

Lecture 7, Review

Data Reduction

We should think about the following questions carefully before the "simplification" process:

- Is there any loss of information due to summarization?
- How to compare the amount of information about θ in the original data \mathbf{X} and in $T(\mathbf{X})$?
- Is it sufficient to consider only the "reduced data" T ?

1. Sufficient Statistics

A statistic T is called sufficient if the conditional distribution of \mathbf{X} given T is free of θ (that is, the conditional is a completely known distribution).

Example. Toss a coin n times, and the probability of head is an unknown parameter θ . Let T = the total number of heads. Is T sufficient for θ ?

Sufficiency Principle

If T is sufficient, the "extra information" carried by \mathbf{X} is worthless as long as θ is concerned. It is then only natural to consider inference procedures which do not use this extra irrelevant information. This leads to the Sufficiency Principle :

Any inference procedure should depend on the data only through sufficient statistics.

Definition: Sufficient Statistic (in terms of Conditional Probability)

(discrete case):

For any \mathbf{x} and t , if the conditional pdf of \mathbf{X} given T :

$$P_{\theta}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} | T(\mathbf{X}) = t) = \frac{P_{\theta}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}, T(\mathbf{X}) = t)}{P_{\theta}(T(\mathbf{X}) = t)} = \frac{P_{\theta}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x})}{P_{\theta}(T(\mathbf{X}) = t)}$$

does not depend on θ then we say $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Sufficient Statistic, the general definition (for both discrete and continuous variables):

Let the pdf of data \mathbf{X} is $f(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$ and the pdf of T be $q(t; \theta)$. If

$$f(\mathbf{x}; \theta) / q(T(\mathbf{x}); \theta) \text{ is free of } \theta, \quad (\text{may depend on } \mathbf{x}) \quad (*)$$

for all \mathbf{x} and θ , then T is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Example: Toss a coin n times, and the probability of head is an unknown parameter θ . Let T = the total number of heads. Is T sufficient for θ ?

X_i i. i. d. Bernoulli: $f(x) = \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{1-x}, x = 0, 1$

$$f(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \theta^{\sum_i x_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_i x_i}$$

$$T = \sum_i X_i \sim B(n, \theta):$$

$$q(t; \theta) = q(\sum_i x_i) = \binom{n}{\sum_i x_i} \theta^{\sum_i x_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_i x_i}$$

Thus

$$\frac{f(\mathbf{x}; \theta)}{q(T(\mathbf{x}); \theta)} = 1 / \binom{n}{t}$$

is free of θ ,

So by the definition, $\sum_i X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Example. X_1, \dots, X_n iid $N(\theta, 1)$. $T = \bar{X}$.

Remarks: The definition (*) is not always easy to apply.

- Need to guess the form of a sufficient statistic.
- Need to figure out the distribution of T .

How to find a sufficient statistic?

2. (Neyman-Fisher) Factorization theorem.

T is sufficient if and only if $f(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$ can be written as the product $g(T(\mathbf{x}); \theta)h(\mathbf{x})$, where the first factor depends on \mathbf{x} only through $T(\mathbf{x})$ and the second factor is free of θ .

Example. Binomial. iid $\text{bin}(1, \theta)$

Solution 1:

Bernoulli: $f(x) = \theta^x(1 - \theta)^{1-x}$, $x = 0, 1$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}; \theta) &= f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \theta^{\sum_i x_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_i x_i} \\ &= [\theta^{\sum_i x_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_i x_i}] \cdot [1] \\ &= g\left(\sum_i x_i, \theta\right) \cdot h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

So according to the factorization theorem, $T = \sum_i X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Solution 2:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}; \theta) &= f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) \\ &= \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{x_i} (1 - \theta)^{1-x_i}, & \text{if } x_i = 0, 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}, & \text{if } x_i = 0, 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \theta^t (1 - \theta)^{n-t} h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$= g(t, \theta) h(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where $g(t, \theta) = \theta^t (1 - \theta)^{n-t}$ and

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_i = 0, 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence T is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Example. Exp(λ).

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from an exponential distribution with rate λ . And Let $T = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$ and f be the joint density of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n .

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}; \lambda) &= f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \lambda) \\ &= \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i}, & \text{if } x_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \lambda^n e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}, & \text{if } x_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \lambda^n e^{-\lambda t} h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= g(t, \lambda) h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

where $g(t, \lambda) = \lambda^n e^{-\lambda t}$, and

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence T is a sufficient statistic for λ .

