

HW12_Andrew_Goldberg

Andrew Goldberg

4/20/2017

Page 529: 1

Verify that the given function pair is a solution to the first-order system.

$$x = -e^t$$

$$y = e^t$$

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -y$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = -x$$

This is easily verified from the differentiation formulas

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt}(-e^t) = -e^t = -\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{-d}{dt}(e^t) = -e^t$$

Page 529: 6

Find and classify the rest points of the given autonomous system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -(y - 1)$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = x - 2$$

because rest points = 0 -(y-1)=0 y=1

x-2 = 0 x=2 So rest point is 2,1

Page 536: 7

Show that the two trajectories leading to (m=n, a=b/ shown in Figure 12.8 are unique.

a. From system (12.6) derive the following equation:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{(m - nx)y}{(a - by)x}$$

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (a - by)x$$

turns into...

$$dx = dt(a - by)x$$

similarly

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = (m - nx)y$$

turns into

$$dy = dt(m - nx)y$$

dividing dy by dx, we can cancel out the dt's to have...

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{(m - nx)y}{(a - by)x}$$

b. Separate variables, integrate, and exponentiate to obtain

$$y^a e^{-by} = Kx^m e^{-nx}$$

where K is a constant of integration

First... separate variables

$$dy(a - by)x = dx(m - nx)y$$

$$\frac{a - by}{y} dy = \frac{m - nx}{x} dx$$

$$(\frac{a}{y} - b)dy = (\frac{m}{x} - n)dx$$

..Then integrate...

$$a \ln|y| - by = m \ln|x| - nx + k_1$$

apply logarithm...

$$\ln \frac{|y|^a}{|x|^m} = by - nx + k_1$$

take antilog

$$\frac{y^a}{x^m} = e^{by - nx + k_1}$$

$$y^a e^{-by} = x^m e^{-nx} e^{k_1}$$

allow

$$e^{k_1} = K$$

$$y^a e^{-by} = x^m e^{-nx} K$$

c. Let

$$f(y) = \frac{y^a}{e^{by}}$$

$$g(x) = \frac{x^m}{e^{nx}}$$

Show that f(y) has a unique maximum of

$$M_y = \left(\frac{a}{eb}\right)^a$$

when

$$y = \frac{a}{b}$$

as shown in figure 12.12. Similarly show that g(x) has a unique maximum

$$M_x = \left(\frac{x}{en}\right)^m$$

when

$$x = \frac{m}{n}$$

first..differentiate

$$\frac{d(f(y))}{dy} = \frac{ay^{a-1}}{e^{by}} + \frac{-by^a}{e^{by}}$$

set to 0...

$$\frac{ay^{a-1} + by^a}{e^{by}} = 0 = y^{a-1}(a - yb)$$

$$y = \frac{a}{b}, 0$$

second derivative test:

$$\frac{d^2 f(y)}{dy^2} = \frac{\frac{ay^{a-1} + by^a}{e^{by}}}{dy}$$

$$= (-be^{-by}ay^{a-1}) + (e^{-by}(a-1)ay^{a-2}) - (be^{-by}by^a) + (e^{-by}ay^{a-1})$$

$$= \frac{(((a-1)ay^{a-2} - ay^{a-1}) - b(ay^{a-1} - y^a))}{e^{by}}$$

if we set y=0, we'll get 0 Subsitute y=a/b... we'll get a messy equation, but it will be less than 0 because the second half of the top equation has higher powers than the first half and is therefore larger. Therefore y=a/b is a unique critical point that yeilds the relative max.

The value of the max is...

$$y^a e^{-by} = \frac{(\frac{a}{b})^a}{e^a} = M_y = (\frac{a}{eb})^a$$

If we changed the letters in the equation to:

$$x^m e^{-nx}$$

We would go through the same process and end up with the correlated answer:

$$M_x = (\frac{x}{en})^m$$

D. consider what happens as (x,y) approaches (m/n, a/b). Take limits in part(b) as x->m/n and y->a/b to show that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \frac{a}{b} \ x \rightarrow \frac{m}{n}} [(\frac{y^a}{e^{by}})(\frac{e^{nx}}{x^m})] = K$$

or My/Mx=K. Thus any solution trajectory that approaches (m/n, a/b) must satisfy

$$\frac{y^a}{e^{by}} = (\frac{M_y}{M_x})(\frac{x^m}{e^{nx}})$$

substitute the limits:

$$(\frac{a}{eb})^a (\frac{en}{x})^m = K$$

or...

$$\frac{M_y}{M_x} = K$$

substitute the value in K...

