Student Name:	Aagam Sanjay Shah
Deliverable:	Assignment
Course Name:	NTWK8216-24F-Sec2-Capstone-Applied Network Infrastructure

Date Assigned:	15/10/2024
Date Due:	15/10/2024
Rules:	- Individual. - Cheating is not allowed. - Plagiarism counts as cheating! - That FAILURE to submit work in the course can result in a grade of 'F' or 'I' for failure to complete the course!

Project Reflection

Project Details: -

- Project Title: - Deployment of web hosting infrastructure and centralized management.
- Project leader: - Pragnesh Chaudhary
- Group Number: - 2
- Project Members: - 6 (Six)

Name	Student Number
Aagam Sanjay Shah	8935540
Pragneshkumar Kanubhai Chaudhary	8937680
Rishi Rameshbhai Patel	8940502
Taranjit Chani	8861991
Urvish Lalitbhai Sangani	8947081
Yug Pradipkumar Patel	8938573

1. **Group Member Name:** Pragneshkumar Kanubhai Chaudhary

- **What did they do well?**

  ➤ Pragneshkumar is handling very well with deadlines, submissions and documentations.
  ➤ He is managing well with the checklist. The most important thing about Pragnesh is he keeps the team well informed about project progress and other matters.
  ➤ He is a technical and leadership person who knows how well to deal with issues and troubleshooting tasks.
  ➤ As a team leader, he handles Web hosting and collaborating tools as a part of the capstone project.

- **What could they have improved?**

  ➤ He can explain issues in a better way in simple format.
  ➤ Handling work between team members and working on a specific issue for a long time impacts other tasks.
  ➤ He needs more technical and functional way of looking towards any task or issue for problem solving.

- **Other feedback you wish to provide?**

  ➤ His abilities to troubleshoot and contributions to handle team members are highly valued for this project; however, he could communicate in better way with team members to ensure a seamless project completion.
  ➤ He is regular in team meetings and updating work.
  ➤ Frequently he tries to solve and work on many tasks together which leads to unsolved problems and delay in resolving problems.
  ➤ Overall, team contributions can be rated as 4 out of 5.

2. **Group Member Name:** Rishi Rameshbhai Patel

- **What did they do well?**

  ➤ He is punctual and good at many other skills
  ➤ Rishi is handling the Monitoring part of the collaboration tool. He is a good team member with skills of monitoring tools with different operation systems such as Linux.

- **What could they have improved?**

  ➤ He can submit his works bit early before the deadline for a chance to review the work.

➢ He is having quite good technical knowledge about monitoring but still he has space and wide area of improvement for documentation and learning new ways to implement in projects.

- **Other feedback you wish to provide?**

➢ He often books room in library for team meetings. He lacks in addressing team with issues and other deliverables of the project,
➢ He is quite technically and valuable members of the group.
➢ Overall, team contributions can be rated as 4 out of 5.

3. Group Member Name: Taranjit Chani

- **What did they do well?**

➢ He helped a lot in error-solving in project.
➢ MDM is core skill of Taranjit, as well as he maintains IPs used in project and other VM's communications.

- **What could they have improved?**

➢ By performing troubleshooting on different test cases on different platforms and environments, he will be able to achieve a wide range of results of implementations.
➢ He needs active involvement in team meetings with work updates.
➢ He keeps working on single time for long time rather than taking help of other team members for quick achievements of tasks assigned.

- **Other feedback you wish to provide?**

➢ He needs to discuss the points in detail with team members, no matter he has good knowledge of Mobile device management but on the other hand he needs to have quick update and discuss any issues with other team members.
➢ He lacks on the documentation and functional part, whereas he is good at technical part.
➢ Overall, team contributions can be rated as 3 out of 5

4. Group Member Name: Urvish Lalitbhai Sangani

- **What did they do well?**

➢ Urvish is handling the critical part of the project. Security is his core skills.
➢ He is quick at implementing the tools and security parameters throughout the project.
➢ He also handles the architecture of the project of both the locations.

- **What could they have improved?**

➢ He can improve the security of the tool and its implementation on different platforms with different test cases.
➢ Updating architecture of the project takes time but needs to be updated at regular intervals.

- **Other feedback you wish to provide?**

➢ Urvish is regular in attending group discussions and group meetings at library and online meetings.
➢ He can explain issues and topics in much detailed way which helps in getting more clarity to work on further steps.
➢ Overall, team contributions can be rated as 4 out of 5

5. **Group Member Name:** Yug Pradipkumar Patel

- **What did they do well?**

➢ He is good at technical part like hosting website for the collaboration tools with different languages and web hosting applications like Apache and NGINX.
➢ Yug is good at problem solving and discussing in detail on different issues.

- **What could they have improved?**

➢ He needs to work deep in the field of web hosting, as he faces many challenges while hosting and troubleshooting.
➢ He also needs to work on technical and functional abilities to achieve the deadline with each task assigned to him.

- **Other feedback you wish to provide?**

➢ Need to attend regular meetings in library with other team members and online meetings.
➢ He has to update his work status regularly which causes delay in task and deadlines achievements.
➢ Overall, team contributions can be rated as 3 out of 5.