

Exercise 1.4.4

We will show that  $\sup T = b$  by contradiction. By assuming  $\sup T \neq b$ , we know that either  $\sup T < b$  or  $\sup T > b$ . In the first case, we can assume  $\sup T < b$ . Let  $c = \sup T$ . Then, by the Density of the Rationals, there must exist a  $q$  in the rationals such that  $c < q < b$ . Then,  $q$  is in  $T$  because it is in  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $q < b$ . Since  $c < b$ ,  $c$  cannot be the least upper bound of  $T$ , as there is an element in  $T$ ,  $q$ , that is greater than it. In the second case, we can assume  $\sup T > b$ . Again, let  $c = \sup T$ . Then, by the Density of the Rationals, there must exist a  $q$  in the rationals such that  $b < q < c$ . Now, we know that  $q$  is an upper bound for  $T$  that is less than  $c$ . Therefore,  $c$  is not the least upper bound for  $T$ . Now, since we arrived at contradictions for both  $\sup T < b$  and  $\sup T > b$ , it must be true that  $\sup T = b$ .

Exercise 1.4.5

By Density of the Rationals, there must exist a  $q$  such that  $a - \sqrt{2} < q < b - \sqrt{2}$ . Adding  $\sqrt{2}$  to the whole inequality, we have  $a < q + \sqrt{2} < b$ .  $q + \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{I}$  because  $a + t \in \mathbb{I}$  if  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ , which is satisfied by  $q$  and  $\sqrt{2}$ . So,  $q + \sqrt{2}$  satisfies a  $t \in \mathbb{I}$  for  $a < t < b$ .

Exercise 1.4.8

- (a) Let  $A = \{1 - \frac{1}{2^n} | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $B = \{1 - \frac{1}{3^n} | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , as all rationals in each set are in lowest form and  $A$  has only powers of 2 in the denominator, while  $B$  has only powers of 3 in the denominator.  $\sup A = \sup B = 1$  and  $1 \notin A \cup B$ , so  $A$  and  $B$  satisfy the conditions.
- (b) Let  $J_1 = (-1, 1), J_2 = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), \dots, J_n = (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$ . Then,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n = \{0\}$ , as for any real number  $y > 0$ ,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $\frac{1}{n} < y$ , by the Archimedean Property, and  $J_n$  does not contain  $y$ . Since the intersection contains a finite amount of elements, the sets  $J_n$  satisfies the given conditions.
- (c) Let  $L_1 = [1, \infty), L_2 = [2, \infty), \dots, L_n = [n, \infty)$ . Then,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_n = \emptyset$ , as for any number  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $n > x$ , by the Archimedean Property, and  $L_n$  does not contain  $x$ . Since the intersection is empty, the sets  $L_n$  satisfies the given conditions.
- (d) This condition is not possible, which can be proven by contradiction. Let  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ , with  $a_n \leq b_n$ , assuming all the finite intersections are nonempty and the infinite intersection is empty. Because the finite intersections are nonempty, we can define  $A_N = \bigcap_{n=1}^N I_n$ , which is closed and bounded because each  $I_n$  is closed and bounded. We know that  $A_N$  is nonempty because it is a finite intersection. Each  $A_{N+1} = A_N \cap I_{N+1} \subseteq A_N$ , so  $(A_N)_{N \geq 1}$  is a nested sequence of nonempty closed bounded intervals. By applying the Nested Interval Property, we know that  $\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} A_N \neq \emptyset$ . But,  $\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} A_N = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ , so  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset$ . This contradicts the assumption that the infinite intersection is empty, so a sequence of closed bounded intervals cannot satisfy the given conditions.

Exercise 1.5.9

- (a)  $x^2 - 2 = 0$  is a polynomial which has two real roots, one of which is  $\sqrt{2}$ , showing that  $\sqrt{2}$  is algebraic.

$x^3 - 2 = 0$  is a polynomial which has one real root, which is  $\sqrt[3]{2}$ , showing that  $\sqrt[3]{2}$  is algebraic.

We want  $x = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ . Squaring both sides, we get  $x^2 = 5 + 2\sqrt{6}$ . Subtracting 5 from both sides and squaring both sides again results in  $x^4 - 10x^2 + 25 = 24$ . Rearranging, we have the polynomial  $x^4 - 10x^2 + 1 = 0$  that has a real root of  $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ , showing that it is algebraic.

