

Analysis of Algorithms
Homework 3

Arthur Nunes-Harwitt

1. (project) Transform the tail-recursive binary search algorithm on arrays involving the two functions *search* and *searchHelp* into a single imperative procedure *search* that performs the search using a while-loop. It should take the same arguments (an array and a data value) and return the same result (a Boolean) as the tail-recursive formulation in the lecture.
2. Consider the following incorrect formulation of binary search.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{searchHelp}(a, v; \ell, h) &= \begin{cases} \text{FALSE} & \text{if } \ell > h \\ \text{TRUE} & \text{if } v = a[\hat{m}] \\ \text{searchHelp}(a, v; \ell, \hat{m}) & \text{if } v < a[\hat{m}] \\ \text{searchHelp}(a, v; \hat{m}, h) & \text{if } v > a[\hat{m}] \end{cases} \\
 &\quad \text{where } \hat{m} = \ell + \lfloor (h - \ell) / 2 \rfloor \\
 \text{search}(a, v) &= \text{searchHelp}(a, v; 0, |a| - 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Give a small example of input that causes this formulation to go into an infinite loop; also show the calculation that illustrates that the example leads to an infinite loop.

$V = -1$

$A = \{ 2, 3, 3, 4 \}$

1. Search($a, -1, 0, 3$)
 - a. $M = 0 + (3-0)/2 = 1.5$
 - b. $\text{Floor}(1.5) = 1 = M$
 - c. $A[M] = a[1] = 3$
 - d. $-1 < 3; v < a[M]$
 - e. SearchHelp($a, v, 1, m$)
2. Search($a, -1, 0, 1$)
 - a. $L = 0, h = 1$
 - b. $M = 0 + (1-0)/2 = 0.5$
 - c. $\text{Floor}(0.5) = 0 = m$

- d. $A[m] = a[0] = 2$
 - e. $-1 < 2; v < a[m]$
 - f. `searchHelp (a, v, l, m)`
- 3. `Search(a, -1, 0, 0)`
 - a. $M = 0 + (0-0)/2 = 0$
 - b. $A[m] = a[0] = 2$
 - c. $-1 < 2; v < a[m]$
 - d. `SearchHelp(a, v, l, m)`
- 4. `Search(a, -1, 0, 0)`
 - a. * Infinite loop. Will never reach a false condition.

3. (a) Use Strassen's algorithm to compute the following matrix product.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 7 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 8 \\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Show your work.

1.

| | |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| $1 * 6 + 3 * 4$ | $1 * 8 + 3 * 2$ |
| $7 * 6 + 5 * 4$ | $7 * 8 + 5 * 2$ |

2.

| | |
|----|----|
| 18 | 14 |
| 62 | 66 |

(b) Write functional pseudo-code for Strassen's algorithm.

1. `STRASSEN(A, B)`
2. `n = A.rows`
3. `if n == 1`
4. `return a[1, 1] * b[1, 1]`
5. `let C be a new $n \times n$ matrix`
6. `A[1, 1] = A[1..n / 2][1..n / 2]`

7. $A[1, 2] = A[1..n/2][n/2 + 1..n]$
8. $A[2, 1] = A[n/2 + 1..n][1..n/2]$
9. $A[2, 2] = A[n/2 + 1..n][n/2 + 1..n]$
10. $B[1, 1] = B[1..n/2][1..n/2]$
11. $B[1, 2] = B[1..n/2][n/2 + 1..n]$
12. $B[2, 1] = B[n/2 + 1..n][1..n/2]$
13. $B[2, 2] = B[n/2 + 1..n][n/2 + 1..n]$
14. $S[1] = B[1, 2] - B[2, 2]$
15. $S[2] = A[1, 1] + A[1, 2]$
16. $S[3] = A[2, 1] + A[2, 2]$
17. $S[4] = B[2, 1] - B[1, 1]$
18. $S[5] = A[1, 1] + A[2, 2]$
19. $S[6] = B[1, 1] + B[2, 2]$
20. $S[7] = A[1, 2] - A[2, 2]$
21. $S[8] = B[2, 1] + B[2, 2]$
22. $S[9] = A[1, 1] - A[2, 1]$
23. $S[10] = B[1, 1] + B[1, 2]$
24. $P[1] = \text{STRASSEN}(A[1, 1], S[1])$
25. $P[2] = \text{STRASSEN}(S[2], B[2, 2])$
26. $P[3] = \text{STRASSEN}(S[3], B[1, 1])$
27. $P[4] = \text{STRASSEN}(A[2, 2], S[4])$
28. $P[5] = \text{STRASSEN}(S[5], S[6])$
29. $P[6] = \text{STRASSEN}(S[7], S[8])$
30. $P[7] = \text{STRASSEN}(S[9], S[10])$
31. $C[1..n/2][1..n/2] = P[5] + P[4] - P[2] + P[6]$
32. $C[1..n/2][n/2 + 1..n] = P[1] + P[2]$
33. $C[n/2 + 1..n][1..n/2] = P[3] + P[4]$
34. $C[n/2 + 1..n][n/2 + 1..n] = P[5] + P[1] - P[3] - P[7]$
35. return C

