# Complex Analysis

Aamod Varma

MATH - 4320, Fall 2024

# Contents

1		nplex Numbers Regions in the Complex Plane	3			
2	Analytic functions					
_	2.1	13. Functions and Mappings	5			
	$\frac{2.1}{2.2}$	15. Limits	5			
	2.3	19. Derivatives	7			
	$\frac{2.3}{2.4}$		י 10			
	2.4	narmonic runction	LU			
3	Elei	mentary Functions	۱1			
	3.1	·	11			
	3.2	<del>-</del>	11			
	3.3		12			
	3.4		13			
	3.5		13			
	0.0	inverse inglionication functions				
4	Integrals 14					
	4.1		14			
	4.2	<u> </u>	15			
	4.3		15			
	4.4		16			
	4.5		18			
	4.6	· ·	21			
	4.7		23			
	4.8	•	24			
	4.9		24			
	1.0	Maximum modulus i interpre				
5	Series 2					
	5.1	Convergence of Sequences	25			
	5.2		25			
	5.3		26			
	5.4	9	- s 28			
	5.5		28			
	5.6		29			
6	$\operatorname{Res}$		32			
	6.1	8	32			
	6.2		32			
	6.3	Cauchy Residue Theorem	33			
	6.4	Residue at Infinity	33			
	6.5		33			
	6.6		34			
	6.7		35			
	6.8		35			

7	Applications of Residues			
	7.1	Evaluation of Improper Integrals	38	
	7.2	Example	39	
	7.3	Jordan's Lemma	30	

CONTENTS 2

# Chapter 1

# Complex Numbers

#### 1.12 Regions in the Complex Plane

**Definition 1.1** (Epsilon neighborhood). An epsilon neighborhood around a point  $z_0$  is the set of all z such that,

$$|z-z_0|<\varepsilon$$

**Definition 1.2** (Deleted neighborhood). A deleted neighborhood around a point  $z_0$  is the set of all z such that,

$$0 < |z - z_0| < \varepsilon$$

**Remark.** A deleted neighborhood is essentially an epsilon neighborhood but does not include the point  $z_0$ 

**Definition 1.3** (Interior point).  $z_0$  is an interior point when there exists a neighborhood of  $z_0$  that contains only points of S

**Definition 1.4** (Exeterior point).  $z_0$  is an exterior point when there exists a neighborhood of  $z_0$  that contains no points of S

**Definition 1.5** (Boundary point).  $z_0$  is a boundary point otherwise, i.e. all of the neighborhoods of  $z_0$  contains a point in S and a point not in S

**Definition 1.6** (Open set). S is an open set if  $\forall z \in S, \exists \varepsilon \text{ s.t. } B_{\varepsilon}(z) \subset S$ 

Remark. We can also say that an open set does not contain any of its boundary points.

**Definition 1.7** (Closed set). A set is closed if it doesn't contain its boundary points.

**Definition 1.8** (Connected Set). An open set is connected if  $z_1, z_2$  can be joined by a polygonal line, consisting of finite number of line segments, joined end to end.

**Definition 1.9** (domain). A non empty open set that is connected is called a domain

**Definition 1.10 (region).** A domain together with some, none, or all of its boundary points is referred to as a region

**Definition 1.11** (accumulation point). An accumulation point or limit point of a set S is  $z_0$  if, each deleted neighborhood of  $z_0$  contains at least one point of S

Remark. A closed set contains all of its accumulation points, but the opposite may not be true.

Remark. Every boundary point is not an accumulation point.

**Example.** Consider the set,  $S = 5 \cup (0, 1)$ 

Here, the boundary points are 5,0 and 1 because they  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood defined around these points contains both inerior points and exterior points.

However 5 is not an accumulation point because the deleted-neighborhood does not contain any interior points (as it removes 5).  $\diamond$ 

# Chapter 2

# Analytic functions

#### 2.1 13. Functions and Mappings

A translation translate a complex number to another location preserving direction and magnitude.

**Example.** 
$$f(z) = z_0 + z$$

A rotation rotates the complex number changing magnitude or direction.

**Example.**  $f(z) = z_0 z$  This function rotates z by multiplying it with  $z_0$ . We can see this when representing it in euler notation as follows,

$$z_0 z = r r_0 e^{i(\theta + \theta_0)}.$$

 $\Diamond$ 

Example.  $f(z) = z^2$ 

$$z = re^{i\theta}$$
$$z^2 = r^2 e^{2i\theta}$$

So magnitude is squared and angle is doubled

A reflection will reflect z along the x axis.

**Example.**  $f(z) = \bar{z}$  reflects z along the x axis.

An analytic function is a differentiable function in the complex space.

$$f(z) = w.$$
  

$$f(x + iy) = u + iv.$$
  

$$= u(x, y) + iv(x, y).$$
  

$$u(z) = iv(z).$$

#### 2.2 15. Limits

If a function f is defined at all points z in some deleted neighborhood of point  $z_0$ . Then, f(z) has a limit  $w_0$  as z approaches  $z_0$ , or

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} f(z) = w_0.$$

Essentially this means that the point w = f(z) can be made arbitrary close to  $w_0$  if we choose a point z close enough to  $z_0$  but distinct from it (deleted neighborhood).

**Definition 2.1** (Limit). The limit of a function f(z) as z goes to  $z_0$  is  $w_0$  if,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, s.t.$ 

$$|f(z) - w_0| < \varepsilon$$
 whenever,  $0 < |z - z_0| < \delta$ .

**Remark.** Essentially this menas that for every  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood,  $|f(z) - w_0| < \varepsilon$  there is a deleted-neighborhood,  $0 < |z - z_0| < \delta$  of  $z_0$  such that every point z in it has an image w in the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood

**Remark.** All points in the deleted-neighborhood are to be considered but their images need not fill up the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood

**Theorem 2.2.** When a limit of a function f(z) exists at a point  $z_0$ , it is unique.

Proof. Suppose,

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} f(z) = w_0$$
 and  $\lim_{z \to z_0} f(z) = w_1$ .

This means that,

$$|f(z) - w_0| < \varepsilon \text{ when } 0 < |z - z_0| < \delta_0.$$

$$|f(z) - w_1| < \varepsilon \text{ when } 0 < |z - z_1| < \delta_1.$$

So,

$$|f(z) - w_0| + |f(z) - w_1| < 2\varepsilon.$$

We know that,

$$w_1 - w_0 = (f(z) - w_0) - (f(z) - w_1) \le |f(z) - w_0| - |f(z) - w_1|$$

So,

 $w_1 - w_0 < 2\varepsilon$ , where  $\varepsilon$  can be chosen arbitrary small.

Hence,

$$w_1 - w_0 = 0$$
, or,  $w_1 = w_0$ .

**Example.** Show that,  $f(z) = \frac{i\bar{z}}{2}$  in the open disk |z| < 1, then

$$\lim_{z \to 1} f(z) = \frac{i}{2}$$

$$\left| f(z) - \frac{i}{2} \right| = \left| \frac{i\overline{z}}{2} - \frac{i}{2} \right| = \frac{|z-1|}{2}.$$

Hence, for any z and  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$\left| f(z) - \frac{i}{2} \right| < \varepsilon \text{ when } 0 < |z - 1| < 2\varepsilon.$$

**Example.**  $f(z) = \frac{z}{\overline{z}}$  The limit,

$$\lim_{z \to 0} f(z).$$

does not exist.

Assume that it exists, that implies that by letting the point z = (x, y) we can approach the point, (0,0) in any manner and we would get the same limit.

Now if we approach the point from the x-axis where z = (x,0) we get,

$$\lim_{x \to 0} f((x,0)) = \frac{x+0i}{x-0i} = 1.$$

But if we approach it from the y- axis where, z = (0, y) we get,

$$\lim_{y \to 0} f((0,y)) = \frac{0+iy}{0-iy} = -1.$$

But we know that the limit should be unique, hence this implies that the limit does not exist.  $\diamond$ 

#### 2.3 19. Derivatives

**Theorem 2.3.** If a function f(z) is continuous and non-zero at a point  $z_0$  then, there exists a neighborhood where,  $f(z) \neq 0$  throughout.

**Proof.** We know that f(z) is continuous which means that,  $\varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta$  such that,

$$|f(z) - f(z_0)| < \varepsilon$$
, when  $0 < |z - z_0| < \delta$ .

But if we take,  $\varepsilon = \frac{f(z_0)}{2}$  then we have,

$$|f(z) - f(z_0)| < \frac{|f(z_0)|}{2}.$$

However, if f(z) = 0 for this neighborhood then we have,

$$|f(z_0)| < \frac{|f(z_0)|}{2}.$$

which is a contradiction.

