# Appendix - Tree Details

The following information is provided to help follow through the Tree Analysis

### Tree description

In the tree details we are presented with the node number. Each node has a split indicating what predictor and criteria was used to split the observations to its children nodes.

Following that is the number of observations in that node, n. Our initial n is 430, so 430 observations were used in total and that is the n shown in the root node.

Loss shows how many observations from the n in that node was miss classified. Taking a look at the second node, we can see that the total observations was n = 240 and there was a loss of 71.

Next it shows whether the classification was non diabetic, 0, and diabetic, 1. This is referred to as yval. On the second node we see 0, indicating that the classification was non diabetic. With this we can assume that the majority will determine the classification. Meaning that since majority led to a classification of non diabetic in node 2, we can assume that the previous loss of 71 observations was a miss classification of 1, diabetic.

The last part of each line shows of the target probability based on what the yval was. Looking at node two, the probability of target being 0 is 70% and the miss classification is 30%. This is labeled as (yprob).

## Tree Predictions and Variable Importance of Original Tree

```
Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
## Prediction 0 1
##
            0 39 15
            1 14 38
##
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.7264
                    95% CI : (0.6313, 0.8085)
##
##
       No Information Rate: 0.5
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 1.726e-06
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.4528
##
    Mcnemar's Test P-Value: 1
##
##
               Sensitivity: 0.7358
##
##
               Specificity: 0.7170
##
            Pos Pred Value: 0.7222
##
            Neg Pred Value: 0.7308
                Prevalence: 0.5000
##
##
            Detection Rate: 0.3679
##
      Detection Prevalence: 0.5094
##
         Balanced Accuracy: 0.7264
##
          'Positive' Class : 0
##
##
##
       Glucose
                                    BMI
                                             Insulin
                                                              BP Pregnancies
                        Age
                                                       14.265176
##
     57.838695
                 20.715961
                              19.333357
                                           14.853132
                                                                    11.930137
##
           DPF
                         ST
      6.576736
##
                  5.064233
```

#### Insulin is an Important Variable

In the Variable Importance results we see that Insulin is listed higher above many variables, despite not being used in the branching of the tree This could be due to the fact that variable importance is calculated using "the sum of the goodness of split measures for each split for which it was the primary variable, plus goodness \*(adjusted agreement) for all splits in which it was a surrogate" (reference 1). This is different to how the tree calculates which variable to perform the split. The tree can have a variable occur many times, "either as a primary or surrogate variable" (reference 1). We may also have gotten different results for the tree depending on the seed we use.

## Tree Predictions and Variable Importance of Pruned Tree

```
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
##
  Prediction
               0 1
##
            0 39 12
            1 14 41
##
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.7547
                    95% CI: (0.6616, 0.8331)
##
##
       No Information Rate: 0.5
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 7.135e-08
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.5094
##
##
    Mcnemar's Test P-Value: 0.8445
##
               Sensitivity: 0.7358
##
##
               Specificity: 0.7736
##
            Pos Pred Value: 0.7647
##
            Neg Pred Value: 0.7455
##
                Prevalence: 0.5000
##
            Detection Rate: 0.3679
##
      Detection Prevalence: 0.4811
##
         Balanced Accuracy: 0.7547
##
##
          'Positive' Class: 0
##
##
       Glucose
                        Age
                                    BMI
                                             Insulin
                                                              BP Pregnancies
                  19.211565
##
     54.264105
                              18.746292
                                          14.695894
                                                       13.520873
                                                                    11.285396
##
           DPF
                         ST
                  4.749757
##
      6.361822
```

## References

1. Therneau, T. M., Atkinson, E. J., & Foundation, M. (2022, October 21). An Introduction to Recursive Partitioning Using the RPART Routines. From https://cran.r-project.org/web//packages/rpart/vignettes/longintro.pdf