

Linear Algebra 3B

Aamod Varma

October 20, 2024

3B

Problem 1

Let us define a linear map $T : V^5 \rightarrow V^5$ on any arbitrary basis of V , v_1, \dots, v_5 as follows,

$$T(v_1) = 0$$

$$T(v_2) = 0$$

$$T(v_3) = 0$$

$$T(v_4) = v_4$$

$$T(v_5) = v_5$$

So T is a linear map such that $\dim \text{null } T = 3$ and $\dim \text{range } T = 2$

Problem 2

Proof. We need to show $(ST)^2 = 0$ or that $STST = 0$.

Consider $v \in V$ and let $T(v) = v'$. Now $S(T(v)) = S(v') = v''$ which is in range of S by definition.

We are told that $\text{range } S \subseteq \text{null } T$. This means that for any $v \in \text{range } S$ $T(v) = 0$. So because $v'' \in \text{range } S$ we have $T(v'') = 0$. So we have,

$$\begin{aligned} S(T(S(T(v)))) &= S(T(v'')) \\ &= S(0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we show that for any arbitrary choice of $v \in V$ $(ST)^2 = 0$ □

Problem 3

Proof. (a). If $\dim(\text{range } T) = \dim V$ then v_1, \dots, v_m spans V

(b). If $\text{null } T = \{0\}$ then v_1, \dots, v_m is linearly independent. □

Problem 4

Proof. For a subspace we need three conditions, existence of 0 element, closure under addition and closure under scalar multiplication. We show that the set doesn't satisfy the closure under addition. First consider any basis for R^5 as v_1, \dots, v_5 and a basis for R^4 as u_1, \dots, u_4

Consider the following construction, $T_1 : R^5 \rightarrow R^4$ such that,

$$T(v_1) = 0$$

$$T(v_2) = 0$$

$$T(v_3) = 0$$

$$T(v_4) = u_1$$

$$T(v_5) = u_2$$

Now consider $T_2 : R^5 \rightarrow R^4$ such that,

$$T(v_1) = u_3$$

$$T(v_2) = u_4$$

$$T(v_3) = 0$$

$$T(v_4) = 0$$

$$T(v_5) = 0$$

Now we show that $T_1 + T_2$ is not in the set. Now $T_3 = T_1 + T_2$ is defined as follows (by definition),

$$T_3(v_1) = T_1v_1 + T_2v_1 = u_3$$

$$T_3(v_2) = T_1v_2 + T_2v_2 = u_4$$

$$T_3(v_3) = T_1v_3 + T_2v_3 = 0$$

$$T_3(v_4) = T_1v_4 + T_2v_4 = u_1$$

$$T_3(v_5) = T_1v_5 + T_2v_5 = u_2$$

We know that u_1, \dots, u_4 are linearly independent. Which means that the dimension of null space is 1. Hence it is not within the conditions of our set.

So closure under addition is not satisfied and hence the set is not a subspace. \square

Problem 5

Consider the standard basis of R^4 , $v_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, $v_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$, $v_3 = (0, 0, 1, 0)$, $v_4 = (0, 0, 0, 1)$. Now let our linear map be as follows,

$$T(v_1) = v_3$$

$$T(v_2) = v_4$$

$$T(v_3) = 0$$

$$T(v_4) = 0$$

As v_1 and v_2 are linearly independent we see that the range of T is spanned by two vectors v_3, v_4 . Similarly we see that the null space is spanned by v_3, v_4 as T maps these vectors to 0. Hence we get $\text{range } T = \text{null } T$

Problem 6

Proof. Let us assume $\exists T \in L(R^5)$ such that $\text{range } T = \text{null } T$. This implies that $\dim \text{range } T = \dim \text{null } T$. We know from the fundamental theorem of linear map that

$$\dim \text{range } T + \dim \text{null } T = \dim V$$

Let $\dim \text{range } T = \dim \text{null } T = k$ such that $k \in N$. So we have,

$$2k = 5$$

$$k = 2.5$$

However this means $k \notin N$ which is a contradiction. This must mean our assumption is wrong and hence it is not possible to find T such that $\text{range } T = \text{null } T$ \square

Problem 9

Proof. Consider,

$$a_1T(v_1) + \cdots + a_nT(v_n) = 0$$

To show that it is linearly independent we need to show that the only possible values for a_1, \dots, a_n is if all are zero.

