<pre>In [2]: Out[2]:</pre>	<pre>Loading data types = {'Vlo-I': 'string', 'Ori-I': 'category', 'Des-I': 'category',</pre>
Out[2]:	'DIANOM': 'category', 'TIPOVUELO': 'string', 'OPERA': 'category', 'SIGLAORI': 'category', 'SIGLADES': 'category'}
	path = "data/dataset_SCL.csv" data = pd.read_csv(path, dtype=types, parse_dates=["Fecha-I", "Fecha-O"]) data.head() Fecha-I VIo-
	23:30:00
In [3]:	 Vlo-O contains alpha numeric value. Vlo-O contains NaN null values. default is object type. object contains any kind of data like strings, integers, etc. string datatype contains string. Converting Vlo-I and Vlo-O into numeric values, as it contains flight number There are 16 data records in Vlo-O and 5 data records in Vlo-I which contains alpha numeric values. print ("Vlo-O:", data ["Vlo-O"].str.contains ("[A-Za-z]").sum()) print ("Vlo-I:", data ["Vlo-I"].str.contains ("[A-Za-z]").sum())
In [4]:	<pre>Vlo-0: 16 Vlo-I: 5 # Replacing string with empty string data["Vlo-I"] = data["Vlo-I"].str.replace(r"[A-Za-z]","", regex=True) data["Vlo-O"] = data["Vlo-O"].str.replace(r"[A-Za-z]","", regex=True)</pre>
In [6]:	After Vlo-0: 0 After Vlo-I: 0 Dealing with missing data Vlo-O print(data.isna().sum()) Fecha-I 0 Vlo-I 0 Ori-I 0 Des-I 0
	Emp-I 0 Fecha-O 0 Vlo-O 1 Ori-O 0 Des-O 0 Emp-O 0 DIA 0 MES 0 AÑO 0 DIANOM 0 TIPOVUELO 0 OPERA 0 SIGLAORI 0
In [7]:	# Vlo-O contains one row containing null value print(data.loc[data['Vlo-O'].isna()]) Fecha-I Vlo-I Ori-I Des-I Emp-I Fecha-O Vlo-O \ 6068 2017-01-19 11:00:00 200 SCEL SPJC LAW 2017-01-19 11:03:00 <na> Ori-O Des-O Emp-O DIA MES AÑO DIANOM TIPOVUELO \ 6068 SCEL SPJC 56R 19 1 2017 Jueves I OPERA SIGLAORI SIGLADES</na>
In [8]:	Assigning Vlo-I to Vlo-O because Ori-I is same as Ori-O, Des-I is same as Des-O, and if DIANOM is Jueves, there is very high chances that Vlo-I is same as Vlo-O, and there is no delay also. data["Vlo-O"] = data["Vlo-O"].fillna(data["Vlo-I"]) data["Vlo-I"] = data["Vlo-I"].astype("int") data["Vlo-O"] = data["Vlo-O"].astype("float") data["Vlo-O"] = data["Vlo-O"].astype("int") Some Vlo-O values are specified in decimal form but is integer. So, first converting into float and then int.
In [9]: In [10]:	Converting TIPOVUELO feature into integer International flights are assigned with 1 and national flights are assigned with 0 values. data['TIPOVUELO'] = data['TIPOVUELO'].astype('object') data['TIPOVUELO'] = data['TIPOVUELO'].replace(['I', 'N'],[1,0]).astype("int") Data Analysis data.describe().T
Out[10]:	count mean std min 25% 50% 75% max VIo-I 68206.0 969.827288 2029.024762 1.0 150.0 300.0 632.0 9956.0 VIo-O 68206.0 967.421092 2026.193621 1.0 150.0 300.0 637.5 9956.0 DIA 68206.0 15.714790 8.782886 1.0 8.0 16.0 23.0 31.0 MES 68206.0 6.622585 3.523321 1.0 3.0 7.0 10.0 12.0 AÑO 68206.0 2017.000029 0.005415 2017.0 2017.0 2017.0 2017.0 2018.0 TIPOVUELO 68206.0 0.458024 0.498239 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 1.0
In [11]:	Here, VIo-I and VIo-O values are skewed, because mean value is greater than 50%. There is big gap between 75% and max values of VIo-I and VIo-O features. print (data.describe (include="category").T) count unique top freq Ori-I 68206 1 SCEL 68206 Des-I 68206 64 SCFA 5787 Emp-I 68206 30 LAN 37611 Ori-O 68206 1 SCEL 68206 Des-O 68206 63 SCFA 5786 Emp-O 68206 32 LAN 20988
To [42].	 DIANOM 68206 7 Viernes 10292 OPERA 68206 23 Grupo LATAM 40892 SIGLAORI 68206 1 Santiago 68206 SIGLADES 68206 62 Buenos Aires 6335 Ori-I, Ori-O, and SIGLAORI features contains only one value. So we will not include this features into input while training the model. Analyze Des-I, Desi-I, and SIGLADES which contains almost same number of categories. So some features can be duplicating. Compare Emp-I, Emp-O and OPERA features. Keep the features which are useful, and remove the duplicate columns.
