

## CSL303 Logic for Computer Science

### Exercise 1 Monadic First Order Logic (5 marks)

Consider the fragment of first order logic which can be generated by:

$$\varphi = P(x) \mid Q(x) \mid \exists x \varphi \mid \neg \varphi \mid (\varphi \wedge \varphi)$$

where  $x$  can be any variable, but  $P$  and  $Q$  are the only predicate symbols of the logic.

- (a) Give a model  $A$  for the formula  $\neg \exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$  where  $|A|$  is the set of natural numbers. (no proof required)
- (b) Let  $D = \{00, 01, 10, 11\}$  and  $A$  be an arbitrary structure. The mapping  $f : |A| \rightarrow D$  is defined by

$$f(d) = \begin{cases} 00 & \text{if } d \notin P^A, d \notin Q^A, \\ 01 & \text{if } d \notin P^A, d \in Q^A, \\ 10 & \text{if } d \in P^A, d \notin Q^A, \\ 11 & \text{if } d \in P^A, d \in Q^A. \end{cases}$$

Based on  $f$  we define structure  $C_A$  with domain  $|C_A| = D$  by

$$\begin{aligned} P^{C_A} &= \{f(d) \mid d \in P^A\}, \\ Q^{C_A} &= \{f(d) \mid d \in Q^A\}. \end{aligned}$$

- i) What are the elements of  $P^{C_A}$  and  $Q^{C_A}$  if  $A$  is the structure you have given under (a)?
- ii) Prove that for all formulas  $\psi$  the following holds for all structures  $A$  and value assignments  $s$ :
- $$\models_A \psi[s] \text{ if and only if } \models_{C_A} \psi[s'] \text{ where } s'(x) = f(s(x)).$$

### Exercise 2 Löwenheim/Skolem/Gödel (4 marks)

- (a) Give a Skolem form for  $\alpha = \neg \exists x (\forall y P(y, x) \vee \neg \forall y P(x, y))$ .
- (b) Give the Herbrand Expansion for the matrix of the Skolem form under (a).
- (c) Is  $\alpha$  satisfiable? Give reason.

**Exercise 3** Hilbert System (3 marks)

Give a deduction sequence for  $\{\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(y)), \forall zP(z)\} \vdash Q(z)$ .

**Exercise 4** Consistency (3 marks)

Let  $K$  be the clausal form of a Skolem form  $\varphi$ . Let  $K$  be such that each clause in  $K$  contains at least two literals and in each clause either all literals are either positive or all are negative. Is it generally true that  $\{\varphi\}$  is consistent? Prove or give a counterexample.

**Exercise 5** (4 marks)

Prove or reject by giving a counterexample:

The most general unifier  $\eta$  computed by the unification algorithm is always *idempotent* that is  $\eta\eta = \eta$ .

**Exercise 6** Separation Property (5 marks)

- (a) Let  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  be two sets of closed first order formulas such that no structure satisfies both sets that is  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  do not have a common model. Let  $\text{Mod}(\Sigma_1) = \{A \mid \text{for all } \psi \in \Sigma_1: \models_A \psi\}$ . Show that there is a formula  $\varphi$  such that every structure in  $\text{Mod}(\Sigma_1)$  satisfies  $\varphi$  and every structure in  $\text{Mod}(\Sigma_2)$  satisfies  $\neg\varphi$ .
- (b) Where in the proof of (a) do you need the fact that  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  do only contain closed formulas? Give reason.

**Exercise 7** CTL (5 marks)

Let  $K$  and  $K'$  be serial Kripke structures with exhibited initial state  $s$  and  $t$ , respectively. We say that  $s$  in  $K$  is equivalent to  $t$  in  $K'$ , denoted  $s \text{ in } K \sim t \text{ in } K'$  iff

- (a) for each finite path  $s = s_0 \rightarrow s_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow s_n$  in  $K$  there is a finite path  $t = t_0 \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow t_n$  in  $K'$  such that  $\kappa(s_i) = \kappa'(t_i)$  for all  $i \leq n$  and
- (b) for each finite path  $t = t_0 \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow t_n$  in  $K'$  there is a finite path  $s = s_0 \rightarrow s_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow s_n$  in  $K$  such that  $\kappa(s_i) = \kappa'(t_i)$  for all  $i \leq n$ .

( $\kappa$  and  $\kappa'$  denote the labelling functions of  $K$  and  $K'$ .)

Is it true that

$$s \text{ in } K \sim t \text{ in } K' \text{ iff } \{\varphi \mid \varphi \in \text{CTL}, s \models_{\kappa} \varphi\} = \{\varphi \mid \varphi \in \text{CTL}, t \models_{\kappa'} \varphi\}? \quad (*)$$

Prove  $(*)$  or show by giving counter examples which of the CTL operators have to be removed to obtain the validity of  $(*)$  and then prove the validity of  $(*)$  for the remaining fragment of the CTL.

**Exercise 8** *Applications* (4 marks)

You are given a road map that is a finite number of towns  $A_1$  to  $A_n$  and a finite number of roads  $E_1$  to  $E_m$  directly connecting two of these towns. Each road permits traffic in both directions.

- (a) Write a logic program (including a goal) which decides whether one can travel from  $A_1$  to  $A_n$  on road. The route itself does not have to be computed.
- (b) Define a Kripke structure  $K$  which models the road map. Give a CTL formula which is true in a state you have to specify if and only if one can travel from  $A_1$  to  $A_n$  on road.

---

*no more exercises*

---

The axioms of Hilbert system:

All generalizations of the following forms:

1. Tautologies ;
2.  $\forall x \alpha \rightarrow \alpha_t^x$ , where  $t$  is substitutable for  $x$  in  $\alpha$ ;
3.  $\forall x(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\forall x \alpha \rightarrow \forall x \beta)$ ;
4.  $\alpha \rightarrow \forall x \alpha$ , where  $x$  does not occur free in  $\alpha$ .