

# Expectation Maximization – An Example

Amro Al Baali

November 29, 2020

## 1 Notations

Let the observations be  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be the set of realizations of the random variable  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Additionally, let  $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$  be the set of realizations of  $\underline{z} \in \{0, 1\}$  which is the “missing” data of the problem.<sup>1</sup> The set of complete data is denoted by  $Y = X, Z$ . Furthermore, let the two PDF that  $\underline{x}$  is distributed from be denoted by  $f_1(x; \lambda_1)$  and  $f_2(x; \lambda_2)$ , where  $\lambda_i$  is the set of parameters for each of the two PDFs.

The set of unknown parameters are  $\theta = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \pi_1\}$ .

## 2 Problem statement

Let  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}$  be a random variable<sup>2</sup> that can be sampled from one of two distributions  $f_1(x; \lambda_1)$  and  $f_2(x; \lambda_2)$ .<sup>3</sup> Whether  $\underline{x}$  will be sampled from  $f_1(x; \lambda_1)$  or  $f_2(x; \lambda_2)$  will depend on another random variable  $\underline{z} \in \{0, 1\}$ . Specifically, the conditional PDF of  $\underline{x}$  given  $\underline{z}$  is

$$f(x | z; \lambda) = \begin{cases} f_1(x; \lambda_1), & z = 0, \\ f_2(x; \lambda_2), & z = 1, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ . Let the PMF of  $\underline{z}$  be given by

$$p(z) = \begin{cases} \pi_1, & z = 0, \\ 1 - \pi_1, & z = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The problem is to estimate the parameters  $\theta$  without having known  $\pi_1$ . The set of unknown parameters will be denoted by  $\theta = \{\lambda, \pi_1\}$ .

## 3 Doing “the math”

The PDF  $f(y; \theta)$  is needed in the expectation step. The PDF is given by

$$f(y; \theta) = f(x, z; \theta) \quad (3)$$

$$= f(x | z; \theta) f(z; \theta). \quad (4)$$

<sup>1</sup> The data is “missing” in the sense that, if this data was available, then the problem would be significantly simplified.

<sup>2</sup> In this document a single random variable will be used. It is possible to generalize to multivariate random variables.

<sup>3</sup> In this document, we’ll assume that there are only two possible distributions but the idea generalizes to multiple distributions.

Plugging (??) and (??) into (??) gives

$$f(x, z; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = f(x | z; \boldsymbol{\theta}) f(z; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \quad (5)$$

$$= \begin{cases} f_1(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1) \pi_1, & z = 0, \\ f_2(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2) (1 - \pi_1), & z = 1, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

which can be rewritten<sup>4</sup> as

$$f(x, z; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\pi_1 f_1(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1))^{1-z} ((1 - \pi_1) f_2(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2))^z. \quad (7)$$

The marginal PDF on  $\underline{x}$  is obtained by marginalizing out  $\underline{z}$  from  $f(x, z; \boldsymbol{\theta})$  to give

$$f(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=0}^1 f(x, z = i; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \quad (8)$$

$$= \pi_1 f_1(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}) + (1 - \pi_1) f_2(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \quad (9)$$

$$= \pi_1 f_1(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1) + (1 - \pi_1) f_2(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2). \quad (10)$$

#### 4 The expectation step

From <sup>5</sup> and <sup>6</sup>, the function to be maximized is  $Q(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)})$ ,<sup>7</sup> which is the expectation of the log-likelihood of the complete data. That is,

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}) = \mathbb{E}_{\underline{Y}} [\log f(\underline{Y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) | X, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}]. \quad (11)$$

The log-likelihood function of the complete data is given by

$$\log f(\underline{Y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left( (\pi_1 f_1(\underline{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1))^{1-\underline{z}_i} \cdot ((1 - \pi_1) f_2(\underline{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2))^{\underline{z}_i} \right) \quad (12)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - \underline{z}_i) (\log \pi_1 + \log f_1(\underline{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \underline{z}_i (\log (1 - \pi_1) + \log f_2(\underline{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)) \quad (13)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - \underline{z}_i) \log f_1(\underline{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1) + \sum_{i=1}^n \underline{z}_i \log f_2(\underline{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2) + \sum_{i=1}^n \underline{z}_i \log (1 - \pi_1) + (1 - \underline{z}_i) \log \pi_1. \quad (14)$$

<sup>4</sup> This may be confusing at first, by simply replace  $z$  with 0 or 1 and the expression (??) will be exactly recovered.

<sup>5</sup>

<sup>6</sup>

<sup>7</sup>  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}$  is the  $j$ th estimate of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ .

The conditional expectation (??) can then be expanded to give

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}) = \mathbb{E}_{\underline{Y}} \left[ \log f(\underline{Y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \mid X, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)} \right] \quad (15)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\underline{Z}} \left[ \log f(\underline{X}, \underline{Z}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \mid \underline{X} = X, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)} \right] \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( 1 - \mathbb{E} \left[ z_i \mid X, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)} \right] \right) \log f_1 \left( x_i; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1^{(j)} \right) + \mathbb{E} \left[ z_i \mid X, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)} \right] \log f_2 \left( x_i; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2^{(j)} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[ z_i \mid X, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)} \right] \log \pi_1^{(j)} + (1 - \mathbb{E} \left[ z_i \mid X, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)} \right]) \log (1 - \pi_1^{(j)}) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[ z_i \mid X, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)} \right] \left( \log f_2 \left( x_i; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2^{(j)} \right) - \log f_1 \left( x_i; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1^{(j)} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \log \pi_1^{(j)} - \log (1 - \pi_1^{(j)}) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \log f_1 \left( x_i; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1^{(j)} \right) + \log (1 - \pi_1^{(j)}) . \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The expectation  $\mathbb{E} \left[ z_i \mid X, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)} \right]$  is simplified to  $\mathbb{E}_{z_i} \left[ z_i \mid x_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)} \right]$  since

$$f(z_i \mid X) = \frac{f(X \mid z_i) f(z_i)}{f(X)} \quad (19)$$

$$= \frac{f(x_1) \cdots f(x_i \mid z_i) \cdots f(x_n) f(z_i)}{f(x_1) \cdots f(x_i) \cdots f(x_n)} \quad (20)$$

$$= \frac{f(x_i \mid z_i) f(z_i)}{f(x_i)} \quad (21)$$

$$= f(z_i \mid x_i), \quad (22)$$

where the independence of  $\underline{x}_j$  from  $\underline{z}_i$  for  $i \neq j$  was used. The expectation is therefore

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ z_i \mid x_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)} \right] = \sum_{i=0}^1 \frac{f(x, z; \boldsymbol{\theta})}{f(x; \boldsymbol{\theta})} z_i \quad (23)$$

$$= \frac{1}{f(x; \boldsymbol{\theta})} \sum_{i=0}^1 f(x, z; \boldsymbol{\theta}) z_i \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{f(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)})} \left( f_1 \left( x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1^{(j)} \right) \pi_1^{(j)}(0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + f_2 \left( x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2^{(j)} \right) (1 - \pi_1^{(j)})(1) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$= \frac{f_2 \left( x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2^{(j)} \right) \left( 1 - \pi_1^{(j)} \right)}{\pi_1^{(j)} f_1 \left( x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1^{(j)} \right) + \left( 1 - \pi_1^{(j)} \right) f_2 \left( x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2^{(j)} \right)}. \quad (26)$$