## **Ricochet Monte Carlo**

## Anonymous Authors<sup>1</sup>

### **Abstract**

We introduce a novel dual-purpose algorithm for MCMC sampling and constrained nonlinear optimization. The method simulates a particle bouncing across a surface whose shape is determined by the target distribution or objective function, using a notion of entropic loss that resembles simulated annealing without any acceptance step. Similarly, the simple closed-form parabolic trajectories means we can compute near-exact candidate samples. This enables a more efficient dynamical simulation, reducing total evaluations of the target. Our method easily extends to handle nonlinear constraints. We demonstrate the effectiveness of our method on several distributions and nonlinear test functions, as well as a concrete prediction task.

## 1. Introduction

000

006 007 008

009

010

015

018

020

025

028

029

030

032

034

035

036

038

039

041

043

044

045

046

047

049

050

051

053

### 1.1. Related work

#### 1.2. Outline of work

### 2. Ricochet Monte Carlo

The intuition behind Ricochet Monte Carlo (RMC) is to treat our target distribution or objective as a sort of hilly terrain across which a point particle freely bounces along. The points where the particle collides with this surface are candidate samples to either be accepted and rejected. The particle will continue to bounce, until it settles in some "valley", at which time it is picked up and tossed in a random direction to repeat the process.

Let  $F(\theta)$  be some probability distribution to sample from, defined as

$$F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \coloneqq \frac{E(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{Z}$$

where Z is some intractable normalizing constant and  $E(\theta)$  is the associated joint distribution, which is assumed

Preliminary work. Under review by the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML). Do not distribute.

tractable and known. We are interested in generating samples  $\theta_i \sim F$  that live in a continuous d-dimensional vector space, where i means the ith sample. We perform the standard transformation as done in HMC and define our surface as

$$S(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \coloneqq -\log E(\boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

If instead  $F(\theta)$  is a nonlinear objective function to minimize, then we simply set  $S(\theta) := F(\theta)$ .

## 2.1. Auxillary variables

For each value of  $\theta$ , we associate an auxillary height scalar h. We define a (d+1)-length position vector  $\mathbf{q} := [\theta; h]$ . We also create an auxillary (d+1)-length position vector  $\mathbf{p}$ . We define

$$\theta(\mathbf{q}_i) \coloneqq \theta_i$$
 $h(\mathbf{q}_i) \coloneqq h_i$ 

to respectively refer to the specific sample and height components of any particular  $\mathbf{q}_i$ . Similarly, we overload  $\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{p}_i)$  to be the vector of the first d entries of  $\mathbf{p}_i$ .

We maintain an invariant where the particle is always "above" the surface S, i.e.

$$C_S(\mathbf{q}) := h(\mathbf{q}) - S(\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{q})) > 0.$$
 (1)

Equation (1) defines the *surface collision* constraint  $C_S$ . This terminology will be used to distinguish it from any later nonlinear constraints that will be handled in the optimization formulation. All together,  $\mathbf{q}$ ,  $\mathbf{p}$ , and S fully describe the state of our system.

## 2.2. Simulation with Hamiltonian dynamics

To simulate the particle's trajectory across the surface, we start with its associated Hamiltonian equations. Given scalars m>0 and g>0, we define

$$H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) := U(\mathbf{q}) + K(\mathbf{p})$$
$$U(\mathbf{q}) := m \cdot g \cdot h(\mathbf{q})$$
$$K(\mathbf{p}) := \frac{\|\mathbf{p}\|^2}{2m}.$$

We respectively call m and g the *mass* and *gravity*, and both are hyperparameters of RMC. For convenience of notation,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Anonymous Institution, Anonymous City, Anonymous Region, Anonymous Country. Correspondence to: Anonymous Author <anon.email@domain.com>.

Algorithm 1 Find earliest t' with imminent collision on  $C_S$ **procedure** TEMPORALSEARCH( $C_S$ ,  $\mathbf{q}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_0$ , g, m,  $\Delta$ ) 057  $(t_{\text{start}}, t_{\text{end}}) \leftarrow (0, \Delta)$ 058 repeat *Double timestep in forward scan...* 059  $t_{\text{start}} \leftarrow t_{\text{end}}$ 060  $t_{\text{end}} \leftarrow 2 \cdot t_{\text{end}}$ 061 until  $C_S(\mathbf{q}(t_{\text{end}})) \leq 0$ ▷ ...until a collision. 062 repeat 063  $t_{\text{middle}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( t_{\text{start}} + t_{\text{end}} \right)$ 064 ▷ *If temporal midpoint is a collision...* 065 if  $C_S(\mathbf{q}(t_{\text{middle}})) \leq 0$  then 066 ▷ ...move boundary endtime backward... 067  $t_{\text{end}} \leftarrow t_{\text{middle}}$ 068 else 069 *⊳* ...else move boundary starttime forward. *⊲* 070  $t_{\text{start}} \leftarrow t_{\text{middle}}$ until  $t_{\rm end} - t_{\rm start} \leq$ some small tolerance  $\triangleright$  Since  $t_{start}$  still satisfies  $C_S$ , return it. return  $t_{\text{start}}$ 074 075 077 078 of differential equations: 079 081 082

083

085

086

087

089

090

091

092

093

094

095

096

097

098

099

100

104

105

106

109

let  $\mathbf{g} := [\mathbf{0}_d; g]$  where  $\mathbf{0}_d$  is a *d*-length zero vector. The dynamics of the particle are governed by the following system

$$\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = \frac{dK}{d\mathbf{p}} = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m}$$
 (2)

