23/11/2023 Combing a hedgeling over a field, Main 2 It. with Marc Levine. Topology: S?= { x2+y2+22=1} => Ts2 does not have a non-vanishing schon (=nowhere vanishing) Sc = {x2ey2e2=1}=1}= (3=) Tsc has a non-van section · open manifold, dim = 4, rkp T32 = 4 => 7 non-van. continous section

oranget oka principle ucu-van. analytic section · Murthy: G (Ts2) ECH2(SC) => 3 non-voursh alogbraic section · easy to write down an explicit non-view. section. Question: For which k does TSE have a non-vanish section? Six={xiey+z=1} <1Ak (Umberto Zannier) desadic numbers Explicits sections: 9 = a, x,2+ ... + ener xn=1, at Ek* ~ O -> TRO -> TANKI (QO -> NOP/AMIL-> D > 9 0 -> 0 (V9, (8.5))= 0 (S1,52,... Sn+1) -> 29, X1S1+...+ 29, Xn Sn Non-vanishing sections en 851, ..., Smej 3-cagular sunctions on QO s.t. · no common zerces of Si on Qo 1) n is odd; (-a2x2,a,x1,-a4x4,a3x3,...,-an+1x41,a4x4) 2) n is even, q is isotropic, i.e. q=0 has a solution ink in after drauge of basis q = 2x1x2+b3x3+..+ bner xnx1 0 -> Too -> OBUNY -> ORO -> O (S1, -15mil) +> 2x2S1 +2x1S2+ 2260 xcSc section: (0, -63x3, 1, -65x5, 64x4, --, -641 Kuti, 6n Xn). (Ommon zeroes; 0=K=x3=x=== K+1 => 0

Topology: e(Tsz) EH2 (S?) 2Z Gauss-Bonnet $\rightarrow 11$ => T_{g2} does not have a non-van. Section, Alg. geometry (motoric homotopy theory): Barge - Novel '00; local systam CHT(X, L) - Char-Wift groups () Hing (M, Z(Z))
smally Eine bundle (X for n=dim X, L=wx: (Ho(X):= CH*(X, WX)= loker(D? -> D GW(Ka)) Grathandieck-Wiff group of reg. quadr. Sorms/k(x) E/x-rank n vector bundle ~ e(E) E CH"(X; det E") (re(E) & Hsing (M, 2 (det E")) Thin k-persect field, X/k-smooth affine, E/x-vector bundle, Morel'12 + Asd-Hours-Wadf'17 rank E = dim X, det E 20x => + Asol Fasel 16 e(E)=0 => E has a non-vanishing section Rem: det Too ~ Do. soon the exact sequence. Question: when e(Too) =0' Motivic Gaess-Bannet theorem: X-smooth proper/1c ~ dogo: GHo(X) -> 6W(K) degow (e(Tx)) = x A'(x) - A'-Euler characteristic,
"computable" e.g. via Hodge cohomology Levine-Raksit'20 Déglise-Jin-Khan 121 Q:={ Za, X, ?=X3} < 1P" $\frac{\operatorname{CM}_{O}(Q^{PO}) \rightarrow \operatorname{CM}_{O}(Q) - \operatorname{CM}_{O}(Q^{O})}{\operatorname{log}_{ON}} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{log}_{ON}} \frac{\operatorname{CM}_{O}(Q)}{\operatorname{GW}(k)} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{M}_{O}(Q)}$

(a, b>:= a g?+ bq2 = 6w/k) Thm n>0 even, k-persect sield, chark +2 (A.-Levine'23) (D Suppose Qo has a rational point.

Than Too has a non-van, section => <1, Mai > Edegar (Chb (Qn)) DA Too has a non-van. section => (1, Mai>Edegen (Cho(Qm)) Di. above, Sor D use that EKG(-) is a stable biratronal invariant (Fed 122), whence deasow: 270(Q) -> 6W(G) -150. Def q-quadratic form/k, D(q) < k - set as non-zero valles, Thin a >0 puen, k-perseit field, chark +2 (A.-lame) $q = \frac{n'+1}{2} a_0 x_i^2$, $Q^0 = 3q = 13 = 14$ (DQ°(K) FO. Then Too has a non-van. sofron => -1 \(\int \text{DQ9} \) 2 To has a non-van-section => - Mai & [D(9)2] Lm. Q%c-smooth proj quadroc given by q=0.=> (a,b>Edagen (alo (Q)) =>-abe[129] Et: uses explicit competations with Scharleury transfers & Knewsch norm principle Ex; $S_{R_2}^2$. $R_2/Q_2 = \frac{2}{3} \frac{1.3.5.7}{2.6.10,14}$ $D(x)eg(+2^2) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{1.3.5.26.10,14}{1.6.00}$ $-16[D(x)eg(+2^2)]$. => Tsz has a non-vanishing section. Questias: Explicit Sormula? Corollery: Sk = {Xit -- + Xnerly < /A" Tsh has a non-van. section E) (2) h is odd a (2) h >0 is even of git tyenty = -1 has a solution Pen S(k) = minimal N s.t. yi'e ... + y = y has a solution. solution in k laudosk. Psister: S(k)=00 or S(k)=2m In particular, Si, no, has a non-vanishing vector still is ° & TS purely imaginary number field