

Lecture 3 Simple linear regression Pt. 2

Algebraic properties of OLS

Mean of residuals

The mean of the residuals is zero *by construction*. The first *normal* equation says that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i) &= 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i &= 0 \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

The mean, as well as the sum of the residuals, is always zero.

Residuals and predictor

The sample covariance between the predictor and the residuals is zero *by construction*. The second *normal* equation says that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i) &= 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \hat{u}_i &= 0 \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \hat{u}_i &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Note

Recall that the covariance between the error and predictor is

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(X, u) &= \mathbb{E}[Xu] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[u] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[Xu]\end{aligned}$$

and hence the sample covariance between the residuals and predictor is

$$\widehat{\text{Cov}}(x_i, \hat{u}_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \hat{u}_i$$

The covariance (hence, correlation) between the residuals and predictor is always zero.

Residuals and fitted values

The sample covariance between the fitted values and residuals is zero *by construction*.

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{Cov}(\hat{y}_i, \hat{u}_i) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i \hat{u}_i - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i \hat{u}_i \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i) \hat{u}_i \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\beta}_0 \hat{u}_i + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\beta}_1 x_i \hat{u}_i \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \hat{\beta}_0 \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i + \frac{1}{n} \hat{\beta}_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \hat{u}_i \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Mean of predictor and mean of outcome

If we plug in the mean of the predictor in the equation for the regression line, we get the mean of the outcome. In other words, the point (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) lies on the regression line.

Recall the formula for $\hat{\beta}_0$ and re-arrange the terms

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\beta}_0 &= \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x} \\
\bar{y} &= \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}
\end{aligned}$$

Goodness-of-fit

Sums of squares

First, recall that

$$y_i = \hat{y}_i + \hat{u}_i.$$

Now we define different sums of squares. The *total* sum of squares (*SST*) is

$$SST \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2.$$

The *explained* sum of squares (*SSE*) is

$$SSE \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2.$$

The *residual* sum of squares (*SSR*) is

$$SSR \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2.$$

The following identity holds for these sums:

$$SST = SSE + SSR$$

Start with the definition of the SST

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2.$$

Add and subtract \hat{y}_i :

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i + \hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2.$$

Notice that $y_i - \hat{y}_i = \hat{u}_i$. Now compute the square

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{u}_i^2 + (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2 + 2\hat{u}_i(\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})).$$

Split the sum into three parts

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n 2\hat{u}_i(\hat{y}_i - \bar{y}).$$

Notice that the last term can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n 2\hat{u}_i(\hat{y}_i - \bar{y}) = 2 \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i \hat{y}_i + \bar{y} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i \right],$$

which equals to zero, since both $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i \hat{y}_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i = 0$.

Therefore, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} SST &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2 \\ &= SSE + SSR \end{aligned}$$

RMSE

The root mean squared error (RMSE) is computed as

$$RMSE \equiv \sqrt{\frac{SSR}{n}}$$

It shows by how much, on average, the observed values deviate from the fitted values. It is a measure of the *lack of fit*. If the fitted values are close to the observed values then RMSE will be small, and we can say the model fits the data well. On the other hand, if the fitted and observed values are far from each other, then the RMSE will be large, and the model does not fit the data well.

R-squared

The R-squared is defined as

$$R^2 \equiv \frac{SSE}{SST} = 1 - \frac{SSR}{SST}.$$

It shows the share of the total variation in the outcome explained by the predictor. R-squared is bounded between 0 and 1. Low values of R-squared imply a poor (linear) fit, while high values imply a good (linear) fit.

R-squared is related to two correlation coefficients:

- R-squared is equal to the square of the correlation between the observed and fitted values
- R-squared is equal to the square of the correlation between the outcome and the predictor (true only for the simple regression)

Note

Recall that the sample correlation between two random variables X and Y equals

$$\widehat{Corr}(X, Y) = \frac{\widehat{Cov}(X, Y)}{\widehat{sd}(X)\widehat{sd}(Y)},$$

where sd is the standard deviation.

R-squared and the correlation between the observed and fitted values

The first identity says that

$$R^2 = (\widehat{Corr}(Y, \hat{Y}))^2$$

Proof

First, consider the sample covariance between the observed and fitted values

$$\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \hat{y}_i - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i \right)$$

Recall that $y_i = \hat{y}_i + \hat{u}_i$ and plug this into the expression for the covariance.

$$\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i + \hat{u}_i) \hat{y}_i - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i + \hat{u}_i) \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i \right).$$

Now split the sums as follows

$$\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i \hat{y}_i - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i \right)$$

Recall that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i \hat{y}_i = 0$ and $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i = 0$. The expression becomes

$$\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i^2 - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i \right)^2$$

This expression is the sample variance of \hat{Y} . On the other hand, this variance also equals

$$\widehat{Var}(\hat{Y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2,$$

since the sample mean of Y is the same as the sample mean of \hat{Y} . Therefore, we get that

$$\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y}) = \frac{1}{n} SSE.$$

Turning to the standard deviations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{sd}(Y) &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} SST} \\ \widehat{sd}(\hat{Y}) &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} SSE} \end{aligned}$$

Then the sample correlation between Y and \hat{Y} is

$$\widehat{Corr}(Y, \hat{Y}) = \frac{\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y})}{\widehat{sd}(Y)\widehat{sd}(\hat{Y})} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} SSE}{\frac{1}{n} \sqrt{SSE \cdot SST}} = \sqrt{\frac{SSE}{SST}}.$$

Taking the squares on both sides completes the proof.

