

## Homework 1, Math 4150

1. Exercise Set 1.1, #6.

(a) Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $c \neq 0$ . Show that  $a \mid b$  if and only if  $ac \mid bc$ .

(b) Provide a counterexample showing that the conclusion above does not hold if  $c = 0$ .

**Solution.**

(a). First we show that  $a \mid b$  implies  $ac \mid bc$ . If  $a \mid b$  then there exists some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ax = b$ . Now multiply  $c$  on both sides to get  $acx = bc$ . This means that there is some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  for which  $ac$  multiplied by  $x$  is  $bc$ . In other words by definition we have  $ac \mid bc$ .

Now we show that  $ac \mid bc$  implies that  $a \mid b$ . If  $ac \mid bc$ , by definition we have some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $acx = bc$ . Now, because  $c \neq 0$  we can divide  $c$  from both sides to get  $ax = b$ . Again by definition this means that  $b$  is a multiple of  $a$  or that  $a \mid b$ .

(b). Consider  $a = 3$  and  $b = 5$ . If  $c = 0$  we have  $ac = 0$  and  $bc = 0$ . Now  $0 \mid 0$  is true because for any choice of  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $0x = 0$ . So we have  $ac \mid bc$ . However, we can easily see that  $3 \nmid 5$  is not true. So this counterexample shows that the statement if and only if doesn't hold if  $c = 0$ .

2. Exercise Set 1.1, #9. Let  $a, m, n$  be positive integers, with  $a > 1$ . Show that  $a^m - 1 \mid a^n - 1$  if and only if  $m \mid n$ .

**Solution.**

First we show that if  $m \mid n$  then  $a^m - 1 \mid a^n - 1$ . If  $m \mid n$  then we have for some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  that  $mx = n$  which means that  $a^{mx} - 1 = a^n - 1$ . We can write the left hand side as  $(a^m)^x - 1 = (a^m - 1)(a^{m(x-1)} + a^{m(x-2)} + \dots + 1) = (a^m - 1)(k)$  where  $k = (a^{m(x-1)} + a^{m(x-2)} + \dots + 1)$ . This gives us,

$$(a^m - 1)k = a^n - 1$$

Or that  $a^m - 1 \mid a^n - 1$

Now, we show that  $a^m - 1 \mid a^n - 1$  implies that  $m \mid n$ . If  $a^m - 1 \mid a^n - 1$  then that means  $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(a^m - 1)x = a^n - 1$ . Now as  $n > m$  (we know this because  $x$  is a positive integer, which means that  $a^n > a^m$  which means that  $n > m$ ) we can take  $n = qm + r$  for some  $q, r \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $r < m$ . Now we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} a^n - 1 &= a^{qm} a^r - 1 \\ &= a^{qm} a^r - a^r + a^r - 1 \\ &= (a^{qm} - 1)a^r + (a^r - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Now we know that  $a^m - 1 \mid a^n - 1$  by assumption and we also know from the above proof that  $a^m - 1 \mid a^{qm} - 1$  as  $m \mid qm$ . Hence, this also must mean that  $a^m - 1 \mid a^r - 1$ . However, by construction we have  $r < m$  based on how we constructed  $n$  as  $n = qm + r$ . This means that  $a^m - 1 > a^r - 1$ . A larger number divides a smaller number only when the smaller number is zero. So we have  $a^r - 1 = 0$ . Or that  $r = 0$ . This gives us,  $n = qm + r = qm + 0 = qm$  which implies that  $m \mid n$ .

3. Exercise Set 1.1, #10. Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- (a) Prove that  $3 \mid n^3 - n$
- (b) Prove that  $5 \mid n^5 - n$
- (c) Is it true that  $4 \mid n^4 - n$ ? Provide a proof or a counterexample.

**Solution.**

(a). First we rewrite  $n^3 - n = n(n^2 - 1) = n(n + 1)(n - 1)$

We have three cases,

**Case 1:**  $n = 3q + 0$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

Here  $n = 3q$  so we have

$$n(n + 1)(n - 1) = 3q(3q + 1)(3q - 1) = 3(k)$$

where  $k = q(3q + 1)(3q - 1)$ . Hence we have  $3 \mid n^3 - n$

**Case 2:**  $n = 3q + 1$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

Here  $n = 3q + 1$  so we have

$$n^3 - n = n(n + 1)(n - 1) = (3q + 1)(3q + 2)(3q) = 3k$$

where  $k = (3q + 1)(3q + 2)q$  so we have  $3 \mid n^3 - n$

**Case 3:**  $n = 3q + 2$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

Here  $n = 3q + 2$  so we have

$$n^3 - n = n(n + 1)(n - 1) = (3q + 2)(3q + 3)(3q + 1) = 3(3q + 2)(q + 1)(3q + 1) = 3k$$