In [12]: Out[12]:	DIANOM Domingo Jueves Lunes Martes Miercoles Sabado Viernes OPERA Aerolineas Argentinas 288 282 285 275 279 253 287 Aeromexico 52 52 51 48 50 50 48 Air Canada 108 82 101 66 47 94 67 Air France 54 50 52 51 49 51 51 Alitalia 22 52 52 50 1 51 31
	American Airlines 104 104 117 104 112 115 101 Austral 15 16 0 13 0 30 0 Avianca 168 169 165 167 174 152 157 British Airways 40 12 11 39 51 12 40 Copa Air 266 261 267 268 263 261 264 Delta Air 55 50 51 51 51 50 50 Gol Trans 135 94 133 86 114 104 140 Grupo LATAM 5773 6274 6075 5796 5867 4923 6184
	Iberia 53 50 52 51 52 52 52 K.L.M. 9 45 50 49 46 51 1 Latin American Wings 178 258 289 256 263 146 284 Qantas Airways 49 0 1 45 45 6 49 Sky Airline 2170 2141 2094 1970 1994 1721 2208 United Airlines 49 47 47 43 48 49 52 JetSmart SPA 155 158 171 184 149 125 153 Lacsa 14 12 13 12 12 14 15 Oceanair Linhas Aereas 39 42 39 37 40 41 41
	 Plus Ultra Lineas Aereas 0 0 15 1 15 1 15 1 17 Maximum data contains of flights operated by LATAM Airlines. Some Airlines only fly on somes days of the week. Alitalia has only one flight on Miercoles but on other days of week approx. 50 flights are operated. Austral has no flights on Lunes, Miercoles, and Viernes. K.L.M. has less than 10 flights on Domingo and Viernes, while on other days of week it has approx 50 flights. Plus Ultra Lineas Aereas has flights on Lunes, Miercoles, and Viernes, while on other days of week it only have couple
In [13]: Out[13]:	<pre>of flights. • Quantas Airways has combined less than 10 flights on Jueves, Lunes, and Sabado, while on other days of week approx. 47 flights are operated. data.hist(figsize=(10,10)) array([[<axessubplot:title={'center':'fecha-i'}>,</axessubplot:title={'center':'fecha-i'}></pre>
	<pre></pre>
	201720172037201720972018-01 0 2500 5000 7500 10000 2017201720372057201720972018-01 VIO-O DIA MES 4000 4000 2
In [14]:	AÑO TIPOVUELO 40000 20000 20000 10000 2017.02017.52017.52018.00 print (data['AÑO', 'TIPOVUELO']].value_counts())
+];	AÑO TIPOVUELO 2017 0 36966 1 31238 2018 1 2 dtype: int64 Insights from histogram In MES, January and December month contains the highest number of flights operated. It is vacation time, so people travel a lot at that time. 54% flights are national, and 45% flights are international. AÑO is highly skewed and data is distributed more vertically. Because whole data is about 2017, only two data
	 ANO is highly skewed and data is distributed more vertically. Because whole data is about 2017, only two data records are of 2018. Q 1: Data Distribution Calculating Skew Skewness means data distribution is not uniform means it has less symmetry. The shape of curve represents the data distribution. If curve is positively skewed then most of the values are less than median value. If curve is negatively skewed then
In [15]:	most of the data is greater than median value. • The value zero mean data distribution is symmetric. print(data.skew()) Vlo-I
	 Observations Vlo-I and Vlo-O are positively skewed. AÑO is highly positivily skewed, because all data is from 2017 but only 2 data records from 2018. Apparently, MES is slightly negatively skewed. DIA is closest to symmetric distribution. Calculating Kurtosis Kurtosis measures peak point of curve of data. There are 3 types of curve: 1. Leptokurtic Curve: This curve is taller than normal distribution curve. It value is greater than 0.
In [16]:	2. Mesokurtic Curve: This curve is closest to normal distribution curve. Its value is 0. 3. Platykurtic Curve: The peak of this curve is flat. It is flatter than other 2 curves. The value is less than 0. print (data.kurtosis()) Vlo-I