**Theorem 2.4.** f is continuous on R which is closed and bounded,  $\exists M>0$ , real  $|f(z)|\leq M, \forall z\in R$  equality holds for at least one z.

**Definition 2.5** (Derivative). f is differntiable at  $z_0$  when  $f'(z_0)$  exists where,

$$f'(z_0) = \lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0}$$

Remark. Can also solve,

$$\lim_{z_0 \to 0} \frac{f(z + z_0) - f(z)}{z_0}$$

**Example.** Find derivative of,  $f(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ 

$$\lim_{z_0 \to 0} \left(\frac{1}{z + z_0} - \frac{1}{z}\right) \frac{1}{z_0}$$

$$\lim_{z_0 \to 0} \frac{z - z - z_0}{z(z + z_0)} \frac{1}{z_0}$$

$$\lim_{z_0 \to 0} \frac{-1}{z(z + z_0)}$$

$$= \frac{-1}{z_0^2}$$

 $\Diamond$ 

Example.  $f(z) = \bar{z}$ 

$$\lim_{z_0 \to 0} \frac{z + \overline{z_0} - \overline{z}}{z_0}$$

Go from x and y axis.

From x,

$$\lim_{x_0\to 0}\frac{\bar z+x_0-\bar z}{x_0}=1.$$

 $\Diamond$ 

8

Similarly if we go from y we get -1, so the derivative doesn't exist.

If we have a function f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y) then,

$$z_0 = x_0 + iy_0.$$

$$\Delta z = \Delta x + i \Delta y.$$

We have to show the following exist,

$$\lim_{\Delta z \to 0} \frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z) - f(z_0)}{\Delta z}.$$

$$= \frac{u(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y) + iv(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y) - (u(x_0, y_0) + iv(x_0, y_0))}{\Delta x + i\Delta y}.$$

Horizontally,  $\Delta y = 0$ .

So,

$$\frac{u(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0) - u(x_0, y_0)}{\Delta x} + \frac{i(v(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0) - v(x_0, y_0))}{\Delta x}.$$

$$= u_x + iv_x.$$

Similarly, if we go vertically,  $\Delta x = 0$  and we get,

$$=v_y-iu_y.$$

**Theorem 2.6.** If, f(z) = u + iv, f'(z) exists at,  $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$ . Then,  $u_x, u_y, v_x, v_y$  eixsts at  $(x_0, y_0)$  and must satisfy the Cauchy-Reimann equation.

$$f'(z_0) = u_x + iv_x$$
 at  $(x_0, y_0)$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** f(z) = u(x,y) + iv(x,y) defined throughout the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of  $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$ ,

- (a)  $u_x, u_y, v_x, v_y$  exists everywhere in the neighborhood
- (b)  $u_x, u_y, v_x, v_y$  continuous at  $(x_0, y_0)$  and satisfy the Cauchy-Remain equations

$$u_x = v_y, u_y = -v_x \text{ at } (x_0, y_0)$$

Then  $f'(z_0)$  exists and,

$$f'(z_0) = u_x + iv_x$$
 at  $(x_0, y_0)$ .

**Proof.** We need to show,

$$\lim_{\Delta z \to 0} \frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z) - f(z_0)}{\Delta z}.$$

$$= \lim_{\Delta z \to 0} \frac{u(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y) + iv(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y) - (u(x_0, y_0) + iv(x_0, y_0))}{\Delta z}.$$

Using taylor expansion we know,

$$f(x + \Delta x) = f(x) + \Delta x f'(x) + \frac{(\Delta x)^2}{2} f''(x).$$

$$u(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y) - u(x_0, y_0) =$$

$$= u(x_0, y_0) + \Delta x u_x(x_0, y_0) + \frac{(\Delta x)^2}{2} u_{xx}(x_0, y_0) + \Delta y u_y(x_0, y_0) + \frac{(\Delta y)^2}{2} u_{yy}(x_0, y_0).$$

We can write the limit as,

$$\begin{split} \frac{u_x(x_0,y_0)\Delta x + u_y(x_0,y_0)\Delta y + \varepsilon_1(\Delta x^2) + \varepsilon_2(\Delta y^2)}{\Delta z} + \\ i\frac{v_x(x_0,y_0)\Delta x + v_y(x_0,y_0)\Delta y + \varepsilon_3(\Delta x) + \varepsilon_4(\Delta y)}{\Delta z}. \end{split}$$
 We know  $u_x(x_0,y_0) = v_y(x_0,y_0)$  and  $u_y(x_0,y_0) = -v_x(x_0,y_0)$ , so,

$$\frac{u_x(x_0, y_0)\Delta x + -v_x(x_0, y_0)\Delta y + \varepsilon_1(\Delta x^2) + \varepsilon_2(\Delta y^2)}{\Delta z} + i\frac{v_x(x_0, y_0)\Delta x + u_x(x_0, y_0)\Delta y + \varepsilon_3(\Delta x) + \varepsilon_4(\Delta y)}{\Delta z}.$$

$$=\frac{u_x(x_0,y_0)(\Delta x + i\Delta y) + u_y(x_0,y_0)(\Delta y - i\Delta x) + \varepsilon_4}{\Delta z}$$

and  $\Delta z = \Delta x + i \Delta y$ 

$$=\frac{u_x(x_0,y_0)(\Delta x + i\Delta y) + u_y(x_0,y_0)(\Delta y - i\Delta x) + \varepsilon_4}{\Delta x + i\Delta y}.$$

**Definition 2.8** (Analytic function). A function f is analytic in an open set S, if f has derivative everywhere in S. It is analytic at a point  $z_0$  if it is analytic in some neighborhood of  $z_0$ 

Remark. Analytic functino has to be on an open set.

**Remark.** For it to be analytic at  $z_0$  derivative should exist in the neighborhood of  $z_0$  (not just the point  $z_0$ )

**Example.** 
$$f(z) = (|z|)^2 = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}^2$$

$$u = x^2 + y^2, v = 0$$

$$u_x = 2x, u_y = 2y.$$

$$v_x = 0, v_y = 0.$$

So the Cauchy-Reimann equation is only satisfied at (0,0)

f'(0) = 0 and it exists.

**Remark.**  $f(z) = |z|^2$  is not analytic anywhere. So even if the derivative exists at z = 0. The function is not analytic at z = 0 (or at any point)

Because, (1). f'(z) exists at z=0

- (2).  $u_x, u_y, v_x, v_y$  exists  $\not\Rightarrow f'(z)$
- (3). f(z) is continuous  $\not\Rightarrow f'(z)$

Essentially it only exists for z = 0 and not in the neighborhood around it.

**Definition 2.9** (Entire function). A function f is analytic at each point in the entire plane.

**Definition 2.10** (Singular point).  $z_0$  is a singular point if f fails to be analytic at  $z_0$  but is analytic at some point in every neighborhood at  $z_0$ 

**Example.**  $f(z) = 2 + 3z^2 + z^3$ 

Is analytic everywhere so it is an entire function

Example.  $f(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ 

Is analytic at all non-zero, but z = 0 is a sigular point

**Example.**  $f(z) = |z|^2 = x^2 + y^2$ 

Is not analytic, no singular points either.

#### 2.4 Harmonic Function

**Definition 2.11** (Harmonic function). A real valued functino of H(x, y) is said to be harmonic if in a given domain of the x, y plane, it has a continuous partial derivative of the first and second order  $(H_x, H_y, H_{xx}, H_{yy}, H_{xy})$  and satisfies,

 $H_{xx}(x,y) + H_{yy}(x,y) = 0$  Laplace equation.

**Theorem 2.12.** If f = u(x,y) + i(x,y) is analytic in a domain D, then u,v are harmonic in D

**Theorem 2.13.** If f'(z) = 0 everywhere in D then f(z) is a constant in D.

**Proof.** Consider f(z) = u(x,y) + iv(x,y) given that

$$f'(z) = u_x + iv_x = 0$$

Using Cauchy-Reimann equation we have,  $u_y, v_y = 0$ . So all of the first order derivative s are equal to 0 in D.

