Number Theory: HW1

Aamod Varma

September 2, 2025

### Problem 1

(a). Given  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $c \neq 0$ , we need to show that a|b if and only if ac|bc. First we show that a|b implies ac|bc. If a|b then there exists some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that ax = b. Now multiply c on both sides to get acx = bc. This means that there is some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  for which ac multiplied by x is bc. In other words by definition we have ac|bc.

Now we show that ac|bc implies that a|b. If ac|bc, by definition we have some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that acx = bc. Now, because  $c \neq 0$  we can divide c from both sides to get ax = b. Again by definition this means that b is a multiple of a or that a|b.

(b). Consider a=3 and b=5. If c=0 we have ac=0 and bc=0. Now 0|0 is true because for any choice of  $x\in\mathbb{Z}$  we have 0x=0. So we have ac|bc. However, we can easily see that 3|5 is not true. So this counterexample shows that the statement if and only if doesn't hold if c=0

# Problem 2

We have a, m, n are positive integers with a > 1. We need to show that  $a^m - 1|a^n - 1$  if and only if m|n.

First we show that if m|n then  $a^m - 1|a^n - 1$ . If m|n then we have for some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  that mx = n which means that  $a^{mx} - 1 = a^n - 1$ . We can write the left hand side as  $(a^m)^x - 1 = (a^m - 1)(a^{m(x-1)} + a^{m(x-2)} + \cdots + 1) = (a^m - 1)(k)$  where  $k = (a^{m(x-1)} + a^{m(x-2)} + \cdots + 1)$ . This give us,

$$(a^m - 1)k = a^n - 1$$

Or that  $a^m - 1|a^n - 1$ 

Now, we show that  $a^m - 1|a^n - 1$  implies that m|n. If  $a^m - 1|a^n - 1$  then that means  $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(a^m - 1)x = a^n - 1$ . Now as n > m (we know this because x is a positive integer, which means that  $a^n > a^m$  which means that n > m) we can take n = qm + r for some  $q, r \in \mathbb{N}$  where r < m. Now we can write,

$$a^{n} - 1 = a^{qm}a^{r} - 1$$

$$= a^{qm}a^{r} - a^{r} + a^{r} - 1$$

$$= (a^{qm} - 1)a^{r} + (a^{r} - 1)$$

Now we know that  $a^m - 1|a^n - 1$  by assumption and we also know from the above proof that  $a^m - 1|a^{qm} - 1$  as m|qm. Hence, this also must mean that  $a^m - 1|a^r - 1$ . However, by construction we have r < m based on how we constructed n as n = qm + r. This means that  $a^m - r > a^r - 1$ . A larger number divides a smaller number only when the smaller number is zero. SO we have  $a^r - 1 = 0$ . Or that r = 0. This gives us, n = qm + r = qm + 0 = qm which implies that m|n.

#### Problem 3

Give  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

(a). To show that  $3|n^3 - n$ .

First we rewrite  $n^3 - n = n(n^2 - 1) = n(n+1)(n-1)$ 

We have three cases,

Case 1: n = 3q + 0 for some  $q \in Z$ 

Here n = 3q so we have

$$n(n+1)(n-1) = 3q(3q+1)(3q-1) = 3(k)$$

where k = q(3q+1)(3q-1). Hence we have  $3|n^3 - n$ 

Case 2: n = 3q + 1 for some  $q \in Z$ 

Here n = 3q + 1 so we have

$$n^{3} - n = n(n+1)(n-1) = (3q+1)(3q+2)(3q) = 3k$$

where k = (3q + 1)(3q + 2)q so we have  $3|n^3 - n|$ 

Case 3: n = 3q + 2 for some  $q \in Z$ 

Here n = 3q + 2 so we have

$$n^3 - n = n(n+1)(n-1) = (3q+2)(3q+3)(3q+1) = 3(3q+2)(q+1)(3q+1) = 3k$$

where k = (3q+2)(q+1)(3q+1) which means that  $3|n^3 - n$ 

So, in all three cases we have  $3|n^3 - n$ 

(b). Similar to above we have 5 cases as any number can only leave reminaders 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 when divided by 5. We can also expand

$$n^5 - n = n(n^4 - 1) = n(n^2 + 1)(n + 1)(n - 1)$$

Now the five cases are,

Case 1: n = 5q + 0

Here n = 5q so

$$n^5 - n = n(n^2 + 1)(n + 1)(n - 1) = 5q(5q^2 + 1)(5q + 1)(5q - 1) = 5k$$

where  $k = q(5q^2 + 1)(5q + 1)(5q - 1)$  which gives us  $5|n^5 - n|$ 

Case 2: n = 5q + 1

Here n = 5q + 1 so

$$n^5 - n = n(n^2 + 1)(n + 1)(n - 1) = (5q + 1)((5q + 1)^2 + 1)(5q + 2)(5q) = 5k$$

where  $k = (5q + 1)((5q + 1)^2 + 1)(5q + 2)q$  which gives us  $5|n^5 - n$ 

Case 3: n = 5q + 2

Here n = 5q + 2 so

$$n^{5} - n = n(n^{2} + 1)(n + 1)(n - 1) = (5q + 2)((5q + 2)^{2} + 1)(5q + 3)(5q + 1)$$