	 Observations Vlo-I and Vlo-O will be represented by leptokurtic curve means data distribution is more vertical. DIA and MES represents platykurtic curve means data is distributed more horizontally but it is closest to normal data distribution. Data distribution of AÑO is highly vertical represented by leptokurtic curve. Data distribution for categorical features To view data distribution of categorical features we need to plot the features.
In [17]:	<pre>fig, axes = plt.subplots(4,2,figsize=(20,25)) data["Des-I"].value_counts().plot(kind="bar", xlabel="Des-I", ax=axes[0][0]) data["Emp-I"].value_counts().plot(kind="bar", xlabel="Emp-I", ax=axes[0][1]) data["Des-O"].value_counts().plot(kind="bar", xlabel="Des-O", ax=axes[1][0]) data["Emp-O"].value_counts().plot(kind="bar", xlabel="Emp-O", ax=axes[1][1]) data["DIANOM"].value_counts().plot(kind="bar", xlabel="DIANOM", ax=axes[2][0]) data["OPERA"].value_counts().plot(kind="bar", xlabel="OPERA", ax=axes[2][1]) data["SIGLADES"].value_counts().plot(kind="bar", xlabel="SIGLADES", ax=axes[3][0])</pre>
	_
	15000 - 10000
	2000 - 17500 - 17500 - 15000 - 12500 - 12500 - 10000 -
	2500 -
	2000
	2000
	Cobservations Emp-I, Emp-O, and OPERA contains similar pattern in data. These features are highly skewed. 60% of flights operated are of LATAM Airlines, 20% of flights are of Sky Airlines and rest 20% of flights are from 21 different airlines. DIANOM is very close to uniform distribution. It means there is approximately equal traffic on all days of week.
In [18]:	Observations • Emp. I, Emp. O, and OPERA contains similar pattern in data. These features are highly skewed. • 60% of flights operated are of LATAM Airlines. 20% of flights are from 21 different airlines. • DIANOM is very close to uniform distribution. It means there is approximately equal traffic on all days of week. • Des-I, Des-O, and SIGLADES contains similar data distribution. Q 2: Generating Synthetic features • high, season: 1 if Date-I is between Dec-15 and Mar-3, or Jul-15 and Jul-31, or Sep-11 and Sep-30, 0 otherwise. • min_diff: difference in minutes between Date-O and Date-I. • delay_15: 1 if min_diff > 15, 0 if not. • period_day: morning (between 5:00 and 11:59), afternoon (between 12:00 and 18:59) and night (between 19:00 and 4:59), based on Date-I
	Observations • Emp-I. Emp-O. and OPERA contains similar pattern in data. These features are highly skewed. • 60% of tilights operated are of LATAM Airlines, 20% of tilights are of Sky Airlines and rest 20% of flights are from 21 different airlines. • DIANOM is prevaled are of LATAM Airlines, 20% of tilights are of Sky Airlines and rest 20% of flights are from 21 different airlines. • DIANOM is prevaled are of LATAM Airlines, 20% of tilights are of Sky Airlines and rest 20% of flights are from 21 different airlines. • DIANOM is prevaled are of LATAM Airlines, 20% of tilights are of Sky Airlines and rest 20% of flights are from 21 different airlines. • DIANOM is prevaled are of LATAM Airlines, 20% of tilights are of Sky Airlines and rest 20% of flights are from 21 different airlines. • Dianom is prevaled are of LATAM Airlines, 20% of tilights are of Sky Airlines and rest 20% of flights are from 21 different airlines. • Dianom is prevaled airlines and the second airlines are of Sky Airlines and rest 20% of flights are from 21 different airlines. • Dianom is prevaled airlines and the second airlines are of Sky Airlines and rest 20% of flights are from 21 different airlines. • Dianom is prevaled airlines and the second airlines are from 21 different airlines. • Considering scheduled date and time of the flight specified in Fecha-1 as Date-1. • Considering date and time of flight operated specified in Fecha-0 as Date-0. ***Rating** Sky Airlines** Airlin