- (b) By fixing  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let  $A_n$  denote the set of algebraic numbers obtained as roots of polynomials with integer coefficients of degree  $n$ . First, this means that the number of polynomials of integer coefficients of degree  $n$ ,  $P_n$ , must be countable. Each coefficient  $a_i$  must be in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and the coefficient of the  $x^n$  must be in  $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . The cardinality of the Cartesian product of these sets represents the cardinality

of  $P_n$ . Since  $|\mathbb{Z}| = |\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}| = |\mathbb{N}|$ ,  $|P_n|$  is equal to the cardinality of the Cartesian product of  $n$  sets of  $\mathbb{N}$ . The finite product of countably infinite sets is also countable, which can be shown by induction. For the base case, we know  $\mathbb{N}$  is countable. Using the diagonal method from in class, we know that the product of two countable sets, such as  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , is countable. Assuming  $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathbb{N}$  is countable, we need to prove  $\prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \mathbb{N}$  is countable.  $\prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \mathbb{N} = (\prod_{i=1}^m \mathbb{N}) \times \mathbb{N}$ , which is the product of two countable sets. So,  $\prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \mathbb{N}$  is countable. Thus, any finite product of countable sets is countable, and the cardinality of the Cartesian product of  $n$  sets of  $\mathbb{N}$  is countable. For each  $p \in P_n$ , the number of roots of  $p$  is at most  $n$ . Let  $R(p)$  be the set of roots of  $p$ , and  $|R(p)|$  is always finite. So,  $A_n = \bigcup_{p \in P_n} R(p)$  and since the countable union of finite sets is countable,  $A_n$  is countable.

- (c) Since we proved that for a fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A_n$  is countable, we can also prove that the set of all algebraic numbers,  $A$ , is countable. Since a countable union of countable sets is countable,  $A$  is countable, as  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ . Using this, we can conclude that the transcendentals are uncountable with a proof by contradiction. The transcendentals are equal to  $\mathbb{R} \setminus A$ . If  $\mathbb{R} \setminus A$  were countable, then  $\mathbb{R} = A \cup (\mathbb{R} \setminus A)$  would be countable. Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable,  $\mathbb{R} \setminus A$  must be uncountable. Therefore, there are uncountably many transcendentals.

#### Exercise 1.5.11

- (a) We can define  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  with

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x \in A \\ g^{-1}(x), & x \in A' \end{cases}$$

If  $f$  can be shown to be bijective from  $A$  to  $B$ , and  $g^{-1}$  can be shown to exist by  $g$  being bijective from  $B'$  to  $A'$ , then their definitions will agree on disjoint subsets of  $X$ . Then,  $h$  will be a bijection, so  $X \sim Y$ .

- (b) In the case that  $A_1 = \emptyset$ , then  $g$  is surjective, as for every element  $x \in X$ ,  $\exists y \in Y$  such that  $g(y) = x$ . Then,  $g$  is bijective, so  $X \sim Y$  immediately. Assuming  $A \neq \emptyset$ , we need to prove that  $A_i$  and  $A_j$  are disjoint for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $x$  is an element of  $A_i \cap A_j$ , with  $i < j$ . Then, as  $x \in A_i$ , there must exist an element  $y \in A_1$  such that  $i - 1$  applications of  $g$  and  $f$  maps it to  $x$ . Since  $x \in A_j$ , there must also exist an element  $z \in A_1$  such that  $j - 1$  applications of  $g$  and  $f$  maps it to  $x$ . So, we have  $(g \circ f)^{i-1}(y) = x$  and  $(g \circ f)^{j-1}(z) = x$ . Then,  $(g \circ f)^{i-1}(y) = (g \circ f)^{j-1}(z)$ . By the injectivity of  $g$  and  $f$  and the fact that  $i < j$ , we can cancel the outermost compositions of  $(g \circ f)$   $i - 1$  times. Then, we have  $y = (g \circ f)^{j-i}(z)$ . Since  $j > i$ ,  $g$  is applied at least once to  $z$ , so  $(g \circ f)^{j-i}(z) \in g(Y)$ . However, by definition,  $y \in A_1$ , and  $A_1 \cap g(Y) = \emptyset$ . So, by contradiction,  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  and  $A_i$  and  $A_j$  are disjoint for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since the subsets of  $X$  are pairwise disjoint and  $f$  is injective, each subset  $f(A_n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  of  $Y$  is also pairwise disjoint.
- (c) To show that  $f$  maps  $A$  onto  $B$ , we need to show that  $f(A) \subset B$  and  $B \subset f(A)$ . Take any  $x \in A$ . By definition of  $A$ ,  $x \in A_n$  for some  $n$ . By applying  $f$ , we have  $f(x) \in f(A_n) \subset B$ , so  $f(A) \subset B$ . Now, take any  $y \in B$ . By definition of  $B$ ,  $y \in f(A_n)$  for some  $n$ . Then, there must exist an  $x \in A_n$  such that  $y = f(x)$ . Since  $A_n \subset A$ ,  $x \in A$ . Therefore,  $y \in f(A)$  and  $B \subset f(A)$ . So,  $f$  maps  $A$  onto  $B$ , and since  $f$  is injective,  $f$  has been proven to be bijective.
- (d) To show that  $g$  maps  $B'$  onto  $A'$ , we need to show that  $g(B') \subset A'$  and  $A' \subset g(B')$ . Take any  $y \in B'$ . If  $g(y) \in A$ , then  $g(y) \in A_{n+1} = g(f(A_n))$  for some  $n$ . By injectivity of  $g$ , we have  $y \in f(A_n) \subset B$ . This is a contradiction, as  $y \in Y \setminus B$ . So,  $g(y) \notin A$ , and  $g(y) \in A'$ . Therefore,  $g(B') \subset A'$ . Now, take any  $x \in A'$ . Then,  $x \notin A_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So,  $x \notin A_1$ , and  $x \in g(Y)$ . Let  $y \in g(Y)$  such that  $g(y) = x$ . If  $y \in B$ , then  $x \in A$ , which is a contradiction, as  $x \in A'$ . So,  $y \in B'$ , and since  $x = g(y)$ ,  $A' \subset g(B')$ . So,  $g$  maps  $B'$  onto  $A'$ , and since  $g$  is injective,  $g$  has been proven to be bijective.