Now let us rewrite this as follows,

$$T(a_1v_1) + \cdots + T(a_nv_n) = 0$$

$$T(a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n) = 0$$

We know that T is injective which means that null space of T is $\{0\}$. This implies that $a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n = 0$. However if this is the case the only choice for a_1, \dots, a_n is if all are zero as we know that v_1, \dots, v_n is linearly independent.

Hence we show that the only choice of a_1, \dots, a_n is if all are zero to satisfy the equation,

$$a_1T(v_1) + \cdots + a_nT(v_n) = 0$$

which shows that the list $T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)$ is linearly independent. \square

Problem 10

Proof. To show that Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n spans $\text{range } T$ we need to show that any $w \in \text{range } T$ can be written as a linear combination of Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n .

If $w \in \text{range } T$ we have that $\exists v \in V$ such that $T(v) = w$ by definition. As v_1, \dots, v_n spans V we know that any vector $v \in V$ can be written as a linear combination of these vectors so let,

$$v = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n$$

So we have $T(v) = w = T(a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n)$

$$w = T(a_1v_1) + \cdots + T(a_nv_n)$$

$$w = a_1T(v_1) + \cdots + a_nT(v_n)$$

So we show that for any choice of $w \in \text{range } T$ we can write it as a linear combination of vectors in the list Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n . Hence this implies that Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n spans $\text{range } T$ \square

Problem 11

Proof. First let us consider the basis of $\text{null } T$. Let that be u_1, \dots, u_n . Now let us extend this basis to a basis of V as $u_1, \dots, u_n, v_{n+1}, \dots, v_m$. Let us define U as the subspace defined by the basis v_{n+1}, \dots, v_m . First we show that $U \cap \text{null } T = \{0\}$. So we have $v \in U$ and $v \in \text{null } T$. If this is the case we can write v as ,

$$v = a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n$$

and

$$v = b_1v_1 + \dots + b_mv_m$$

So we have $a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n + b_1v_1 + \dots + b_mv_m = 0$

As we know that u_1, \dots, v_m is linearly independent the only solution is all coefficients is zero which implies $v = 0$.

Now we show that $\text{range } T = \{Tu : u \in U\}$. Consider any $v \in V$. Let $v = a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n + b_1v_1 + \dots + b_mv_m$.

Now we need to show that for all $v \in V$ such that $T(v) \in \text{range } T$ that $T(v) = T(u)$ for some $u \in U$. We have $T(v)$ which is,

$$\begin{aligned} T(v) &= T(a_1u_1 + \dots + b_mv_m) \\ &= a_1T(u_1) + \dots + a_nT(u_n) + b_1T(v_1) + \dots + b_mT(v_m) \\ &= b_1T(v_1) + \dots + b_mT(v_m) \\ &= T(b_1v_1 + \dots + b_mv_m) \\ &= T(u) \end{aligned}$$

where $u = b_1v_1 + \dots + b_mv_m$ which means that $u \in U$.

Hence we showed that $\text{range } T = \{Tu : u \in U\}$ \square

Problem 12

Proof. It is enough to show that $\dim \text{range } T = \dim F^2$. We have, null space is spanned by

$$(5, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 7, 1)$$

which makes $\dim \text{null } T = 2$. Using the rank nullity theorem we have $\dim \text{range } T = 4 - 2 = 2$

So the range of our linear map has the same dimension as the co-domain which means that our function is surjective. \square

Problem 13

Proof. We have $\text{null } T = U$ which means that $\dim \text{null } T = 3$. Using the rank nullity theorem we have $\dim \text{range } T = 5$. We also know that $\dim R^5 = 5$. So because the range and co-domain have the same dimension this implies that our map is surjective. \square

Problem 14

Proof. Let us assume the null space is as shown in the question. We can see that this is spanned by the following vectors,

$$(3, 1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 1, 1)$$

This means that $\dim \text{null } T = 2$. So using the rank-nullity theorem we have $\dim \text{range } T = 5 - 2 = 3$. But our codomain is F^2 so our assumption leads us to believe the range is a subspace of codomain but the range has higher dimension than the codomain. This obviously cannot be the case. Hence it must be true that the null space cannot be as given. \square

Problem 15

Proof. We know that $\text{range } T$ and $\text{null } T$ are finite dimensional. Now consider Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n span $\text{range } T$. This means that for any $v \in V$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} Tv &= a_1Tv_1 + \dots + a_nTv_n \\ Tv &= T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) \\ T(v - (a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now this means that $v - (a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) \in \text{null } T$. As $\text{null } T$ is finite dimensional we have any $w \in \text{null } T = b_1w_1 + \dots + b_mw_m$. So we have $v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n + b_1w_1 + \dots + b_mw_m$ for any $v \in V$. Hence V is in the span of a finite number of vectors which makes V a finite dimensional vector space. \square

Problem 16

Proof. \Leftarrow We are given an injective linear map from V to W we need to show that $\dim V \leq \dim W$.

