

Homework 2, Math 4150

1. Exercise Set 1.5, #61. Find the greatest common divisor and the least common multiple of each pair of integers below.

(a) $2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$, $2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2$

We have,

$$a = 2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$$

$$b = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2$$

$$\text{So LCM is } 2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 = 4 \cdot 27 \cdot 5 \cdot 49 = 26460$$

$$\text{And GCD is } 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 1260$$

(b) $2^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 11^2$, $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$

We have,

$$a = 2^2 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13^0 \cdot 17^0$$

$$b = 2^0 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^0 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$$

$$\text{So LCM is } 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 = 2751648900$$

$$\text{And GCD is } 5 \cdot 11 = 55$$

(c) $2^2 \cdot 5^7 \cdot 11^{13}$, $3^2 \cdot 7^5 \cdot 13^{11}$

We have,

$$a = 2^2 \cdot 5^7 \cdot 11^{13}$$

$$b = 3^2 \cdot 7^5 \cdot 13^{11}$$

$$\text{So LCM is } 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^7 \cdot 7^5 \cdot 11^{13} \cdot 13^{11} = 2.9245868e + 36$$

$$\text{And GCD is } 1$$

(d) $3 \cdot 17 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 23$, $5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot 29$

We have,

$$a = 3 \cdot 17 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 23$$

$$b = 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot 29$$

$$\text{So LCM is } 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 = 33094969215$$

$$\text{And GCD is } 19$$

2. Exercise Set 1.5, #70. Prove or disprove the following statements.

- (a) If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a, b > 0$, and $a^2 \mid b^3$, then $a \mid b$.

Consider if $a = 8$ and $b = 12$. We have $8 \nmid 12$ however $a^2 = 64$ and $b^3 = 1728$ and we see that $64 \mid 1728$ as $64 \cdot 27 = 1728$. This disproves the above statement.

- (b) If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a, b > 0$, and $a^2 \mid b^2$, then $a \mid b$.

Let $a = p_1^{a_1} \dots p_n^{a_n}$ and $b = p_1^{b_1} \dots p_n^{b_n}$ here $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$ are greater than equal to zero which means that the primes not dividing one of the numbers get exponent zero. Now assume that $a \nmid b$ this means that there is some p_i such that $a_i > b_i$. Now, if we square both the numbers we have the exponent as $2a_i$ and $2b_i$ respectively and we have $2a_i > 2b_i$ which means that $a^2 \nmid b^2$ which is a contradiction. This means our assumption that $a \nmid b$ is wrong which means that $a \mid b$.

- (c) If $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a > 0$, p is a prime number, and $p^4 \mid a^3$, then $p^2 \mid a$.

First we write $a = q_1^{a_1} \dots q_n^{a_n} p^k$ where q_1, \dots, q_n, p are prime numbers and $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$ while $k \geq 0$. This means that p need not be a divisor of a . We know that $p^4 \mid a^3$ which means that,

$$p^4 \mid q_1^{3a_1} \dots q_n^{3a_n} p^{3k}$$

Now this must mean that $3k \geq 4$ as otherwise p^4 is not in the prime factorization of a which means p^4 does not divide a which we know is not the case. So we have $3k \geq 4$ which means that $k \geq \frac{4}{3}$. However, we know that $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ which must mean that $k \geq 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. So if $k \geq \frac{4}{3}$ then we have $k \geq 2$. So we have,

$$a = q_1^{a_1} \dots q_n^{a_n} p^k \quad \text{where } k \geq 2$$

Now if $k \geq 2$ then it means that p^2 is in the prime factorization of a which means that,

$$p^2 \mid q_1^{a_1} \dots q_n^{a_n} p^k \implies p^2 \mid a$$

which completes our proof.

3. Exercise Set 1.5, #78 Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n > 1$. The n th harmonic number H_n is defined by $H_n := 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}$. Prove that $H_n \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Solution.

Let $l = \text{LCM}(1, \dots, n)$, so we have $H_n = \frac{1}{l}(l + \frac{l}{2} + \cdots + \frac{l}{n})$. We need to show that $l \nmid l + \frac{l}{2} + \cdots + \frac{l}{n}$. Now, we know that for some largest $1 \leq k \leq n$ we have $2^k \mid l$ so we know that l is even. But now consider $l + \frac{l}{2} + \cdots + \frac{l}{n}$. For any $1 \leq m \leq n$ we know that if $m = 2^r d$ where d is odd then if $r < k$, m has to be even. So the only case where $\frac{l}{m}$ is odd is when in $m = 2^r d$ we have $r = k$. However, the only possible value for this is when $m = 2^r$ where $d = 1$ as else if $d > 1$ then $2^{r+1} \leq n$ which means that r is not the greatest power of 2 such that $2^r \leq n$. So, there is only one integer smaller than n that is divisible by 2^r which means that $\frac{l}{2^r}$ is not divisible by 2, or is odd. As for any other $\frac{l}{m}$ we have $2 \mid \frac{l}{m}$ this means that $l + \frac{l}{2} + \cdots + \frac{l}{n}$ is an odd number as we only have one odd number in that list. But, we know that l is even and we can't have an even number dividing an odd number which means that $H_n \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

