for showing there is a bulge in  $S_m^{(i)}$  and  $T_m^{(i)}$ . "hat" will be used on  $L_i$ ,  $R_i$  and also there will be "hat" on  $\hat{R}_m^{(i)}$  which is not indicating existence of bulge.

We start by the following equation:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\hat{R}}_{m}\mathbf{S}_{m}=\mathbf{\hat{R}}_{m}\mathbf{T}_{m}-\mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_{0}^{T}$$

We start by the following equation:

$$\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}\mathbf{S}_{m} = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}\mathbf{T}_{m} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_{0}^{T}$$

Applying  $G_1$  to create zero at the first place of  $\mathbf{z}_0^T$  on right that acts on first and second columns, it adds proper multiple of second column to first column so that we defend the zero structure of upper-triangular parts of  $S_m$  and  $T_m$ , we have

$$\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}\mathbf{S}_{m}\mathbf{G}_{1} = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}\mathbf{T}_{m}\mathbf{G}_{1} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_{1}^{T}.$$

This equation can be re-written as:

We start by the following equation:

$$\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}\mathbf{S}_{m} = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}\mathbf{T}_{m} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_{0}^{T}$$

Applying  $G_1$  to create zero at the first place of  $\mathbf{z}_0^T$  on right that acts on first and second columns, it adds proper multiple of second column to first column so that we defend the zero structure of upper-triangular parts of  $S_m$  and  $T_m$ , we have

$$\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}\mathbf{S}_{m}\mathbf{G}_{1} = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}\mathbf{T}_{m}\mathbf{G}_{1} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_{1}^{T}.$$

This equation can be re-written as:

$$\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}^{(1)}\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{m}^{(1)} = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}^{(1)}\mathbf{T}_{m}^{(1)} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_{1}^{T}$$
(3.2)

(Note that I did not put hat on  $T_m$  because there is no bulge in  $T_mG_1$ !)

The followings are evident:  $\mathbf{z}_0^T \mathbf{G}_1 = [0 * * \cdots *] = \mathbf{z}_1^T$ 

$$\mathbf{T}_{m}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} t & t & t & t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ + & t & t & t & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t & t & t & t & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t & t & t & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t & t & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{m}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} s & s & s & s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \oplus & s & s & s & s & s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & s & s & s & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s & s & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s \end{bmatrix}$$

The followings are evident:  $\mathbf{z}_0^T \mathbf{G}_1 = [0 * * \cdots *] = \mathbf{z}_1^T$ 

$$\mathbf{T}_{m}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} t & t & t & t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ + & t & t & t & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t & t & t & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t & t & t & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t & t & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{m}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} s & s & s & s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \oplus & s & s & s & s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & s & s & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s \end{bmatrix}$$

" $\oplus$ " is a bulge that needs to be eliminated. This can be done by elimination matrix  $\mathbf{L}_1$  applied on left to both  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_m^{(1)}$  and  $\mathbf{T}_m^{(1)}$  adding proper multiple of row 1 to row 2 turning  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_m^{(1)}$  to upper-triangular form. This will not disturb anything in  $\mathbf{T}_m^{(1)}$ .

Therefore, we will have:

$$\mathbf{L}_1 \mathbf{\hat{S}}_m^{(1)} := \mathbf{S}_m^{(1)}, \ \mathbf{L}_1 \mathbf{T}_m^{(1)} := \mathbf{T}_m^{(1)},$$

Therefore, we will have:

$$\mathbf{L}_1 \hat{\mathbf{S}}_m^{(1)} := \mathbf{S}_m^{(1)}, \ \mathbf{L}_1 \mathbf{T}_m^{(1)} := \mathbf{T}_m^{(1)},$$

or equivalently

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}}_m^{(1)} = \mathbf{L}_1^{-1} \mathbf{S}_m^{(1)}, \ \mathbf{T}_m^{(1)} = \mathbf{L}_1^{-1} \mathbf{T}_m^{(1)}$$

Therefore, we will have:

$$\mathbf{L}_1 \hat{\mathbf{S}}_m^{(1)} := \mathbf{S}_m^{(1)}, \ \mathbf{L}_1 \mathbf{T}_m^{(1)} := \mathbf{T}_m^{(1)},$$

or equivalently

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}}_m^{(1)} = \mathbf{L}_1^{-1} \mathbf{S}_m^{(1)}, \ \mathbf{T}_m^{(1)} = \mathbf{L}_1^{-1} \mathbf{T}_m^{(1)}$$

By substituting this in equation (3.2) we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}^{(1)}\mathbf{L}_{1}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_{m}^{(1)} = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}^{(1)}\mathbf{L}_{1}^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{m}^{(1)} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_{1}^{T}$$

By letting  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_m^{(1)}\mathbf{L}_1^{-1}=\hat{\mathbf{R}}_m^{(2)}$  we get

$$\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}^{(2)}\mathbf{S}_{m}^{(1)} = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}^{(2)}\mathbf{T}_{m}^{(1)} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_{1}^{T} \quad (3.3)$$



Next step will create zero in second position of  $\mathbf{z}_1^T$  by  $\mathbf{G}_2$  on right. Applying this transformation we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_m^{(2)}\mathbf{S}_m^{(1)}\mathbf{G}_2 = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_m^{(2)}\mathbf{T}_m^{(1)}\mathbf{G}_2 - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_2^T.$$
 Where  $\mathbf{z}_2^T := \mathbf{z}_1^T\mathbf{G}_2 = [0\ 0 * * \cdots *].$ 

