Expectation Maximization – An Example Amro Al-Baali December 5, 2020

1 Notations

Let the observations be $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be the set of realizations of the random variable $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}$. Additionally, let $Z = \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$ be the set of realizations of $\underline{z} \in \{0, 1\}$ which is the "missing" data of the problem.¹ The set of complete data is denoted by $Y = \{X, Z\}$. Furthermore, let the two PDF that \underline{x} is distributed from be denoted by $f_1(x; \lambda_1)$ and $f_2(x; \lambda_2)$, where λ_i is the set of parameters for each of the two PDFs.

The set of unknown parameters are $\theta = {\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \pi_1}$.

¹ The data is "missing" in the sense that, if this data was available, then the problem would be significantly simplified.

2 Problem statement

Let $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}$ be a random variable² that can be sampled from one of two distributions $f_1(x; \lambda_1)$ and $f_2(x; \lambda_2)$.³ Whether \underline{x} will be sampled from $f_1(x; \lambda_1)$ or $f_2(x; \lambda_2)$ will depend on another random variable $\underline{z} \in \{0, 1\}$. Specifically, the conditional PDF of \underline{x} given \underline{z} is

$$f(x \mid z; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} f_1(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1), & z = 0, \\ f_2(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2), & z = 1, \end{cases}$$
 (1)

where $\lambda = {\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$. Let the PMF of <u>z</u> be given by

$$p(z) = \begin{cases} \pi_1, & z = 0, \\ 1 - \pi_1, & z = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (2)

The problem is to estimate the parameters θ without having known π_1 . The set of unknown parameters will be denoted by $\theta = \{\lambda, \pi_1\}$.

3 Doing "the math"

The PDF $f(y; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ is needed in the expectation step. The PDF is given by

$$f(y; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = f(x, z; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \tag{3}$$

$$= f(x \mid z; \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(z; \boldsymbol{\theta}). \tag{4}$$

² In this document a single random variable will be used. It is possible to generalization to multivariate random variables.

³ In this document, we'll assume that there are only two possible distributions but the idea generalizes to multiple distributions.

Plugging (1) and (2) into (4) gives

$$f(x, z; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = f(x \mid z; \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(z; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$
(5)

$$= \begin{cases} f_1(x; \lambda_1) \pi_1, & z = 0, \\ f_2(x; \lambda_2) (1 - \pi_1), & z = 1, \end{cases}$$
 (6)

which can be rewritten⁴ as

$$f(x, z; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\pi_1 f_1(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1))^{1-z} ((1 - \pi_1) f_2(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2))^z.$$
 (7)

The marginal PDF on \underline{x} is obtained by marginalizing out \underline{z} from $f(x,z;\boldsymbol{\theta})$ to give

$$f(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=0}^{1} f(x, z = i; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$
(8)

$$= \pi_1 f_1(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}) + (1 - \pi_1) f_2(x; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$
 (9)

$$= \pi_1 f_1(x; \lambda_1) + (1 - \pi_1) f_2(x; \lambda_2). \tag{10}$$

⁴ This may be confusing at first, by simply replace z with 0 or 1 and the expression (6) will be exactly recovered.

The expectation step

From ⁵ and ⁶, the function to be maximized is $Q\left(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right)$, ⁷ which is the expectation of the log-likelihood of the complete data. That is,

$$Q\left(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right) = \mathbb{E}_{\underline{Y}}\left[\log f\left(\underline{Y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}\right) \mid X, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right]. \tag{11}$$

