

Linear Algebra HW05

Aamod Varma

October 17, 2024

3A

Problem 1

Proof. We know for a linear map, $T(u + v) = T(u) + T(v)$ and $T(\lambda v) = \lambda T(v)$

First we look at additivity,

Consider an arbitrary $u = (x_1, y_1, z_1)$ and $v = (x_2, y_2, z_2)$. So we have,

$$\begin{aligned} T(u + v) &= T((x_1 + x_2), (y_1 + y_2), (z_1 + z_2)) \\ &= (2(x_1 + x_2) - 4(y_1 + y_2) + 3(z_1 + z_2) + b, 6(x_1 + x_2) + c(x_1 + x_2)(y_1 + y_2)(z_1 + z_2)) \end{aligned}$$

We need the above to be equal to,

$$\begin{aligned} T(u) + T(v) &= (2x_1 - 4y_1 + 3z_1 + b, 6x_1 + cx_1y_1z_1) + (2x_2 - 4y_2 + 3z_2 + b, 6x_2 + cx_2y_2z_2) \\ &= (2(x_1 + x_2) - 4(y_1 + y_2) + 3(z_1 + z_2) + 2b, 6(x_1 + x_2) + c(x_1y_1z_1 + x_2y_2z_2)) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing each of the terms we have,

$$2(x_1 + x_2) - 4(y_1 + y_2) + 3(z_1 + z_2) + 2b = 2(x_1 + x_2) - 4(y_1 + y_2) + 3(z_1 + z_2) + b$$

$$2b = b$$

$$b = 0$$

Similarly comparing the second term we have,

$$6(x_1 + x_2) + c(x_1 + x_2)(y_1 + y_2)(z_1 + z_2) = 6(x_1 + x_2) + c(x_1y_1z_1 + x_2y_2z_2)$$

$$c(x_1 + x_2)(y_1 + y_2)(z_1 + z_2) = c(x_1y_1z_1 + x_2y_2z_2)$$

$$c((x_1 + x_2)(y_1 + y_2)(z_1 + z_2) - (x_1y_1z_1 + x_2y_2z_2)) = 0$$

For this to be true for any x, y, z we need $c = 0$. Hence for additivity we need $b = c = 0$

Now we check if $T(kv) = kT(v)$. Consider $v = (x, y, z)$. Then we have

$$T(kv) = T(kx, ky, kz) = (2kx - 4ky + 3kz + b, 6kx + k^3cxyz)$$

We need this to be equal to

$$kT(v) = k(2x - 4y + 3z + b, 6x + cxyz) = (2kx - 4ky + 3kz + bk, 6kx + kcxzy)$$

Comparing the terms we have,

$$2kx - 4ky + 3kz + bk = 2kx - 4ky + 3kz + b$$

$$bk = b$$

$$b = 0$$

$$6kx + kcx yz = 6kx + k^3 cxyz$$

$$c = k^2 c$$

$$c = 0$$

So we have $b = c = 0$

□

Problem 2

Proof. Similar to (1) but take $p_1 = a_1 + b_1x$ and $p_2 = a_2 + b_2x$

□

Problem 3

Proof. Consider the standard basis e_1, \dots, e_n of F^n . That is

$$e_1 = (1, \dots, 0)$$

$$e_2 = (0, 1, \dots, 0)$$

$$\dots$$

$$e_n = (0, \dots, 1)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} T(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= T(x_1(1, \dots, 0), x_2(0, 1, \dots, 0), \dots, x_n(0, \dots, 1)) \\ &= T(x_1 e_1, \dots, x_n e_n) \\ &= x_1 T(e_1) + \dots + x_n T(e_n) \end{aligned}$$

Let T map e_1 to (A_{11}, \dots, A_{m1}) and e_n to (A_{1n}, \dots, A_{mn})
So we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &= x_1(A_{11}, \dots, A_{m1}) + \dots + x_n(A_{1n}, \dots, A_{mn}) \\ &= (x_1 A_{11} + \dots + x_n A_{1n}, \dots, x_1 A_{m1} + \dots + x_n A_{mn}) \end{aligned}$$

□

Problem 4

Proof. Let us assume the contrary that v_1, \dots, v_m is linearly dependent.
This means that \exists, a_1, \dots, a_m not all zero such that,

$$a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m = 0$$

Now let us apply the linear map on this vector and we get,

$$T(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m) = T(0) = 0$$

$$a_1 T(v_1) + \dots + a_m T(v_m) = 0$$

Here we see that \exists scalars a_1, \dots, a_m not all zero such that the linear combination of $T(v_1), \dots, T(v_m)$ is equal to zero. This means that the list of vectors are linearly dependent. However we know that the list is linearly independent. Hence our assumption must be wrong and v_1, \dots, v_m are actually linearly independent. \square

Problem 5

Proof. We need to show additivity and homogeneity.