where  $k = (3q + 2)(q + 1)(3q + 1)$  which means that  $3 \mid n^3 - n$

So, in all three cases we have  $3 \mid n^3 - n$

(b). Similar to above we have 5 cases as any number can only leave remainders 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 when divided by 5. We can also expand

$$n^5 - n = n(n^4 - 1) = n(n^2 + 1)(n + 1)(n - 1)$$

Now the five cases are,

**Case 1:**  $n = 5q + 0$

Here  $n = 5q$  so

$$n^5 - n = n(n^2 + 1)(n + 1)(n - 1) = 5q(5q^2 + 1)(5q + 1)(5q - 1) = 5k$$

where  $k = q(5q^2 + 1)(5q + 1)(5q - 1)$  which gives us  $5 \mid n^5 - n$

**Case 2:**  $n = 5q + 1$

Here  $n = 5q + 1$  so

$$n^5 - n = n(n^2 + 1)(n + 1)(n - 1) = (5q + 1)((5q + 1)^2 + 1)(5q + 2)(5q) = 5k$$

where  $k = (5q + 1)((5q + 1)^2 + 1)(5q + 2)q$  which gives us  $5|n^5 - n$

**Case 3:**  $n = 5q + 2$

Here  $n = 5q + 2$  so

$$\begin{aligned} n^5 - n &= n(n^2 + 1)(n + 1)(n - 1) = (5q + 2)((5q + 2)^2 + 1)(5q + 3)(5q + 1) \\ &= (5q + 2)(25q^2 + 4 + 20q + 1)(5q + 3)(5q + 1) \\ &= (5q + 2)5(5q^2 + 1 + 4q)(5q + 3)(5q + 1) \\ &= 5k \end{aligned}$$

where  $k = (5q + 2)(5q^2 + 1 + 4q)(5q + 3)(5q + 1)$  so we have  $5|n^5 - n$

**Case 4:**  $n = 5q + 3$

Here  $n = 5q + 3$  so

$$\begin{aligned} n^5 - n &= n(n^2 + 1)(n + 1)(n - 1) = (5q + 3)((5q + 3)^2 + 1)(5q + 4)(5q + 2) \\ &= (5q + 3)(25q^2 + 9 + 30q + 1)(5q + 4)(5q + 2) \\ &= (5q + 3)(25q^2 + 10 + 30q)(5q + 4)(5q + 2) \\ &= 5(5q + 3)(5^2 + 2 + 6)(5q + 4)(5q + 2) \\ &= 5k \end{aligned}$$

where  $k = (5q + 3)(5^2 + 2 + 6)(5q + 4)(5q + 2)$  which gives us  $5|n^5 - n$

**Case 5:**  $n = 5q + 4$

Here  $n = 5q + 4$  so

$$\begin{aligned} n^5 - n &= n(n^2 + 1)(n + 1)(n - 1) = (5q + 4)((5q + 4)^2 + 1)(5q + 5)(5q + 3) \\ &= 5(5q + 4)((5q + 4)^2 + 1)(q + 1)(5q + 3) \\ &= 5k \end{aligned}$$

where  $k = (5q + 4)((5q + 4)^2 + 1)(q + 1)(5q + 3)$  which means that  $5|n^5 - n$

So in all three cases we have  $5|n^5 - n$

In all cases we have  $5|n^5 - n$

(c). We need to either prove or disprove that  $4|n^4 - n$ . We will disprove the statement by giving a counter example. Consider  $n = 3$ . Here we have  $n^4 - n = 81 - 3 = 78$ . We see that  $78 = 4 \times 19 + 2$  which means that  $4 \nmid 78$  and hence disproves the statement.

4. Exercise Set 1.2, #28

Let  $a, n$  be positive integers, with  $a > 1$ . Show that if  $a^n + 1$  is prime, then  $a$  is even, and  $n$  is a power of 2.

**Solution.**

Let us assume the contrary that  $a$  is odd or  $n$  is not a power of 2.

Case 1 we have  $n$  is odd. This means that  $a^n$  is odd (as odd times odd is always odd). If  $a^n$  is odd then  $a^n + 1$  is even and not equal to 2 (as  $a > 1$  we have  $a^n + 1 > 2$ ). And we know that 2 is the only even prime number. This means that  $a^n + 1$  is composite which breaks our assumption that it is prime. Hence,  $n$  cannot be odd.