4. Exercise Set 1.5, #87.

- (a) Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Prove that if a and b are expressible in the form $6n + 1$, where n is an integer, then ab is also expressible in that form.

Solution.

We have $a = 6n + 1$ and $b = 6m + 1$ which means that $ab = (6n + 1)(6m + 1) = 36mn + 6n + 6m + 1 = 6(6mn + m + n) + 1 = 6k + 1$ where $k = 6mn + m + n$

- (b) Prove that there are infinitely many prime numbers of the form $6n + 5$, where n is an integer. (Hint: Parallel the proof of Proposition 1.22 that uses proof by contradiction).

Assume to the contrary that there are not infinitely many primes of the form $6n + 5$ so let $p_0 = 5, p_1, \dots, p_r$ be the finitely many primes of that form. Now consider the number $N = 6p_1 \dots p_r + 5$. Now N has either primes of the form $6k + 1$ or $6k + 5$. We know at least one of the primes must be of the form $6k + 5$ as otherwise N will be of the form $6k + 1$ itself based on (a). Therefore let p_i be the prime of the form $6k + 5$. So we have either $5|N$ or $p_i|N$ for some $0 \leq i \leq r$.

Case 1. If $5|N$ then $5|6p_1 \dots p_r + 5$ so $5|6p_1 \dots p_r$. But this is not possible as p_1, \dots, p_r are primes with 5 being not one of them.

Case 2. If $p_i|N$ then we have $p_i|N - 6p_1 \dots p_r$ as $p_i|6p_1 \dots p_r$ so $p_i|5$ which is not possible as p_i is a prime greater than 5.

Hence both cases lead to a contradiction. This implies our assumption is wrong and there are infinitely many primes such that $p = 6n + 5$.

5. Exercise Set 2.1, #12

Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$. Prove or disprove the following statements.

- (a) $(a - c) \equiv (b - d) \pmod{m}$.

Solution.

We have $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ which means $a - b = k_1m$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$ which means $c - d = k_2m$. Now subtracting second from the first we have,

$$\begin{aligned}a - c - b + d &= (k_1 - k_2)m \\a - c - (b - d) &= (k_3)m\end{aligned}$$

Or that $a - c \equiv b - d \pmod{m}$

- (b) If $c \mid a$ and $d \mid b$, then $\frac{a}{c} \equiv \frac{b}{d} \pmod{m}$.

Solution.

Let $a = 33$ and $b = 12$ so we have $33 - 12 = 21$ so $a \equiv b \pmod{3}$ now take $c = 3$ and $d = 3$ so we have $c - d = 0$ so $c \equiv d \pmod{3}$. We also have $c \mid a$ as $3 \mid 33$ as well as $d \mid b$ or $3 \mid 12$. However we see that $\frac{a}{c} = 11$ and $\frac{b}{d} = 4$ but $11 - 4 = 7$ and $3 \nmid 7$ which means that $\frac{a}{c} \not\equiv \frac{b}{d} \pmod{m}$

6. Exercise Set 2.1 #13

- (a) Let a be an even integer. Prove that $a^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Solution.

If a is an even integer then $a = 2m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. So we have $a^2 = (2m)^2 = 4m^2$. So $4 \mid 4m^2$ or $4m^2 - 0 = 4k$ or $4m^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

- (b) Let a be an odd integer. Prove that $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Deduce that $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Solution.

If a then a can be written as $a = 2m + 1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now $a^2 = (2m + 1)^2 = 4m^2 + 4m + 1 = 4m(m + 1) + 1$. If m is odd then $m + 1$ is even, else m is even. In both cases either m or $m + 1$ is even so take it as $2k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. So we have $a^2 = 8k(m + 1) + 1$ or $a^2 = 8km + 1$. In both cases we can write $a^2 = 8z + 1$ for some z . This gives us $8z + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ as $8 \mid 8z$ which means that $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

Now if $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ we have $8 \mid a^2 - 1$. But this implies that $4 \mid a^2 - 1$ as 4 is a factor for 8. Hence we get $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

- (c) Prove that if n is a positive integer such that $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then n can't be written as the sum of two square integers.