If T is injective then we know that $\dim \text{null } T = 0$. So using the rank nullity theorem we have,

$$\dim V = \dim \text{range } T$$

But we know that $\text{range } T \subseteq W$ which means that $\dim \text{range } T \leq \dim W$.

We showed above that $\dim \text{range } T = \dim V$ which means that $\dim V \leq \dim W$.

\Rightarrow We are given that $\dim V \leq \dim W$ and we are to show that there exists a linear map that is injective from V to W .

If $\dim V \leq \dim W$ consider any basis of V as v_1, \dots, v_n and similarly choose linearly independent set of vectors from W as w_1, \dots, w_n . Now we can construct a linear map from V to W such that $T(v_k) = w_k$. Because the range is spanned by n linearly independent vectors we have $\dim \text{range } T = n$ we also know that $\dim V = n$. So using the rank nullity theorem we have $\dim \text{null } T = 0$.

Hence we showed that there exists a linear map always if $\dim V \leq \dim W$. \square

Problem 17

Proof. \Leftarrow

We know that our map V to W is surjective which implies that $\dim \text{range } T = \dim W$. So using the rank nullity theorem we have,

$$\dim V = \dim W + \dim \text{null } T$$

Case 1: $\dim \text{null } T = 0$. We have $\dim V = \dim W$

Case 2: $\dim \text{null } T \neq 0$. We have $\dim V > \dim W$

So we have $\dim V \geq \dim W$

\Rightarrow We have $\dim V \geq \dim W$, we need to show we can construct a surjective linear map from V to W . Consider the basis for W as w_1, \dots, w_n . Now choose n linearly independent vectors from V , v_1, \dots, v_n . We know this can be done as V has greater than or equal to n linearly independent vectors in its basis.

Let our map be as follows,

$$T(v_1) = w_1, \dots, T(v_n) = w_n, T(v_k) = 0$$

for $k > n$.

So our range is spanned by the basis for W . Which makes it equal to W . Hence we have a surjective map. \square

Problem 18

Proof. \Leftarrow We need to show that $\dim \text{null } T = \dim U$ implies that $\dim U \geq \dim V - \dim W$. As $\dim \text{null } T = \dim U$ we have $\dim \text{null } T + \dim W \geq \dim V$. But we know that $\dim \text{null } T = \dim V - \dim \text{range } T$. So we have to show that $\dim W \geq \dim \text{range } T$.

We know this is necessarily true.

\Rightarrow We have $\dim U + \dim W \geq \dim V$ and we have to show that $\exists T$ such that $\dim \text{null } T = U$. Let W be spanned by w_1, \dots, w_m . Now let the range T be spanned by w_1, \dots, w_k . We can find v_1, \dots, v_k such that $T(v_k) = w_k$.

Now extend the set of vectors of V from v_1, \dots, v_k to v_1, \dots, v_m such that we added $n - k$ vectors. Such that we have $\dim V - \dim W = n - m$. Because we know that $U \geq n - m$. We can choose at least $m - k$ (note that this is larger than $n - m$ as $m > k$) vectors from our added set of vectors such that

$$T(v_{k+1}) = 0$$

...

$$T(v_n) = 0$$

Hence given our condition we constructed a linear map from V to W such that $\text{null } T = U$ □

Problem 19

Proof. \Leftarrow We know because T is injective for any $w \in W, \exists v \in V$ such that $T(v) = w$. Or we can say that the \dim range of T is equal to $\dim V$. Which means that they are both spanned by an equal number of vectors. Consider the basis of T as v_1, \dots, v_n . We have T defined as,

$$T(v_1) = w_1$$

...

$$T(v_n) = w_n$$

Such that w_1, \dots, w_n span range T and because its dimension is equal to the basis dimension w_1, \dots, w_n is a basis for range T .

