Genre Classification

Aanya Verma-200050001

Durgam Latha-200050037

Kumari Pragati Gupta-200050063

Mukkara Gracy paul-200050079

Date: 24/11/2022

References

Dataset :

GTZAN, https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/andradaolteanu/gtzan-dataset-music-genre-classification?resource=download-directory

Models

- •CNN, https://www.clairvoyant.ai/blog/music-genre-classification-using-cnn
- •KNN, https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/blog/2022/03/music-genre-classification-project-using-machine-learning-techniques/

Problem Statement

Music genre classification forms a bas step for building strong recommendation system and many other. So here, we aim to build a machine learning model, which classifies music samples into different genres. We will take input both as audio and image.

Expected Result

- Label music genre (in total of 10) Blues, Classical, Country, Disco, Hip-hop, ... so on.
- Compared Implementation on KNN and CNN

Major Features

- Spectrograms
- Spectral Rolloff
- Chroma feature
- Zero Crossing rate

CNN

- Data: Audio + Image (using feature_3_sec, csv file)
- Librosa, load and decode the audio as a time series y.
 Sr = sampling rate
- This approach involves convolution windows that scan over the input data and output the sum of the elements within the window. This then gets fed into a max pool layer that selects the maximum element from another window. So, bit intuitive to get good result.

CNN model

Model: "sequential_7"			
Layer (type)	0utput	Shape	Param #
dense_35 (Dense)	(None,	512)	30208
dropout_28 (Dropout)	(None,	512)	0
dense_36 (Dense)	(None,	256)	131328
dropout_29 (Dropout)	(None,	256)	0
dense_37 (Dense)	(None,	128)	32896
dropout_30 (Dropout)	(None,	128)	0
dense_38 (Dense)	(None,	64)	8256
dropout_31 (Dropout)	(None,	64)	0
dense_39 (Dense)	(None,	10)	650
Total params: 203,338 Trainable params: 203,338 Non-trainable params: 0	=====		

KNN

- Data: Audio files
- We will use the python speech feature library to extract features
- Extract features from each audio file and save the extracted features in binary form in DAT extension format
- Extracted MFCC feature

Model Comparision

CNN KNN

• Train: 99.89%

• Test : 92.93%

• Train: 100%

• Test : 67.6%