	Observations I may Large-Quarter and OPRIA contains similar pattern in data. These features are highly skewed. O's of lights operated and of LATAM Aribuson its means they be supportionably equal traffic on all days of week. O's of lights operated and of LATAM Aribuson its means they is approximately equal traffic on all days of week. D'ARAO's served observed one of LATAM Aribuson its means they is approximately equal traffic on all days of week. O's of lights operated and of LATAM Aribuson its means they is approximately equal traffic on all days of week. O's of lights operated and of LATAM Aribuson its means they is approximately equal traffic on all days of week. O's of lights operated and bared in minutes between Dec 15 and March, or full-15 and Jul-31, or Sep-11 and Sep-38. 0 of therwise, minuffill difference in minutes between Dec 15 and March, or full-15 and Jul-31, or Sep-11 and Sep-38. 0 of therwise, minuffill difference in minutes between Dec 15 and March, or full-15 and Jul-31, or Sep-11 and Sep-38. 0 of therwise, minuffill difference in minutes between 500 and 11:59, entermoon (between 12:00 and 18:59) and night (between 19:00 and 4:59), based on Date-1 Assumptions: Londering date and time of flight operated specified in Fecha-0 as Date-0. Lin Lie = date "Fecha-2" march The minutes of light operated specified in Fecha-0 as Date-0. Lin Lie = date "Fecha-2" march The minutes of light operated specified in Fecha-0 as Date-0. Lin Lie = date "Fecha-2" march The minutes of light operated specified in Fecha-0 as Date-0. Lin Lie = date "Fecha-2" march The minutes of light operated specified in Fecha-0 as Date-0. Lin Lie = date "Fecha-2" march The minutes of light operated specified in Fecha-0 as Date-0. Lin Lie = date "Fecha-2" march The minutes of light operated specified in Fecha-0 as Date-0. Lin Lie = date "Fecha-2" march Lin = ("date "Fecha-2" march Lin = ("date "Fecha-2" march Lin = ("date "Fecha-2" march Lin = ("date
In [19]:	Observations ### The Complete
In [19]: In [20]:	Considerable of the Second Sec
In [19]: In [20]:	Observation The production of
In [20]: In [21]:	The analysis of the property o
In [20]: In [21]:	Continued to the second process of the secon
In [20]: In [21]:	**************************************
In [20]: In [21]: In [23]:	Concention - Co
In [20]: In [21]: In [23]:	Bos Science State (Science State Sta
In [20]: In [21]: In [23]:	Costing Synthetic features 1 generating Synthetic features 2 generating Synthetic features 1 generating Synthetic features 2 generating Synthetic features 2 generating Synthetic features 2 generating Synthetic features 2 generating Synthetic features 3 generating Synthetic features 2 generating Synthetic features 3 generating Synthetic features 4 generating Synthetic features 4 generating Synthetic features 5 generating Synthetic features 5 generating Synthetic features 6 generating Synthetic features 7 generating Synthetic features 8 generating Synthetic features 8 generating Synthetic features 9 generating Synthetic features 1 generating Synthetic features 1 generating Synthetic features 1 generating Synthetic features 1 generating Synthetic features 2 gen
In [20]: In [21]: In [23]:	Cecution Control Contr
In [24]: In [22]: In [23]:	The street of the control of the con
In [20]: In [21]: In [23]:	The control of the co
In [29]: In [24]: In [24]:	### Comments ##
In [20]: In [21]: In [23]:	Country (Name and State Country) and the country of
In [29]: In [24]: In [24]:	Security beneficially and an extension of the control of the contr
In [24]: In [24]:	C. Senerating Synthetic Features - System Flore Standard and the control of the
In [29]: In [24]: In [24]:	Comments of the control of the contr
In [20]: In [21]: In [22]: In [25]:	Continue private of the log features and an extra continue to the continue to
In [24]: In [24]:	Commission of the control of the con
In [29]: In [24]: In [24]:	The control of the co