U(x,y) is constant along any line L, extending from p to p'. Let the vector from p to p' be u. So we have,

$$\frac{du}{ds} = (\text{grad } u)u$$

$$grad u = u_x i + u_y j = 0$$

So u is a constant (a) on L. Similarly for v=b

$$f(z) = a + bi$$

Lemma 2.14. Suppose,

(a). f(z) is analytic throughout D

(b). f(z) = 0 at each point at the domain or line segment containing D

Then  $f(z) \equiv 0$  in D

# Chapter 3

# **Elementary Functions**

### 3.1 Exponential Function

The exponential function is  $e^z$ . But we can write this as

$$e^z = e^{x+iy} = e^x e^{iy} = e^x (\cos(y) + i\sin(y))$$

We can also write,

$$e^z = \rho e^{i\phi}$$
 where  $\rho = |e^x|$  and  $\phi = y$ 

For a function,  $e^{z_1}e^{z_2}$  we can write,

$$e^{z_1}e^{z_2} = e^{x_1+iy_1}e^{x_2+iy_2}$$

$$= e^{x_1+x_2}e^{i(y_1+y_2)}.$$

$$= e^{z_1+z_2}.$$

The derivative if  $e^z$  is an entire function

$$\frac{d}{dx}e^z = e^z$$
 which is an entire function.

$$e^{z+2} = e^z + e^2 = e^z$$

### 3.2 Log Function

The log function is f(z) = log(z) = w = u + iv. We know

$$e^w = z = e^{u+iv} = e^u e^{iv}.$$

We see that  $r = e^u$  and  $\theta = v + 2n\pi$ 

$$r = e^u \Rightarrow ln(r) = u$$

Similarly,

$$\theta = v + 2n\pi.$$

So we have,

$$f(z) = \log(z) = \ln|z| + i\arg(z).$$

and the principal direction is,

$$f(z) = \log(z) = \ln|z| + i\theta, \quad -\pi < \theta < \pi.$$

Some properties are,

$$(1).e^{\log z} = z, (z \neq 0)$$

$$(2).|e^z| = e^x$$

(3). 
$$\log(e^z) = \ln|e^z| + i \arg(e^z)$$

= 
$$\ln |e^x| + i(y + 2n\pi), n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2.$$
  
=  $\ln e^x + iy + i2n\pi.$   
=  $z + 2n\pi.$ 

#### **Branches**

The principal branch is

$$\log z = \ln r + i\theta$$
 where  $r > 0, -\pi < \theta < \pi$ .

A branch cut is a portion of a line or curve that is introduced in order to deifne a branch F of a multiple-valued function f.

Points on the branch cut for F are singular points of F and any point that is common to all branches of f are called branch points.

Example.

$$\frac{d}{dz}\log z = \frac{1}{z}$$
, where  $|z| > 0$ 

The branches can be  $\alpha < \arg z < \alpha + 2\pi$ 

**Property.**  $\log z_1 z_2 = \log z_1 + \log z_2$ 

Proof.

$$\log z_1 z_2 = \ln(r_1 r_2) + i(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + 2n\pi)$$

$$= \log z_1 z_2 = \ln(r_1) + \ln(r_2) + i(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + 2n\pi)$$

$$= \log z_1 z_2 = \ln(r_1) + i(\theta_1 + 2n\pi) + \ln(r_2) + i(\theta_2 + 2n\pi)$$

$$= \log z_1 z_2 = \ln(r_1) + i(\theta_1 + 2n\pi) + \ln(r_2) + i(\theta_2 + 2n\pi)$$

$$= \log z_1 z_2 = \log z_1 + \log z_2$$

 $\Diamond$ 

**Property.**  $\log |z_1 z_2| = \log |z_1| + \log |z_2|$ 

**Property.**  $\log(\frac{z_1}{z_2}) = \log(z_1) - \log(z_2)$ 

Property.  $z^n = e^{n \log(z)}$ 

#### 3.3 Power Function

We have a complex number c and we have  $f(z)=z^c$ . By definition we have  $z^c=e^{c\log z}$ . The derivative is  $\frac{d}{dz}f(z)=\frac{d}{dz}(z^c)$ 

$$\frac{d}{dz}e^{c\log z} = e^{c\log z}\frac{d}{dz}c\log z = e^{c\log z}\frac{c}{z}$$

But we can write  $\frac{e^{c \log z}c}{e^{\log z}} = ce^{(c-1)\log z} = cz^{c-1}$ . The principal value of  $z^c = e^{cLogz}$ . If the function is  $f(z) = c^z$  then we have

$$\frac{d}{dz}c^z = \frac{d}{z}e^{z\log c} = e^{z\log c}\frac{d}{dz}z\log c = e^{z\log c}\log c = c^z\log c$$

### 3.4 Trignometric Function

We know that  $e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta$  and  $e^{-i\theta} = \cos \theta - i \sin \theta$ . So we can write,

$$\cos \theta = \frac{e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}}{2}$$

and,

$$\sin \theta = \frac{e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}}{2i}$$

We have  $\frac{d}{dz}\sin z = \cos z$  and  $\frac{d}{dz}\cos z = -\sin z$ 

**Property.**  $\sin(-z) = -\sin(z)$  and  $\cos(-z) = \cos(z)$ 

**Property.**  $\sin(z_1 + z_2) = \sin z_1 \cos z_2 + \cos z_1 \sin z_2$ 

**Property.**  $\sin(2z) = 2\sin(z)\cos(z)$ 

**Property.**  $\sin(z + \frac{\pi}{2}) = \cos(z)$ 

Consider the hyperbolic sin and cos functions,

$$\sinh z = \frac{e^z - e^{-z}}{2}, \cosh z = \frac{e^z + e^{-z}}{2}$$

We can write  $\sin z = \sin(x + iy)$ . Now expanding this we get,

$$\sin(x)\cos(iy) + \cos(x)\sin(iy) = \sin(x)\cosh(y) + i\cos x\sinh(y)$$

And we have,

$$|\sin z|^2 = \sin^2 x + \sinh^2 y$$
$$|\cos z|^2 = \cos^2 x + \cosh^2 y$$

### 3.5 Inverse Trignometric Functions

The function is  $w = f(z) = \sin^{-1} z$ . So we have

$$\sin(w) = z = \frac{e^{iw} - e^{-iw}}{2}$$

We know  $2iz = (e^{iw} - e^{-iw}) \times e^{iw}$ ,

$$2ize^{iw} = e^{2iw} - e^0$$
$$e^{iw2} - 2ize^{iw} - 1 = 0$$

Solving this we get,

$$e^{iw} = iz \pm (1 - z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

# Chapter 4

# Integrals

Consider f(z) = f(x+iy) = u(x,y) + iv(x,y). We can write this as,

$$w(t) = u(t) + iv(t)$$

$$w'(t) = u'(t) + iv'(t)$$

Example.  $\frac{d}{dt}(w(t))^2 = \frac{d}{dt}(u+iv)^2$ 

$$= \frac{d}{dt}(u^2 - v^2 + 2uvi)$$

$$= 2uu' - 2vv' + i(2u'v + 2uv')$$

$$= 2(u + iv)(u' + iv')$$

$$= 2w(t)w'(t)$$

 $\Diamond$ 

### 4.1 Definite Integrals

The integral of w(t) with respect to t is,

$$\int_{a}^{b} w(t)dt = \int_{a}^{b} u(t)dt + i \int_{a}^{b} v(t)dt$$

**Exercise.** Find c such that,

$$\int_{a}^{b} w(t)dt = w(c)(b-a)$$
 where  $w(t) = e^{it}, a = 0, b = 2\pi$ 

Solution. We have,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} e^{it} = \int_0^{2\pi} (\cos(t) + i\sin(t)) = [\sin(t) - i\cos(t)]_0^{2\pi} = 0$$

Generally for arbitrary a and b we can show that, ...

**Remark.** In this case t is moving from 0 to  $2\pi$ . But because we are in the complex plane it represents a loop.

**Remark.** Note that the mean value theorem for integrals does not carry over for complex integrals. The mean value theorem for integrals in the real sense means that  $\exists c$  such that,

$$\int_{a}^{b} w(t)dt = w(c)(b-a)$$

However take  $w(t) = e^{it}$  and choose  $b = 2\pi$  and a = 0. The right hand side will always be non-zero while the left hand size will be 0.

#### 4.2 Contour

In the real case we have functions that are defined on intervals of the real line. However complex inputs lie in the d plane so instead of an interval we define it using curves.

**Definition 4.1** (contour). We have z(t) = x(t) = iy(t) is a contour if,

(1) C is simple arc or Jordan arc, it does not cross itself.

$$z(t_1) \neq z(t_2), t_{12}$$

(2) z(a) = z(b); C simple closed curve.