The log-likelihood function of the complete data is given by

$$\log f\left(\underline{Y};\boldsymbol{\theta}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(\left(\pi_{1} f_{1}\left(\underline{x}_{i}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}\right)\right)^{1-\underline{z}_{i}} \cdot \left(\left(1-\pi_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(\underline{x}_{i}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{2}\right)\right)^{\underline{z}_{i}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(1-\underline{z}_{i}\right) \left(\log \pi_{1} + \log f_{1}\left(\underline{x}_{i}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}\right)\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{z}_{i} \left(\log \left(1-\pi_{1}\right) + \log f_{2}\left(\underline{x}_{i}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{2}\right)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(1-\underline{z}_{i}\right) \log f_{1}\left(\underline{x}_{i}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}\right) + \underline{z}_{i} \log f_{2}\left(\underline{x}_{i}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{2}\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{z}_{i} \log \left(1-\pi_{1}\right) + \left(1-\underline{z}_{i}\right) \log \pi_{1}.$$

$$(14)$$

⁵ Trevor Hastie, Robert Tibshirani, and Jerome Friedman. $The\ Elements$ of Statistical Learning. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer New York, New York, NY, 2009. DOI: 10.1007/978-0-387-84858-7

⁶ Larry Wasserman. All of Statistics: A Concise Course in Statistical Inference. Springer Texts in Statistics. Springer New York, New York, NY, 2004. DOI: 10.1007/978-0-387-21736-9 $^{7} \theta^{(j)}$ is the *j*th estimate of θ .

The conditional expectation (11) can then be expanded to give

$$Q\left(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right) = \mathbb{E}_{\underline{Y}} \left[\log f\left(\underline{Y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}\right) \mid X, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right]$$
(15)

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\underline{Z}} \left[\log f\left(\underline{X}, \underline{Z}; \boldsymbol{\theta}\right) \mid \underline{X} = X, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right]$$
(16)

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{z}_{i} \mid X, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right]\right) \log f_{1}\left(x_{i}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}\right) + \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{z}_{i} \mid X, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right] \log f_{2}\left(x_{i}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{2}\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{z}_{i} \mid X, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right] \log \left(1 - \pi_{1}\right) + \left(1 - \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{z}_{i} \mid X, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right]\right) \log \pi_{1}.$$
(17)

The expectation $\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{z}_i \mid X, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right]$ is simplified to $\mathbb{E}_{z_i}\left[\underline{z}_i \mid x_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right]$ since

$$p(z_i \mid X) = \frac{f(X \mid z_i) p(z_i)}{f(X)}$$
(18)

$$=\frac{f(x_1)\cdots f(x_i\mid z_i)\cdots f(x_n)\,p(z_i)}{f(x_1)\cdots f(x_i)\cdots f(x_n)}$$
(19)

$$=\frac{f\left(x_{i}\mid z_{i}\right)p\left(z_{i}\right)}{f\left(x_{i}\right)}\tag{20}$$

$$= p\left(z_i \mid x_i\right),\tag{21}$$

where the independence of \underline{x}_j from \underline{z}_i for $i \neq j$ was used. The expectation is therefore

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{z}_{i} \mid x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{1} \frac{f\left(x_{i}, z; \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right)}{f\left(x_{i}; \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right)} z_{k}$$
(22)

$$= \frac{1}{f\left(x_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{1} f\left(x_i, z; \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right) z_k \tag{23}$$

$$=\frac{1}{f\left(x_{i};\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right)}\left(f_{1}\left(x_{i};\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}^{(j)}\right)\pi_{1}^{(j)}(0)\right.$$

+
$$f_2\left(x_i; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2^{(j)}\right) (1 - \pi_1^{(j)})(1)$$
 (24)

$$= \frac{f_2\left(x_i; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2^{(j)}\right) \left(1 - \pi_1^{(j)}\right)}{\pi_1^{(j)} f_1\left(x_i; \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(j)}\right) + \left(1 - \pi_1^{(j)}\right) f_2\left(x_i; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2^{(j)}\right)}.$$
 (25)

Note that the expectation step does not require us to exploit the two PDFs $f_i(x; \lambda_i)$; just plug in the data and get an estimate of \underline{z}_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

From here onwards, the notation

$$\hat{z}_i^{(j)} := \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{z}_i \mid x_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right] \tag{26}$$

will be used.

Maximization step

Now that the missing data \underline{z}_i is estimated in the expectation step, the next step is to estimate a new set of parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j+1)}$ using maximum likelihood (ML) estimator on the log-likelihood function of the complete estimated data set $\hat{Y} = \{X, \hat{Z}^{(j)}\}$. 8

⁸ Note that $\hat{Z}^{(j)} = \left\{ \hat{z}_1^{(j)}, \dots, \hat{z}_n^{(j)} \right\}$.

