CMPE PROJECT CHARTER

Project Name Unable to Reach (UTR) Process Optimization

Opportunity

Currently, the process for handling nonresponsive members is different with each program at CMO.

Goal

Standardize and optimize the process for handling nonresponsive members.

Objectives

- Solve a multi-objective constrained optimization problem such that the response rate is maximized while the cost is minimized
- Determine the optimal number of times to outreach a member before flagging them as UTR
- Determine the optimal amount of time between outreach attempts
- Determine the optimal time frame in which to outreach members
- Determine the time(s) of day which yield the highest response rate(s)
- Determine the appropriate amount of time that a member should remain flagged as
 UTR before attempting to contact them again (placing them back on the enrollment
 list)
- Determine the response rate of each method (phone call, mailed letter, home visit)
- Calculate yearly expenditure on mailed outreach attempts (paper, stamps, ink, other printing costs, staff-hours for programs with call centers) vs. response rate to determine whether or not to continue this outreach method

determine whether of not to contin	ועב נוווז טענו כמכוז וווכנווטע			
In Scope	Business Case			
The scope includes the UTR processes for the following NCM	The first stage of the care management process is enrollment. The outreach step in the			
programs: Enrollment, Baseline (?), Health Home, ICM, Diabetes, Respiratory, CKD, HF, Complex Case Management, Housing, Post	enrollment process includes contacting members and documenting their interest in being managed by CMO. A standardized process for handling nonresponsive members will			
Discharge, and MLTC.	streamline the outreach process.			
Constraints	Assumptions			
 Some programs (i.e. Health Home) have federal or state regulatory requirements regarding the number of outreach attempts and the length of time between outreaches. Data collection via DA&R difficult because of resource constraints 	 Cost includes resources, monetary expenses, and staff hours Maximize response 			
Methodology	Deliverables			
Lean	"As Is" workflow(s), "To-be" workflow, value stream map, cause & effect diagram			
Six Sigma	Cost/benefit analysis, control charts, Pareto chart, ANOVA, Tukey's test, process capability,			

standardized work

Issue Date: 00/00/2016						
Core Team Members		Stakeholders				
Name	Role	Name		Role		
Ashley Holmes	Project Leader	Denise Connolly-Hoyt		Stakeholder		
	Bus. Architect	Cathy Lynch		Stakeholder		
	DA&R	Kathleen Byrne		Stakeholder		
		Alessandra Taverna-Trani		Stakeholder		
		John Williford				
		Peggi Czinger		Champion		
Subject Matter Exper	ts					
Sandra Mitchell		Anne O'Keefe				
Ana Gouldborne		Michael Diamond				
Alessandra Taverna-Trani		Barbara Bruno				
Diane Gross-Collins						
Key Process Indicators	s					
TBD by SMEs						
Summary Project Stat	us					
Projected Start Date		February 2015				
Estimated Completion		TBD				
Potential Financial Impact		TBD				
Milestones		Status	Due	Done		
Define		0				
Measure		0				
Analyze		0				
Improve		0				
Control		Ó				
Submit Final Changes		Ó				
Sponsor Approval			Date			