It is positively oriented if the direction is anticlockwise

**Example.** 
$$x = \begin{cases} x + ix, 0 \le x \le 1 \\ x + i, 1 \le x \le 2 \end{cases}$$

Example.  $z = re^{i\theta}, 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$ 

Example.  $z = re^{i3\theta}, 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$ 

Not a simple arc

Example.  $\int_C w(z)dz = \int_{C_1} f[z(x)]z'(x)dx + \int_{C_2} f[z(x)]z'(x)dx$ 

Here C is the contour from example (1).

**Definition 4.2** (differentiable arc). If z'(t) = x'(t) + y'(t)i which is continuous on  $a \le t \le b$ then, C: z(t) is a differential arc and

$$\begin{split} L &= \int_a^b |z'(t)| dt = \int_a^b \sqrt{|x'(t)|^2 + |y'(t)|^2} \text{ length.} \\ &= \int_a^b |z'(t)| dt = \int_\alpha^\beta |z'(\phi(\tau))| \phi'(\tau) d\tau \\ T &= \frac{z'(t)}{|z'(t)|} \text{ tangent vector} \end{split}$$

**Definition 4.3** (smooth arc). An arc is smooth if its derivative z'(t) is cont. on the closed interval  $a \le t \le b$  and non-zero throughout the open interval a < t < b

#### 4.3 Contour Integral

Consider the integer,

$$\int_C f(z)dz$$
 or  $\int_{z_1}^{z_2} f(z)dz$ 

We can parametric in terms of t as

$$\int_C f(z)dz = \int_a^b f(z(t))z'(t)dt$$

 $\int_{-C} f(z)dz$  represents going backwards from the curve. An integral along a given curve C can be written as a sum of integrals of curves within it,

$$\int_C f(z)dz = \int_{C_1} f(z)dz + \int_{C_2} f(z)dz$$

**Example.**  $\int_{C_1} \frac{dz}{z}$  where  $C_1$  is the upper semicircle and  $\int_{C_2} \frac{dz}{z}$  is the lower semicircle.

 $\Diamond$ 

**Solution.** For  $C_1$ 

$$z = re^{i\theta}, r = 1, 0 \le \theta \le \pi$$

And for  $C_2$  we have,

$$z = re^{i\theta}, r = 1, \pi \le \theta \le 2\pi$$

$$dz = ire^{i\theta}d\theta$$

For  $C_1$  we have,

$$\int_{C_1} \frac{dz}{z} = \int_0^{\pi} \frac{1}{e^{i\theta}} i e^{i\theta} d\theta$$
$$= [i\theta]_0^{\pi} = i\pi$$

Similarly for  $C_2$ ,

$$\int_{C_2} \frac{dz}{z} = -\int_{\pi}^{2\pi} \frac{1}{e^{i\theta}} i e^{i\theta} d\theta$$
$$= -[i\theta]_{\pi}^{2\pi} = [i\pi - i2\pi] = -i\pi$$

We see that it is not path independent.

**Theorem 4.4.** Suppose a function f(z) is cont. in D the following statements are equivalent,

- 1. f(z) has an antiderivative F(z) throughout D.
- 2. Any contours entirely in D all have the same value,

$$\int_{z_1}^{z_2} f(z)dz = F(z)\Big|_{z_1}^{z_2} = F(z_2) - F(z_1)$$

3.  $\int_C f(z) = 0$ , C closed contours entirely in D

#### 4.4 Branch Cuts

Example.  $z = 3e^{i\theta}, (0 \le \theta \le \pi)$ 

**Lemma 4.5.** If w(t) is piecewise cont. then,

$$\bigg| \int_a^b w(t) dt \bigg| \leq \int_a^b |w(t)| dt$$

Proof. Let,

$$\int_{a}^{b} w(t)dt = re^{i\theta}$$

 $r = \int_a^b e^{-i\theta} w(t) dt$ . Both sides of this equations are real.

$$r = \int_{a}^{b} Re[e^{i\theta}w(t)]dt$$

But,

$$Re[e^{i\theta}w(t)] \le |e^{-i\theta}w(t)| = |e^{-i\theta}||w(t)| \le |w(t)|$$

 $\Diamond$ 

So,

$$r \le \int_a^b |w(t)| dt$$

Or,

$$\bigg| \int_a^b w(t) dt \bigg| \leq \int_a^b |w(t)| dt$$

**Theorem 4.6.** C has a length L and f(z) is piecewise cont. on C and let  $|f(z)| \leq M$  then,

$$\left| \int_C f(z) dz \right| \le ML$$

**Proof.**  $\int_C f(z)dz = \int_a^b f(z(t))z'(t)dt$ . So we have,

$$\left| \int_C f(z)dz \right| \le \int_a^b |f(z(t))z'(t)|dt \le \int_a^b |Mz'(t)|dt = ML$$

**Theorem 4.7.** f(z) is cont. over D then,

- (a). f(z) has antiderivative F(z) throughout D (b).  $\int_{z_1}^{z_2} f(z) dz = F(z_2) F(z_1)$

The antiderivative is independent to the path.

(c).  $\int_C f(z)$  where c is a closed contour entirely in D

**Proof.** 1. (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). We have,  $c: z = z(t), z_1 = z(a), z_2 = z(b)$ 

$$\frac{d}{dt}[F[z(t)]] = F'[z(t)]z'(t) = f(z)z'(t)$$

So taking,

$$\int_{C} f(z)dz = \int_{a}^{b} f[z(t)]z'(t)dt = F[z(t)]$$
$$= F[z(t)]_{a}^{b} = F(z_{2}) - F(z_{1})$$

2. (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c)

$$\int_{C_1} f(z)dz = F(z_2) - F(z_1)$$

$$\int_{C_2} f(z)dz = F(z_2) - F(z_1)$$

So,

$$\int_{C_1} f(z)dz = F(z_2) - F(z_1) = \int_{C_2} f(z)dz = F(z_2) - F(z_1)$$

$$\int_{C_1} f(z)dz = F(z_2) - F(z_1) - \int_{C_2} f(z)dz = F(z_2) - F(z_1) = 0$$

 $C = C_1 - C_2$ : a closed contour in D

$$\int_C f(z)dz = 0$$

 $3. (c) \Rightarrow (a)$ 

We define

$$F(z) = \int_{z_1}^{z_2} f(s)ds$$

$$\lim_{\Delta z \to 0} \frac{F(z + \Delta z) - F(z)}{\Delta z} = \lim_{\Delta z \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta z} \left[ \int_{z_0}^{z + \Delta z} f(s)ds - \int_{z_0}^{z} f(z)ds \right]$$

$$= \lim_{\Delta z \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta z} \int_{z}^{z + \Delta z} f(s)ds$$

Remark.

$$\int_{z}^{z+\Delta z} ds = s]_{z}^{z+\Delta z} = \Delta z$$

Remark.

$$\begin{split} f(z) &= \frac{1}{\Delta z} \int_z^{z+\Delta z} f(z) ds \\ \frac{F(z+\Delta z) - F(z)}{\Delta z} - f(z) &= \frac{1}{\Delta z} \int_z^{z+\Delta z} [f(s) - f(z)] ds \end{split}$$

By cont. of  $f(z), \forall \varepsilon, \exists \delta$ ,

$$|f(s) - f(z)| < \varepsilon$$
 whenever  $|s - z| < \delta$ 

### 4.5 Cauchy-Goursat Theorem

We have  $\int_C f(z)dz = \int_a^b f(z(t))z'(t)dt$ . We want to show that  $\int_C f(z) = 0$  if C is a closed contour. Here,

$$f(z) = u(x,y) + iv(x,y)$$
$$z(t) = x(t) + iy(t)$$
$$z'(t) = (x'(t) + iy'(t))dt$$

$$\int_C f(z)dz = \int_a^b (u+iv)(x'+iy')dt$$

$$= \int_a^b (ux'-vy')dt + i \int_a^b (vx'+uy')dt$$

$$= \int_C udx - vdy + i \int_C vdx + udy$$

Greens theorem says that, 
$$\int_C Pdx + Qdy = \int \int_R (Qx - Py)dA$$
$$= \int \int_R (-vx - uy)dA + \int \int_R (ux - vy)dA$$

We know that if f is analytic then it satisfies the cauchy reimann equation such that,

$$u_x = v_y, u_y = -v_x$$

$$= \int \int_{R} (0)dA + \int \int_{R} (0)dA$$
$$= 0$$

So for any closed curve f such that f is analytic and f' is cont. the integral over the countour is 0.