$$(\frac{y^a}{e^{by}}) = (\frac{M_y}{M_x})(\frac{x^m}{e^{nx}})$$

E. Show that only one trajectory can approach $(m/n, a/b)$ from below the line $y=a/b$. Pick y_0 less than a/b . From figure 12.12 you can see that $f(y_0) < M_y$, which implies that

$$\frac{M_y}{M_x} \left(\frac{x^m}{e^{nx}} \right) = \frac{y_0^a}{e^{by_0}} < M_y$$

This in turn implies that

$$\frac{x^m}{e^{nx}} < M_x$$

Figure 12.12 tells you that for $g(x)$ there is a unique value x_0 less than m/n satisfying this last inequality. That is, for each y less than a/b there is a unique value of x satisfying the equation in part (d). Thus there can exist only one trajectory solution approaching $(m/n, a/b)$ from below, as shown in figure 12.13.

This is solved...

F. Use a similar argument to show that the solution trajectory leading to $(m/n, a/b)$ is unique if y_0 more than a/b .

$$y_0 > \frac{a}{b}$$

$$\frac{M_y}{M_x} \left(\frac{x^m}{e^{nx}} \right) = \frac{y_0^a}{e^{by_0}} < M_y$$

$$\frac{M_y}{M_x} \left(\frac{x^m}{e^{nx}} \right) < M_y$$

$$\frac{x^m}{e^{nx}} < M_x$$

Page 546: 1

Apply the first and second derivative tests to the function $f(y) = \frac{y^a}{e^{by}}$ to show that $y=a/b$ is a unique critical point that yields the relative maximum $f(a/b)$. Show also that $f(y)$ approaches zero as y tends to infinity

$$f(y) = \frac{y^a}{e^{by}}$$

$$\frac{d\left(\frac{y^a}{e^{by}}\right)}{dy} = 0$$

$$\frac{e^{by}ay^{a-1} - y^a be^{by}}{e^{2by}} = 0$$

e^{2by} can never be 0 so...

$$\frac{e^{by}}{y^{a-1}}(a - yb) = 0$$

$$y = \frac{a}{b}, 0$$

second derivative test:

$$\frac{d^2 f(y)}{dy^2} = \frac{\frac{ay^{a-1} + by^a}{e^{by}}}{dy}$$

$$= (-be^{-by}ay^{a-1}) + (e^{-by}(a-1)ay^{a-2}) - (be^{-by}by^a) + (e^{-by}ay^{a-1})$$

$$= \frac{((a-1)ay^{a-2} - ay^{a-1}) - b(ay^{a-1} - y^a)}{e^{by}}$$

if we set $y=0$, we'll get 0. Substitute $y=a/b$... we'll get a messy equation, but it will be less than 0 because the second half of the top equation has higher powers than the first half and is therefore larger. Therefore $y=a/b$ is a unique critical point that yields the relative max.

The value of the max is...

$$y^a e^{-by} = \frac{(\frac{a}{b})^a}{e^a} = M_y = (\frac{a}{eb})^a$$

The graph itself clearly shows an asymptotic curve approaching 0 as y tends toward infinity. By logic, alone, due to the y as a power in the denominator, compared to only an a -powered integer in the numerator, it's clear that the denominator will grow at a much higher rate than the numerator as y tends to infinity, making the fraction approach 0.

Page 566: 1

use Euler's method to solve the first-order system subject to the specified initial condition. Use the given step size Δt and calculate the first three approximations (x_1, y_1) , (x_2, y_2) , and (x_3, y_3) . Then repeat your calculations for $\Delta t=2$. Compare your approximations with the values of the given analytical solution.

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = 2x + 3y$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = 3x + 2y$$

$$x(0) = 1, y(0) = 1, \Delta t = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-t} + \frac{1}{2}e^{5t}$$

$$y(t) = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-t} + \frac{1}{2}e^{5t}$$

so... assuming we start at $t=0$

$$t_0 = 0, t_1 = t_0 + \Delta t = 0.25, t_2 = t_1 + \Delta t = 0.50, t_3 = t_2 + \Delta t = 0.75$$