(1). Additivity

We need to show for any $T_1, T_2 \in L(V, W)$ that $T_1 + T_2 \in L(V, W)$. In other words we need to show that $T_1 + T_2$ is also a linear map.

Consider $v_1, v_2 \in V$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(T_1 + T_2)(v_1 + v_2) &= T_1(v_1 + v_2) + T_2(v_1 + v_2) \\ &= T_1(v_1) + T_2(v_1) + T_1(v_2) + T_2(v_2) \\ &= (T_1 + T_2)(v_1) + (T_1 + T_2)(v_2)\end{aligned}$$

Hence we show that $T_1 + T_2$ is additive.

Now consider $v_1 \in V$ we have $(T_1 + T_2)(\lambda v_1)$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned}&= T_1(\lambda v_1) + T_2(\lambda v_1) \\ &= \lambda T_1(v_1) + \lambda T_2(v_1) \\ &= \lambda(T_1 v_1 + T_2 v_1) \\ &= \lambda(T_1 + T_2)v_1\end{aligned}$$

Which means that it is homogenous.

Hence we show that $(T_1 + T_2) \in L(V, W)$ or that $L(V, W)$ is additive.

(2). Homogenous

Consider $T \in L(V, W)$ we need to show that λT is a linear map as well.

First we show that λT is additive. Consider v_1, v_2 , we have,

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda T)(v_1 + v_2) &= \lambda(T)(v_1 + v_2) \\ &= \lambda(Tv_1 + Tv_2) \\ &= \lambda Tv_1 + \lambda Tv_2\end{aligned}$$

Which shows that λT is additive.

Now we check homogenous, consider $v \in V$ and $k \in F$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda T)(kv) &= \lambda(T)(kv) \\ &= \lambda kT(v) \\ &= k(\lambda T)v\end{aligned}$$

Hence we show that λT is homogenous. This makes λT a linear map.

Therefore we show that $L(V, W)$ is a vector space. \square

Problem 6

Proof. 1. Associativity. We have $(T_1 T_2) T_3 = T_1 (T_2 T_3)$
Consider the operation on a vector v so we have, $(T_1 T_2) T_3 v$ which is,

$$((T_1 T_2)(T_3(v))) = T_1(T_2(T_3(v)))$$

Now looking at the right side we have, $T_1(T_2 T_3) = T_1(T_2(T_3(v)))$. So we showed that the LHS is equal to the RHS.

2. Identity. Consider a vector v we have,

$$T I v = T(I(v)) = T(v)$$

Now,

$$I T v = I(T(v)) = T(v) \text{ because } I v = v, \forall v$$

3. Distributive Property

To show that,

$$(S_1 + S_2)T = S_1 T + S_2 T$$

Consider an arbitrary vector v in the domain of T . We have,

$$(S_1 + S_2)T v = (S_1 + S_2)(T(v))$$

By definition of addition of linear maps we have,

$$= (S_1(T(v))) + (S_2(T(v)))$$

Similarly we have,

$$(S_1 T + S_2 T)v = S_1 T(v) + S_2 T(v) = S_1(T(v)) + S_2(T(v))$$

We see that the distributive property holds.

Now To show that $S(T_1 + T_2) = S T_1 + S T_2$. Consider v we have,

$$S(T_1 + T_2)v = S(T_1(v) + T_2(v)) = S(T_1(v)) + S(T_2(v))$$

And we have,

$$(S T_1 + S T_2)v = S T_1(v) + S T_2(v) = S(T_1(v)) + S(T_2(v))$$

We see that the property holds again. □

Problem 7

Proof. As T is a linear map from V to itself and V is one dimensional say with basis $\{v'\}$. Then T is defined as

$$T(v') = \lambda v'$$

for some λ

Now we know $\forall v \in V$ we can write v as a linear combination of the basis of V , or

$$v = kv'$$

for some $k \in F$.

We have,

$$T(v') = \lambda v'$$

$$kT(v') = k\lambda v'$$

$$T(kv') = \lambda(kv')$$

$$T(v) = \lambda(v)$$

□

8

Proof. Consider the function that maps any vector (x, y) to the $\max(|x|, |y|)$. We can see that this satisfies homogeneity. For instance consider $(2, 6)$. Our function maps this to 6. Now consider $(2 \times 3, 6 \times 3)$ which is mapped to 18 which is 3×6 as we saw above.

Now consider two vectors $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 4)$. Our function maps both these vectors to 1 and 4 respectively. However it maps its sum $(1, 4)$ to 4 $\neq 1 + 4$. Hence it does not follow additivity. Hence not a linear space. □

Problem 9

Proof. Consider the function that maps any complex number $x + iy$ to x . First we show this function is linear.