Case 2 we have  $n$  not a power of 2. This means that  $n$  has an odd factor. So let  $r$  be an odd number such that  $n = rs$  for some  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So we have  $a^{rs} + 1 = (a^s)^r + 1 = x^r + 1^r$ . Now as  $r$  is odd we can factorize this as follows,

$$x^r + 1^r = (x + 1)(x^{r-1} - x^{r-2} + x^{r-3} \dots)$$

Now that  $x + 1 > 1$  we have  $(x + 1) | x^r + 1$  implying that  $x^r + 1$  is not a prime number as it has a prime divisor. Hence, contradicting our assumption

So we show that either  $a$  has to be even or  $n$  is a power of 2.

5. Let  $n > 1$  be an integer. Prove that, if  $n^2 + 1$  is a prime number, then  $n^2 + 1$  is expressible in the form  $4k + 1$  with integer  $k$ .

**Solution.**

Given that  $n^2 + 1$  is prime. We know from above that  $n$  has to be even as if its odd the number would be composite. Now if  $n$  is even then there is some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $n = 2m$ . Plugging this into  $n^2 + 1$  we have  $(2m)^2 + 1 = 4m^2 + 1 = 4k + 1$  if we take  $m^2 = k$ . Hence, we show that any prime number of the form  $n^2 + 1$  can be written in the form  $4k + 1$ .

6. Exercise Set 1.3, #43.

- (a) Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $(a, b) = 1$ . If  $a \mid c$  and  $b \mid c$  prove that  $ab \mid c$ .
- (b) Provide a counterexample to show why the statement in (a) cannot hold if  $(a, b) > 1$ .
- (c) Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  be pairwise relatively prime numbers. Prove that if each  $a_j \mid c$ , then  $a_1 \cdots a_n \mid c$ .

**Solution.**

(a). We have  $GCD(a, b) = 1$ . We need to show that  $a \mid c, b \mid c$  implies that  $ab \mid c$ .

If  $GCD(a, b) = 1$  we have some  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $am + bn = 1$ . If  $a \mid c, b \mid c$  then we have some  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ax = c$  and  $by = c$ .

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} am + bn &= 1 \\ cam + cbn &= c \\ byam + axbn &= c \quad \text{as } c = ax = by \\ ab(ym + xn) &= c \\ ab(z) &= c \end{aligned}$$

which by definition mean that  $ab \mid c$

(b). Consider if  $a = 5$  and  $b = 10$ , so we have  $(a, b) = 5$ . We see that if  $c = 20$  we have,  $a = 5 \mid 20$  and  $b = 10 \mid 20$ . However we see that  $ab = 50 \nmid 20$ .

(c). We have  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  which are pairwise relatively prime numbers. We need to show that if  $a_j \mid c$  then  $a_1 \dots a_n \mid c$ .

First we prove a preliminary result that given relatively prime numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , the gcd of the product of a subset of these numbers is relatively prime with numbers outside the subset. In other words we show that,

$$\gcd(a_1 \dots a_i, a_k) = 1 \text{ if } k > i$$

We will do this by induction. For the base case we have  $i = 1$  for which this is trivially true by construction (as all the numbers are pairwise relatively prime). Now consider the case for some arbitrary  $m$ . So we have,

$$\gcd(a_1 \dots a_m, a_{m+1}) = 1$$

We need to show this is true for  $m + 1$ . Now let  $a_1 \dots a_m = x, a_{m+1} = y, a_k = z$  where  $k > m + 1$ . Note that  $x$  and  $y$  are coprime as well as  $x$  and  $z$  (by the inductive hypothesis).

So we have,

$$\begin{aligned}xm_1 + zn_1 &= 1 \text{ for some } m_1, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ym_2 + zn_2 &= 1 \text{ for some } m_2, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\end{aligned}$$

Now multiplying these two together we have,

$$\begin{aligned}xym_1m_2 + z(xm_1n_2 + zn_1n_2 + yn_1m_2) &= 1 \\xym_3 + z(n_3) &= 1 \text{ where } m_3 = m_1m_2, n_3 = xm_1n_2 + zn_1n_2 + yn_1m_2\end{aligned}$$

This by definition means that  $\gcd(xy, z) = 1$ . Or expanding it gives us,

$$\gcd(a_1 \dots a_m a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}) = 1$$

Which is the case for  $n = m + 1$

Now we prove the initial statement inductively. Consider  $i = 1$  for which the statement is trivially true. Now consider the statement is true for some arbitrary  $i$  so we have  $a_1 \dots a_i | c$  given  $a_1 | c, \dots, a_i | c$ . Now, consider  $a_{i+1}$ . Let  $a_1 \dots a_i = a'$  so we have  $\gcd(a', a_{i+1}) = 1$  based on the proof above. Similarly we have  $a' | c$  and  $a_{i+1} | c$  by assumption. So based on the proof in (a) this means that  $a'a_{i+1} | c$  or that  $a_1 \dots a_{i+1} | c$  which is the case for  $i + 1$ . Hence we compute the inductive step and show that it must be true for any  $i$ .



