

### **OVERVIEW**

Using leadership and 21st century skills, participants apply knowledge of cutting-edge technologies and algorithms to design, implement, test, and document a software development project. The project should have educational or social value.

### **ELIGIBILITY**

One (1) team per chapter may participate. The presentation/interview is limited to three (3) members.

### **TIME LIMITS**

Up to seven (7) minutes for the presentation, and an additional three (3) minutes to respond to questions (i.e., interview).

#### **ATTIRE**

TSA competition attire is required for this event.

### **PROCEDURE**

### PRE-CONFERENCE

- A. Participants identify a societal need and design a tool (e.g., graphical user interface, dashboard, predictive model, etc.) using software of choice that addresses this need.
- B. Participants prepare for a live demonstration on-site.

### PRELIMINARY ROUND

- A. Participants report to the event area at the time and place stated in the conference program to sign up for a presentation/interview time.
- B. Participants report at the assigned time and place for their presentation/interview.
- C. Participants give a live demonstration of the functionality of their project, describe the design process, and discuss the value of the project.
- D. Participants remove their project and equipment from the area at the completion of the presentation/interview.
- E. Judges evaluate the presentation and interview.
- F. The top ten (10) finalists are announced at the awards ceremony.

### **SEMIFINAL ROUND**

- A. Semifinalists report to the event area at the time and place stated in the conference program to receive an assigned presentation time.
- B. Semifinalist presentations follow the same procedure as in the preliminary round.
- Ten (10) finalists will be announced during the conference awards ceremony.

#### **REGULATIONS AND REQUIREMENTS**

Students will work to develop their leadership and 21st century skills in the process of preparing for and participating in this TSA competitive event. The development and application of those skills must be evident in their submission, demonstration, and/or communication pertaining to the entry.

### PRELIMINARY ROUND

- A. Participants must provide all necessary hardware to demonstrate their project.
  - This may include a laptop computer, mobile device(s), computer mouse, and/or 20' extension cord
  - 2. The set-up should not exceed 2' x 2' x 2'.
- B. National TSA will NOT provide wireless Internet. Students may provide internet access using a hotspot from a mobile device, however, students should have an alternate presentation plan in case access is unavailable.
- C. A list of twelve (12) semifinalists (in random order) is posted.

### **SEMIFINAL ROUND**

- A. Participants report at the time and place stated in the conference program to sign up for a presentation/ interview time.
- B. Participants report at the assigned time and place for the presentation/interview.
- C. Semifinalist teams present in front of their display and model/prototype, which may be used as a reference.
- D. Judges evaluate the presentation/interview.
- E. The top ten (10) finalists are announced at the awards ceremony.



### **EVALUATION**

### PRELIMINARY ROUND

- A. The quality of work
- B. The overall benefit of the work
- C. The technical skill exhibited in the project
- D. The ability to demonstrate and describe the team's software design process
- E. How well the problem identified is solved by the tool demonstration
- F. Teams are judged on the functionality and originality of their project. At a minimum, presentations should include:
  - 1. the design process
  - 2. end-user applications
  - 3. a demonstration
  - 4. information on the design

Refer to the official rating form for more information.

### **STEM INTEGRATION**

This event aligns with the STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) educational standards.

### LEADERSHIP AND 21<sup>ST</sup> CENTURY SKILL DEVELOPMENT

This event provides opportunity for students to build and develop leadership and 21st century skills including but not limited to:

- Communication
- · Collaboration/Social Skills
- Initiative
- Problem Solving/Risk Taking
- · Critical Thinking
- Perseverance/Grit
- Creativity
- Relationship Building/Teamwork
- · Dependability/Integrity
- · Flexibility/Adaptability

### CAREERS RELATED TO THIS EVENT

This competition has connections to one (1) or more of the careers below:

- · Graphic designer
- · Software engineer



## 2023 & 2024 OFFICIAL RATING FORM HIGH SCHOOL

Judges: Using minimal (1-4 points), adequate (5-8 points), or exemplary (9-10 points) performance levels as a guideline in the rating form, record the scores earned for the event criteria in the column spaces to the right. The X1 or X2 notation in the criteria column is a multiplier factor for determining the points earned. (Example: an "adequate" score of 7 for an X1 criterion = 7 points; an "adequate" score of 7 for an X2 criterion = 14 points.) A score of zero (0) is acceptable if the minimal performance for any criterion is not met.

### Go/No Go Specifications

- Before judging the entry, ensure that the items below are present; indicate presence with a check mark in the box.
- If an item is missing, leave the box next to the item blank and place a check mark in the box labeled ENTRY NOT EVALUATED.
- If a check mark is placed in the ENTRY NOT EVALUATED box, the entry is not to be judged.