Latam Airlines : Data Scientist Challenge

By: Aakruti Ambasana

Lunes Martes Domingo Viernes Miercoles DIANOM Delay rate delay_15 0.20 0.15 0.10 0.05 0.00 Jueves Martes Domingo Lunes Viernes DIANOM What is the behavior of the delay rate across week of the day? All days of the week contains almost similar delay rates. So, week of the day feature might not contribute to determine that flight can be delayed or not. Delay rate across high season In [29]: fig, ax = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(10, 4))ax[0].set title("Number of flights") ax[1].set title("Delay rate") pd.crosstab(data['high_season'], data['delay_15']).plot(kind="bar", ax=ax[0]) data[['high_season', 'delay_15']].groupby(['high_season']).mean().plot(kind="bar", ax=ax[1]) plt.tight_layout() plt.show() Number of flights Delay rate 0.200 delay_15 delay_15 35000 0.175 30000 0.150 25000 0.125 20000 0.100 15000 0.075 10000 0.050 5000 0.025 0 0.000 high_season high_season What is the behavior of the delay rate across season? Whether there is high season or not, delay rate is approximately similar. So, high season feature might not contribute to determine that flight can be delayed or not. Delay rate across type of flight In [30]: fig, ax = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(10, 4))ax[0].set title("Number of flights") ax[1].set title("Delay rate") pd.crosstab(data['TIPOVUELO'], data['delay_15']).plot(kind="bar", ax=ax[0]) data[['TIPOVUELO', 'delay 15']].groupby(['TIPOVUELO']).mean().plot(kind="bar", ax=ax[1]plt.tight layout() plt.show() Number of flights Delay rate delay_15 delay_15 30000 0 0.20 25000 0.15 20000 15000 0.10 10000 0.05 5000 0 0.00 TIPOVUELO TIPOVUELO What is the behavior of the delay rate across type of flight? International flights have comparitively high delayrate than national flights. Number of international flights are less but still its delay rate is high. So, type of flight will contribute to determine that flight can be delayed or not. Delay rate across destination difference In [31]: fig, ax = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(10, 4))ax[0].set title("Number of flights") ax[1].set title("Delay rate") pd.crosstab(data['desti_diff'], data['delay_15']).plot(kind="bar", ax=ax[0]) data[['desti_diff', 'delay_15']].groupby(['desti_diff']).mean().plot(kind="bar", ax=ax[1]) plt.tight layout() plt.show() Number of flights Delay rate 0.30 delay_15 delay_15 50000 0.25 40000 0.20 30000 0.15 20000 0.10 10000 0.05 0 0.00 desti_diff desti diff What is the behavior of the delay rate across destination difference? Number of flights whose destination planned is different from destination operated, means (Des-I and Des-O are different) are less, but its delay rate is high. So, destination difference feature will contribute to determine that flight will be delayed or not. Compare Emp-I, Emp-O, and OPERA contains almost same data In [32]: fig, ax = plt.subplots(3, 1, figsize=(10, 10))ax[0].tick params(axis='x', rotation=60) ax[1].tick params(axis='x', rotation=60) ax[2].tick params(axis='x', rotation=90) sb.lineplot(data=data,x="Emp-I", y="delay 15", estimator="mean", ax=ax[0]) sb.lineplot(data=data,x="Emp-O", y="delay 15", estimator="mean", ax=ax[1]) sb.lineplot(data=data,x="OPERA", y="delay 15", estimator="mean", ax=ax[2]) plt.tight layout() plt.show() 1.0 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.0 なな な ま ら 888 18/ My \$ 3 1.0 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.0 8 8 8 8 Emp-O 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.0 Alitalia Copa Air Austral beria Aerolineas Argentinas Aeromexico Air France American Airlines Avianca British Airways Gol Trans Grupo LATAM K.L.M. Latin American Wings Sky Airline United Airlines Oceanair Linhas Aereas Air Canada Delta Air Qantas Airways JetSmart SPA Plus Ultra Lineas Aereas OPERA In [33]: | data[['period_day','delay_15']].groupby("period_day").mean() Out[33]: delay_15 period_day 0.199404 afternoon 0.160066 mornina **night** 0.200023 In []: • In Emp-I: **LXP** has 77% delay rate, **PUE** has 61% delay rate. • In Emp-O: **56R** has 64% delay rate, we can observe that 56R flight is never scheduled means not in Emp-I, but when 56R is operated flight its delay rate is high, same goes with TPU in Emp-O. In OPERA, Plus Ultra Lineas Aereas, Qantas Airways, and Air Canada have high delay rate. • Apparently, Groupo LATAM and Sky Airline has low delay rate, even though maximum number of flights operated are of these airlines. What variables would you expect to have the most influence in predicting delays? SIGLADES, OPERA, MES, TIPOVUELO, and desti_diff will have most influence in predicting delays. If min_diff feature is included in training then I will gain 100% accuracy and no loss, because my ground truth feature is delay_15 feature which is generated from min_diff. So will not include min_diff feature while training to predict delay_15, to check that whether other features can predict the delay or not. Considered: Output label as delay_15 feature. Corelation In [34]: print(data.corr()) Vlo-I DIA Vlo-0 MES AÑO TIPOVUELO \ Vlo-I 1.000000 0.997833 -0.001963 0.015574 -0.000955 0.404107 0.997833 1.000000 -0.001965 0.017054 -0.000950 0.403343 DIA -0.001963 -0.001965 1.000000 0.014318 -0.009073 -0.001277 0.015574 0.017054 0.014318 1.000000 -0.008642 -0.011564 MES -0.000955 -0.000950 -0.009073 -0.008642 1.000000 AÑO 0.005891 TIPOVUELO 0.404107 0.403343 -0.001277 -0.011564 0.005891 1.000000 high season 0.013955 0.013136 