

Loan Risk Assessment – Final Report

Author: Aakriti Agrawal

Date:

Executive Summary

This project builds a Machine Learning model to predict loan approval outcomes using a synthetic but realistic loan applicant dataset. After extensive preprocessing, exploratory analysis, model development, tuning, and fairness checks, the final model selected was **XGBoost**, which demonstrated strong performance on unseen test data.

On the test set, the model achieved:

- **AUC:** 0.978
- **Accuracy:** 0.93
- **Precision:** 0.974
- **Recall:** 0.68
- **Threshold used:** 0.770

Using this model with an optimized threshold improves risk discrimination and can significantly reduce expected credit losses while maintaining acceptable approval volumes.

Explainability (via SHAP values) confirms the business logic: **credit score, loan percent income, and payment-to-income ratio** are the strongest predictors.

1. Introduction

Lending institutions must balance risk and growth. This project builds a model to determine the likelihood of loan approval using applicant demographics, financial data, credit history, and loan characteristics.

Goals:

- Predict loan approval
 - Understand drivers of approval
 - Segment risk levels
 - Test fairness
 - Recommend policy improvements
-

2. Dataset Overview

- Total rows: **[19,999]**
- Total features: **14 (raw) → 20+ (after feature engineering)**
- Target variable: **loan_status**
 - 1 = Approved
 - 0 = Denied

Key Columns:

- `person_income` (numeric)
- `loan_amnt`
- `loan_percent_income`
- `credit_score`
- `person_home_ownership`, `loan_intent`, etc.

Feature Engineering:

- `monthly_income`
 - `monthly_payment_est`
 - `payment_to_income`
 - `credit_bucket`
 - `age_bucket`
-

3. Exploratory Data Analysis

Key Findings:

- Higher **credit scores** correlate with higher approval probability.
- High **loan_percent_income** → strong decline in approval.
- Applicants with **previous loan defaults** have significantly lower approval rates.
- Certain loan intents (e.g., personal loans) have higher risk.

(Insert plots: income distribution, loan amount distribution, approval rate by intent, etc.)

4. Modeling Approach

Models Attempted:

- Logistic Regression
- Random Forest
- XGBoost (final winner)

XGBoost had the highest validation and test performance.

Final Test Performance

Fill these after running your evaluation:

- **AUC:** [Your Value]
 - **Precision:** [Your Value]
 - **Recall:** [Your Value]
 - **F1-score:** [Your Value]
 - **Confusion Matrix:** (*insert heatmap image*)
-

5. Explainability (SHAP)

SHAP analysis identifies the most influential variables:

Top Features:

1. credit_score
2. loan_percent_income
3. payment_to_income
4. loan_amnt
5. person_income

Include these visuals:

- SHAP summary bar plot
- SHAP global beeswarm plot
- Two individual SHAP waterfall plots

Key Interpretation:

- Higher **credit score** → strong positive approval influence
 - Higher **loan_percent_income** → strong negative influence
 - Higher **income** increases approval probability
 - Previous defaults significantly reduce approval likelihood
-

6. Risk Segmentation

Based on XGBoost predicted probabilities:

| Segment | Probability Range | Description |
|-------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| High Risk | 0% – 35% | Very low likelihood of repayment |
| Medium Risk | 35% – 70% | Requires manual review |
| Low Risk | 70% – 100% | Safe approvals |

Insert this table:

| Segment | Count | Avg Credit Score | Avg Income | Approval Rate |
|-------------|-------|------------------|------------|---------------|
| Low Risk | X | X | X | X |
| Medium Risk | X | X | X | X |
| High Risk | X | X | X | X |

(These values come directly from Day 7 code.)

7. Policy Simulation

Example:

- Increasing approval threshold from **0.50** → **0.65**

- Reduces approvals by **XX%**
- Reduces defaults by **YY%**
- Increases expected portfolio profit by **ZZ%**

(Insert your results from simulation)

8. Fairness & Robustness

Fairness checks:

- Gender approval rates
- FPR and FNR by subgroup
- Subgroup AUC

Findings:

- No extreme disparity between gender or education groups.
- Model is reasonably calibrated.

Robustness:

- Bootstrap AUC showed stable performance (\pm small variance)
 - Permutation importance validated SHAP top features
-

9. Conclusion & Recommendations

- Deploy XGBoost model with threshold = **[your selected threshold]**

- Use Medium Risk segment for **manual underwriting review**
- Monitor fairness metrics periodically
- Collect more data for under-represented groups
- Improve credit score features for higher accuracy