#### Exercise 1.6.1

We must prove that  $\mathbb{R}$  being uncountable  $\implies (0, 1)$  being uncountable, and  $(0, 1)$  being uncountable  $\implies \mathbb{R}$  being uncountable. Assuming  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable, we need to create a bijection  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ . Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$ . This function has an inverse,  $f^{-1} : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which is defined as  $f^{-1}(x) = -\ln(\frac{1}{x} - 1)$ . Since  $f$  has an inverse function,  $f$  is bijective, meaning  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $(0, 1)$  have the same cardinality. Since we are assuming  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable,  $(0, 1)$  is also uncountable. To prove that  $(0, 1)$  being uncountable  $\implies \mathbb{R}$  being uncountable, we can assume  $(0, 1)$  is uncountable and use  $f^{-1} : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as our function. Since it has an inverse, specifically  $f$ ,  $f^{-1}$  is a bijection, so  $(0, 1)$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  have the same cardinality. Since we are assuming  $(0, 1)$  is uncountable,  $\mathbb{R}$  is also uncountable. Therefore,  $(0, 1)$  is uncountable if and only if  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable.

Exercise 1.6.9

The power set of  $\mathbb{N}$  is the collection of subsets of the natural numbers. Let each subset  $A$  be represented by a sequence of binary numbers,  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)$ , where  $a_n = 1$  if  $n \in A$  and  $a_n = 0$  if  $n \notin A$ . This correspondence between the subsets of the naturals and a binary sequence is bijective, so  $|P(\mathbb{N})| = |\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}|$ . Every binary sequence can be mapped to a real number in  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $x$  be defined as  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{2^n}$ . However, some real numbers have multiple expansions in this form, such as  $\frac{1}{2}$ , which can be represented as  $(1, 0, 0, \dots)$ , but also as  $(0, 1, 1, \dots)$ . However, this is true for only a countable amount of real numbers, so cardinality is not affected. Therefore,  $|\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}| = |[0, 1]|$ . Since the cardinality of  $[0, 1]$  is equal to the cardinality of  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have  $|P(\mathbb{N})| = |\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}| = |[0, 1]| = |\mathbb{R}|$ . So,  $P(\mathbb{N}) \sim \mathbb{R}$ .

Exercise 1.6.10

- (a) The set of all functions from  $\{0, 1\}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$  can be represented as a set of ordered pairs. Each function  $f : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  can be written as an ordered pair  $(f(0), f(1))$ . The values of  $f(0)$  and  $f(1)$  vary across the natural numbers, so the set of all of these ordered pairs is equivalent to  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Since there is a 1-1 correspondence from  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ , the set of all functions from  $\{0, 1\}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$  is countable.
- (b) We can show that the set of all functions from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\{0, 1\}$  is uncountable using Cantor's diagonal argument. Assume that the set is countable; then it should be able to be enumerated in correspondence with the natural numbers. Let  $f_n : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be the function that corresponds to  $n$ , and  $n$  varies across the natural numbers. We can create a function  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  such that  $g(n) = 1$  if  $f_n(n) = 0$  and  $g(n) = 0$  if  $f_n(n) = 1$ .  $g$  differs from every  $f_n$  at least at the  $n$ -th element, so  $g$  is not in the list of functions. This contradicts the assumption that the set of all functions from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\{0, 1\}$  is countable, so it must be uncountable.
- (c) Let  $A = 2n + 1 : n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set of all odd natural numbers. Let  $B = 2n : n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set of all even natural numbers. We can define a set in such a way that the  $n$ -th element of the set is either the  $n$ -th element of  $A$  or the  $n$ -th element of  $B$ , and each such set is infinite. Let  $C$  be the collection of such all such sets. Then, this set  $C$  is a subset of the power set of  $\mathbb{N}$ . It is an antichain of  $P(\mathbb{N})$ , as for any two sets in  $C$ , there must exist an element that is in one set but not the other, so no set in  $C$  is a subset of another set in  $C$ . To prove that  $C$  is uncountable, we can see that it corresponds to the set of all functions from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\{0, 1\}$ , which we proved is uncountable in part (b). Each function corresponds to a set in  $C$  by mapping  $n$  to the  $n$ -th element of  $A$  if the function maps  $n$  to 1, and mapping  $n$  to the  $n$ -th element of  $B$  if the function maps  $n$  to 0. Since there is a bijection from the set of all functions from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\{0, 1\}$  to  $C$ ,  $C$  is uncountable.