Solution. We can write any arbitrary integer as $a = 2k$ or $a = 2k + 1$. Now if we square both of them we get $a^2 = 4k^2$ and $a^2 = 4k^2 + 1 + 4k = 4k' + 1$. In both cases we have the square is congruent to either 0 or 1 modulo 4. But this means that the sum of two squares can only be congruent to either $0 + 0, 0 + 1, 1 + 1$ modulo 4 which means that we can't find a sum of two squares n to be congruent to 3 modulo 4.

- (d) Prove or disprove the converse of the statement in part (c) above.

Solution.

The converse of the statement is that if n can't be written as the sum of two square integers then $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Consider $n = 12$. We cannot write n as the sum of two squares as 12 can only be made using $(1 + 11, 2 + 10, 3 + 9, 4 + 8, 5 + 7, 6 + 6)$ which do not consist a sum of squares. However, at the same time 12 is divisible by 4 which means it does not leave remainder 3 modulo 4.

7. Exercise Set 2.2, #29 (b),(d),(f). Find the inverse modulo m of each integer n below.

(a) $n = 8, m = 35$.

Solution.

We need to find the inverse modulo m of 8, or x such that $8x \equiv 1 \pmod{35}$. We have,

$$35 = 8 \cdot 4 + 3$$

$$8 = 3 \cdot 2 + 2$$

$$3 = 2 \cdot 1 + 1$$

This gives us $2 = 3 - 1$ so $8 = 3 \times 2 + 3 - 1 = 3 \times 3 - 1$ so $35 = 8 \times 4 + \frac{8+1}{3}$ or that,

$$35 \times 3 + 8 \times (-13) = 1$$

So we have $x = -13$ such that $8x = 8 \times -13 \equiv 1 \pmod{35}$

(b) $n = 51, m = 99$.

We see that $\gcd(51, 99) > 1$. Hence 51 does not have an inverse modulo 99.

(c) $n = 1333, m = 1517$.

We have,

$$1517 = 1333 \times 1 + 184$$

$$1333 = 184 \times 7 + 45$$

$$184 = 45 \times 4 + 4$$

$$45 = 4 \times 11 + 1$$

So we have $4 = \frac{45-1}{11}$ So $184 \times 11 = 45 \times 45 - 1$, $1333 \times 45 = 184 \times (326) + 1$. And, $1 = 1333 \times 371 + 1517 \times -326$.

So we have $x = 371$ such that $1333x = 1333 \times 371 \equiv 1 \pmod{1517}$.

8. Exercise Set 2.3, #33(a),(e), 34 (b) Find the least non-negative solution of each system of congruences below.

(a)

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$$

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$$

Solution.

Let $M = 4 \cdot 5 = 20$ so we have $M_1 = 5$ and $M_2 = 4$. Now we solve,

$$5x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

$$4x_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$$

We have $5 - 4 = 1$. So inverse of 5 mod 4 is 1 and inverse of 4 mod 5 is -1 . So we have $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = -1$ by inspection. Now to construct our solution we have,

$$x = b_1M_1x_1 + b_2M_2x_2 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 4 \cdot -1 = 15 - 8 = 7$$

(b)

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$$

Solution.

Let $M = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 210$ so we have $M_1 = 105, M_2 = 70, M_3 = 42, M_4 = 30$. Now we solve,

$$105x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

$$70x_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$

$$42x_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$$

$$30x_4 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$$

We have the following,

$$105 - 2 \cdot 52 = 1$$

$$70 - 3 \cdot 23 = 1$$

$$5 \cdot 17 - 42 \cdot 2 = 1$$

$$7 \cdot 13 - 3 \cdot 30 = 1$$

So we have $x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1, x_3 = -2, x_4 = -3$ which gives us,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_1 M_1 x_1 + b_2 M_2 x_2 + b_3 M_3 x_3 + b_4 M_4 x_4 \\ &= 1 \cdot 105 + 2 \cdot 70 + 4 \cdot 42 \cdot -2 + 6 \cdot 30 \cdot -3 \\ &= 105 + 140 - 336 - 540 \\ &= -631 \end{aligned}$$

Now, $-631 \equiv -1 \pmod{10}$. So the least non-negative number is 209

(c)

$$3x \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$$

$$4x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$$

$$6x \equiv 3 \pmod{9}$$

Solution.