R-squared and the correlation between the outcome and the predictor

The second identity says that

$$R^2 = (\widehat{Corr}(X, Y))^2$$

To prove it, it helps to first derive a few auxiliary identities. First, let's show that

$$\widehat{Cov}(X, Y) = \widehat{Cov}(X, \hat{Y})$$

✓ Proof

Using the definition of the sample covariance, we get

$$\widehat{Cov}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right).$$

Substitute $y_i = \hat{y}_i + \hat{u}_i$.

$$\widehat{Cov}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(\hat{y}_i + \hat{u}_i) - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i + \hat{u}_i) \right).$$

Now split the sums as follows

$$\widehat{Cov}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \hat{y}_i + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \hat{u}_i - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i \right).$$

Recall that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i = 0$ and that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \hat{u}_i = 0$. Then

$$\widehat{Cov}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \hat{y}_i - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i \right) = \widehat{Cov}(X, \hat{Y}).$$

Second, let's show that

$$\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y}) = \hat{\beta}_1 \widehat{Cov}(X, Y)$$

✓ Proof

Using the definition of the sample covariance, we get

$$\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \hat{y}_i - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i \right).$$

Substitute $\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$ to get

$$\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i) - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i) \right).$$

Split the sum as follows

$$\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \hat{\beta}_0 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \hat{\beta}_1 x_i - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\beta}_0 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\beta}_1 x_i \right).$$

Simplify to get

$$\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y}) = \hat{\beta}_0 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + \hat{\beta}_1 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i - \hat{\beta}_0 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \hat{\beta}_1 \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right).$$

Cancelling the terms and taking $\hat{\beta}_1$ out of the brackets, we get

$$\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y}) = \hat{\beta}_1 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i - \hat{\beta}_1 \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right) = \hat{\beta}_1 \widehat{Cov}(X, Y).$$

Third, let's show that

$$\widehat{Var}(\hat{Y}) = \hat{\beta}_1^2 \widehat{Var}(X)$$

✓ **Proof**

Using the definition of the sample variance, we get

$$\widehat{Var}(\hat{Y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2.$$

Recall that $\bar{y} = \bar{\hat{y}}_i$. Substitute $\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$ to get

$$\widehat{Var}(\hat{Y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i - \bar{y})^2.$$

Recall that $\bar{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$, which implies that $\hat{\beta}_0 - \bar{y} = -\hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$. Substituting this into the expression above, we get

$$\widehat{Var}(\hat{Y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\beta}_1 x_i - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x})^2 = \hat{\beta}_1^2 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = \hat{\beta}_1^2 \widehat{Var}(X).$$

We can now show that

$$\widehat{Corr}(X, \hat{Y}) = 1.$$

✓ **Proof**

Using the definition of the sample correlation, we get

$$\widehat{Corr}(X, \hat{Y}) = \frac{\widehat{Cov}(X, \hat{Y})}{\widehat{sd}(X)\widehat{sd}(\hat{Y})}.$$

Using the fact that

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\widehat{Cov}(X, Y)}{\widehat{Var}(X)}$$

and that $\widehat{Cov}(X, Y) = \widehat{Cov}(X, \hat{Y})$, we get

$$\widehat{Cov}(X, \hat{Y}) = \hat{\beta}_1 \widehat{Var}(X).$$

Plugging this into the formula for the correlation, we get

$$\widehat{Corr}(X, \hat{Y}) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 \widehat{Var}(X)}{\widehat{sd}(X)\widehat{sd}(\hat{Y})}.$$

Now use the fact that $\widehat{Var}(\hat{Y}) = \hat{\beta}_1^2 \widehat{Var}(X)$:

$$\widehat{Corr}(X, \hat{Y}) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 \widehat{Var}(X)}{\widehat{sd}(X) \hat{\beta}_1 \widehat{sd}(X)} = 1.$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{Corr}(X, Y) &= \frac{\widehat{Cov}(X, Y)}{\widehat{sd}(X) \widehat{sd}(Y)} \\ &= \frac{\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y})}{\widehat{sd}(X) \widehat{sd}(Y)} \frac{1}{\hat{\beta}_1} \\ &= \frac{\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y})}{\widehat{sd}(X) \widehat{sd}(Y)} \frac{\widehat{Var}(X)}{\widehat{Cov}(X, Y)} \\ &= \frac{\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y})}{\widehat{sd}(Y)} \frac{\widehat{sd}(X)}{\widehat{Cov}(X, Y)} \\ &= \frac{\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y})}{\widehat{sd}(Y) \widehat{sd}(\hat{Y})} \frac{\widehat{sd}(X) \widehat{sd}(\hat{Y})}{\widehat{Cov}(X, \hat{Y})} \\ &= \frac{\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y})}{\widehat{sd}(Y) \widehat{sd}(\hat{Y})} \frac{1}{\widehat{Corr}(X, \hat{Y})} \\ &= \frac{\widehat{Cov}(Y, \hat{Y})}{\widehat{sd}(Y) \widehat{sd}(\hat{Y})} \\ &= \sqrt{R^2} \end{aligned}$$

Statistical properties of OLS

Motivating example

<https://www.econometrics-with-r.org/SmallSampleDistReg.html>

OLS assumptions

Let's recall the assumptions we made so far.