(c) Strassen's algorithm computes $C_{2,1}$ using the formula $C_{2,1} = P_3 + P_4$. Verify that $C_{2,1} = A_{2,1}B_{1,1} + A_{2,2}B_{2,1}$.

$$C_{21} = P_3 + P_4$$

$$C_{21} = 72 - 10$$

$$C_{21} = 62$$

$$C_{21} = A_{21}B_{21} + A_{22}B_{24}$$

$$= 7 * 6 + 5 * 4$$

$$= 42 + 20$$

$$= 62$$

- (d) Strassen's algorithm computes $C_{2,2}$ using the formula $C_{2,2} = P_5 + P_1 - P_3 - P_7$. Verify that $C_{2,2} = A_{2,1}B_{1,2} + A_{2,2}B_{2,2}$.

$$C_{22} = P_5 + P_1 - P_3 - P_7$$

$$= 66$$

$$C_{22} = A_{21}B_{12} + A_{22}B_{22}$$

$$= 7 * 7 + 5 * 2$$

$$= 56 + 10$$

$$= 66$$

- (e) When we replaced 8 with 7, we didn't take into account the additional matrix sums and differences. The actual recurrence for Strassen's algorithm is the following.

$$\begin{aligned} T(1) &= 1 \\ T(n) &= 7T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \frac{9}{2}n^2 \end{aligned}$$

Use iteration to solve this recurrence exactly assuming $n = 2^m$.

$$N = 2^n$$

$$T(2^n) = T(2^{m-1}) + 9/2 * 2^{2m}$$

$$S(m-1) = 7^2 * (m-2) + 7^2 * 9/2 * 4^{m-1}$$

$$S(m-2) = 7^2 * (m-3) + 7^2 * 9/2 * 4^{m-1}$$

$$S(1) = 7^m * (s(0)) + \sum 7^i * 9/2 * 4^{m-i}$$

$$S(m) = 7^m * (s(0)) - 9/2 * 4/3 * (4^k - 7^k)$$

$$T(n) = n^{\log_2 7} * T(1) - 4/3 (n^2 - n^{\log_2 7})$$

$$T(1) = 1, n > 0$$

- (f) How would you modify Strassen's algorithm to multiply $n \times n$ matrices in which n is not an exact power of 2? Show that the resulting algorithm runs in time $\Theta(n^{\lg(7)})$.

$$2^{k-1} < n < 2^k = m$$

$$M < 2n$$

$$O(2n^{\lg 7})$$

$$O(2n^{\lg 7} * n^{\lg 7})$$

$$O(n^{\lg 7})$$

1

- (g) Show how to multiply complex numbers $a+bi$ and $c+di$ using only three multiplications of real numbers. The algorithm should take the real numbers a , b , c , and d as input and produce the real component $ac - bd$ and the imaginary component $ad + bc$ separately.

$$(a + b) * (c + d) = a * c + a * d + b * c + b * d$$

$$\text{Multiplication 1} = a * c$$

$$\text{Multiplication 2} = b * d$$

$$\text{Multiplication 3} = (a + b) * (c + d)$$

Real:

$$M1 - m2$$

$$a * c - b * d$$

Imaginary =

$$m3 - m1 - m2$$

$$(a + b) * (c + d) - (a * c - b * d)$$

4. Consider the recurrence $T(1) = 0, T(n) = T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + n$.

- (a) Prove that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor = \lceil n/2 \rceil$.
- (b) Prove that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1 = \lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil$.
- (c) Let $D(n) = T(n+1) - T(n)$. Prove that $D(1) = 2, D(n) = D(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + 1$.
- (d) Prove using the strong form of induction that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $n \geq 1$ then $D(n) = \lfloor \lg n \rfloor + 2$.
- (e) Then prove that $T(n) - T(1) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} D(k)$, and show that an immediate consequence is that $T(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\lfloor \lg k \rfloor + 2)$.
- (f) Now show that $T(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\lfloor \lg k \rfloor + 2)$ implies that $T(n) = \mathcal{O}(n \log(n))$.

A. $(n+1)/2 = n/2$

a. $N = \infty$

b. $(\infty+1)/2 = \infty/2$

c. $\infty = \infty$

d. As N approaches infinity constants drop and this is true.

B.

5. (project)

- (a) Write a function `sortedHasSum` that takes a sorted array S of n numbers and another number x , and returns a Boolean indicating whether or not there is a pair of numbers in S whose sum is x that is $\mathcal{O}(n)$. Note that it is permissible to use one number in S twice. Your implementation may *not* use a hash table (or any auxiliary data structure).
- (b) Write a function `hasSum` that is $\mathcal{O}(n \log(n))$ that does the same thing when S is an arbitrary array of numbers. Your implementation may *not* use a hash table (or any auxiliary data structure).

6. (project) Implement imperative quicksort so that the size of the stack is $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ regardless of running time. Hint: Consider the order in which sub-problems are executed in the presence of tail-recursion. Your implementation may *not* modify the partition algorithm.