First we can rewrite $6x \equiv 3 \pmod{9}$ to $2x \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and we have, $4 - 3 = 1, 5 - 4 = 1$ and $3 - 2 = 1$. This gives us the following,

$$x \equiv -2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$$

$$x \equiv -1 \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv -1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

Now we have $M = 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 = 60$ or $M_1 = 15, M_2 = 12, M_3 = 20$. We need to solve,

$$15x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

$$12x_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$$

$$20x_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$

We have $4 \cdot 4 - 15 = 1, 5 \cdot 5 - 2 \cdot 12 = 1$ and $7 \cdot 3 - 20 = 1$. Which gives us,

$$x_1 = -1, x_2 = -2, x_3 = -1$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= b_1 M_1 x_1 + b_2 M_2 x_2 + b_3 M_3 x_3 \\ &= 2 \cdot 15 \cdot -1 + 4 \cdot 12 \cdot -2 + 2 \cdot 20 \cdot -1 = -30 - 96 - 40 = -166 \end{aligned}$$

180 - Now as $-166 \equiv 14 \pmod{60}$ our smallest positive integer is 14

9. Exercise Set 2.3 #35. There are n eggs in a basket. If eggs are removed from the basket 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 at a time, there remain 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 eggs in the basket, respectively. If eggs are removed from the basket 7 at a time, no eggs remain in the basket. What is the smallest value of n for which this scenario could occur (Show all of your work)?

Solution. We have the following equivalencies,

$$\begin{aligned}n &\equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\n &\equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\n &\equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\n &\equiv 4 \pmod{5} \\n &\equiv 5 \pmod{6} \\n &\equiv 0 \pmod{7}\end{aligned}$$

First we see that $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ means that $n - 3 = 4k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ which means that $n - 1 = 4k + 2 = 2(2k + 1) = 2k'$ or that $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Hence, $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ implies the other condition so we can ignore the second. Using similar reasoning $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ implies $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and hence we don't need to latter condition. So our system of congruence becomes as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}n &\equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\n &\equiv 4 \pmod{5} \\n &\equiv 5 \pmod{6} \\n &\equiv 0 \pmod{7}\end{aligned}$$

Now we see that 4 and 6 are not coprime. Both of them give us, $n - 3 = 4k_1$ and $n - 5 = 6k_2$. Putting value of n from first to the second gives us,

$$\begin{aligned}4k_1 + 3 - 5 &= 6k_2 \\4k_1 - 2 &= 6k_2 \\2k_1 - 1 &= 3k_2 \\2k_1 &\equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\k_1 &\equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ as 2 is the inverse of 2 mod 3} \\k_1 &= 3x + 2\end{aligned}$$

Now putting this back to the first gives us,

$$\begin{aligned}n &= 4k_1 + 3 \\n &= 4(3x + 2) + 3 \\n &= 12x + 11n \equiv 11 \pmod{12}\end{aligned}$$

So our new system of congruence are,

$$\begin{aligned}n &\equiv 4 \pmod{5} \\n &\equiv 0 \pmod{7} \\n &\equiv 11 \pmod{12}\end{aligned}$$

So we have $M = 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 12 = 420$ and $M_1 = 84, M_2 = 60, M_3 = 35$ and we solve the following,

$$\begin{aligned}84x_1 &\equiv 1 \pmod{5} \\60x_2 &\equiv 1 \pmod{7} \\35x_3 &\equiv 1 \pmod{12}\end{aligned}$$

We have $5 \cdot 17 - 84 = 1, 60 \cdot 2 - 7 \cdot 17 = 1$ and $12 \cdot 3 - 35 = 1$ which gives us $x_1 = -1, x_2 = 2, x_3 = -1$. So our solution is,

$$\begin{aligned}x &= b_1M_1x_1 + b_2M_2x_2 + b_3M_3x_3 \\&= 4 \cdot 84 \cdot -1 + 0 + 11 \cdot 35 \cdot -1 \\&= -336 + -385 = -721\end{aligned}$$

And we have $-721 \equiv 119 \pmod{420}$

10. Exercise Set 2.3, #40. Prove that the system of linear congruences in one variable given by

$$\begin{aligned}x &\equiv b_1 \pmod{m_1} \\x &\equiv b_2 \pmod{m_2} \\&\vdots \\x &\equiv b_n \pmod{m_n}\end{aligned}$$

is solvable if and only if $(m_i, m_j) \mid b_i - b_j$ for all pairs i, j with $i \neq j$. In this case, prove that each solution is unique modulo $[m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n]$.

Solution.