**Theorem 4.8.** If a function f is analytic at all points interior to and on a simple closed contour C, then

$$\int_C f(z)dz = 0$$

**Proof.** We need to show that  $\forall \varepsilon$ ,

$$\left| \int_{c} f(z)dz - 0 \right| < \varepsilon$$

For any region R consisiting of points interior to our contour R can be covered with a finite number of square san dpartial squares such that in each one there is a fixed oint  $z_i$  for which,

$$\left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_j)}{z - z_j} - f'(z_j) \right| < \delta_j(z)$$

We can say,

$$\int_{C} f(z)dz = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{c_{j}} f(z)dz$$

We have,

(i). 
$$C_i$$
 is defined   
 (ii)  $\delta_j(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(z_j)}{z - z_j} - f'(z_j)$  when  $z \neq z_j$ 

$$\lim_{z \to z_j} \delta_j(z) = 0$$

We approximate,

$$f(z) = f(z_j) + (z - z_j)f'(z_j) + (z - z_j)\delta_j(z)$$

So.

$$\int_{C_j} f(z)dz = [f(z_j) - z_j f'(z_j)] \int_{C_j} dz$$
$$+ \int_{C_j} f'(z_j)zdz + \int_{C_j} (z - z_j)\delta_j(z)dz$$

The first and second term is equal to 0. So we can rewrite it as,

$$= \int_{C_i} (z - z_j) \delta_j(z) dz$$

(iii).

$$\left| \int_{C} f(z)dz \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left| \int_{C_{j}} (z - z_{j}) \delta_{j}(z)dz \right|$$
$$\left| \int_{C} f(z)dz \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left| \int_{C_{j}} f(z)dz \right|$$
$$f(z) = f(z_{j}) - z_{j}f'(z_{j}) + f'(z_{j})z + (z - z_{j})\delta_{j}(z)$$

The integral of the first three terms cancel out so,

$$\int_C f(z) = \int_C (z - z_j) \delta_j(z) dz$$

$$\leq \sum \left| \int_{C_j} (z - z_j) \delta_j(z) dz \right|$$

$$|z - z_j| \le \sqrt{2}S_j$$

where  $S_j$  is the length of the square. So,

$$|(z-z_j)\delta_j(z)| < \sqrt{2}S_j\varepsilon$$

$$\int_{C_j} |(z-z_j)S_j(z)| < \sqrt{2}S_j\varepsilon 4S_j$$

$$\int_{C_j} |(z-z_j)\delta_j(z)| < \sqrt{2}S_j\varepsilon (4S_j + L_j)$$

So,

$$\sum \left| (z - z_j) \delta_j(z) dz \right| < (4\sqrt{2}S^2 + \sqrt{2}SL)\varepsilon$$

### Simply Connected Domain

**Theorem 4.9.** If f is analytic throughout a simply connected domain D, then,

$$\int_C f(z) = 0$$

for every closed contour lying in D

**Exercise.** C is in the open disk |z| < 2, compute,

$$\int_C \frac{\sin z}{(z^2+9)^5} dz$$

Solution.

**Exercise.** Givne C: |z| = 1,

$$\int_C z e^{-z} dz =$$

#### Theorem 4.10. Suppose,

- (a). C is a closed contour in the counterclockwise direction
- (b).  $C_k(k=1,2,\ldots,n)$  are simply closed contours that are interior to C and are disjoint from each other.

$$\int_C f(z)dz + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{C_j} f(z)dz = 0$$

Proof. We disect the contour such that it goes around our closed interior contours. This

means that we have,

$$\int_{C_*} f(z)dz = 0$$

We can rewrite this as

$$\int_{C+L_1+\frac{1}{2}C_1+L_2+C_2-L_2+\frac{1}{2}C_1-L_1} f(z)dz$$

$$= \int_C f(z) + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{C_i} f(z)dz = 0$$

21

### 4.6 Multiply Connected Domain

**Corollary 4.11.** If  $C_1, C_2$  are positively oriented simply closed contours where  $C_1$  is interior to  $C_2$ . If f is analytic in the closed region containing  $C_1, C_2$  and all the points in between then,

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} C_1 f(z) dz = \int_{\mathcal{C}} C_2 f(z) dz$$

**Proof.** We construct a new contour between  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  where,

$$\int_{C^*} f(z) \, dz = \int_{C_2 - C_1} f(z) \, dz = 0$$

So.

$$\int_{C_2} f(z) \, dz - \int_{C_1} f(z) \, dz = 0$$

**Example.** C is any positively oriented simple closed contour which is surrounding the origin.  $\diamond$  The function  $f(z) = \frac{1}{z}$  has a singularity at the origin. So f is analytic unto zero. Because of the principal of deformation of path we can deform any contour surrounding the singularity to a unit circle around with fixed radius preserving the integral. So we have,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{e^{i\theta}} i e^{i\theta} d\theta$$

$$=2\pi i$$

**Theorem 4.12** (Cauchy Integral Formula). If f is analytic everywhere inside and on the simple closed contour C (positively oriented). If  $z_0$  is interior to Z then,

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz$$

**Remark.** If our integral has a singularity we can easily compute it using the "function" outside of it that doesn't have the singularity.

**Proof.** We can rewrite the integral as,

$$\int_{C} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_{0}} dz = \int_{C_{p}} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_{0}} dz$$

where  $C_p$  is the unit circle constructed around  $z_0$  by deforming our contour.

$$= \int_{C_p} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} + \frac{f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz$$

$$= \int_{C_p} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} + f(z_0) \int_{C_p} \frac{1}{z - z_0} dz$$

$$= \int_{C_p} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} + f(z_0) 2\pi i dz$$

Because f is analytic as f is cont. Around  $z_0$  so,  $\forall \varepsilon, \exists \delta$  s.t.,

$$|f(z) - f(z_0)| < \varepsilon$$
 when  $|z - z_0| < \delta$ 

So,

$$\left| \int_{C_p} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} \, dz \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} 2\pi \rho = 2\pi \varepsilon$$

**Theorem 4.13.** Let f be analytic inside and on a simply closed contour C taken in a positive direction and  $z_0$  inside C we have,

$$f^{n}(z_{0}) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{C} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_{0}}^{n+1} dz$$

**Proof.** We need to show that  $f'(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)^2} dz$ . Using the Cauchy integral formula for each term in the numerator we have,

$$\lim_{\Delta z \to 0} \frac{f(z + \Delta z) - f(z)}{\Delta z} = \frac{1}{\Delta z} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} \frac{f(s)}{s - (z + \Delta z)} - \frac{f(s)}{s - z}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Delta z} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} f(s) \frac{s - z - (s - (z + \Delta z))}{(s - (z + \Delta z)(s - z))} dz$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} \frac{f(s)}{(s - (z + \Delta z))(s - z)} dz$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} f(s) \left( \frac{1}{(s - z)^{2}} + \frac{\Delta z}{(s - z - \Delta z)(s - z)^{2}} \right) dz$$

Now we have,

$$\lim_{\Delta z \to 0} \frac{f(z + \Delta z) - f(z)}{\Delta z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C f(s) \frac{1}{(s - z)^2} dz$$
$$f'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C f(s) \frac{1}{(s - z)^2} dz$$

**Exercise.**  $C: |z| = 1, \theta: 0 \to 2\pi$  Find,

$$\int_C \frac{\cos(z)}{(z^2+9)z} \, dz$$

 $=\frac{1}{9}2\pi i$ 

Exercise.

$$\int_C \frac{e^{2z}}{z^4} \, dz$$

Exercise.

$$\int_C \frac{dz}{z^{n+1}}$$

We have,

$$f(z_0)^{(n)} = \frac{n!}{2n\pi} \int_C \frac{dz}{z^{n+1}}$$

So 
$$\int_C \frac{dz}{z^{n+1}} = 0$$

Exercise.

$$\frac{z}{2z+1} dz$$

 $=-\pi i$ 

Exercise.

$$\frac{e^{-z}}{(z-5)(z+2)}$$

= 0 because the doesn't exist a singularity within the contour hence the integral is 0 as the function is analytic within.

### 4.7 Consequences

**Theorem 4.14.** If f is analytic at a point then the derivative of all orders are analytic in that point.

**Proof.** If f is analytic at  $z_0$  then  $\exists \varepsilon$  s.t.,  $|z-z_0| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  we have

$$f''(z) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(s)}{(s-z)^3} dz$$

This is defined for all z in our neighborhood which means that our f'(z) is analytic within the contour where

 $|z-z_0|<rac{arepsilon}{2}$ 

**Theorem 4.15.** If f is cont. on domain D and if  $\int_C f(z)dz = 0$  for every contour  $C \in D$ . Then f is analytic throughout D.