$$= (5q + 2)(25q^{2} + 4 + 20q + 1)(5q + 3)(5q + 1)$$

$$= (5q + 2)5(5q^{2} + 1 + 4q)(5q + 3)(5q + 1)$$

$$= 5k$$

where  $k = (5q + 2)(5q^2 + 1 + 4q)(5q + 3)(5q + 1)$  so we have  $5|n^5 - n$ Case 4: n = 5q + 3 Here n = 5q + 3 so

$$n^{5} - n = n(n^{2} + 1)(n + 1)(n - 1) = (5q + 3)((5q + 3)^{2} + 1)(5q + 4)(5q + 2)$$

$$= (5q + 3)(25q^{2} + 9 + 30q + 1)(5q + 4)(5q + 2)$$

$$= (5q + 3)(25q^{2} + 10 + 30q)(5q + 4)(5q + 2)$$

$$= 5(5q + 3)(5^{2} + 2 + 6)(5q + 4)(5q + 2)$$

$$= 5k$$

where  $k = (5q + 3)(5^2 + 2 + 6)(5q + 4)(5q + 2)$  which gives us  $5|n^5 - n$ Case 5: n = 5q + 4Here n = 5q + 4 so

$$n^{5} - n = n(n^{2} + 1)(n + 1)(n - 1) = (5q + 4)((5q + 4)^{2} + 1)(5q + 5)(5q + 3)$$
$$= 5(5q + 4)((5q + 4)^{2} + 1)(q + 1)(5q + 3)$$
$$= 5k$$

where  $k = (5q+4)((5q+4)^2+1)(q+1)(5q+3)$  which means that  $5|n^5-n$  So in all three cases we have  $5|n^5-n$  In all cases we have  $5|n^5-n$ 

(c). We need to either prove or disprove that  $4|n^4-n$ . We will disprove the statement by giving a counter example. Consider n=3. Here we have  $n^4-n=81-3=77$ . We see that  $77=4\times 19+1$  which means that  $4\mspace{1mm}/77$  and hence disproves the statement.

#### Problem 4

We have a, n are positive integers with a > 1. We need to show that  $a^n + 1$  is prime then a is even and n is a power of 2.

Let us assume the contrary that a is odd or n is not a power of 2.

Case 1 we have n is odd. This means that  $a^n$  is odd (as odd times odd is always odd). If  $a^n$  is odd then  $a^n + 1$  is even and not equal to  $2(as \ a > 1)$  we have  $a^n + 1 > 2$ . And we know that 2 is the only even prime number. This means that  $a^n + 1$  is composite which breaks our assumption that it is prime. Hence, n cannot be odd.

Case 2 we have n not a power of 2. If a is odd we already showed that  $a^n + 1$  is composite regardless of n a power of 2 or not. Now if a is even we have a = 2x for some odd x. Now we have  $(2x)^n + 1$  where n is not a power of 2. We have  $2^n(2m+1)^n + 1$ . We can expand this as,

$$2^{n}((2m)^{n} + n(2m)^{n-1} + n\frac{n-1}{2}(2m)^{n-2} + \dots + n(2m) + 1) + 1$$

### Problem 5

Given that  $n^2 + 1$  is prime. We know from above that n has to be even as if its odd the number would be composite. Now assume to the contrary that  $n^2 + 1$  is not expressible int he form 4k + 1 with integer k. This means that it's expressible as either 4k, 4k + 2, 4k + 3.

If  $n^2+1=4k$  or 4k+2 then  $n^2+1$  is even making it composite and not prime. If  $n^2+1=4k+3$  then  $n^2=4k+2=2(2k+1)$ . However we know that  $n^2$  is a perfect square and perfect squares cannot have an odd number of factors of 2 (it must have an even exponent for every prime factor). Hence this must mean that  $n^2$  cannot be of the form 4k+2 or that  $n^2+1$  cannot be 4k+3. So, in all three cases we show that  $n^2+1$  cannot be prime and of that form. So our assumption must be wrong and  $n^2+1=4k+1$ 

#### Problem 6

(a). We have GCD(a,b)=1. We need to show that a|c,b|c implies that ab|c. If GCD(a,b)=1 we have some  $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$  such that am+bn=1. If a|c,b|c then we have some  $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$  such that ax=c and by=c. We have,

$$am + bn = 1$$
  
 $cam + cbn = c$   
 $byam + axbn = c$  as  $c = ax = by$   
 $ab(ym + xn) = c$   
 $ab(z) = c$ 

which by definition mean that ab|c

- (b). Consider if a=5 and b=10, so we have (a,b)=5. We see that if c=20 we have, a=5|20 and b=10|20. However we see that ab=50 /20.
- (c). We have  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  which are pairwise relatively prime numbers. We need to show that if  $a_j | c$  then  $a_1 \ldots a_n | c$ .