0.183613 -0.293902 0.007793 -0.001707 min_diff 0.058629 0.058296 -0.006706 0.077280 0.002913 0.072733 delay_15 desti_diff 0.013409 0.007467 -0.005605 -0.001321 -0.000110 0.001707 flight_diff -0.092759 -0.092038 -0.004079 -0.007355 -0.003324 -0.328404 high_season min_diff delay_15 desti_diff flight_diff Vlo-I 0.013955 0.058629 0.067773 0.013409 -0.092759 Vlo-0 0.013136 0.058296 0.066954 0.007467 -0.092038 DIA 0.183613 -0.006706 -0.002517 -0.005605 -0.004079 -0.293902 0.077280 0.083416 -0.001321 -0.007355 MES 0.007793 0.002913 0.004394 -0.000110 -0.003324 TIPOVUELO -0.001707 0.072733 0.096295 0.001707 -0.328404 high_season 1.000000 0.019852 0.020332 -0.001727 -0.003402 0.019852 1.000000 0.724266 0.017310 min_diff 0.027425 0.020332 0.724266 1.000000 delay_15 0.005260 -0.007762 desti_diff -0.001727 0.017310 0.005260 1.000000 0.003792 flight_diff -0.003402 0.027425 -0.007762 0.003792 1.000000 Q 4: Regression model for predicting likelihood of flight delay Des-O and SIGLADES provides same data, one provide city code and other provides city name. There is only one exception where city name is Buenos Aires, Des-O values are SABE and SAEZ which are two airports of same city. So only including SIGLADES feature in input feature. In [35]: # Input features cols = ['Vlo-I', 'Des-I', 'Emp-I', 'Vlo-O', 'Emp-O', 'DIA', 'MES', 'DIANOM', 'TIPOVUELO', 'OPERA', 'SIGLADES', 'high season', 'period day', 'desti diff', 'flight diff'] input data = data[cols] **One-Hot Encoding** • As majority of data contains categorical features, tree and ensemble algorithms will perform well. The categorical data needs to be converted into numerical data. • If I assign numbers to categories, decision tree algorithm will treat them as ordinal values. So, categorical data should be converted to one-hot encoding. In [36]: new_data = pd.get_dummies(input_data) # 229 columns after one-hot encoding Split dataset Training dataset: 80% Test dataset: 20% I have used 10-fold cross validation approach. So, it will generate validation sets while training the model. In [37]: # Split dataset X = new data y = data['delay 15'] print("Original:", X.shape, y.shape) train_X, test_X, train_y, test_y = train_test_split(X,y,test_size=0.2, random_state=9) print("Train data:",train_X.shape, train_y.shape) print("Test data:",test_X.shape, test_y.shape) Original: (68206, 229) (68206,) Train data: (54564, 229) (54564,) Test data: (13642, 229) (13642,) Regression Model: To estimate likelihood of flight delay In [38]: class Regression_model: def __init__(self, train_X, train_y, test_X, test_y): self.train X = train Xself.train y = train y self.test_X = test_X self.test y = test ydef train(self, estimator, grid): model = GridSearchCV(estimator, grid) model.fit(self.train X, self.train y) print("Best parameters:", model.best params) return model def results(self, model): prob = model.predict(self.test X) class lbl = np.array(prob) class lbl[prob>=0.5] = 1class lbl[prob<0.5] = 0print('Mean Squared Error:', mean squared error(self.test y, prob)) print('Accuracy:', accuracy_score(self.test y, class lbl)) return prob, class lbl **Decision Tree Regressor** In [39]: decision = Regression_model(train_X, train_y, test_X, test_y) dmodel = decision.train(DecisionTreeRegressor(random state=9), {'max depth': [2,3,5,10,20,None]}) dprob, dclass_lbl = decision.results(dmodel) Best parameters: {'max_depth': 10} Mean Squared Error: 0.1398385168737967 Accuracy: 0.820554170942677 Random Forest Regressor In [40]: ran = Regression_model(train_X, train_y, test_X, test_y) rmodel = ran.train(RandomForestRegressor(random_state=9), { 'n_estimators': [5,10,50,100], 'max_depth':[3,5,10]}) rprob, rclass_lbl = ran.results(rmodel) Best parameters: {'max_depth': 10, 'n_estimators': 100} Mean Squared Error: 0.13218547788240417 Accuracy: 0.8267849288960563 XGBoost Regressor In [41]: xgb = Regression_model(train_X, train_y, test_X, test_y) xmodel = xgb.train(XGBRegressor(random state=9), {'n estimators': [5,10,50,100], 'max_depth':[3,5,10]}) xprob, xclass lbl = xgb.results(xmodel) Best parameters: {'max_depth': 5, 'n_estimators': 100} Mean Squared Error: 0.1295206219239433 Accuracy: 0.829277232077408 XG Boost Algorithm is go to algorithm for categorical data. Loss across various ensemble algorithm In [42]: loss=[] loss.append(mean_squared_error(test_y,dprob)) loss.append(mean_squared_error(test_y,rprob)) loss.append(mean_squared_error(test_y,xprob)) fig,ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(5,5))ax.set title("Loss vs Ensemble algorithms") x lbl = ['Decision Tree', 'Random Forest', 'XG Boost'] sb.lineplot(x=x_lbl, y=loss) plt.show() Loss vs Ensemble algorithms 0.140 0.138 0.136 0.134 0.132 0.130 Random Forest XG Boost Decision Tree Results of likelihood XGBoost Regressor performs well, and I have used minimum squared error as loss function to measure the likelihood probability of the flight getting delayed. Q 5: Predictive task In [43]: class Predictive_model(Regression_model): def __init__(self, train_X, train_y, test_X, test_y): super().__init__(train_X, train_y, test_X, test_y) def predictive results(self, model): lbl = model.predict(self.test X) print('Accuracy:', accuracy_score(self.test_y, lbl)) return lbl **Decision Tree Classifier** In [44]: pdecision = Predictive_model(train_X, train_y, test_X, test_y) pdmodel = pdecision.train(DecisionTreeClassifier(random state=9), {'max depth': [2,3,5,10,20,None]}) pdlbl = pdecision.predictive_results(pdmodel) Best parameters: {'max_depth': 10}

