## **Accessing Tensors (3 Points)**

A 4D tensor (e.g., with shape N×C×H×W) is typically stored in row-major order, meaning elements are laid out in memory such that the last dimension (W) changes fastest and the first (N) slowest. This convention was chosen to maximize performance by leveraging hardware memory access patterns and parallel computation capabilities. This layout ensures that data accessed sequentially iis stored contiguously in memory, which improves CPU cache efficiency and enables hardware-level optimizations like SIMD vectorization.

#### Part 1: A Simple (But Not So Efficient) Implementation of Attention (10 Points)

```
Here is an example of how to read/write 0's to Q (B, H, N, d)
```

```
float sum = 0.0f;
float Qval = fourDimRead(Q, b, h, i, k, H, N,
        d);
            sum += Qval * Kval;
       float rowSum = 0.0f;
 float val = twoDimRead(QK t, i, j, N);
            val = expf(val);
    twoDimWrite(QK t, i, j, N, val);
             rowSum += val;
             val /= rowSum;
          float sum = 0.0f;
        d);
            sum += QKval * Vval;
```

# Part 2: Blocked Matrix Multiply and Unfused Softmax (20 Points):

```
const int Td = std::min(32, d);
              float zero = 0.0f;
       twoDimWrite(QK t, i, j, N, zero);
     int i end = std::min(i0 + Tn, N);
       int j end = std::min(j0 + Tn, N);
         int k = std::min(k0 + Td, d);
                   float sum = (k0 == 0)
                 : twoDimRead(QK t, i, j, N);
              float Qv = fourDimRead(Q, b, h, i,
              float Kv = fourDimRead(K, b, h, j,
       k2, H, N, d);
```

```
float rowSum = 0.0f;
           v = expf(v);
          float expv = v;
 twoDimWrite(QK t, i, j, N, expv);
            rowSum += v;
float v = twoDimRead(QK t, i, j, N);
      float norm = v / rowSum;
   int j end = std::min(j0 + Tn, N);
         float Vv = fourDimRead(V, b, h, j,
 k2, H, N, d);
                 acc += Pval * Vv;
                float updated = acc;
```

```
fourDimWrite(0, b, h, i, k2, H, N,
d, updated);
}
}
}
}
}
```

N-block size (T□)	Time (ms)
16	138
32	116.7
64	125
128	140

Optimal Tile size was 32 as at 32x32 blocks each Q block, K block and C Block is a 32x32 submatrix so the working per block is nearly 12KB, which fits easily in L1 cache.

In a N×d × d×N matrix multiply you end up reading each Q[i,k] and each K[k,j] element N times, so you pull  $2 \cdot N^2 \cdot d$  floats from DRAM. A blocked implementation reuses each Q[i,k] across all j in its block and each K[k,j] across all i in its block, so you load each Q and each K element oncel. As a result, the blocked version requires only 1/N as many DRAM reads as the naïve one, cutting memory traffic by a factor of N) and dramatically improving performance.

#### Part 3: Fused Attention (25 Points):

```
at::Tensor OTensor = at::zeros({B, H, N, d}, at::kFloat);
    at::Tensor ORowTensor = at::zeros({N}, at::kFloat);
    std::vector<float> 0 = formatTensor(OTensor);
    std::vector<float> Q = formatTensor(QTensor);
    std::vector<float> K = formatTensor(KTensor);
    std::vector<float> V = formatTensor(VTensor);
    std::vector<float> ORow = formatTensor(ORowTensor);
    #pragma omp parallel for collapse(3)
    for (int b = 0; b < B; b++) {
                at::Tensor ORowTensor =
temp.index({torch::indexing::Slice(omp_get_thread_num(),
torch::indexing::None)});
                std::vector<float> ORow = formatTensor(ORowTensor);
                    float dot=0.0;
                    for (int k=0; k< d; k++) {
dot+=Q[index4D(b,h,i,k,H,N,d)]*K[index4D(b,h,j,k,H,N,d)];
                    ORow[j]=dot;
```

```
maxVal=*std::max element(ORow.begin(),ORow.begin()+N);
                 float sum=0.0;
                 for(int j=0;j<N;j++){</pre>
                      ORow[j]=std::exp(ORow[j]-maxVal);
                      sum+=ORow[j];
                 for(int j=0;j<N;j++){</pre>
                      ORow[j]/=sum;
                 for (int k=0; k< d; k++) {
                      float val=0.0;
                      for(int j=0;j<N;j++){</pre>
                          val+=ORow[j]*V[index4D(b,h,j,k,H,N,d)];
                      O[index4D(b,h,i,k,H,N,d)]=val;
```

We use much less memory in Part 3 because we don't store the full attention matrix. Instead, we compute and apply attention scores on-the-fly for each output position, reducing memory from quadratic to linear in sequence length N.