Example. Normal. iid $N(\theta, 1)$.

Please derive the sufficient statistic for θ by yourself.

When the range of X depends on θ , should be more careful about factorization. Must use indicator functions explicitly.

Example. Uniform. iid $U(0, \theta)$.

Solution 1:

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from an uniform distribution on $(0, \theta)$. And Let $T = X_{(n)}$ and f be the joint density of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\mathbf{x}; \theta) &= f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) \\
 &= \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta}, & \text{if } \theta > x_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta^n}, & \text{if } \theta > x_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta^n}, & \text{if } \theta > x_{(n)} \geq \dots \geq x_{(1)} > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= g(t, \theta)h(x_1, \dots, x_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(t, \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta^n}, & \text{if } \theta > t > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

and

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_{(1)} > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence T is a sufficient statistic for θ .

*** I personally prefer this approach because it is most straightforward. Alternatively, one can use the indicator function and simplify the solution as illustrated next.

Definition: Indicator function

$$I_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

Solution 2 (in terms of the indicator function):

Uniform: $f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta}, x \in (0, \theta)$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}; \theta) &= f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^n, x_i \in (0, \theta), \forall i \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^n \prod_i I_{(0, \theta)}(x_i) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^n I_{(0, +\infty)}(x_{(1)}) \cdot I_{(0, \theta)}(x_{(n)}) \\ &= \left[\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^n I_{(0, \theta)}(x_{(n)})\right] \cdot [I_{(0, +\infty)}(x_{(1)})] \\ &= g(x_{(n)}, \theta) \cdot h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

So by factorization theorem, $x_{(n)}$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Example: Please derive the sufficient statistics for θ , when given a random sample of size n from $U(\theta, \theta + 1)$.

Solution:**1. Indicator function approach:**

Uniform: $f(x) = 1, x \in (\theta, \theta + 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) &= (1)^n, x_i \in (\theta, \theta + 1), \forall i \\ &= (1)^n \prod_i I_{(\theta, \theta + 1)}(x_i) \\ &= I_{(\theta, +\infty)}(x_{(1)}) \cdot I_{(-\infty, \theta + 1)}(x_{(n)}) \\ &= [I_{(\theta, +\infty)}(x_{(1)}) \cdot I_{(-\infty, \theta + 1)}(x_{(n)})] \cdot [1] \\ &= g(x_{(1)}, x_{(n)}, \theta) \cdot h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

So, $T = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$ is a SS for θ .

2. Do not use the indicator function:

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) \\
&= \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^n 1, & \text{if } \theta + 1 > x_i > \theta, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \theta + 1 > x_i > \theta, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \theta + 1 > x_{(n)} \geq \dots \geq x_{(1)} > \theta \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= g(x_{(1)}, x_{(n)}, \theta) h(x_1, \dots, x_n)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(x_{(1)}, x_{(n)}, \theta) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \theta + 1 > x_{(n)} \text{ and } x_{(1)} > \theta \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

and

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$$

So $T = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$ is a SS for θ .

Two-dimensional Examples.

Example. Normal. iid $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$ (both unknown).

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a normal distribution $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. And Let

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i,$$

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2,$$

and let f be the joint density of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n .

$$f(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \mu, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x_i - \mu)^2}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x} + \bar{x} - \mu)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(\bar{x} - \mu) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \\ &= (n-1)s^2 + 2(\bar{x} - \mu) \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \\ &= (n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid \mu, \sigma^2) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} ((n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2)\right) \\ &= g(\bar{x}, s^2, \mu, \sigma^2) h(x_1, \dots, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g(\bar{x}, s^2, \mu, \sigma^2) &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} ((n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2)\right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1.$$

In this case we say (\bar{X}, S^2) is sufficient for (μ, σ^2) .

3. (Regular) Exponential Family

The density function of a regular exponential family is:

$$f(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = c(\boldsymbol{\theta})h(x) \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^k w_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})t_j(x) \right], \quad \boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$$

Example. Poisson(θ)

$$f(x; \theta) = \exp(-\theta) \frac{1}{x!} \exp[\ln(\theta) * x]$$

Example. Normal. $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\mu, \sigma^2)$ (both unknown).

$$\begin{aligned} f(x; \mu, \sigma^2) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x^2 - 2x\mu + \mu^2) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp \left[-\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x^2 - 2x\mu) \right] \end{aligned}$$

4. Theorem (Exponential family & sufficient Statistic). Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from the regular exponential family.

