A Holographic Framework for Cosmological Evolution

The HoloCosmo Project

April 4, 2025

Abstract

We present a mathematically rigorous holographic model that aims to resolve the cosmological constant problem by regulating the effective vacuum energy through the finite number of degrees of freedom associated with a cosmic horizon. Building on our previous static and dynamic treatments, we incorporate elements of black hole cosmology—the notion that our universe may be interpreted as the interior of a Schwarzschild black hole—and explicitly include the entropy of the cosmic horizon via the Bekenstein–Hawking area law. We discuss the implications of this approach, point out potential discrepancies with the standard ΛCDM model, and propose several testable and falsifiable predictions.

1 Introduction

The cosmological constant problem arises from the enormous discrepancy between the naive quantum field theory (QFT) estimate of the vacuum energy density, $\rho_{\text{vac}} \sim M_{\text{Pl}}^4$, and the observed value, $\rho_\Lambda \sim 10^{-47}\,\text{GeV}^4$ [1, 2]. Recent proposals based on holographic principles suggest that the effective vacuum energy is diluted by a finite number of degrees of freedom determined by the area of the cosmic horizon [3,4]. In parallel, ideas from black hole cosmology propose that our universe may be viewed as the interior of a black hole (a "Schwarzschild universe"), where horizon thermodynamics plays a central role. Here we synthesize these perspectives into a unified, dynamic holographic model [5, 6].

2 Static Holographic Model

The key insight of the static model is to replace the continuum of QFT modes by a finite number of effective degrees of freedom. If the number of "pixels" on the horizon is

$$N \sim \frac{A}{\ell_{\text{Pl}}^2} \quad \text{with} \quad A = 4\pi R^2, \tag{1}$$

then the effective vacuum energy density is suppressed to [7, 8]

$$\rho_{\text{eff}} \sim \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^4}{N} \sim \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{R^2}. \tag{2}$$

Here, R represents the horizon radius (or characteristic scale) of the observable universe. This scaling naturally brings ρ_{eff} into rough agreement with the observed dark energy density when R is taken to be the current cosmological horizon [9].

3 Dynamic Holographic Model

In the dynamic extension, we incorporate standard cosmic ingredients (radiation and matter) along with the holographically regulated vacuum energy. In natural units ($M_{\text{Pl}} = 1$), the modified Friedmann equation becomes [10]

$$H(t)^2 = \frac{1}{3}\big[\rho_r(t) + \rho_m(t)\big] + \frac{1}{R(t)^2}, \tag{3}$$

where $H(t)$ is the Hubble parameter. The effective vacuum energy is given by [11]

$$\rho_{\text{eff}}(t) \sim \frac{1}{R(t)^2}. \tag{4}$$

The evolution of the cosmic horizon is modeled by

$$\dot{R}(t) = H(t)R(t) - 1, \tag{5}$$

while the continuity equations for radiation and matter are standard:

$$\dot{\rho}_r(t) = -4H(t)\rho_r(t), \quad \dot{\rho}_m(t) = -3H(t)\rho_m(t). \tag{6}$$

The scale factor $a(t)$ evolves as usual:

$$\dot{a}(t) = H(t)a(t). \tag{7}$$

4 Incorporating Schwarzschild Cosmology and Cosmic Horizon Entropy

A compelling perspective is to reinterpret the cosmic horizon as analogous to the event horizon of a black hole. In the Schwarzschild solution, the interior region can be viewed as an independent cosmological domain. We posit that our universe is the interior of a larger black hole in a "parent" universe [12,13]. In this picture, the horizon is a physical, radiating surface characterized by a temperature

$$T_{\text{dS}} = \frac{1}{2\pi R}, \tag{8}$$

akin to both the Hawking temperature of black holes [14] and the Gibbons–Hawking temperature of de Sitter space [15].

The corresponding entropy is given by the Bekenstein–Hawking formula [16,17]:

$$S = \frac{A}{4\ell_{\text{Pl}}^2} = \frac{\pi R^2}{\ell_{\text{Pl}}^2}. \tag{9}$$

In our holographic model, the finite entropy (9) limits the number of available degrees of freedom, thereby regulating the vacuum energy as in Eq. (2). Moreover, if objects and energy are allowed to "evaporate" from our interior region (via a Hawking-like process), then this evaporation is associated with an outward flux of radiation that carries away entropy and energy, in analogy with black hole evaporation [18].