Let the PDFs $f_i(x; \lambda_i)$ be exponentially distributed with parameter λ_i . Then, the PDFs can be written as

$$f_i(x; \lambda_i) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i x}, & x \ge 0, \\ 0, & x < 0, \end{cases}$$
 (27)

for i = 1, 2. The parameters in this case are $\theta = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \pi_1\}$.

For the ease of reading, the notation (26) will be used to rewrite $Q\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}\mid\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right)$ from (17). Then,

$$Q\left(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - \hat{z}_{i}^{(j)}\right) \log f_{1}\left(x_{i}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}\right) + \hat{z}_{i}^{(j)} \log f_{2}\left(x_{i}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{2}\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{z}_{i}^{(j)} \log \left(1 - \pi_{1}\right) + \left(1 - \hat{z}_{i}^{(j)}\right) \log \pi_{1} \qquad (28)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - \hat{z}_{i}^{(j)}\right) \log \left(\lambda_{1} e^{-\lambda_{1} x_{i}}\right) + \hat{z}_{i}^{(j)} \log \left(\lambda_{2} e^{-\lambda_{2} x_{i}}\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{z}_{i}^{(j)} \log \left(1 - \pi_{1}\right) + \left(1 - \hat{z}_{i}^{(j)}\right) \log \pi_{1} \qquad (29)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - \hat{z}_{i}^{(j)}\right) \left(\log \lambda_{1} - \lambda_{1} x_{i}\right) + \hat{z}_{i}^{(j)} \left(\log \lambda_{2} - \lambda_{2} x_{i}\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{z}_{i}^{(j)} \log \left(1 - \pi_{1}\right) + \left(1 - \hat{z}_{i}^{(j)}\right) \log \pi_{1}. \qquad (30)$$

The function $Q\left(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right)$ is to be differentiated with respect to the parameters θ and be equated to zero in order to solve for the critical points.

$$\frac{\partial Q\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}\mid\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right)}{\partial\lambda_{1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(1-\hat{z}_{i}^{(j)}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}-x_{i}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(1-\hat{z}_{i}^{(j)}\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(1-\hat{z}_{i}^{(j)}\right) x_{i}.$$
(31)

Equating to partial derivative to zero and solving for λ_1 gives the next estimate

$$\hat{\lambda}_{1}^{(j+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - \hat{z}_{i}^{(j)}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - \hat{z}_{i}^{(j)}\right) x_{i}}.$$
(33)

The similar procedure can be done for λ_2 which gives the expression

$$\hat{\lambda}_{2}^{(j+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{z}_{i}^{(j)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{z}_{i}^{(j)} x_{i}}.$$
(34)

Now, differentiate $Q\left(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right)$ with respect to π_1 .

$$\frac{\partial Q\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}\mid\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}\right)}{\partial \pi_1} = \sum_{i=1}^n -\hat{z}_i^{(j)} \frac{1}{1-\pi_1} + \left(1-\hat{z}_i^{(j)}\right) \frac{1}{\pi}$$
(35)

$$= \frac{1}{\pi_1 (1 - \pi_1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \pi_1) \left(1 - \hat{z}_i^{(j)} \right) - \hat{z}_i^{(j)} \pi_1 \qquad (36)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi_1 (1 - \pi_1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - \hat{z}_i^{(j)} - \pi_1 \right). \tag{37}$$

Equating to 0 and solving for π_1 gives the next estimate

$$\hat{\pi}_1^{(j+1)} = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{z}_i^{(j)}.$$
 (38)

References

Trevor Hastie, Robert Tibshirani, and Jerome Friedman. The Elements of Statistical Learning. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer New York, New York, NY, 2009. DOI: 10.1007/978-0-387-84858-7.

Larry Wasserman. All of Statistics: A Concise Course in Statistical Inference. Springer Texts in Statistics. Springer New York, New York, NY, 2004. DOI: 10.1007/978-0-387-21736-9.