**Theorem 4.16.** Suppose f is analytic inside and on C which is centered at  $z_0$  with radius R. Then if  $M_R \ge |f(z)|$  on  $C_R$  then

$$f^{(n)}(z_0) \le \frac{n! M_R}{R^n}$$

Proof.

$$|f^{(n)}(z_0)| = \left| \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)^{n+1}} dz \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int \frac{M_R}{R} dz \right|$$

### 4.8 Liouvillie's theorem and fundamental theorem of algebra

**Theorem 4.17.** Let f be entire and bounded in the complex plane then f(z) is constant throughout the plane.

Proof.

$$f^{(n)}(z_0) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int \frac{f(s)}{s - z_0} ds$$
$$|f'(z_0)| \le \frac{M_R}{R}$$

As R goes to  $\infty$  we see that  $f'(z_0)$  goes to 0.

**Theorem 4.18.** Any polynomial,  $p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \cdots + a_n z^n$  has at least one zero.

**Proof.** Assume there is no zero, we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{p(z)}\right|<\frac{2}{|a_n|R^n},|z|>R$$

4.9 Maximum modulus Principle

**Lemma 4.19.**  $|f(z)| \le |f(z_0)|, |z - z_0| < \varepsilon$  f is analytic. Then,

$$f(z) \equiv f(z_0)$$

**Theorem 4.20.** If f is analytic and not constant in D then f(z) has no maximum in D

CHAPTER 4. INTEGRALS

# Chapter 5

# Series

#### Convergence of Sequences 5.1

If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = z$  then we know that  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0 > 0$  s.t.

$$|z_n - z| < \varepsilon$$

when  $n > n_0$ 

**Theorem 5.1.**  $z_n = x_n + iy_n, z = x + iy$  Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = z$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = y$$

So  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges to S,

$$S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N z_n \to S \text{ as } N \to \infty$$

Theorem 5.2.  $z_n = x_n + iy_n$ , S = X + iY then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n = S$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = X \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n = Y$$

#### Convergence of Series 5.2

An infinite series,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n = z_1 + \dots + z_n + \dots$$

of complex numbers converges to the sum S if the sequence,

$$S_n = \sum_{n=1}^{N} z_n = z_1 + \dots + a_N \quad (N = 1, 2, \dots)$$

of partial sums converges to S; we write

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n = S$$

**Theorem 5.3.** If  $z_n = x_n + i_n$  and S = X + iY then,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n = S$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = X, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n = Y$$

Remark. We can write,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n + iy_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n + i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$$

**Corollary 5.4.** If complex number series converges then  $z_n \to 0$  when  $n \to \infty$ 

**Proof.** To prove this we can write  $z_n = x_n + iy_n$  and using property of convergence of series

**Remark.** This also means that the terms of convergent series are bounded. So  $\exists M$  such that  $|z_n| \leq M$  for each positive integer n.

Corollary 5.5. The absolute convergence of a series of complex numbers implies the convergence of series.

The remainder is  $\rho_n = S - S_N = \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} z_n$ 

**Remark.** A series converges to  $S \Leftrightarrow$  the sequence of remainders tends to zero.

#### Absolute and Uniform Convergence 5.3

**Theorem 5.6.** If a power series,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

converges when  $z = z_1$  then it is absolutely convergent at each point z in the open disk  $|z - z_0| < R_1$  where  $R_1 = |z_1 - z_0|$ 

**Proof.** Consider it converges when  $z = z_1$ . This means that,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z_1 - z_0)^n$$

converges which implies that each term is bounded by some value M.

So for any n, we have

$$a_n(z_1 - z_0)^n \le M$$

Now consider  $\rho = \frac{|z-z_0|}{|z_1-z_0|}$ . We know that  $\rho \leq 1$  because  $|z-z_0| \leq |z_1-z_0|$ . Hence we have,

$$a_n(z_1 - z_0)^n \rho^n \le M \rho^n$$
$$a_n(z - z_0)^n \le M \rho^n$$

So we get that any term of form  $a_n(z-z_0)^n$  is bounded by a number as well and as n increase because  $\rho$  is smaller than zero the terms go to zero. This means that our series,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

also converges.

In other words because  $M\rho^n$  converges and because each term in our series is smaller than this term our series converges by comparison test.

**Remark.** The greatest circle is called our circle of convergence and is the largest circle possible. Because if there was a point outside the circle for which the series converges then using the proof above the circle compassing that point would be the circle of convergence.

Now consider the following terminology where the series is defined for  $|z - z_0| < R$ 

$$S(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

$$S_N(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

$$\rho_N(z) = S(z) - S_N(z)$$

Since the power series converges for any value of z when  $|z-z_0| < R$  we have  $\exists N_e$  such that  $\forall \varepsilon$ ,

$$|\rho_N(z)| < \varepsilon$$
 whenever  $N > N_e$ 

When the choice of  $N_e$  is only dependent on  $\varepsilon$  and independent on z then convergence is said to be uniform in that region.

**Theorem 5.7.** If  $z_1$  is a point inside  $|z - z_0| = R$  of a series,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

then that series must be uniformly convergent in the closed disk  $|z-z_0| \le R_1$  where  $R_1 = |z_1-z_0|$ 

**Proof.** So first consider the reminder term of our series with z and  $z_1$  as follows,

$$\rho_N(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{n=N}^m a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

$$\sigma_N(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{n=N}^m a_n (z_1 - z_0)^n$$

Now because  $|z - z_0| \le |z_1 - z_0|$  we get,

$$\rho_N(z) \le \sigma_N(z)$$

inside  $|z - z_0| \le R_1$  where  $R_1$  is the circle  $|z_1 - z_0|$ 

Since they are remainders of convergent series we have  $\exists N_e$  such that for any  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$\sigma_N < \varepsilon$$
 whenever  $N > N_e$ 

Now putting the two together we have,

$$\rho_N(z) < \varepsilon$$
 whenever  $N > N_e$ 

Now as  $N_e$  is independent of our value of z within our circle we have uniform convergence as the remainder goes to 0.

### 5.4 Continuity of Sums of Power Series

**Theorem 5.8.** A power series,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

represents a continuous functions S(z) at each point inside its circle of convergence  $|z-z_0|=R$ In other words we have,

$$|S(z) - S(z_1)| < \varepsilon$$
 whenever  $|z - z_1| < \delta$ 

Proof. We have,

$$\begin{split} S(z) &= \rho_N(z) + S_N(z) \\ |S(z) - S(z_1)| &= |S_N(z) - S_N(z_1) + \rho_N(z) - \rho_N(z_1)| \\ |S(z) - S(z_1)| &\leq |S_N(z) - S_N(z_1)| + |\rho_N(z)| + |\rho_N(z_1)| \end{split}$$

We have,

$$|
ho_N(z)| < rac{arepsilon}{3} ext{ when } N > N_e$$

And because  $S_N(z)$  is a polynomial and is continuous we have,

$$|S_N(z) - S_N(z_1)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \text{ when } ||z - z_1| < \delta$$

So putting everything together we have,

$$|S(z_1 - S(z_1)| \le \text{ whenever } |z - z_1| < \delta$$

### 5.5 Integration And Differentiation of Power Series

**Theorem 5.9.** Let C be any contour interior to the circle for convergence of the power series (1), and let g(z) be any function that is continuous on C. Then the series formed by multiplying each term of the power series by g(z) can be integrated term by term over C,

$$\int_C g(z)S(z)dz = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \int_C g(z)(z-z_0)^n dz$$

Proof. We have,

$$g(z)S(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n g(z)(z - z_0)^n + g(z)\rho_N(z)$$

$$\int_{C} g(z)S(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n \int_{C} g(z)(z - z_0)^n dz + \int_{C} g(z)\rho_N(z)dz$$

Now let M be the max of g(z) on C and L be the length of C. We know  $\exists N_e$  such that,

$$|\rho_N(z)| < \varepsilon$$
 whenever  $N > N_e$ 

So we have,

$$\left| \int_C g(z) \rho_N(z) dz \right| \le M \varepsilon L$$

Which means,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_C g(z) \rho_N(z) dz = 0$$

Or,

$$\int_{C} g(z)S(z)dz = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} g(z)(z - z_{0})^{n}$$

**Theorem 5.10.** The power series can be differentiated term by term. Or,

$$S'(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n (z - z_0)^{n-1}$$

**Proof.** Let z be a point interior to circle of convergence of series. Let us define the function,

$$g(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{(s-z)^2}$$

at each point s on C.