First (x_1, y_1) :

$$x_1 = x_0 + f(t_0, x_0, y_0)\Delta t$$

$$x_1 = x_0 + (2x_0 + 3y_0)\Delta t$$

$$x_1 = 1 + (2(1) + (3(1))\cdot 0.25 = 2.25$$

$$y_1 = y_0 + f(t_0, x_0, y_0)\Delta t$$

$$y_1 = y_0 + (3x_0 + 2y_0)\Delta t$$

$$y_1 = 1 + (3(1) + (2(1))\cdot 0.25 = 2.25$$

#Calculations at change $T = .25$

```
x0 <- 1
y0 <- 1
dt <- .25
paste0("@t")
```

```
## [1] "@t"
```

```

#rehashing first
x1 <- x0 + (2 * x0 + 3 * y0)*dt
y1 <- y0 + (3 * x0 + 2 * y0)*dt
paste0("x1=",x1," y1=",y1)

## [1] "x1=2.25 y1=2.25"

#second (x2,y2):
x2 <- x1 + (2 * x1 + 3 * y1)*dt
y2 <- y1 + (3 * x1 + 2 * y1)*dt
paste0("x2=",x2," y2=",y2)

## [1] "x2=5.0625 y2=5.0625"

#third (x3,y3):
x3 <- x2 + (2 * x2 + 3 * y2)*dt
y3 <- y2 + (3 * x2 + 2 * y2)*dt
paste0("x3=",x3," y3=",y3)

## [1] "x3=11.390625 y3=11.390625"

#compare approximations with values of the given analytic solution
paste0("@t/2")

## [1] "@t/2"

t0 <- 0
t1 <- .25
t2 <- .5
t3 <- .75
e <- (exp(1))
#first (t = 0)
xt0 <- .5 * e^(-t0) + .5 * e^(5*t0)
yt0 <- -.5 * e^(-t0) + .5 * e^(5*t0)
paste0("xt0=",xt0," yt0=",yt0)

## [1] "xt0=1 yt0=0"

#second (t = .25)
xt1 <- .5 * e^(-t1) + .5 * e^(5*t1)
yt1 <- -.5 * e^(-t1) + .5 * e^(5*t1)
paste0("xt1=",xt1," yt1=",yt1)

## [1] "xt1=2.13457187026662 yt1=1.35577108719522"

#third (t = .50)
xt2 <- .5 * e^(-t2) + .5 * e^(5*t2)
yt2 <- -.5 * e^(-t2) + .5 * e^(5*t2)
paste0("xt2=",xt2," yt2=",yt2)

## [1] "xt2=6.39451231020805 yt2=5.78798165049542"

#forth (t = .75)
xt3 <- .5 * e^(-t3) + .5 * e^(5*t3)
yt3 <- -.5 * e^(-t3) + .5 * e^(5*t3)
paste0("xt3=",xt3," yt3=",yt3)

## [1] "xt3=21.4967242764019 yt3=21.0243577236609"

```

Calculations at change $T = 1.25$

```
x0 <- 1
y0 <- 1
dt <- .125
```

```
#rehashing first
```

```
x1 <- x0 + (2 * x0 + 3 * y0)*dt
y1 <- y0 + (3 * x0 + 2 * y0)*dt
paste0("x1=",x1," y1=",y1)
```

```
## [1] "x1=1.625 y1=1.625"
```

```
#second (x2,y2):
```

```
x2 <- x1 + (2 * x1 + 3 * y1)*dt
y2 <- y1 + (3 * x1 + 2 * y1)*dt
paste0("x2=",x2," y2=",y2)
```

```
## [1] "x2=2.640625 y2=2.640625"
```

```
#third (x3,y3):
```

```
x3 <- x2 + (2 * x2 + 3 * y2)*dt
y3 <- y2 + (3 * x2 + 2 * y2)*dt
paste0("x3=",x3," y3=",y3)
```

```
## [1] "x3=4.291015625 y3=4.291015625"
```

```
#compare approximations with values of the given analytic solution
```

```
t0 <- 0
t1 <- .125
t2 <- t1*2
t3 <- t1*3
e <- (exp(1))
#first (t = 0)
xt1 <- .5 * e^(-t0) + .5 * e^(5*t0)
yt1 <- -.5 * e^(-t0) + .5 * e^(5*t0)
paste0("xt1=",xt1," yt1=",yt1)
```

```
## [1] "xt1=1 yt1=0"
```

```
#second (t = .125)
```

```
xt2 <- .5 * e^(-t1) + .5 * e^(5*t1)
yt2 <- -.5 * e^(-t1) + .5 * e^(5*t1)
paste0("xt2=",xt2," yt2=",yt2)
```

```
## [1] "xt2=1.37537143000841 yt2=0.492874527423813"
```

```
#third (t = .250)
```

```
xt3 <- .5 * e^(-t2) + .5 * e^(5*t2)
yt3 <- -.5 * e^(-t2) + .5 * e^(5*t2)
paste0("xt3=",xt3," yt3=",yt3)
```

```
## [1] "xt3=2.13457187026662 yt3=1.35577108719522"
```

t/4 reduces the overall margin of differences, but seems to follow the pattern worse than regular t (up to 2)