Computer	hardware	is	present

□ ENTRY NOT EVALUATE	
	1)

TOOL DESIGN (70	PESIGN (70 points)		Record scores in the column spaces below.	
CRITERIA	Minimal performance	Adequate performance	Exemplary performance	d scc colu s bel
CRITERIA	1-4 points	5-8 points	9-10 points	mn ow.
Creativity (X2)	The work lacks creativity; it is evident there was little original thought in developing the project.	Some elements of creativity are expressed; the solution is somewhat original.	The work exudes creativity; the product is highly original.	
Software Coding Practices (X2)	The project is inadequately developed in terms of general software coding practices (requirements, design, implementation, and testing).	The project is developed following most general software coding practices (requirements, design, implementation, and testing).	The project is extremely well developed and follows general software coding practices (requirements, design, implementation and testing).	
Complexity (X2)	The software design exhibits little complexity.	The software design exhibits some degree of complexity.	The software design is complex, resulting in a highly functional product.	
Technical Skill (X1)	Little technical skill is exhibited in the software; the levels of software development are not fluid and/or are illogical.	Average technical skill is exhibited in the software's design and construction; the software flows somewhat effectively from level to level.	The software exhibits mastery of software design skill that few at this level possess; the software flow is constant and logical.	
		TOO	L DESIGN SUBTOTAL (70 points)	

The team seems unprepared and unorganized for the presentation and questions from judges; team members have very little understanding of the concepts in their project, and provide vague answers to judges' questions.  The team is prepared for the presentation and answers questions adequately; all team members have a general understanding of the concepts discussed and answer questions adequately.  The team's presentation is logical, organized, and effective; the team answers questions of the concepts discussed and answer questions adequately.  Triculation  Triculation  Communication of the solution is unclear, unorganized, and or illogical; leadership and/or 21st century skills are not evident.  Communication of the solution is somewhat logical and clear; leadership and/or 21st century skills are somewhat evident.  Cammunication of the solution is somewhat logical and clear; leadership and/or 21st century skills are clearly evident.  Cammunication of the solution is somewhat evident.  Communication of the solution is and answer questions adequately.  Communication of the solution is somewhat logical and clear; leadership and/or 21st century skills are clearly evident.  Communication of the solution is somewhat evident.  Communication of the solution is and clear; leadership and/or 21st century skills are clearly evident.  Communication of the solution is and answer questions adequately, and effective; the team answers questions logically, organized, and effective; the team answers questions logically, thoughtfully, and with confidence; there is clear evidence; there is clear evidence that all team members have a thorough understanding of the concepts presented in their project.  The team's presentation or some answers questions or generally and effective; the team answers questions or generally and each a		Minimal performance	Adoquato porformanco	Exemplary performance
The team seems unprepared and mowledge and unorganized for the presentation and questions from judges; team members have a general understanding of the concepts in their project, and provide vague answers to judges' questions.  The team is preparedfor the presentation and answers questions adequately, all team members have a general understanding of the concepts discussed and answer questions adequately.  The team's presentation is logical, organized, and effective; the team answers questions logically, thoughtfully, and with confidence; there is clear evidence that all team members have a thorough understanding of the concepts presented in their project.  Triculation  (i)  Communication of the solution is unclear, unorganized, and or illogical; leadership and/or 21 <sup>st</sup> century skills are not evident.  Communication of the solution is somewhat logical and clear; leadership and/or 21 <sup>st</sup> century skills are somewhat evident.  Communication of the solution is somewhat logical and clear; leadership and/or 21 <sup>st</sup> century skills are somewhat evident.  Communication of the solution is somewhat logical and clear; leadership and/or 21 <sup>st</sup> century skills are clearly evident.  Communication of the solution is somewhat logical and clear; leadership and/or 21 <sup>st</sup> century skills are clearly evident.  Communication of the solution is somewhat evident.  Communication of the solution is somewhat logical and clear; leadership and/or 21 <sup>st</sup> century skills are clearly evident.  All team members fully understand the concepts of the project and the concepts of the project and the concepts of the project.  DEMONSTRATION SUBTOTAL (30 points)  The team's presentation is logical, and effective; the team answers questions logically, thoughtfully, and effective; the team answers questions logically, thoughtfully, and effective; the team answers questions logically thoughtfully, and effective; the team semensers logically thoughtfully, and effective; the team semensers logically there is clear evidence that all team members logically and e	RITERIA	·		. ,,
is unclear, unorganized, and or illogical; leadership and/or 21st century skills are not evident.  eam Participation (1)  Only one (1) team member communicates with judges; there is no participation from other team members.  Team members participate generally equally and adequately understand the concepts of the project.  DEMONSTRATION SUBTOTAL (30 points)  DEMONSTRATION SUBTOTAL (30 points)  ulles violations (a deduction of 20% of the total possible points for the above sections) must be initialed by the judge, coordinator, and manager of the event. Record the deduction in the space to the right.  Is somewhat logical and clear; leadership and/or 21st century skills of the solution; leadership and/or 21st century skills are clearly evident.  All team members fully understand the concepts of the project and share an equal role in answering judges' questions.  DEMONSTRATION SUBTOTAL (30 points)	Organization and (nowledge	The team seems unprepared and unorganized for the presentation and questions from judges; team members have very little understanding of the concepts in their project, and provide vague	The team is preparedfor the presentation and answers questions adequately; all team members have a general understanding of the concepts discussed and answer	The team's presentation is logical, organized, and effective; the team answers questions logically, thoughtfully, and with confidence; there is clear evidence that all team members have a thorough understanding of the concepts
communicates with judges; there is no participation from other team members.  equally and adequately understand the concepts of the project.  beautiful the concepts of the project and share an equal role in answering judges' questions.  DEMONSTRATION SUBTOTAL (30 points)  ules violations (a deduction of 20% of the total possible points for the above sections) must be initialed by the judge, coordinator, and an anager of the event. Record the deduction in the space to the right.  dicate the rule violated:	Articulation (1)	is unclear, unorganized, and or illogical; leadership and/or 21st	is somewhat logical and clear; leadership and/or 21st century skills	concise, and easy-to-follow analysis of the solution; leadership and/or 21s
ules violations (a deduction of 20% of the total possible points for the above sections) must be initialed by the judge, coordinator, and nanager of the event. Record the deduction in the space to the right.  Indicate the rule violated:	ream Participation	communicates with judges; there is no participation from other team	equally and adequately understand	the concepts of the project and share an equal role in answering
	o arrive at the TOT.	AL score, subtract rules violation po	oints, as necessary.	TOTAL (100 points)
	o arrive at the TOT	AL score, subtract rules violation po	pints, as necessary.	TOTAL (100 points)