We have,

$$\int_{C} g(s)S(s)ds = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} \frac{S(s)ds}{(s-z)^{2}} = S'(z)$$

$$\int_C g(s)S(s)ds = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \int_C g(s)(s-z_0)^n$$

This can be reduced to,

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{(s-z_0)^n}{(s-z)^2} = \frac{d}{dz} (z-z_0)^n$$

So we have.

$$S'(z) = \frac{d}{dz}a_n(z - z_0)^n$$

5.6 Absolute and Uniform Convergence

CHAPTER 5. SERIES

**Theorem 5.11.** If a power series,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

converges when  $z=z_1$  then it is absolutely convergent at each point z in the open disk  $|z-z_0|< R_1$  where  $R_1=|z_1-z_0|$ 

**Proof.** Consider it converges when  $z = z_1$ . This means that,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z_1 - z_0)^n$$

converges which implies that each term is bounded by some value M. So for any n, we have

$$a_n(z_1 - z_0)^n \le M$$

Now consider  $\rho = \frac{|z-z_0|}{|z_1-z_0|}$ . We know that  $\rho \leq 1$  because  $|z-z_0| \leq |z_1-z_0|$ . Hence we have,

$$a_n(z_1 - z_0)^n \rho^n \le M \rho^n$$
$$a_n(z - z_0)^n \le M \rho^n$$

So we get that any term of form  $a_n(z-z_0)^n$  is bounded by a number as well and as n increase because  $\rho$  is smaller than zero the terms go to zero. This means that our series,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

also converges.

In other words because  $M\rho^n$  converges and because each term in our series is smaller than this term our series converges by comparison test.

**Remark.** The greatest circle is called our circle of convergence and is the largest circle possible. Because if there was a point outside the circle for which the series converges then using the proof above the circle compassing that point would be the circle of convergence.

Now consider the following terminology where the series is defined for  $|z - z_0| < R$ 

$$S(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

$$S_N(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

$$\rho_N(z) = S(z) - S_N(z)$$

Since the power series converges for any value of z when  $|z-z_0| < R$  we have  $\exists N_e$  such that  $\forall \varepsilon$ ,

$$|\rho_N(z)| < \varepsilon$$
 whenever  $N > N_e$ 

When the choice of  $N_e$  is only dependent on  $\varepsilon$  and independent on z then convergence is said to be uniform in that region.

**Theorem 5.12.** If  $z_1$  is a point inside  $|z - z_0| = R$  of a series,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

then that series must be uniformly convergent in the closed disk  $|z-z_0| \le R_1$  where  $R_1 = |z_1-z_0|$ 

**Proof.** So first consider the reminder term of our series with z and  $z_1$  as follows,

$$\rho_N(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{n=N}^m a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

$$\sigma_N(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{n=N}^m a_n (z_1 - z_0)^n$$

Now because  $|z - z_0| \le |z_1 - z_0|$  we get,

$$\rho_N(z) \le \rho_N(z)$$

inside  $|z - z_0| \le R_1$ 

# Chapter 6

# Residues And Poles

#### 6.1 Isolated Singular Points

A singular point  $z_0$  is isolated if  $\exists 0 < |z - z_0| < \varepsilon$  of  $z_0$  where f is analytic.

Example.

$$f(z) = \frac{z - 1}{z^5(z^2 + 9)}$$

We have z = 0 and  $z = \pm 3i$ .

Example.

$$F(z) = Log(z) = \ln(r) + i\theta, -\pi < \theta < \pi$$

Here r = -1 is always going to be a singular point. So we can't draw an epsilon neighborhood around a singular points that is isolated.

Example.

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sin(\pi/2)}$$

We have  $z_n = \frac{1}{n}$  is a singular point. We are able to take  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n} \right|$ However z = 0 is not an isolated singular point because for any  $\varepsilon$  we can choose N such that

However z=0 is not an isolated singular point because for any  $\varepsilon$  we can choose N such that  $|\frac{1}{N}|<\varepsilon$ 

**Example.** Isolated singular point at  $z_0 = \infty$  is when  $R_1 < |z| < \infty$ 

#### 6.2 Residues

When  $z_0$  is an isolated singular point of f there is a positive number R such that f is analytic at each point z for which  $0 < |z - z_0| < R$ . So f(z) has a Laurent series.

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{(z - z_0)^n}$$

Where,

$$b_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)^{-n+1}} dz$$

So,

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C f(z) dz$$

This means that the contour integral of f(z) around C is,

$$\int_C f(z)dz = 2\pi i b_1$$

#### Example.

$$\int_C \frac{e^8 - 1}{z^4} dz \qquad C: |z| = 1$$

First we take the Laurent series around z = 0. The Laurent series expansion of the function is,

$$\frac{1}{z^4} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{z^4} + \dots + \frac{1}{3!z} + \dots - 1$$

So we see that our  $b_1$  term is equal to  $\frac{1}{3!}$ . Hence we have,

$$\int_C f(z) = 2\pi i \frac{1}{3!} = \frac{\pi i}{3}$$

#### Example.

 $\int_C \frac{dz}{z(z-2)^5} \qquad C: |z-2| = 1$ 

First we see that R is around the singular point 2 hence we need the expansion around 2. We have,

$$\frac{1}{z(z-2)^5} = \frac{1}{2(z-2)^5} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(z-2)^n}{2^n}$$

Here  $b_1$  is when n=4.

#### 6.3 Cauchy Residue Theorem

$$\int_{C} f(z)dz = 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^{n} Res_{z-z_{k}} f(z)$$

### 6.4 Residue at Infinity

Let f be analytic  $R_1 < |z| < \infty$ . Such that the point at  $\infty$  is said to be an isolated point. Let  $C_0: |z| = R_0$  in the clockwise direction. So,

$$\int_{C_0} f(z)dz = 2\pi i Res_{z=\infty}(f(z))$$

### 6.5 Three types of Isolated singular points

1. Removable Singular Points: When every  $b_n$  is zero so that,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

Here  $z_0$  is known as a removable singular point. So the residue of a removable singular point is always 0.

- 2. Essential Singular Points: If an infinite number of  $b_n$  are non-zero. Then  $z_0$  is a essential singular point.
- 3. Poles of Order m: If the principal part of f at  $z_0$  contains at least one nonzero term but the number of terms is finite. So  $\exists m$  such that  $b_{m+1} = \cdots = 0$

#### 6.6 Residue at Poles

**Theorem 6.1.** If  $z_0$  is an isolated singular point of f then the following are equivalent,

- 1.  $z_0$  is a pole of order m of f
- 2. f(z) can be written as,

$$f(z) = \frac{\phi(z)}{(z - z_0)^m}$$

where  $\phi(z)$  is analytic and nonzero at  $z_0$ 

**Proof.** We can write f(z) as,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n + \frac{b_1}{(z - z_0)} + \dots + \frac{b_m}{(z - z_0)^m}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^{n+m} + b_1 (z - z_0)^{m-1} + \dots$$

We see that the summation that we have is analytic as it is just a Taylor expansion (hence a polynomial). So we have,

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} f(z)(z - z_0)^m & z \neq z_0 \\ b_m & z = z_0 \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 6.2.** If (a) and (b) are true then,

$$Res_{z=z_0} f(z) = \phi(z_0)$$
 if  $m = 1$ 

$$Res_{z=z_0} f(z) = \frac{\phi^{(m+1)}(z_0)}{(m-1)!}$$
 if  $m = 2, 3, ...$ 

**Proof.** If m = 1 we have,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n + \frac{b_1}{(z - z_0)}$$

So

$$(z-z_0)f(z) = \phi(z) = b_1 \text{ if } z = z_0$$

Now if  $m \neq 1$  we have,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^m} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^{n+m} + b_1 (z - z_0)^{m-1} + \dots + b_m \right)$$

We can take the derivative m-1 times to isolate  $b_1$  which gets us,

$$\phi^{m-1}(z) = \dots + (m-1)!b_1$$

$$\phi^{m-1}(z_0) = 0 + (m-1)!b_1$$

So we have,

$$b_1 = \frac{\phi^{m-1}(z)}{(m-1)!}$$

Example.

$$f(z) = \frac{z+4}{z^2+1} = \frac{z+4}{(z-i)(z+i)}$$

So we have,

$$\phi(z) = \frac{z+4}{(z+i)}$$

Which means our residue,

$$Res_{z=i}f(z) = \phi(i)$$

Example.

$$f(z) = \frac{1 - \cos(z)}{z^3}$$

### 6.7 Zeroes of Analytic Functions

**Theorem 6.3.** If f is analytic at  $z_0$  then the following are equivalent,

- 1. f has a zero of order m at  $z_0$
- 2.  $f(z) = (z z_0)^m g(z)$ , g(z) is analytic,  $g(z_0) \neq 0$

**Proof.**  $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ 

We have

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n = a_0 + a_1 (z - z_0) + \dots$$

Because  $z = z_0$  is a zero we have,

$$f(z_0) = 0$$

Theorem 6.4. Suppose,

- 1. f is analytic at  $z_0$
- 2. f(z) = 0 at each point z of a domain D or segment L containing  $z_0$ Then  $f(z) \equiv 0$  in the neighborhood

Proof.