(\Rightarrow) First we show that if it's solvable then we have $(m_i, m_j) \mid b_i - b_j$ for all pairs i, j with $i \neq j$. For i, j we know that,

$$\begin{aligned}x &\equiv b_i \pmod{m_i} \\x &\equiv b_j \pmod{m_j}\end{aligned}$$

which can be written as $m_i \mid x - b_i$ and $m_j \mid x - b_j$. Now if $m_i \mid x - b_i$ that means that any of its divisors also divide $x - b_i$ and as $d = (m_i, m_j)$ is a divisor of both m_i, m_j we have $d \mid x - b_i$ and $d \mid x - b_j$. But if d divides both then d also divides the difference of them which means that, $d \mid (x - b_i) - (x - b_j)$ or that $d \mid b_i - b_j$ which is our desired result.

(\Leftarrow) Now we know that $(m_i, m_j) \mid b_i - b_j$ for any $i \neq j$ and we need to show there exists a solution x satisfying the above system of linear congruence. Consider i, j and we have the following $d = (m_i, m_j) \mid b_i - b_j$ so $\exists k$ such that $dk = b_i - b_j$. We also know that $d = am_i + bm_j$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ which means that $dk = kam_i + kbm_j$.

Now take $x = b_i - kam_i$. So we have $x - b_i = -kam_i = (-ka)m_i$ which means that $m_i \mid x - b_i$. Similarly we have $x - b_j = b_i - b_j - kam_i = dk - kam_i = kam_i + kbm_j - kam_i = kbm_j$ which means $m_j \mid x - b_j$. So we found a solution x satisfying the two congruency between i, j . Now as we can find a solution for any i, j this means that the linear system is solvable.

To show uniqueness consider we have two solutions x, y that satisfying the linear congruence. So we have $x \equiv b_i \pmod{m_i}$ and $y \equiv b_i \pmod{m_i}$. But this means that $x - y \equiv 0 \pmod{m_i}$ or that $x \equiv y \pmod{m_i}$ which means that x and y are equivalent modulo m_i for any i or in other words is unique modulo m_i .

11. Exercise Set 2.4, #43.

- (a) Prove that if p is an odd prime number, then $2(p-3)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

Solution.

We know from Wilson's theorem that,

$$(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$$

And we know that $(p-1) \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ and $(p-2) \equiv -2 \pmod{p}$ which gives us,

$$(p-1)! \equiv (p-1)(p-2)(p-3)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$$

So we have,

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)(-2)(p-3)! &\equiv -1 \pmod{p} \\ 2(p-3)! &\equiv -1 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

Which gives us the desired result.

- (b) Find the least non-negative residue of $2(100!) \pmod{103}$.

Solution.

We know that $2(p-3)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ which means that $2(103-3) \equiv -1 \pmod{103}$ and $2(100)! \equiv -1 \pmod{103}$.

So -1 is a residue of $2(100!) \pmod{103}$. But we want a non-negative residue which in this case would be 102 as we have $-1 \equiv 102 \pmod{103}$. So our residue is 102.

12. Exercise Set 2.4, #48. Let p be an odd prime number. Prove that

$$1^2 3^2 5^2 \dots (p-4)^2 (p-2)^2 \equiv (-1)^{(p+1)/2} \pmod{p}.$$

Solution.

We know from Wilson's theorem that,

$$(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$$

And we can write $(p-1)! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \dots (p-3) \cdot (p-2) \cdot (p-1)$. Now note that we have the following,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &\equiv -(p-2) \pmod{p} \\ 4 &\equiv -(p-4) \pmod{p} \\ &\vdots \\ (p-3) &\equiv -3 \pmod{p} \\ (p-1) &\equiv -1 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

In addition we have $2 + (n-1)2 = p-1$ and $n = (p-1)/2$ or in other words $|\{2, \dots, p-1\}| = (p-1)/2$. So we can write the following,

$$\begin{aligned} (p-1)! &\equiv -1 \pmod{p} \\ (p-1)! &\equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \dots (p-2) \cdot (p-1) \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \\ 1 \cdot -(p-2) \cdot 3 \dots (p-2) \cdot -1 &\equiv -1 \pmod{p} \\ 1^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \dots (p-4)^2 (p-2)^2 (-1)^{(p-1)/2} &\equiv -1 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

However we also know that the inverse of $-1 \pmod{p}$ is itself i.e. $-1 \cdot -1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. So the inverse of $-1^{(p-1)/2}$ is itself. So we get,

$$\begin{aligned} 1^2 3^2 5^2 \dots (p-4)^2 (p-2)^2 &\equiv -1 - 1^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p} \\ 1^2 3^2 5^2 \dots (p-4)^2 (p-2)^2 &\equiv -1^{(p+1)/2} \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

Which is what we want.