If our universe is the interior of a Schwarzschild black hole, several key features emerge:

- **Horizon Dynamics:** The evolution of the cosmic horizon $R(t)$, as governed by Eq. (5), is linked to both the expansion of the universe and the radiation of energy via a Hawking-like mechanism.
- **Energy Loss and Evaporation:** Hawking radiation at the horizon (with temperature (8)) may cause a slow loss of mass–energy from the interior region. This mechanism could modify the effective energy density in our cosmological equations.
- **Entropy Flow:** While the local entropy within our causal patch may decrease as energy evaporates, the overall entropy of the parent system increases, preserving the second law of thermodynamics [19].

5 Potential Discrepancies with ΛCDM and Testable Predictions

The holographic model, with its dynamic vacuum energy and Schwarzschild cosmos interpretation, departs in several respects from the standard ΛCDM paradigm:

1. **Dynamic Dark Energy:** Unlike the constant Λ in ΛCDM (with equation-of-state parameter $w = -1$), our model predicts an effective vacuum energy that evolves with time [20–22]. This leads to a mild variation in w, which may be detectable in high-precision measurements of Type Ia supernovae, baryon acoustic oscillations, and weak lensing.
2. **Modified Hubble Evolution:** The coupling between the cosmic horizon dynamics (Eq. (5)) and the Friedmann equation (Eq. (3)) suggests deviations in the expansion history of the universe compared to ΛCDM. Detailed studies of the Hubble parameter as a function of redshift could reveal these differences.
3. **Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) Signatures:** If primordial fluctuations originate from quantum processes on the holographic screen rather than a conventional inflaton field, distinct imprints may appear in the CMB power spectrum, particularly at large angular scales [23].
4. **Holographic Noise and Quantum Gravity Effects:** The discrete nature of the horizon degrees of freedom may lead to a measurable "holographic noise" in high-sensitivity interferometry experiments, providing a direct probe of quantum gravitational effects [24].

Each of these propositions is, in principle, testable with current or near-future observational and experimental techniques, thereby offering avenues to falsify or validate the holographic model.

6 Conclusion

We have developed a holographic framework for cosmological evolution that unifies ideas from static and dynamic holographic models with the Schwarzschild cosmos picture. By tying the effective vacuum energy to the finite entropy of the cosmic horizon and interpreting the universe as the interior of a black hole, we obtain a model that naturally regulates ρ_{vac} and offers novel explanations for dark energy dynamics and the arrow of time [25]. While the model departs from standard ΛCDM in several observable ways, its testable predictions—ranging from a variable dark energy equation-of-state to potential holographic noise—provide clear paths for experimental investigation. Further work is required to embed these ideas in a complete quantum gravitational framework, but the present approach offers a promising route toward resolving some of modern cosmology's deepest puzzles.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks colleagues in theoretical physics for insightful discussions that helped shape the ideas presented herein.

References

[1] Ya B Zel'dovich. The cosmological constant and the theory of elementary particles. *Soviet Physics Uspekhi*, 11(3):381–393, March 1968.

[2] T Padmanabhan. Cosmological constant—the weight of the vacuum. *Physics Reports*, 380(5–6):235–320, July 2003.

[3] G. 't Hooft. Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity, 2009.

[4] Leonard Susskind. The world as a hologram. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 36(11):6377–6396, November 1995.

[5] R. K. Pathria. The universe as a black hole. *Nature*, 240(5379):298–299, December 1972.

[6] Valeri P. Frolov and Igor D. Novikov. *Black Hole Physics*. Springer Netherlands, 1998.

[7] Andrew G. Cohen, David B. Kaplan, and Ann E. Nelson. Effective field theory, black holes, and the cosmological constant. *Physical Review Letters*, 82(25):4971–4974, June 1999.

[8] Scott Thomas. Holography stabilizes the vacuum energy. *Physical Review Letters*, 89(8), July 2002.

[9] F. Karolyhazy. Gravitation and quantum mechanics of macroscopic objects. *Il Nuovo Cimento A*, 42(2):390–402, March 1966.

[10] Roy Maartens. Causal thermodynamics in relativity, 1996.

[11] Petr Hořava and Djordje Minic. Probable values of the cosmological constant in a holographic theory. *Physical Review Letters*, 85(8):1610–1613, August 2000.

[12] L Smolin. Did the universe evolve? *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 9(1):173–191, January 1992.

[13] V. P. Frolov, M. A. Markov, and V. F. Mukhanov. Black holes as possible sources of closed and semiclosed worlds. *Physical Review D*, 41(2):383–394, January 1990.

[14] S. W. Hawking. Particle creation by black holes. *Communications In Mathematical Physics*, 43(3):199–220, August 1975.

[15] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking. Cosmological event horizons, thermodynamics, and particle creation. *Physical Review D*, 15(10):2738–2751, May 1977.

