Tile lish 3 019338536 Ani. 1 Jones AAT = I where I is unit for orthogonal noteix A notrix. Metrix Ops his the form (4-1 rous &cfore c 1: Tenes everywhere else 1-10 cols separe 4-1 als before a Therefore som i of NXN netrix apg is [0,0,...,1,00...0] if i + p col ; + 19 [0,0,...,0,5,0,...,0,0,0,...,0] p-1: 5-p-1 N-2 [0,0,...,0,5,0,...,0] p-1 3-p-1 17 i= 6

(1) And for colyans j' in netrix Qpg jtp and j + 9: N-48 6 So nulliplying row i of a cold colons; of at when i= 1 (diesonal of QQT) we set  $(i-1) \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot 1 + (N-i) \cdot 0 = 1$ end when it i and it p and it q every inches with something nonzero in column is terred out with zero in row i and vice verse. Now for for example 6x6 retrix Qn ine sive Only rows i= y and 010000 i= & reach ushrown. QQT=

Next we'll chech the case i=p and j#i.

If j=q nultiplication gields 0+0+ ... + 0 + cs 5 + 0+0+ ... + 0 - Cs + 0 ... + 0 = 0 + 05 - 05 = 0 And for cells jep me get 0+0+ ... + 0 + cs + 0 + ... + 0 - cs + 0 + ... + 0 = 65-65 Therefore all for all it; (QQT); = 0
and for all i=; (QQT); = 1
which neems that QQT = I' and
therefore Q is arthogonal I

2. Values from my implementation and LAPACK function dgeev seem to output numbers very close to each other. For following matrix

output is

jacobi method:

0.16664286117190027537.1014913651276927452585.2538109289221210931.478054844778173171

LAPACK implementation:

2585.253810928920302104 37.101491365127600375

from which we see that errors are very small as we see them starting from around  $13^{\text{th}}$  significant digit. I also ran some other arbitrarily chosen matrices for which the results were similar.

3. For determining effects of perturbations I had to edit my jacobi function so that it stops after  $1000*N^2$  iterations even if matrix isn't diagonal yet. This is probably more than enough to get an reasonably close to best possible value with this algorithm.

I ran 100 runs with random diagonal N\*N matrices with sizes evenly distributed having N between 5 and 15 (inclusive). Perturbation size was also determined randomly with perturbations ranging from 0.008% to 9% with 4.6% mean. This resulted in f having mean 1.22 and standard deviation 4.1. As one can see when examining raw output in file perturbations.out, for most matrices f is quite small (median being 0.027) but few matrices with f values even as high as over 20 raise the mean significantly.