#### 6.8 Behavior of functions near Isolated Points

**Theorem 6.5.** If  $z_0$  is a removable singular point of f, then f is bounded and analytic in some neighborhood  $0 < |z - z_0| < \varepsilon$  of  $z_0$ 

 $\Diamond$ 

**Theorem 6.6.** If a function is bounded and analytic in some deleted neighborhood  $0 < |z - z_0| < \varepsilon$  of  $z_0$ . If f is not analytic at  $z_0$  then it has a removable singularity there.

Proof.

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n (z - z_0)^{-n}$$

We have,

$$b_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)^{n+1}} dz$$

Let M such that |f(z)| < M,  $0 < |z - z_0| < \varepsilon$ .

$$|b_n| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{M}{\rho^{n+1}} 2\pi \rho = M\rho^n$$

Now as  $\rho \to 0$  we have  $|b_n| \to 0$ 

**Theorem 6.7.** If  $z_0$  is an essential singularity of f and let  $w_0$  be any complex number. Then, for any positive  $\varepsilon$ , the inequality,

$$|f(z) - w_0| < \varepsilon$$

is satisfied at some point z in each deleted neighborhood of  $0 < |z - z_0| < \delta$  of  $z_0$ .

**Proof.** We have  $z_0$  is an isolated singularity of f. There is a neighborhood  $0 < |z - z_0| < \delta$  where f is analytic.

Assume the theorem is false so,

$$|f(z) - w_0| \ge \varepsilon$$
 when  $0 < |z - z_0| < \delta$ 

Now define,

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z) - w_0}, \ 0 < |z - z_0| < \delta$$

which is bounded and analytic. Which also means that  $z_0$  is a removable singularity of g. So Let g be defined at  $z_0$  such that it is analytic. We have,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{g(z)} + w_0$$

This means that f becomes analytic at  $z_0$  when it is defined as,

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{g(z_0)} + w_0$$

But this means that  $z_0$  is a removable singularity of f and not an essential one which is a contradiction.

If  $g(z_0) = 0$  then g must have a zero of some finite order m at  $z_0$  as g(z) is not identically equal to zero in  $|z - z_0| < \delta$ . This means that f has a pole of order m at  $z_0$  which is not an essential singularity hence a contradiction.

**Theorem 6.8.** If  $z_0$  is a pole of f, then,

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} f(z) = \infty$$

**Proof.** If f has a pole of order m at  $z_0$  then we have,

$$f(z) = \frac{\phi(z)}{(z - z_0)^m}$$

where  $\phi(z)$  is analytic and non-zero at  $z_0$ .

So we have, 
$$\lim_{z\to z_0}\frac{1}{f(z)}=\lim_{z\to z_0}(z-z_0)^m/\phi(z)=\frac{0}{\phi(z_0)}=0$$
 which means that  $\lim_{z\to z_0}f(z)=\infty$ 

# Chapter 7

# Applications of Residues

#### 7.1 Evaluation of Improper Integrals

We define the improper integral of f over the semi-infinite interval  $0 \le x < \infty$  as,

$$\int_0^\infty f(x) \, dx = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_0^R f(x) \, dx$$

and.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx = \lim_{R_1 \to \infty} \int_{-R_1}^{0} f(x) \, dx + \lim_{R_2 \to \infty} \int_{0}^{R_2} f(x) \, dx$$

This integral is also assigned the value Cauchy principal value,

P.V. 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} f(x) dx$$

If integral (2) converges the Cauchy principal value (3) exists. And that value is the number to which integral (2) converges as,

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} f(x) \, dx = \lim_{R \to \infty} \left[ \int_{-R}^{0} f(x) \, dx + \int_{0}^{R} f(x) \, dx \right]$$

If f(-x) = f(x) for all x then f is an even function and the Cauchy principle value exists. Consider the semicircle region in the complex plane, we have,

$$\int_{C} f(z)dz = \int_{-R}^{R} f(x)dx + \int_{C_{R}} f(z)dz$$

Example.

$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^6 + 1} \, dx$$

First let our function be  $f(z) = \frac{1}{z^6+1}$ . Let our contour be the positively oriented semi-circle  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$  such that,

$$\int_{C} f(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)dx + \int_{C_R} f(z)$$

We have

$$\int_{C} f(z) = 2\pi i \sum Resf(z)$$

Our f has isolated singular points so, the integral over the contour is the integral over a contour around each of the singular points which are,

$$z_1 = i, z_2 = \sqrt{3}/2 + \frac{i}{2}, z_3 = -\sqrt{3}/2 + \frac{i}{2}$$

So integral is,

$$2\pi i \left(\frac{1}{6i^5} + \frac{1}{6(\sqrt{3}/2 + i/2)^5} + \frac{1}{-6(\sqrt{3}/2 + i/2)^5}\right)$$

Now we have,

$$\bigg| \int_{C_R} f(z) dz \bigg| = \pi R \frac{1}{R^6 - 1}$$

As R goes to infinity then we have the above going to 0.

 $\Diamond$ 

### 7.2 Example

We have,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^6 + 1} \, dx$$

We consider,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^6 + 1}$$

whose isolated singularities are the zeroes of  $z^6 + 1$ 

If we consider the semicircle in the upper half plane there are three singularities,

$$c_0 = e^{i\pi/6}, c_1 = i, c_2 = e^{i5\pi/6}$$

So we have,

$$\int_{-R}^{R} f(x) dx + \int_{C_R} f(z) dz = 2\pi i (B_0 + B_1 + B_2)$$

Where  $B_k$  is the residue of f(z) at  $c_k$ 

So we have,

$$\int_{-R}^{R} f(x) \, dx = \frac{2\pi}{3} - \int_{C_R} f(z) \, dz$$

Now we show that the integral on the right goes to zero when  $R \to \infty$ 

#### 7.3 Jordan's Lemma

Theorem 7.1. Suppose,

- (a). f(z) is analytic exterior to  $|z| = R_0$
- (b).  $C_r$  denotes a semicircle  $z = Re^{i\theta} (0 \le \theta \le \pi)$  where  $R > R_0$
- (c). For all points z on  $C_R$ , there is a positive constant  $M_R$  such that,

$$|f(z)| \leq M_R$$
 and  $\lim_{R \to \infty} M_R = 0$ 

Then for every positive constant a,

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{C_R} f(z)e^{iaz}dz = 0$$

**Remark.** The proof is based on Jordan's Inequality,

$$\int_0^{\pi} e^{-R\sin\theta} d\theta < \frac{\pi}{R}$$

Consider,

$$y = \frac{2\theta}{\pi}$$
 and  $y = \sin \theta$ 

Proof.

$$\int_{C_R} f(z)e^{iaz} = \int_0^\pi f(Re^{i\theta})e^{iaRe^{i\theta}}Rie^{i\theta}d\theta$$

Now since  $|f(Re^{i\theta})| \le M_R$  and  $|e^{iaRe^{i\theta}}| \le e^{-aR\sin\theta}$  it follows that

$$\left| \int_{C_R} f(z)e^{iaz}dz \right| \le M_R R \int_0^{\pi} e^{-aR\sin\theta}d\theta < M_R \frac{\pi}{a}$$

Example.

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} dx$$

First let

$$f(z) = \frac{e^{iz}}{z}$$

. We have a singularity at z=0 so let our contour be such that it jumps at z=0. So we have,

$$\int_{L_1} f(z) + \int_{L_2} f(z) + \int_{\rho} f(z) + \int_{R} f(z) = \int_{C} f(z) = 0$$

because there is no singularity in our contour.

We also have,

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{C_R} f(z) = 0$$

from Jordan's lemma

$$\int_{L_1} f(z) + \int_{L_2} f(z) + \int_{\varrho} f(z) = 0$$

Now,

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \int_{C_{\rho}} f(z) = -B_0 \pi i$$

And the residue is 1 because  $e^0 = 1$  so,

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \int_{C_{\rho}} f(z) = -\pi i$$

And we also have,

$$\int_{L_1} f(z) + \int_{L_2} f(z) = 2i \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x}$$

So

$$2i \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} = \pi i$$
$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

 $\Diamond$