

HW 8 Report

Link:

https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1jWYCDwPLNuiENRODc1nm44Vq21v3Q449?usp=drive_link

Original Images: 1, 7, 6, 5, 9



Effect of Training Epochs:

LR = 0.001

With layers: [784, 392, 196, 98, 32, 98, 196, 392, 784]

We tried early stopping with patience = 20 and Epochs = 400. Early stopping did not trigger Final training loss = 0.0080

Test Loss = 0.0314 hence high overfitting

Time taken was > 1 hour

For patience = 10 we get Early stopping at 178 epochs. Similar results obtained at patience = 7. Hence, we use Max Epochs = 300 now on

Effect of Learning Rate:

(with layers same as earlier with latent space = 32)

1) LR = 0.001:



Time taken = 39 minutes

Train loss = 0.012 and Test Loss = 0.029

2) Due to lack of BatchNorm and regularization, we find overflow at learning rate > 0.007. So we just show results for Learning Rate = 0.007:



Time = 20 mins

Train Loss = 0.047 and Test Loss = 0.057

Effect of Latent Space:

1) Size = 32: Mentioned earlier in case of Learning Rate 0.001

2) Size = 16:



Time = 50 mins

Train Loss = 0.013 and Test Loss = 0.043

3) Size = 4: Even for LR = 0.001 model cannot train. So we take LR 0.0005 now on:

[784, 392, 196, 98, 49, 24, 16, 4, 16, 24, 49, 98, 196, 392, 784]

Time = 1hr

Train loss= 0.073

Test loss = 0.079



Latent Space size 1:

[784, 392, 196, 98, 49, 24, 16, 4, 16, 24, 49, 98, 196, 392, 784]

Train loss = 0.110 and Test loss = 0.112 with time = 1 hr



PCA with latent Space = 32:



Discussion:

- 1) Very irregular training curve for high learning rates and low latent space size
- 2) Higher latent space and low learning rates with high epochs give best results
- 3) PCA severely underperforms as compared to nonlinear networks of the same size.
- 4) High Learning Rate is unable to train the network and gets stuck in a local minima