Number of flights

delay_15

8000

6000

4000

2000

In [45]: pran = Predictive_model(train_X, train_y, test_X, test_y) In [46]: In [47]: acc = []

Accuracy: 0.8215071103943703

Accuracy: 0.8224600498460636

Accuracy: 0.8281043835214777

pre = []

rec = []

f1 = []

XGBoost Classifier

Random Forest Classifier

[5,10,50,100], 'max depth': [3,5,10]})

[5,10,50,100], 'max depth': [3,5,10]})

pxlbl = pxgb.predictive results(pxmodel)

acc.append(accuracy score(test y,pdlbl)) acc.append(accuracy score(test y,prlbl)) acc.append(accuracy score(test y,pxlbl))

Best parameters: {'max_depth': 5, 'n_estimators': 100}

pre.append(metrics.precision score(test y,pdlbl)) pre.append(metrics.precision score(test y,prlbl)) pre.append(metrics.precision score(test y,pxlbl))

rec.append(metrics.recall score(test y,pdlbl)) rec.append(metrics.recall score(test y,prlbl)) rec.append(metrics.recall score(test y,pxlbl))

f1.append(metrics.f1 score(test y,pdlbl)) f1.append(metrics.f1 score(test y,prlbl)) f1.append(metrics.f1 score(test y,pxlbl))

fig, ax = plt.subplots(2, 2, figsize=(10, 10))

sb.lineplot(x=x lbl, y=acc, ax=ax[0][0]) sb.lineplot(x=x lbl, y=pre, ax=ax[0][1]) sb.lineplot(x=x lbl, y=rec, ax=ax[1][0]) sb.lineplot(x=x lbl, y=f1, ax=ax[1][1])

Accuracy vs Ensemble algorithms

Random Forest

Recall vs Ensemble algorithms

Random Forest

print(classification report(test y,pdlbl))

print(classification report(test y,prlbl))

print(classification report(test y,pxlbl))

recall f1-score

recall f1-score

recall f1-score

0.90

0.21

0.82

0.56

0.77

0.90

0.09

0.82

0.50

0.75

0.90

0.22

0.83

0.56

0.78

sb.heatmap(cf1,annot=True,fmt='',cmap ='RdYlGn', cbar=False, ax=ax[0]) sb.heatmap(cf2,annot=True,fmt='',cmap ='RdYlGn', cbar=False, ax=ax[1]) sb.heatmap(cf3,annot=True,fmt='',cmap ='RdYlGn', cbar=False, ax=ax[2])

11097

Ó

• True Negative (TN - 00): The flights have actually not delayed, and model predicts not delayed. • False Positive (FP - 01): Flights have actually not delayed, but model predicts the flight delay. • False Negative (FN - 10): Flights have delayed, but model predicts that flights are not delayed.

True Positive (TP - 11): Flights have delays, and model predicts the flights are delayed.

classifer is best option. If client wants less False Negatives then XGBoost is goof solution.

r = tree.export text(pdmodel.best_estimator_, feature_names=features,

slighly better than decision tree, but consumes more time in training.

0

Random Forest

40

123

i

• All the models predicts True negatives correctly, because we have received more data of flights getting not delays. So

• The best algorithm can be picked based upon requirements, if client wants less False Positive then Random Forest

• According to me, F1 score metrics is best way to balance, we want less FP, less FN and more TP. XGBoost performs

• If time is the requirement constraint to train model, then decision tree is faster and provides almost same f1 score as

• Random Forest predicts minimum FP which are 40, compared to Decision tree and XGBoost classifiers.