[16] J. D. Bekenstein. Black holes and the second law. *Lettere Al Nuovo Cimento Series 2*, 4(15):737–740, August 1972.

[17] Jacob D. Bekenstein. Black holes and entropy. *Physical Review D*, 7(8):2333–2346, April 1973.

[18] Eric Poisson. *A Relativistamp;39;s Toolkit: The Mathematics of Black-Hole Mechanics*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[19] R. Penrose. *Singularities and Time Asymmetry*, pages 581–638. 1980.

[20] Adam G. Riess, Alexei V. Filippenko, Peter Challis, Alejandro Clocchiatti, Alan Diercks, Peter M. Garnavich, Ron L. Gilliland, Craig J. Hogan, Saurabh Jha, Robert P. Kirshner, B. Leibundgut, M. M. Phillips, David Reiss, Brian P. Schmidt, Robert A. Schommer, R. Chris Smith, J. Spyromilio, Christopher Stubbs, Nicholas B. Suntzeff, and John Tonry. Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant. *The Astronomical Journal*, 116(3):1009–1038, September 1998.

[21] N. Aghanim, Y. Akrami, M. Ashdown, J. Aumont, C. Baccigalupi, M. Ballardini, A. J. Banday, R. B. Barreiro, N. Bartolo, S. Basak, R. Battye, K. Benabed, J.-P. Bernard, M. Bersanelli, P. Bielewicz, J. J. Bock, J. R. Bond, J. Borrill, F. R. Bouchet, F. Boulanger, M. Bucher, C. Burigana, R. C. Butler, E. Calabrese, J.-F. Cardoso, J. Carron, A. Challinor, H. C. Chiang, J. Chluba, L. P. L. Colombo, C. Combet, D. Contreras, B. P. Crill, F. Cuttaia, P. de Bernardis, G. de Zotti, J. Delabrouille, J.-M. Delouis, E. Di Valentino, J. M. Diego, O. Doré, M. Douspis, A. Ducout, X. Dupac, S. Dusini, G. Efstathiou, F. Elsner, T. A. Enßlin, H. K. Eriksen, Y. Fantaye, M. Farhang, J. Fergusson, R. Fernandez-Cobos, F. Finelli, F. Forastieri, M. Frailis, A. A. Fraisse, E. Franceschi, A. Frolov, S. Galeotta, S. Galli, K. Ganga, R. T. Génova-Santos, M. Gerbino, T. Ghosh, J. González-Nuevo, K. M. Górski, S. Gratton, A. Gruppuso, J. E. Gudmundsson, J. Hamann, W. Handley, F. K. Hansen, D. Herranz, S. R.

Hildebrandt, E. Hivon, Z. Huang, A. H. Jaffe, W. C. Jones, A. Karakci, E. Keihänen, R. Keskitalo, K. Kiiveri, J. Kim, T. S. Kisner, L. Knox, N. Krachmalnicoff, M. Kunz, H. Kurki-Suonio, G. Lagache, J.-M. Lamarre, A. Lasenby, M. Lattanzi, C. R. Lawrence, M. Le Jeune, P. Lemos, J. Lesgourgues, F. Levrier, A. Lewis, M. Liguori, P. B. Lilje, M. Lilley, V. Lindholm, M. López-Caniego, P. M. Lubin, Y.-Z. Ma, J. F. Macías-Pérez, G. Maggio, D. Maino, N. Mandolesi, A. Mangilli, A. Marcos-Caballero, M. Maris, P. G. Martin, M. Martinelli, E. Martínez-González, S. Matarrese, N. Mauri, J. D. McEwen, P. R. Meinhold, A. Melchiorri, A. Mennella, M. Migliaccio, M. Millea, S. Mitra, M.-A. Miville-Deschênes, D. Molinari, L. Montier, G. Morgante, A. Moss, P. Natoli, H. U. Nørgaard-Nielsen, L. Pagano, D. Paoletti, B. Partridge, G. Patanchon, H. V. Peiris, F. Perrotta, V. Pettorino, F. Piacentini, L. Polastri, G. Polenta, J.-L. Puget, J. P. Rachen, M. Reinecke, M. Remazeilles, A. Renzi, G. Rocha, C. Rosset, G. Roudier, J. A. Rubiño-Martín, B. Ruiz-Granados, L. Salvati, M. Sandri, M. Savelainen, D. Scott, E. P. S. Shellard, C. Sirignano, G. Sirri, L. D. Spencer, R. Sunyaev, A.-S. Suur-Uski, J. A. Tauber, D. Tavagnacco, M. Tenti, L. Toffolatti, M. Tomasi, T. Trombetti, L. Valenziano, J. Valiviita, B. Van Tent, L. Vibert, P. Vielva, F. Villa, N. Vittorio, B. D. Wandelt, I. K. Wehus, M. White, S. D. M. White, A. Zacchei, and A. Zonca. Planck2018 results: Vi. cosmological parameters. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 641:A6, September 2020.