### Reference Implementation (Manual Execution Time):

- With #pragma omp: ~97.3 ms
- Without #pragma omp: ~281.9 ms

### **Student Implementation (Manual Execution Time):**

- With #pragma omp: ~71.6 ms
- Without #pragma omp: ~266.7 ms

Fused attention combines multiple operations into a single GPU kernel, reducing the need for intermediate memory reads/writes and synchronization between separate threads. In Part

1, each operation was handled independently, causing thread stalls and inefficient memory usage. Fused attention allows for better thread cooperation within the kernel, maximizing parallel execution and minimizing overhead, thus making it much easier to fully utilize multithreading capabilities on modern GPUs.

Part 4: Putting it all Together - Flash Attention (35 Points):

```
PART 4: FLASH ATTENTION
torch::Tensor myFlashAttention(
   torch::Tensor QTensor, torch::Tensor KTensor, torch::Tensor
VTensor,
torch::Tensor /*PVTensor*/,
torch::Tensor /*LiTensor*/,
   at::Tensor OTensor = at::zeros({B, H, N, d}, at::kFloat);
    std::vector<float> 0 = formatTensor(OTensor);
    std::vector<float> Q = formatTensor(QTensor);
    std::vector<float> K = formatTensor(KTensor);
    std::vector<float> V = formatTensor(VTensor);
    std::vector<float> Qi(Br * d), Kj(Bc * d), Vj(Bc * d);
    std::vector<float> Sij(Br * Bc), Pij(Br * Bc);
    std::vector<float> PV(Br * d), Oi(Br * d);
```

```
std::vector<float> li(Br), Lij(Br), lnew(Br);
    std::vector<float> PBsum(Br);
                   lnew[bi] = 0.0f;
                       Oi[bi * d + k] = 0.0f;
                        if (row >= N) break;
                            Qi[bi * d + k] = Q[index4D(b, h, row, k, H,
N, d)];
                    for (int bj = 0; bj < Bc; ++bj) {
                            Kj[bj * d + k] = K[index4D(b, h, col, k, H,
N, d)];
                           Vj[bj * d + k] = V[index4D(b, h, col, k, H,
N, d)];
                    for (int bi = 0; bi < Br; ++bi) {
```

```
int col = j + bj;
                                sum += Qi[bi * d + k] * Kj[bj * d + k];
                            Sij[bi * Bc + bj] = sum;
                    for (int bi = 0; bi < Br; ++bi) {
                            int col = j + bj;
                            m = std::max(m, Sij[bi * Bc + bj]);
                        Lij[bi] = m;
                        float sumExp = 0.0f;
                        for (int bj = 0; bj < Bc; ++bj) {
                            float v = std::exp(Sij[bi * Bc + bj] -
Lij[bi]);
                            Pij[bi * Bc + bj] = v;
                        PBsum[bi] = sumExp;
                        int row = i + bi;
```

```
for (int k = 0; k < d; ++k) {
                            for (int bj = 0; bj < Bc; ++bj) {
                                int col = j + bj;
                                acc += Pij[bi * Bc + bj] * Vj[bj * d +
k];
                            PV[bi * d + k] = acc;
                        float old li = li[bi];
                        float new li = Lij[bi];
                        float alpha = std::exp(old li - new li);
                        lnew[bi] = alpha * lnew[bi] + PBsum[bi];
                            Oi[bi * d + k] = alpha * Oi[bi * d + k] +
PV[bi * d + k];
                for (int bi = 0; bi < Br; ++bi) {
                    if (row >= N) break;
                        O[idx] = Oi[bi * d + k] / lnew[bi];
```

```
}
}

// DO NOT EDIT THIS RETURN STATEMENT //
   // It formats your C++ Vector O back into a Tensor of Shape (B, H,
N, d) and returns it //
   return torch::from_blob(O.data(), {B, H, N, d},
torch::TensorOptions().dtype(torch::kFloat32)).clone();
}
```

In Parts 1 and 2, we build and store the full attention score matrix  $QK^T$  of size N×N for each batch and head, which incurs  $O(N^2)$  extra memory just to hold those intermediate scores. In Part 3, by fusing computation one row at a time, we only materialize a single length-N buffer, reducing the scratch space to O(N). Flash Attention in Part 4, streams Q, K, and V through small Br×Bc tiles, holding at most one tile's worth of scores and related accumulators in SRAM at any moment. As a result, aside from the output tensor and O(N) running softmax state, Flash Attention never needs more than O(1) extra per tile, so its auxiliary memory scales linearly in N rather than quadratically.

No, our straight C++ tiling is functionally correct but not optimised. A few key optimizations:

- Parallelize with OpenMP (or TBB): distribute row- and head-blocks across threads to utilize all cores.
- **SIMD-vectorize inner loops:** use AVX/AVX-512 intrinsics (or compiler pragmas) for the dot-products and the exp() calls to process multiple floats per instruction.
- **Software-prefetching and alignment:** prefetch the next Q/K/V tiles into L1/L2 and align your block buffers on 64-byte boundaries to avoid cache misses.