Consider two complex numbers $x_1 + iy_1$ and $x_2 + iy_2$. We have

$$f(z_1 + z_2) = f((x_1 + x_2) + i(y_1 + y_2))$$

$$= x_1 + x_2$$

$$= f(x_1 + iy_1) + f(x_2 + iy_2)$$

$$= f(z_1) + f(z_2)$$

Now we show it is not homogeneous.

Consider $\lambda = i$ then we have,

$$f(\lambda z_1) = f(-y_1 + ix_1)$$

$$= -y_1$$

however we know that $\lambda f(z_1) = ix_1 \neq -y_1$

Hence it is not homogeneous. □

Problem 10

Proof. We show counter example. Assume $q = 1 + x$, $p_1 = x$ and $p_2 = 2x$. We have,

$$q(p_1) = q(x) = 1 + x$$

$$q(p_2) = q(2x) = 1 + 2x$$

$$q(p_1 + p_2) = q(3x) = 1 + 3x$$

It is easy to see that $1 + 3x \neq 2 + 3x$

Hence T is not additive and not linear. \square

Problem 12

Proof. First consider the basis of U as u_1, \dots, u_n . Now let us extend this basis to V as follows, $u_1, \dots, u_n, v_{n+1}, \dots, v_m$. We need to show that T is not a linear map.

We know that $T(v) = S(v)$ for any $v \in U$. So we have

$$T(u_1) = S(u_1) \neq 0$$

Now consider v_m we have

$$T(v_m) = 0$$

by definition.

Now consider the sum of these vectors and we have $T(u_1 + v_m)$. We know that $u_1 + v_m$ cannot be in U as it cannot be represented as a linear combination of u_1, \dots, u_n as v_m is linearly independent with u_1, \dots, u_n . Hence $u_1 + v_m \in V$ but $\notin U$. Therefore by definition we have $T(u_1 + v_m) = 0$.

However we know that $T(u_1) + T(v_m) = S(u_1)$. But $S(u_1) \neq 0$. Which shows us that T is not additive. Hence T is not a linear map. \square

13

Proof. First consider the basis of U as u_1, \dots, u_n . Now let us extend this basis of U to span V and we have v_{n+1}, \dots, v_m .

Let us define our linear map for our basis as follows,

$$T(u_1) = S(u_1), \dots, T(u_n) = S(u_n)$$

and

$$T(v_{n+1}) = 0, \dots, T(v_m) = 0$$

So we have defined a linear map such that for any $u \in U$ say $a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n$ we have,

$$T(u) = T(a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n)$$

$$T(u) = a_1T(u_1) + \dots + a_nT(u_n)$$

$$= a_1S(u_1) + \dots + a_nS(u_n)$$

$$= S(a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n)$$

$$= S(u)$$

□

Problem 14

Proof. We have V is finite dim and W is infinite dim. We need to show that $L(V, W)$ is infinite dimensional or in other words there isn't a basis for $L(V, W)$. We see that a new linear map T is independent from other maps if the range T is distinct from those spaces.

So it is enough to show that there isn't an upperbound on the number of linearly independent linear maps in L . Or we need to show that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can construct a linearly independent set of linear maps T_1, \dots, T_n . We prove this by induction. First consider the base case T_1 that maps to any subspace of W . Now T_1 is linearly independent to itself.

Now let us assume it is true for an arbitrary n . That is the list T_1, \dots, T_n is linearly independent.

□

Problem 15

Proof. Let us assume the contrary that we can construct a linear map T such that $Tv_k = w_k$ for any choice of $w_1, \dots, w_m \in W$.

We know that v_1, \dots, v_m is linearly dependent. So $\exists v_k$ such that $v_k = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1}v_{k-1}$

Now let us choose a choice of w_1, \dots, w_n as follows, $n \neq k, w_n = 0$ and if $n = k$ then w_n is any arbitrary non-zero vector in W .

Based on our assumption we can construct a map such that $Tv_k = w_k$ for any k so we have,

$$T(v_1) = w_1 = 0$$

...

$$T(v_k) = w_k = w$$

...

$$T(v_n) = w_n = 0$$

But we know that $T(v_k) = T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1}v_{k-1})$. So because T is linear we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &= a_1T(v_1) + \dots + a_{k-1}T(v_{k-1}) \\ &= a_10 + \dots + a_{k-1}0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So we have $T(v_k) = 0$. But we just showed above that $T(v_k) = w$ such that $w \neq 0$. Hence we have a contradiction. So our assumption must be wrong and we cannot have a linear map that satisfies $Tv_k = w_k$ for any choice of w_1, \dots, w_k

□