JUDGE

Printed name: \_\_

\_\_ Signature: \_

I certify these results to be true and accurate to the best of  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$  knowledge.

## SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT EVENT COORDINATOR INSTRUCTIONS

### **PERSONNEL**

- A. Event coordinator
- B. Judges:
  - 1. Preliminary round, two (2) or more
  - 2. Semifinal round, two (2) or more

### **MATERIALS**

- A. Coordinator's packet, containing:
  - 1. Event guidelines, one (1) copy for the coordinator and for each judge
  - 2. TSA Event Coordinator Report
  - 3. List of judges/assistants
  - 4. Stick-on labels for entries, as needed
  - 5. Results envelope
- B. Chairs, as needed for judging
- C. Stopwatch for timing semifinalist presentations

### **RESPONSIBILITIES**

### AT THE CONFERENCE

- A. Attend the mandatory coordinator's meeting at the designated time and location.
- B. Report to the CRC room and check the contents of the coordinator's packet.
- C. Review the event guidelines and check to see that enough personnel have been scheduled.
- D. Inspect the area(s) in which the event is being held for appropriate set-up, including room size, chairs, tables, outlets, etc. Notify the event manager of any potential problems.
- E. At least one (1) hour before the event is scheduled to begin, meet with judges/assistants to review time limits, procedures, regulations, evaluation, and all other details related to the event. If questions arise that cannot be answered, speak to the event manager before the event begins.

### PRELIMINARY ROUND

- A. Participants report at the time and place stated in the conference program to sign up for a presentation time.
- B. No more than three (3) participants report at the assigned time and place for the presentation.
- C. Late entries are considered on a case-by-case basis and only when the delay is caused by events beyond participant control.
- D. In order to compete, participants must be on the entry list or must have approval of the CRC.
- E. Judges assess the presentations and may ask questions.
- F. Decisions about rules violations must be discussed and verified with the judges, event coordinator, and CRC manager to determine either:
  - 1. To deduct twenty (20%) of the total possible points in this round or
  - 2. To disqualify the entry

The event coordinator, judges, and CRC manager must initial either of these actions on the rating form.

- G. Judges independently evaluate the presentation/interview.
- H. Following the preliminary heats, judges determine the semifinalists from their particular heats and forward these to the coordinator. The coordinator lists the semifinalists from each heat on a semifinalist list in random order that is submitted to the CRC chairperson for posting; twelve (12) semifinalists will be posted.
- I. Submit the finalist results and all related forms in the results envelope to the CRC room.



### **SEMIFINAL ROUND**

- A. Using the same official rating form for the semifinalist, judges asses the semifinalist presentation and determine the ten (10) finalists.
- B. Discuss rule violations (e.g. 20% deduction, disqualification) and have all relevant parties initial the rating form.
- C. Through discussion, judges break any ties that affect the top three (3) placements.
- D. Submit the finalist results and all related forms in the results envelope to the CRC room.
- E. If necessary, manage security and the removal of materials from the area.