Because w_1, \dots, w_n is a basis of range T let us define a map S from W to V as follows,

$$S(w_1) = v_1$$

...

$$S(w_n) = v_n$$

Now we need to show that ST is the identity operator.

Consider any $v \in V$ we can write $v = a_1v_1, \dots, a_nv_n$.

So,

$$\begin{aligned} Tv &= T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) \\ &= a_1(Tv_1) + \dots + a_n(Tv_n) \\ &= a_1w_1 + \dots + a_nw_n \end{aligned}$$

So $ST(v)$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} STv &= S(a_1w_1 + \dots + a_nw_n) \\ &= a_1(Sw_1) + \dots + a_n(Sw_n) \\ &= a_1v_1, \dots, a_nv_n \\ &= v \end{aligned}$$

So we showed that $\exists S$ such that $STv = v$
 \Rightarrow We need to show that if there exist a map from W to V , S such that $STv = v$ then T is injective.

Let us assume for the sake of contradiction that T is not injective. That means $\exists v \neq 0$ such that $T(v) = 0$ (because null T is not equal to just $\{0\}$). Hence we have,

$$T(v) = 0$$

So we have, $ST(v) = S(0) = 0$. But we know that ST is identity map on V which means that $STv = v \forall v$. However we see that $v \neq 0$ which means that our assumption must be wrong and T is injective. \square

Problem 20

Proof. \Leftarrow We need show that T is surjective implies that $\exists S$ such that TS is the identity operator on W .

We know that T is surjective this means that $\forall w \in W \exists v \in V$ such that $T(v) = w$. Now consider the basis for W as w_1, \dots, w_n . We know that $\exists v$ for each one of these vectors, v_1, \dots, v_n such that,

$$T(v_1) = w_1, \dots, T(v_n) = w_n$$

Now let us define S such that,

$$S(w_1) = v_1, \dots, S(w_n) = v_n$$

Now we need to show that TS is the identity operator on W . Consider any $w \in W$ we have, $w = a_1w_1, \dots, a_nw_n$

So we have,

$$\begin{aligned} S(w) &= S(a_1w_1, \dots, a_nw_n) \\ &= a_1S(w_1) + \dots + a_nS(w_n) \\ &= a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} TS(w) &= T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) \\ &= a_1T(v_1) + \dots + a_nT(v_n) \\ &= a_1w_1 + \dots + a_nw_n \\ &= w \end{aligned}$$

So we defined S such that TS is the identity map on W .

\Rightarrow Assume for contradiction that T is not surjective. Now this means that $\exists w \in W$ such that it is not in the range of T . So $w \notin \text{range } T$ or $\nexists v \in V$ such that $T(v) = w$.

However we know that TS is the identity operator on W which means that for any $w \in W$ we have $TSw = w$.

$$T(S(w)) = w$$

Now let $S(w) = v' \in V$

$$T(v') = w$$

However this implies that $w \in \text{range } T$ which contradicts the fact that T is not surjective. Hence our assumption must be wrong and T is surjective. \square

Problem 22

Proof. Restrict T to $\text{null } ST$ and call that T' . We have $\dim \text{null } T' \leq \text{null } T$. We know that $\dim T' = \text{null } T' + \text{range } T'$ or that $\dim \text{null } ST = \text{null } T' + \text{range } T'$. So we get,

$$\dim \text{null } ST \leq \text{null } T + \text{range } T'$$

But we also know that $\text{range } T' \subseteq \text{null } S$ so $\dim \text{range } T' \leq \dim \text{null } S$ which gives us

$$\dim \text{null } ST \leq \text{null } T + \dim \text{null } S$$

\square

Proof. We know that

$$\dim \text{null } ST = \dim U - \text{range } ST$$

But we know that $\dim U = \dim \text{null } T + \dim \text{range } T$, so we have,

$$\dim \text{null } ST = \dim \text{null } T + \dim \text{range } T - \text{range } ST$$

$\text{range } T$ is the values that are the outputs of T . However these are the inputs of S . So we know that. So $\text{range } T$ is the inputs of S in ST and $\text{range } ST$ are the outputs of ST . So we can say that $\dim \text{range } T = \dim(\text{range } ST \cap \text{range } S) + \dim \text{null } S$ which gives us,

$$\dim \text{null } ST = \dim \text{null } T + \dim(\text{range } ST \cap \text{range } S) + \dim \text{null } S - \dim(\text{range } ST)$$