7. Exercise Set 1.3, #48. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Show that  $(a, b) = 1$  if and only if  $(a + b, ab) = 1$ .

**Solution.**

We have  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We need to show that  $(a, b) = 1$  if and only if  $(a + b, ab) = 1$ .

First we show the if condition. So we have,

$$(a + b, ab) = 1$$

which means that,

$$(a + b)m + abn = 1 \text{ for some } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Now we have,

$$am + bm + abn = 1$$

$$a(m + bn) + bm = 1$$

$$ax + by = 1$$

By definition this means that  $(a, b) = 1$

Now we show the only if condition.

Let's assume the contrary that  $(a + b, ab) = x > 1$  so we have,

$$x|a + b \text{ and } x|ab$$

If  $x|ab$  let  $p$  be a prime dividing  $x$  then it must mean either  $p|a$  or  $p|b$  as  $p$  can't divide  $a$  and  $b$  as  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ . So assume without loss of generality that  $p|a$ . Now we know that  $x|a + b$  which means  $p|a + b$ . But if  $p|a + b$  and  $p|a$ , then that must mean  $p$  also divides their difference or that  $p|(a + b) - a$  or  $p|b$ . However, then we get that  $p|a$  and  $p|b$  or that  $a$  and  $b$  are not coprime as  $p \neq 1$  which is a contradiction as we know that  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ . Hence, our assumption must be wrong and it must be true that  $(a + b, ab) = 1$ .

8. Exercise Set 1.4, #54(c). Use the Euclidean Algorithm for this exercise. Compute the  $\gcd(441, 1155)$ , and express it as an integral linear combination of the 441 and 1155.

**Solution.**

We need to compute  $\gcd(441, 1155)$  using the euclidean algorithm,

$$1155 = 441 \times 2 + 273$$

$$441 = 273 \times 1 + 168$$

$$273 = 168 \times 1 + 105$$

$$168 = 105 \times 1 + 63$$

$$105 = 63 \times 1 + 42$$

$$63 = 42 \times 1 + 21$$

$$42 = 21 \times 2 + 0$$

This gives us the GCD as 21

To find the linear combination we go backwards to get,

$$1 \times 105 = 63 + (63 - 21) = 2 \times 63 - 21$$

$$2 \times 168 = 2 \times 105 + (105 + 21) = 3 \times 105 + 21$$

$$3 \times 273 = 3 \times 168 + (2 \times 168 - 21) = 5 \times 168 - 21$$

$$5 \times 441 = 5 \times 273 + (3 \times 273 + 21) = 8 \times 273 + 21$$

$$8 \times 1155 = 16 \times 441 + (5 \times 441 - 21) = 21 \times 441 - 21$$

This gives us,

$$21 \times 441 - 8 \times 1155 = 21$$

9. Exercise Set 1.4, #56. Find two rational numbers with denominators 11 and 13, whose sum is  $\frac{7}{143}$ .

**Solution.**

We need to find two rational numbers with denominators 11 and 13 whose sum is  $\frac{7}{143}$ . Consider the rational numbers to be  $\frac{p}{11}$  and  $\frac{q}{13}$  so we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{p}{11} + \frac{q}{13} &= \frac{7}{143} \\ \frac{13p + 11q}{143} &= \frac{7}{143} \\ 13p + 11q &= 7\end{aligned}$$

We know that 13 and 11 have gcd of 1 so there exists  $m, n$  such that  $13m + 11n = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}13 &= 11 \times 1 + 2 \\ 11 &= 2 \times 5 + 1 \\ 5 \times 13 &= 5 \times 11 + (11 - 1) \\ 6 \times 11 - 5 \times 13 &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying this by 7 we get,

$$11 \times 42 + 13 \times -35 = 7$$

Hence we get  $p = -35$  and  $q = 42$  to get,

$$\frac{-35}{11} + \frac{42}{13} = \frac{7}{143}$$