[22] N. Aghanim, Y. Akrami, M. Ashdown, J. Aumont, C. Baccigalupi, M. Ballardini, A. J. Banday, R. B. Barreiro, N. Bartolo, S. Basak, R. Battye, K. Benabed, J.-P. Bernard, M. Bersanelli, P. Bielewicz, J. J. Bock, J. R. Bond, J. Borrill, F. R. Bouchet, F. Boulanger, M. Bucher, C. Burigana, R. C. Butler, E. Calabrese, J.-F. Cardoso, J. Carron, A. Challinor, H. C. Chiang, J. Chluba, L. P. L. Colombo, C. Combet, D. Contreras, B. P. Crill, F. Cuttaia, P. de Bernardis, G. de Zotti, J. Delabrouille, J.-M. Delouis, E. Di Valentino, J. M. Diego, O. Doré, M. Douspis, A. Ducout, X. Dupac, S. Dusini, G. Efstathiou, F. Elsner, T. A. Enßlin, H. K. Eriksen, Y. Fantaye, M. Farhang, J. Fergusson, R. Fernandez-Cobos, F. Finelli, F. Forastieri, M. Frailis, A. A. Fraisse, E. Franceschi, A. Frolov, S. Galeotta, S. Galli, K. Ganga, R. T. Génova-Santos, M. Gerbino, T. Ghosh, J. González-Nuevo, K. M. Górski, S. Gratton, A. Gruppuso, J. E. Gudmundsson, J. Hamann, W. Handley, F. K. Hansen, D. Herranz, S. R. Hildebrandt, E. Hivon, Z. Huang, A. H. Jaffe, W. C. Jones, A. Karakci, E. Keihänen, R. Keskitalo, K. Kiiveri, J. Kim, T. S. Kisner, L. Knox, N. Krachmalnicoff, M. Kunz, H. Kurki-Suonio, G. Lagache, J.-M. Lamarre, A. Lasenby, M. Lattanzi, C. R. Lawrence, M. Le Jeune, P. Lemos, J. Lesgourgues, F. Levrier, A. Lewis, M. Liguori, P. B. Lilje, M. Lilley, V. Lindholm, M. López-Caniego, P. M. Lubin, Y.-Z. Ma, J. F. Macías-Pérez, G. Maggio, D. Maino, N. Mandolesi, A. Mangilli, A. Marcos-Caballero, M. Maris, P. G. Martin, M. Martinelli, E. Martínez-González, S. Matarrese, N. Mauri, J. D. McEwen, P. R. Meinhold, A. Melchiorri, A. Mennella, M. Migliaccio, M. Millea, S. Mitra, M.-A. Miville-Deschênes, D. Molinari, L. Montier, G. Morgante, A. Moss, P. Natoli, H. U. Nørgaard-Nielsen, L. Pagano, D. Paoletti, B. Partridge, G. Patanchon, H. V. Peiris, F. Perrotta, V. Pettorino, F. Piacentini, L. Polastri, G. Polenta, J.-L. Puget, J. P. Rachen, M. Reinecke, M. Remazeilles, A. Renzi, G. Rocha, C. Rosset, G. Roudier, J. A. Rubiño-Martín, B. Ruiz-Granados, L. Salvati, M. Sandri, M. Savelainen, D. Scott,

E. P. S. Shellard, C. Sirignano, G. Sirri, L. D. Spencer, R. Sunyaev, A.-S. Suur-Uski, J. A. Tauber, D. Tavagnacco, M. Tenti, L. Toffolatti, M. Tomasi, T. Trombetti, L. Valenziano, J. Valiviita, B. Van Tent, L. Vibert, P. Vielva, F. Villa, N. Vittorio, B. D. Wandelt, I. K. Wehus, M. White, S. D. M. White, A. Zacchei, and A. Zonca. Planck2018 results: Vi. cosmological parameters. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 641:A6, September 2020.

[23] Juan Maldacena. Non-gaussian features of primordial fluctuations in single field inflationary models. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2003(05):013–013, May 2003.

[24] Craig J. Hogan. Measurement of quantum fluctuations in geometry. *Physical Review D*, 77(10), May 2008.

[25] Ted Jacobson. Thermodynamics of spacetime: The einstein equation of state. *Physical Review Letters*, 75(7):1260–1263, August 1995.