Assumption: Linear CEF

The conditional expectation function is linear

$$\mathbb{E}[Y | X] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$

This assumption implies that the population regression model is

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + u,$$

where $\mathbb{E}[u | X] = 0$.

The Linear CEF assumption is equivalent to a set of two assumptions: that the population model is

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + u,$$

and that $\mathbb{E}[u \mid X] = 0$.

⚠ Assumption: Random Sampling

Our sample $(x_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a random sample from the population, i.e., the observations are pairwise independent and identically distributed.

This assumption means that we can write for each observation i that

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + u_i.$$

⚠ Assumption: Sample Variation in the Predictor

The sample variance of X is non-zero.

This assumption implies, among other things, that we can compute the OLS estimates.

Unbiasedness

The estimator is called *unbiased* if its expectation gives the true value. In our case, this means that

$$\mathbb{E}[\beta_i] = \beta_i, i = 0, 1.$$

If one keeps repeatedly drawing new samples and estimating parameters, then the average of all obtained estimates will eventually get close to the population parameters.

Unbiasedness is a property of the sample distribution of the OLS estimators. It does not imply that the population parameters are perfectly estimated for any given sample.

To prove unbiasedness, we first introduce some new terms

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i y_i,$$

where

$$w_i \equiv \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}.$$

The w_i terms have the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n w_i &= 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i &= 1 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 &= \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Now consider the expectation of $\hat{\beta}_1$ conditional on x_1, \dots, x_n :

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}_1 \mid x_1, \dots, x_n] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n w_i y_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_n\right].$$

Substituting $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + u_i$, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}_1 \mid x_1, \dots, x_n] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + u_i) \mid x_1, \dots, x_n\right].$$

Now split the sum as follows

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}_1 \mid x_1, \dots, x_n] = \mathbb{E}\left[\beta_0 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i u_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_n\right].$$

Using the properties of w_i , this expression reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}_1 \mid x_1, \dots, x_n] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\beta_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i u_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_n\right] \\ &= \beta_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[w_i u_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_n] \\ &= \beta_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \mathbb{E}[u_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_n] \\ &= \beta_1.\end{aligned}$$

Variance

First, we derive the variance of the OLS estimator under the assumption of *homoskedasticity*.

Assumption: Homoskedasticity

The variance of each error term u_i is identical and equal to σ^2

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1 \mid x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i y_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_n\right).$$

Since our sample is random, the variance of the sum is the sum of the variances:

$$\begin{aligned}
Var(\hat{\beta}_1 | x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n Var(w_i y_i | x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 Var(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + u_i | x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 Var(u_i | x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The variance of $\hat{\beta}_0$ is given by

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_0 | x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{\sigma^2 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

Consider again the formula for $Var(\hat{\beta}_1 | x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Notice that $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = n\widehat{Var}(X)$. Therefore, the variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ increases in σ^2 and decreases in the number of observations and the variance of X .

Error variance

The formula for the variance of the OLS estimator involves a term σ^2 that we do not observe. Hence, we need to replace it with a corresponding sample moment. Recall that

$$\sigma^2 = Var(u) = \mathbb{E}[u^2].$$

The estimate of σ^2 is given by

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2 = \frac{SSR}{n-2}.$$

This estimate is an unbiased estimate of σ^2 .

Note

The $n - 2$ in the denominator accounts for the fact that we lose two *degrees of freedom* when estimating the residuals. These two degrees of freedom are the moment restrictions we impose (the normal equations).

The square root of $\hat{\sigma}^2$, $\hat{\sigma}$, is called the *standard error* of the regression (or sometimes the root mean squared error).

Using this estimate, we can find the sample variance and standard deviation of the OLS estimator

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_1 | x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}.$$

The square root of this variance, the standard deviation of $\hat{\beta}_1$, is called the *standard error* of $\hat{\beta}_1$.

Robust standard errors

If we do not assume homoskedasticity, we can arrive at a different estimate for the variance. *Heteroskedasticity* means that each error term has its own variance σ_i^2 . Recall our derivations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1 \mid x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 \text{Var}(u_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sigma_i^2}{(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Replacing σ_i^2 with its estimate \hat{u}_i^2 allows us to estimate this variance and hence the standard errors in the sample. Standard errors derived this way are called *robust*.