First we prove a primliminary result that given relatively prime numbers  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ , the gcd of the product of a subset of these numbers is relatively prime with numbers outside the subset. In other words we show that,

$$gcd(a_1 \dots a_i, a_k) = 1 \text{ if } k > i$$

We will do this by induction. For the base case we have i=1 for which this is trivially true by construction (as all the numbers are pairwise relatively prime). Now consider the case for some arbitrary m. So we have,

$$gcd(a_1 \dots a_m, a_{m+1}) = 1$$

We need to show this is true for m+1. Now let  $a_1 ldots a_m = x, a_{m+1} = y, a_k = z$  where k > m+1So we have,

$$xm_1 + zn_1 = 1$$
 for some  $m_1, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$   
 $ym_2 + zn_2 = 1$  for some  $m_2, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

Now multiplying these two together we have,

$$xym_1m_2 + z(xm_1n_2 + zn_1n_2 + yn_1m_2) = 1$$

$$xym_3 + z(n_3) = 1$$
 where  $m_3 = m_1m_2, n_3 = xm_1n_2 + zn_1n_2 + yn_1m_2$ 

This by definition means that gcd(xy, z) = 1. Or expending it gives us,

$$gcd(a_1 \dots a_m a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}) = 1$$

Which is the case for n = m + 1

Now we prove the initial statement inductively. Consider i=1 for which the statement is trivially true. Now consider the statement is true for some arbitrary i so we have  $a_1 \ldots a_i | c$  given  $a_1 | c, \ldots, a_i | c$ . Now, consider  $a_{i+1}$ . Let  $a_1 \ldots a_i = a'$  so we have  $\gcd(a', a_{i+1}) = 1$  based on the proof above. Similarly we have a' | c and  $a_{i+1} | c$  by assumption. So based on the proof in (a) this means that  $a'a_{i+1} | c$  or that  $a_1 \ldots a_{i+1} | c$  which is the case for i+1. Hence we compute the inductive step and show that it must be true for any i.

## Problem 7

We have  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We need to show that (a, b) = 1 if and only if (a + b, ab) = 1. First we show the if condition. So we have,

$$(a+b,ab) = 1$$

which means that,

$$(a+b)m + abn = 1$$
 for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

Now we have,

$$am + bm + abn = 1$$
$$a(m + bn) + bm = 1$$
$$ax + by = 1$$

By definition this means that (a, b) = 1

Now we show the only if condition.

Let's assume the contrary that (a + b, ab) = x > 1 so we have,

$$x|a+b$$
 and  $x|ab$ 

If x|ab let p be a prime dividing x then it must mean either p|a or p|b as p can't divide a and b as gcd(a,b)=1. So assume without loss of generality that p|a. Now we know that x|a+b which means p|a+b. But if p|a+b and p|a, then that must mean p also divides their difference or that p|(a+b)-a or p|b. However, then we get that p|a and p|b or that a and b are not coprime as  $p \neq 1$  which is a contradiction as we know that gcd(a,b)=1. Hence, our assumption must be wrong and it must be true that (a+b,ab)=1.

### Problem 8

We need to compute qcd(441, 1155) using the euclidean algorithm,

$$1155 = 441 \times 2 + 273$$

$$441 = 273 \times 1 + 168$$

$$273 = 168 \times 1 + 105$$

$$168 = 105 \times 1 + 63$$

$$105 = 63 \times 1 + 42$$

$$63 = 42 \times 1 + 21$$

$$42 = 21 \times 2 + 0$$

This gives us the GCD as 21

To find the linear combination we go backwards to get,

$$1 \times 105 = 63 + (63 - 21) = 2 \times 63 - 21$$

$$2 \times 168 = 2 \times 105 + (105 + 21) = 3 \times 105 + 21$$

$$3 \times 273 = 3 \times 168 + (2 \times 168 - 21) = 5 \times 168 - 21$$

$$5 \times 441 = 5 \times 273 + (3 \times 273 + 21) = 8 \times 273 + 21$$

$$8 \times 1155 = 16 \times 441 + (5 \times 441 - 21) = 21 \times 441 - 21$$

This gives us,

$$21 \times 441 - 8 \times 1155 = 21$$

### Problem 9

We need to find two rations with denominators 11 and 13 whose sum is  $\frac{7}{143}$ . Consider the rationals to be  $\frac{p}{11}$  and  $\frac{q}{13}$  so we have,

$$\frac{p}{11} + \frac{q}{13} = \frac{7}{143}$$
$$\frac{13p + 11q}{143} = \frac{7}{143}$$
$$13p + 11q = 7$$

We know that 13 and 11 have gcd of 1 so there exists m, n such that 13m+11n=1.

$$13 = 11 \times 1 + 2$$

$$11 = 2 \times 5 + 1$$

$$5 \times 13 = 5 \times 11 + (11 - 1)$$

$$6 \times 11 - 5 \times 13 = 1$$

Multiplying this by 7 we get,

$$11\times42+13\times-35=7$$

Hence we get p = -35 and q = 42 to get,

$$\frac{-35}{11} + \frac{42}{13} = \frac{7}{143}$$