

(1) Using Bayes' theorem and big libraries have more customers so that  $P(I;S N) = \frac{\text{Books in N}}{\text{Total books}}$

$$P(\text{lib A} | \text{stat book}) = \frac{P(\text{stat} | A) \cdot P(A)}{P(\text{stat})}$$

$$= \frac{50/1000 \cdot 1000 / (1000 + 800 + 1200)}{(50 + 15 + 20) / (1000 + 800 + 1200)}$$

$$\approx \underline{\underline{0,588}}$$

$$P(\text{lib B} | \text{stat}) = \frac{P(\text{stat} | B) \cdot P(B)}{P(\text{stat})}$$

$$= \frac{15/800 \cdot 800 / (1000 + 800 + 1200)}{(50 + 15 + 20) / (1000 + 800 + 1200)}$$

$$\approx \underline{\underline{0,176}}$$

$$P(\text{lib C} | \text{stat}) = \frac{P(\text{stat} | C) \cdot P(C)}{P(\text{stat})}$$

$$= \frac{20/1200 \cdot 1200 / (1000 + 800 + 1200)}{(50 + 15 + 20) / (1000 + 800 + 1200)}$$

$$\approx \underline{\underline{0,235}}$$

2&3) Outputs:

```
2i) 1.000000
2ii-1) 0.027365
2ii-2) 0.047452
3i) 1.000000
3ii-1) 0.026263
3ii-2) 0.038384
```

Correlation coefficient and Kendall's tau for linear data is 1.0 as expected and both random data arrays (ii-1 and ii-2) have a coefficient very close to zero for both Pearson and Kendall as expected.

4) I created an array with 2000 values of  $\sin(x)$  with  $x$  ranging from 0 to 40. Autocorrelations plotted against values of  $k$  where  $k$  is not the index  $i$  but  $i*0.02$  so that it corresponds to actual  $x$ -coordinate along the  $\sin(x)$  curve. Here we see that there's of course a spike where offset is 0 and another where  $k=2*\pi$  which corresponds to the period of  $\sin(x)$ . In between these there's a negative spike where offset sine corresponds to changing it to cosine. Amplitude getting smaller for bigger values of  $k$  is due to the numerator getting smaller when  $k$  gets bigger while denominator is unchanged. This can easily be seen from the definition of sample lag- $h$  autocorrelation function as given in <https://se.mathworks.com/help/econ/autocorrelation-and-partial-autocorrelation.html>.

For random data I used data points created earlier. For them, correlation at  $k=0$  was also 1, but after that the correlation jumps randomly around 0 as can be expected for random data.

See plots on next page.

