

# Towards a Unified Framework for Gravity as Emergent Entanglement

The HoloCosmo Project

April 9, 2025

## Abstract

We explore the proposal that gravity emerges from the quantum entanglement structure of underlying degrees of freedom. We combine a four-step approach of speculate, formulate, calculate, and measure with a feasibility evaluation framework that emphasizes conceptual coherence, mathematical formulability, physical correspondence, and falsifiability. Our goal is to unify these methodologies, offering a roadmap for advancing an entanglement-based theory of gravity from bold conjecture to empirically grounded hypothesis.

## 1 Introduction

Over the past few decades, ideas relating *quantum entanglement* to the emergence of *space-time geometry* and *gravity* have steadily gained traction. From the AdS/CFT correspondence to entropic gravity proposals and the ER=EPR conjecture, the notion that gravitational degrees of freedom could be *derivable* from an underlying quantum informational structure has generated significant theoretical excitement. Nonetheless, serious open questions remain regarding how precisely to formulate and test such ideas.

This paper seeks to unify two complementary approaches:

1. A **four-step** scheme: speculate, formulate, calculate, and measure (SF-CM).
2. A **feasibility framework** focused on conceptual coherence, mathematical formulability, physical correspondence, and falsifiability/testability (CMPF).

By weaving these approaches together, we aim to provide a cohesive methodology for developing, refining, and potentially validating the hypothesis that “gravity is emergent from entanglement.”

## 2 Speculate: Gravity as Entanglement-Induced Attraction

### 2.1 Motivation

**Holography.** The holographic principle, as exemplified by the AdS/CFT correspondence, posits that the degrees of freedom in a gravitational bulk are encoded on a lower-dimensional boundary. Entanglement in the boundary theory is closely tied to geometric aspects of the bulk.

**ER=EPR.** The insight that Einstein–Rosen bridges (ER) might be equivalent to quantum entangled pairs (EPR) proposes a direct identity between spacetime connectivity and entanglement structure.

**Entropic Gravity.** Several works recast gravity as an emergent thermodynamic or entropic force, attributing gravitational effects to changes in entropy (and by extension, quantum information content).

### 2.2 Speculative Statement

We hypothesize that the “force” of gravity is actually the *tendency* of quantum subsystems to *increase their shared entanglement* with a larger entangled environment, resulting in an effective attraction. Spacetime geometry is thus a coarse-grained representation of the global entanglement network.

## 3 Formulate: Building a Quantitative Framework

### 3.1 Conceptual Coherence (C of CMPF)

For an entanglement-based model to align with known physics:

- **Thermodynamic Limit of GR:** At large, coarse-grained scales, the entanglement description should reduce to Einstein-like geometric dynamics.
- **Quantum Mechanical Principles:** The framework must respect unitarity, entanglement monogamy, and the no-cloning theorem.
- **Interpretive Integrity:** We reinterpret existing phenomena (mass, curvature) rather than postulate entirely new forces or fields outside standard quantum theory.

### 3.2 Mathematical Formulability (M of CMPF)

To move beyond hand-waving, we define or propose:

$$G_{\mu\nu} \propto \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu I(\{\text{quantum subsystems}\}), \tag{1}$$

where  $I(\{\cdot\})$  is an entanglement measure (such as mutual information or entanglement entropy). The stress-energy-like term could analogously be connected to an “entanglement density”  $E_{\mu\nu}$ :

$$E_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial S_{\text{ent}}}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}, \quad (2)$$

mirroring how conventional stress-energy emerges from variations in the metric. Exact definitions must ensure mathematical consistency with boundary-based (holographic) descriptions.

## 4 Calculate: Toy Models and Effective Dynamics

### 4.1 Toy Models for Entanglement Geometry

- **Spin Chains:** One-dimensional chains can be entangled with an environment, allowing direct computation of how “entanglement gradients” might mimic forces.
- **Tensor Networks (MERA, PEPS):** These networks naturally encode geometry via the structure of entanglement bonds. We can investigate whether local modifications reproduce Einstein-like curvature effects.

### 4.2 Physical Correspondence (P of CMPF)

**Newtonian Limit.** In the weak-field regime, can entanglement gradients scale as  $1/r^2$  to reproduce classical gravity?

**Dark Energy.** Could boundary entanglement saturation or topological shifts in the global entanglement network yield accelerated expansion (i.e., an effective cosmological constant)?

**Black Holes.** Reinterpreted as entanglement sinks, black holes might be *maximal mutual information objects*, crucial to understanding the holographic nature of quantum gravity.

## 5 Measure: Observational and Experimental Probes

### 5.1 Falsifiability and Testability (F of CMPF)

1. **Low-Entanglement Regimes:** Search for deviations from Newtonian or Einsteinian predictions in carefully controlled quantum systems with minimal entanglement.
2. **Delayed Gravitational Response:** In principle, if gravity is an emergent entanglement effect, there might be a small time-lag in gravitational interactions for systems in non-trivial quantum superpositions.
3. **Cosmological Traces:** Look for subtle anomalies in large-scale structure and cosmic expansion that differ from  $\Lambda$ CDM predictions if entanglement growth is the driving factor behind cosmic acceleration.

4. **Holographic Noise:** At Planck-scale resolutions, the discrete boundary degrees of freedom might produce measurable fluctuations in geodesic paths.

## 6 Discussion and Outlook

Combining a *speculative, four-step methodology* with a *focused feasibility framework* grounds the emergent-gravity-from-entanglement hypothesis in both conceptual thoroughness and operational testability.

Key open tasks include:

- Rigorously defining the entanglement-tensor analog  $E_{\mu\nu}$  and showing it reproduces Einstein-like equations under appropriate limits.
- Constructing minimal quantum systems (toy models) that yield “entanglement attraction” in a manifestly geometric or force-like manner.
- Identifying precise observational signatures—especially at mesoscopic quantum scales or in the deep gravitational regime around black holes.

While many pieces remain speculative, the systematic approaches laid out here help ensure further developments can be guided by internal consistency, mathematical rigor, relevance to empirical data, and ultimately, the possibility of falsification.

## Acknowledgments

We thank collaborators in the HoloCosmo Project for insightful discussions on entanglement, holography, and quantum gravity.

## References

- [1] J. Maldacena, *The Large  $N$  Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998).
- [2] M. Van Raamsdonk, *Building up spacetime with quantum entanglement*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **42**, 2323–2329 (2010).
- [3] E. Verlinde, *On the Origin of Gravity and the Laws of Newton*, JHEP **04**, 029 (2011).