Criterion E: Evaluation

Evaluation of the product:

Criteria-wise evaluation table is included in the appendix along with client feedback form. The application is overall functional and user-friendly. The user interface was designed to help make the learnability and memorability of the application better. The interface falls short in not redirecting to the home page after the add position or update position buttons are clicked. Its functionality is affected by not having a field for candidate phone numbers which was overlooked during the development. Both of these issues were mentioned by the client in the feedback form. Client also mentioned that the borders for input fields in the *Add* and *Edit* component weren't clear this was fixed by adding placeholders to all of them.

Recommendations for Further Development

The application was built with extensibility in mind. It is built as a framework on top of which newer components and features can be added on top off. As this project was intended as a long-term project, it is important to acknowledge new features and improvements that could be added to it.

The date fields of the application are string values and hence, little logical operations can be performed on them. Creating a separate data type for the date could help ensure uniform inputs and also prevent the user from accidentally backdating interviews. This was suggested by the client.

Similarly, the client wanted drop down list type time inputs for the interviews. However, this would make it tedious for the user to set the interview time. An alternate solution of adding clock style input is being discussed with the client.

It would be ideal if candidates could select interviews from the vacant slots themselves. This would save the user the trouble of communicating between their candidates and their clients.

Regardless, any future improvement will first focus on the function and interface errors mentioned in the previous section.

Word Count: 303