We know that $\dim(\text{range } ST \cap \text{range } S) \leq \dim(\text{range } ST)$ hence we have,

$$\dim \text{null } ST \leq \dim \text{null } T + \dim \text{null } S$$

\square

Problem 23

Proof. We already know that $\dim \text{range } ST \leq \dim \text{range } S$. Because for

any v we have $S(T(v))$ which lies in the range of S .
Now consider when $\dim \text{range } T \leq \dim \text{range } S$. We know that for a $v \in \text{range } T$, $S(v)$ is mapped to a vector in $\text{range } S$. So if v_1, \dots, v_n is the basis for $\text{range } T$ which is smaller than that of $\text{range } S$ then S will only map to at most n linearly independent vectors in $\text{range } S$ which is smaller than $\dim \text{range } S$. Hence we show that if $\dim \text{range } T \leq \dim \text{range } S$ then $\dim \text{range } ST \leq n$ which means $\dim \text{range } ST \leq \dim \text{range } T$ \square

Problem 27

Proof. We are given that $P^2 = P$ we can show that $V = \text{null } P \oplus \text{range } P$.

First we show that $\text{null } P \cap \text{range } P = \{0\}$. Consider $v \in \text{null } P \cap \text{range } P$. That means that $v \in \text{null } P$ and $v \in \text{range } P$. If $v \in \text{null } P$ we know that $P(v) = 0$ but we know that $P(P(v)) = P(v)$. So $0 = P(v)$. But if $v \in \text{range } P$ then $\exists v'$ such that $P(v') = v$ which means that $P(P(v')) = P(v)$. So,

$$P(v) = v$$

But we know that $P(v) = P(0) = 0$ so $P(v) = v \Rightarrow v = 0$

Now we show that we can write any vector $v \in V$ as a sum of vectors from $\text{null } P$ and $\text{range } P$.

Consider any $v \in V$. Now let $P(v) = v_1$ which means that $v_1 \in \text{range } P$. So we have,

$$P(v) = v_1$$

$$P(P(v)) = P(v_1) = P(v)$$

Now take $v - v_1$. We have,

$$P(v - v_1) = P(v) - P(v_1)$$

As we got $P(v) = P(v_1)$ we have $P(v - v_1) = 0$ which means that $v - v_1 \in \text{null } P$. Hence we found two vectors, $v_1 \in \text{range } P$ and $v - v_1 \in \text{null } P$ such that $v - v_1 + v_1 = v$ for any $v \in V$ \square

Problem 28

Proof. We need to show that for any $p' \in P(R)$ we can find $p \in P(R)$ such that $Dp = p'$ given that $\deg p' = \deg p - 1$.

Consider any arbitrary polynomial $p' = a_1 + a_2x + \dots + a_nx^n$. We can find p as follows,

$$p = \int p' = \int a_1 + \dots + a_nx^n = a_1x + \dots + \frac{a_n}{n+1}x^{n+1} + C$$

where C can be any arbitrary constant.

We see that Dp defined as the differentiation map would map p as follows,

$$Dp = \frac{dp}{dx} = x_1 + \cdots + a_n x^n = p'$$

such that $\deg p = n + 1$ and $\deg p' = n$ which satisfies that $\deg Dp = \deg p - 1$

□

Problem 29

Proof. First let $\deg p = n$ such that $p = a_1 + \cdots + a_n x^n$. Now let q be a degree $n + 1$ polynomial such that $q = b_1 + \cdots + b_{n+1} x^{n+1}$. So we have,

$$q' = b_2 + \cdots + b_{n+1}(n+1)x^n$$

$$q'' = b_3 + \cdots + b_{n+1}(n)(n+1)x^{n-1}$$

$$5q'' + 3q' = 5b_3 + 3b_2 + \cdots + (5b_{n+1}n(n+1) + 3(nb_n))x^{n-1} + 3b_{n+1}(n+1)x^n$$

It is enough to show that $5q'' + 3q'$ can span $P(R)$ for any n . For this we need to show that the coefficients must be 0 ie. $b_2 = \cdots = b_n + 1 = 0$

We see that there is only one term affecting x^n so for that to be 0 there is no other choice but $b_{n+1} = 0$. But if $b_{n+1} = 0$ then for x^{n-1} term we need $b_n = 0$. So by induction we can show that any b must be equal to 0. Hence it is linearly independent. □