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = -\frac{dU}{d\mathbf{q}} = -m\mathbf{g}.$$
 (3)

In order to simulate the particle trajectory, HMC employs Euler's "leapfrog" integration method, which requires an acceptance step to handle cases when the Hamiltonian H diverges and thus isn't conserved. In RMC, because Equations (2) and (3) don't involve what could be an arbitrarily complex  $S(\theta)$ , we can easily solve for the timeparametrized closed forms:

$$\mathbf{q}(t) \coloneqq \mathbf{q}_0 + \frac{\mathbf{p}_0}{m}t - \frac{\mathbf{g}}{2}t^2 \tag{4}$$

$$\mathbf{p}(t) \coloneqq \mathbf{p}_0 - m\mathbf{g}t. \tag{5}$$

We use Equations (4) and (5) to find the next candidate sample  $\theta_i$  by computing the earliest t' for which  $C_S(\mathbf{q}(t')) = 0$ . This search is done using a two-step process that increases t' in doubling increments until a collision, and then does a binary search within a time-bounded window to find the near-exact t' representing the imminent point of collision while still satisfying  $C_S(\mathbf{q}(t')) > 0$ . Algorithm 1 outlines the procedure in fuller detail.

## 2.3. Sampling at collisions

Once t' is had, we compute the position  $\mathbf{q}_{t'} \coloneqq \mathbf{q}(t')$  and momentum  $\mathbf{p}_{t'} := \mathbf{p}(t')$ . The acceptance probability of the candidate sample  $\theta(\mathbf{q}_{t'})$  is defined in terms of *lateral* 

momentum: ignoring the height component, if the angle of the reflection is particularly sharp, we are more likely to reject it. The reasoning is that these "hard" bounces represent regions of low probability in  $F(\theta)$ . If we let

$$\mathbf{n} \coloneqq \frac{\nabla C_S(\mathbf{q}_{t'})}{\|\nabla C_S(\mathbf{q}_{t'})\|}$$

be the unit normal at  $\mathbf{q}_{t'}$ , we can define the momentum after reflecting off the surface as

$$\overleftarrow{\mathbf{p}_{t'}} \coloneqq \mathbf{p}_{t'} - 2 \cdot \langle \mathbf{p}_{t'}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \cdot \mathbf{n}. \tag{6}$$

Our acceptance probability is thus

$$\Pr\left(\text{ACCEPT} \mid \mathbf{p}_{t'}, \overleftarrow{\mathbf{p}_{t'}}\right) \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{p}_{t'}), \boldsymbol{\theta}(\overleftarrow{\mathbf{p}_{t'}}) \right\rangle}{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{p}_{t'})\| \|\boldsymbol{\theta}(\overleftarrow{\mathbf{p}_{t'}})\|} \right). \tag{7}$$

If accepted, then we set  $\theta_i \leftarrow \theta(\mathbf{q}_{t'})$ . Whether the sample is accepted or rejected, we still continue on with the simulation by setting  $(\mathbf{q}_0, \mathbf{p}_0) \leftarrow (\mathbf{q}_{t'}, \mathbf{p}_{t'})$  in Equations (4) and (5).

## 2.4. Entropic dissipation

Given a scalar  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ , we modify Equation (6) slightly:

$$\overleftarrow{\mathbf{p}_{t'}} := \epsilon \cdot (\mathbf{p}_{t'} - 2 \cdot \langle \mathbf{p}_{t'}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \cdot \mathbf{n}). \tag{8}$$

The value  $\epsilon$  is known in the physics literature as the *coeffi*cient of restitution, a ratio of the velocities before and after an inelastic collision. If  $\epsilon=1$ , that is an elastic collision and we have Equation (6) again.

After enough collisions, all the energy of the Hamiltonian will be concentrated in U as the particle settles into a stable equilibrium of  $S(\theta)$ . This means the particle has found at least a local minimum. In the nonlinear optimization view, the value  $\theta$  at these moments are solutions

#### 2.4.1. Refreshing momentum

Between refreshes, we yield some undefined number of samples, different each time and hard to predict.

# Ricochet Monte Carlo

|   | Ricochet      |
|---|---------------|
| 2.5. Handling boundary constraints  |               |
| 2.6. Initialization   |               |
| 2.7. Full algorithm   |               |
| 3. Experiments  |               |
| 3.1. Distribution sampling  |               |
| 3.1.1. SINGLE GAUSSIAN  |               |
| 3.1.2. MIXTURE OF VARIED GAUSSIANS  |               |
| 3.1.3. Lopsided distributions   |               |
| 3.1.4. GRAPHICAL MODEL WITH BOUNDAR CONSTRAINTS   | RY            |
| 3.2. Nonlinear optimization   |               |
| 3.3. Modeling task: Skin segmentation   |               |
| 4. Theoretical analysis & discussion  |               |
| 4.1. Potential extensions   |               |
| 5. Conclusion   |               |
| References  |               |
| Langley, P. Crafting papers on machine learnin P. (ed.), <i>Proceedings of the 17th Internationa on Machine Learning (ICML 2000)</i> , pp. 120 ford, CA, 2000. Morgan Kaufmann. | al Conference |
|   |               |
|   |               |
|   |               |
|   |               |
|   |               |
|   |               |
|   |               |
|   |               |
|   |               |
|   |               |
|   |               |
|   |               |
|   |               |

# A. You can have an appendix here.

You can have as much text here as you want. The main body must be at most 8 pages long. For the final version, one more page can be added. If you want, you can use an appendix like this one, even using the one-column format.