Next step will create zero in second position of  $\mathbf{z}_1^T$  by  $\mathbf{G}_2$  on right. Applying this transformation we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\hat{R}}_m^{(2)}\mathbf{S}_m^{(1)}\mathbf{G}_2 = \mathbf{\hat{R}}_m^{(2)}\mathbf{T}_m^{(1)}\mathbf{G}_2 - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_2^T.$$

Where  $\mathbf{z}_2^T := \mathbf{z}_1^T \mathbf{G}_2 = [0 \ 0 * * \cdots *].$ 

Let  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_m^{(2)} := \mathbf{S}_m^{(1)} \mathbf{G}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{T}_m^{(2)} := \mathbf{T}_m^{(1)} \mathbf{G}_2$ . By this notation we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}^{(2)}\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{m}^{(2)} = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}^{(2)}\mathbf{T}_{m}^{(2)} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_{2}^{T}.$$
 (3.4)

 $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_m^{(2)}$  and  $\mathbf{T}_m^{(2)}$  have the following form:

$$\mathbf{T}_{m}^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} t & t & t & t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ + & t & t & t & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & + & t & t & t & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t & t & t & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t & t & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{m}^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} s & s & s & s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & s & s & s & s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & s & s & s & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s & s & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 &$$

" $\oplus$ " has to be eliminated by a Gauss transform on left,  $L_2$ , adding proper multiple of row 2 to row 3. This will create a bulge in (3,1) position of  $T_m^{(2)}$  disturbing the Hessenberg structure. So far we have:

$$\hat{\mathbf{T}}_m^{(2)} := \mathbf{L}_2 \mathbf{T}_m^{(2)}, \ \mathbf{S}_m^{(2)} := \mathbf{L}_2 \hat{\mathbf{S}}_m^{(2)}$$

OR equivalently:

$$\mathbf{T}_m^{(2)} = \mathbf{L}_2^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{T}}_m^{(2)}, \ \hat{\mathbf{S}}_m^{(2)} := \mathbf{L}_2^{-1} \mathbf{S}_m^{(2)}$$

" $\oplus$ " has to be eliminated by a Gauss transform on left,  $L_2$ , adding proper multiple of row 2 to row 3. This will create a bulge in (3,1)position of  $T_m^{(2)}$  disturbing the Hessenberg structure. So far we have:

$$\hat{\mathbf{T}}_m^{(2)} := \mathbf{L}_2 \mathbf{T}_m^{(2)}, \ \ \mathbf{S}_m^{(2)} := \mathbf{L}_2 \hat{\mathbf{S}}_m^{(2)}$$

OR equivalently:

$$\mathbf{T}_m^{(2)} = \mathbf{L}_2^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{T}}_m^{(2)}, \ \hat{\mathbf{S}}_m^{(2)} := \mathbf{L}_2^{-1} \mathbf{S}_m^{(2)}$$

 $\hat{\mathbf{T}}_m^{(2)}$  and  $\mathbf{S}_m^{(2)}$  have following form:

$$\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{m}^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} t & t & t & t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ + & t & t & t & t & 0 & 0 \\ \oplus & + & t & t & t & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t & t & t & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t & t & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{S}_{m}^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} s & s & s & s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & s & s & s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & s & s & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & s \end{bmatrix}$$

As of now, we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\hat{R}}_{m}^{(2)}\mathbf{L}_{2}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_{m}^{(2)} = \mathbf{\hat{R}}_{m}^{(2)}\mathbf{L}_{2}^{-1}\mathbf{\hat{T}}_{m}^{(2)} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_{2}^{T}$$

Letting  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_m^{(3)} := \hat{\mathbf{R}}_m^{(2)} \mathbf{L}_2^{-2}$  we get:

$$\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}^{(3)}\mathbf{S}_{m}^{(2)} = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}^{(3)}\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{m}^{(2)} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_{2}^{T}$$
 (3.5)

As of now, we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\hat{R}}_{m}^{(2)}\mathbf{L}_{2}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_{m}^{(2)} = \mathbf{\hat{R}}_{m}^{(2)}\mathbf{L}_{2}^{-1}\mathbf{\hat{T}}_{m}^{(2)} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_{2}^{T}$$

Letting  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_m^{(3)} := \hat{\mathbf{R}}_m^{(2)} \mathbf{L}_2^{-2}$  we get:

$$\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}^{(3)}\mathbf{S}_{m}^{(2)} = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{m}^{(3)}\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{m}^{(2)} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1}\mathbf{z}_{2}^{T}$$
 (3.5)

The bulge in  $\hat{\mathbf{T}}_m^{(2)}$  has to be eliminated by a Gauss transform on right, let's call it  $\mathbf{R}_2$ , adding proper multiple of column 2 to column 1. But, this transformation will create a bulge in  $\mathbf{S}_m^{(2)}$ .

$$\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{m}^{(2)}\mathbf{R}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} t & t & t & t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ + & t & t & t & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & + & t & t & t & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t & t & t & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t & t & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t \end{bmatrix},$$

Continuing this procedure after m-1 steps we end up with  $z_{m-1}^T=\alpha e_m^T$  and following form:

$$AR_m S_m = R_m T_m - r_{n+1} \alpha e_m^T$$

Where  $R_m$  is N-by-m matrix of m residuals,  $S_m$  will be upper-triangular,  $T_m$  will be upper-Hessenberg.