

DIGITAL LOGIC SYSTEMS : ASSIGNMENT 4

AAKASH JOG

ID : 989323563

&

DUSTIN CHALCHINSKY

ID : 209741891

&

PAUL MIERAU

ID : 932384233

Exercise 1.

Let p, q, r denote boolean formulas. Prove that if p is logically equivalent to q , and q is logically equivalent to r , then p is logically equivalent to r .

Solution 1.

p	q	r	$p \leftrightarrow q$	$q \leftrightarrow r$	$(p \leftrightarrow q) \wedge (q \leftrightarrow r)$	$p \leftrightarrow r$
0	0	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	1	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	1
0	1	1	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	1	1
1	1	0	1	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Therefore, as the columns of $((p \leftrightarrow q) \wedge (q \leftrightarrow r))$ and $(p \leftrightarrow r)$ are identical, they are equivalent. Hence, if p is logically equivalent to q , and q is logically equivalent to r , then p is logically equivalent to r .

Exercise 2.

Let $L(\varphi)$ denote the number of vertices in the parse tree of φ that are not labelled by negation. Prove that $L(\text{DM}(\varphi)) = L(\varphi)$, for every boolean formula φ that uses the logical connectives $\{ \text{NOT}, \text{OR}, \text{AND} \}$.

Solution 2.

In the De Morgan dual of φ , all vertices labeled by **OR** are changed to vertices labeled by **AND**, and vice-versa. Depending on φ , vertices labelled by **NOT** are added or removed.

Therefore, the number of vertices labelled by **AND** in φ is equal to the number of vertices labelled by **OR** in $\text{DM}(\varphi)$. Similarly for **OR** in φ and **AND** in $\text{DM}(\varphi)$.

The number of vertices labelled by variables is unchanged in φ and $DM(\varphi)$.

Therefore, the total number of vertices not labelled by negation, i.e. labelled only by variables, AND, and OR is the same in the parse trees of φ and $DM(\varphi)$. Therefore, $L(DM(\varphi)) = L(\varphi)$. \square

Exercise 4.

Add the following two reduction rules to Algorithm $DM(\varphi)$ so that you can also deal with the XOR and NXOR connectives:

- (1) If $\varphi = (\varphi_1 \text{ XOR } \varphi_2)$, then return $(DM(\varphi_1) \text{ NXOR } DM(\varphi_2))$.
- (2) If $\varphi = (\varphi_1 \text{ NXOR } \varphi_2)$, then return $(DM(\varphi_1) \text{ XOR } DM(\varphi_2))$.

Prove that, even after this modification, $DM(\varphi) \leftrightarrow \neg\varphi$ is a tautology.

Solution 4.

$$x \text{ XOR } y = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \wedge (\neg y))$$

$$x \text{ NXOR } y = \neg((x \vee y) \wedge (x \wedge (\neg y)))$$

Therefore, if

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &\equiv \varphi_1 \text{ XOR } \varphi_2 \\ \therefore \varphi &\equiv (\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) \wedge (\varphi_1 \wedge \neg\varphi_2) \\ \therefore DM(\varphi) &\equiv DM((\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) \wedge (\varphi_1 \wedge \neg\varphi_2)) \\ &\equiv (\neg\varphi_1 \wedge \neg\varphi_2) \vee (\neg\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) \\ &\equiv \neg(\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) \vee \neg(\varphi_1 \wedge \neg\varphi_2) \\ &\equiv \neg((\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) \wedge (\varphi_1 \wedge \neg\varphi_2)) \\ &\equiv \neg(\varphi_1 \text{ XOR } \varphi_2) \\ &\equiv \neg(\varphi) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, as $DM(\varphi) \equiv \neg\varphi$, $DM(\varphi) \leftrightarrow \neg\varphi$ is a tautology.

Similarly, if

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &\equiv \varphi_1 \text{ NXOR } \varphi_2 \\ \therefore \varphi &\equiv \neg((\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) \wedge (\varphi_1 \wedge \neg\varphi_2)) \\ \therefore DM(\varphi) &\equiv DM(\neg((\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) \wedge (\varphi_1 \wedge \neg\varphi_2))) \\ &\equiv DM(\neg(\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) \vee \neg(\varphi_1 \wedge \neg\varphi_2)) \\ &\equiv DM((\neg\varphi_1 \wedge \neg\varphi_2) \vee (\neg\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2)) \\ &\equiv (\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) \wedge (\varphi_1 \wedge \neg\varphi_2) \\ &\equiv \varphi_1 \text{ XOR } \varphi_2 \\ &\equiv \neg(\varphi_1 \text{ NXOR } \varphi_2) \\ &\equiv \neg(\varphi) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, as $DM(\varphi) \equiv \neg\varphi$, $DM(\varphi) \leftrightarrow \neg\varphi$ is a tautology. \square

Exercise 5.

Let φ_k denote the boolean formula in which the variable X is negated k times. Run algorithm $\text{NNF}(\varphi_k)$. What is the outcome? Prove your result. Hint: distinguish between an even k and an odd k .

Solution 5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_k &= \underbrace{\neg(\neg(\dots(\neg X)))}_{k \text{ times}} \\
 \therefore \text{NNF}(\varphi_k) &= \text{DM}(\underbrace{\text{NNF}(\neg(\neg(\dots(\neg X))))}_{k-1 \text{ times}}) \\
 &= \underbrace{\text{DM}(\text{DM}(\dots(\text{DM}(\text{NNF}(X))))}_{k-1 \text{ times}}) \\
 &= \underbrace{\text{DM}(\text{DM}(\dots(\text{DM}(\neg X))))}_{k-1 \text{ times}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Case 1 (k is odd). If k is odd, $k - 1$ must be even.

Therefore, as $\text{DM}(\text{DM}(\varphi))$ is logically equivalent to φ , the $\frac{k-1}{2}$ pairs of DM will be cancelled out. Hence, $\text{NNF}(\varphi_k)$ is logically equivalent to $\neg k$.

Therefore,

$$\text{NNF}(\varphi_k) \equiv \neg k$$

Case 2 (k is even). If k is even, $k - 1$ must be odd.

Therefore, as $\text{DM}(\text{DM}(\varphi))$ is logically equivalent to φ , the $\frac{k-2}{2}$ pairs of DM will be cancelled out. Hence, $\text{NNF}(\varphi_k)$ is logically equivalent to $\text{DM}(\neg k)$.

Therefore,

$$\text{NNF}(\varphi_k) \equiv k$$