XG Boost

164

324

i

10973

ò

0

0.98

0.55

0.82

1.00

0.05

0.52

0.82

0.99

0.13

0.56

0.83

precision

0.83

0.56

0.70

0.78

0.82

0.75

0.79

0.81

0.83

0.66

0.75

0.80

cf1 = confusion matrix(test y,pdlbl) cf2 = confusion matrix(test y,prlbl) cf3 = confusion matrix(test y,pxlbl)

ax[0].set_title("Decision Tree") ax[1].set title("Random Forest")

ax[2].set title("XG Boost")

Decision Tree

255

325

i

data was biased towards flights getting not delayed.

plt.tight layout()

10882

ò

Confusion matrix

Observations

XGBoost classifier.

|--- TIPOVUELO <= 0.50 |--- MES <= 5.50

|--- MES > 5.50

--- TIPOVUELO > 0.50

print(r)

Analyze decision tree Split rules

features = list(new data.columns)

show weights=True, max depth=3)

|--- period_day_night <= 0.50 | |--- Vlo-0 <= 837.00

|--- Vlo-0 > 837.00

|--- period_day_night > 0.50 | |--- high_season <= 0.50

|--- high_season > 0.50

|--- period_day_morning <= 0.50

|--- period_day_morning > 0.50

|--- OPERA_Latin American Wings <= 0.50

| |--- Vlo-0 <= 131.00

| |--- Vlo-0 > 131.00

|--- Vlo-0 <= 211.50

|--- Vlo-0 > 211.50

| |--- Emp-O_56R <= 0.50

|--- MES <= 10.50

|--- MES > 10.50

variables in predicting flights delay.

|--- MES <= 7.50

|--- MES > 7.50

Future Improvement

correct answer.

In []:

|--- OPERA_Latin American Wings > 0.50

 $|--- Emp-O_56R > 0.50$

|--- DIA <= 26.50

|--- DIA > 26.50

| | |--- truncated branch of depth 7

| | |--- truncated branch of depth 7

| |--- truncated branch of depth 7

| |--- truncated branch of depth 7

| |--- OPERA_Latin American Wings <= 0.50 | |--- truncated branch of depth 7 |--- OPERA_Latin American Wings > 0.50

| | |--- truncated branch of depth 7

| | |--- truncated branch of depth 7

|--- SIGLADES_Ciudad de Panama <= 0.50

| | |--- truncated branch of depth 7

| | |--- truncated branch of depth 7 |--- SIGLADES_Ciudad de Panama > 0.50

| | |--- truncated branch of depth 7

| |--- truncated branch of depth 7

| |--- truncated branch of depth 7

| | |--- truncated branch of depth 7

| |--- truncated branch of depth 7

| |--- truncated branch of depth 7

TIPOVUELO, MES, OPERA_Latin American Wings, period_day, SIGLADES and VIo-O are most influential

Evaluate the FN and FP data records and understand that on which kind of data record, model is not predicting

Artificial Neural Network (ANN) with unbiased dataset can lead to more better model for predicting flight delays.

| | |--- truncated branch of depth 7

plt.show()

0

fig, ax = plt.subplots(1,3, figsize = (10,4))

precision

precision

plt.tight layout()

plt.show()

0.828

0.827

0.826

0.825

0.824

0.823

0.822

0.13

0.12

0.11

0.10

0.09

0.08

0.07

0.06

0.05

In [48]:

Decision Tree

measure the performance of model.

Classification Report

print("Decision Tree")

print("Random Forest")

print("XG Boost")

0

1

0

1

0

1

Confusion Matrix

accuracy

macro avg weighted avg

Decision Tree

accuracy

macro avg

weighted avg

Random Forest

accuracy macro avg

weighted avg

XG Boost

Decision Tree

ax[0][0].set title("Accuracy vs Ensemble algorithms") ax[0][1].set title("Precision vs Ensemble algorithms")

ax[1][0].set title("Recall vs Ensemble algorithms") ax[1][1].set title("F1 Score vs Ensemble algorithms") x lbl = ['Decision Tree', 'Random Forest', 'XG Boost']

Precision vs Ensemble algorithms

Random Forest

F1 Score vs Ensemble algorithms

Random Forest

XG Boost

XG Boost

0.750

0.725

0.700

0.675

0.650

0.625

0.600

0.575

0.22

0.20

0.18

0.16

0.14

0.12

0.10

The best performance is of XGBoost Classifier to predict that whether flight is delayed or not. Initially, used accuracy to

support

11137

13642

13642

13642

support

11137

13642

13642

13642

support

11137

2505

13642

13642

13642

2505

2505

Decision Tree

XG Boost

Decision Tree

XG Boost

prlbl = pran.predictive results(prmodel)

Best parameters: {'max_depth': 10, 'n_estimators': 100}

pxgb = Predictive_model(train_X, train_y, test_X, test_y)

pxmodel = pxgb.train(XGBClassifier(random state=9), {'n estimators':

prmodel = pran.train(RandomForestClassifier(random_state=9), { 'n_estimators':