Then

$$\boldsymbol{T}(X) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_1(X_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n t_k(X_i) \right)$$

is sufficient for $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$.

Example. Poisson(θ)

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from **Poisson(θ)**

Then

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

is sufficient for θ .

Example. Normal. $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$ (both unknown).

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

Then

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \right)$$

is sufficient for $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$.

Exercise.

Apply the general exponential family result to all the standard families discussed above such as binomial, Poisson, normal, exponential, gamma.

A Non-Exponential Family Example.

Discrete uniform.

$P(X = x) = 1/\theta, x = 1, \dots, \theta, \theta$ is a positive integer.

Another Non-exponential Example.

$X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ iid } U(0, \theta), T = X_{(n)}.$

Universal Cases.

X_1, \dots, X_n are iid with density f .

- The original data X_1, \dots, X_n are always sufficient for θ .

(They are trivial statistics, since they do not lead any data reduction)

- Order statistics $T = (X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)})$ are always sufficient for θ .

(The dimension of order statistics is n , the same as the dimension of the data. Still this is a nontrivial reduction as $n!$ different values of data corresponds to one value of T .)

5. Theorem (Rao-Blackwell)

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from the population with pdf $f(x; \theta)$. Let $T(X)$ be a sufficient statistic for θ , and $U(X)$ be any unbiased estimator of θ .

Let $U^*(X) = E[U(X)|T]$, then

- (1) $U^*(X)$ is an unbiased estimator of θ ,
- (2) $U^*(X)$ is a function of T ,
- (3) $Var(U^*) \leq Var(U)$ for every θ , and $Var(U^*) < Var(U)$ for some θ unless $U^* = U$ with probability 1 .

Rao-Blackwell theorem tells us that in searching for an unbiased estimator with the smallest possible variance (i.e., the **best estimator, also called the uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimator – **UMVUE**, which is also referred to as simply the **MVUE**), we can restrict our search to only unbiased functions of the sufficient statistic $T(X)$.**

6. Transformation of Sufficient Statistics

1. If T is sufficient for θ and $T = c(U)$, a mathematical function of some other statistic, then U is also sufficient.
2. If T is sufficient for θ , and $U = G(T)$ with G being one-to-one, then U is also sufficient.

Remark: When one statistic is a function of the other statistic and vice versa, then they carry exactly the same amount of information.

Examples:

- If $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient, so is \bar{X} .
- If $(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2)$ are sufficient, so is (\bar{X}, S^2) .
- If $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient, so is $(\sum_{i=1}^m X_i, \sum_{i=m+1}^n X_i)$ is sufficient, and so is $(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2)$.

Examples of non-sufficiency.

Ex. X_1, X_2 iid Poisson(λ). $T = X_1 - X_2$ is not sufficient.

Ex. X_1, \dots, X_n iid pmf $f(x; \theta)$. $T = (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$ is not sufficient.

7. Minimal Sufficient Statistics

It is seen that different sufficient statistics are possible. Which one is the "best"? Naturally, the one with the maximum reduction.

- For $N(\theta, 1)$, \bar{X} is a better sufficient statistic for θ than (\bar{X}, S^2) .

Definition:

T is a minimal sufficient statistic if, given any other sufficient statistic T' , there is a function $c(\bullet)$ such that $T = c(T')$.

Equivalently, T is minimal sufficient if, given any other sufficient statistic T' , whenever \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are two data values such that $T'(\mathbf{x}) = T'(\mathbf{y})$, then $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y})$.

Partition Interpretation for Minimal Sufficient Statistics:

- Any sufficient statistic introduces a partition on the sample space.
- The partition of a minimal sufficient statistic is the coarsest.
- **Minimal sufficient statistic has the smallest dimension among possible sufficient statistics. Often the dimension is equal to the number of free parameters (exceptions do exist).**

Theorem (How to check minimal sufficiency).

A statistic T is minimal sufficient if the following property holds: For any two sample points \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , $f(\mathbf{x}; \theta)/f(\mathbf{y}; \theta)$ does not depend on θ (i.e. $f(\mathbf{x}; \theta)/f(\mathbf{y}; \theta)$ is a constant function of θ) if and only if $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y})$.

8. Exponential Families & Minimal Sufficient Statistic:

For a random sample from the regular exponential family with probability density $f(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = c(\boldsymbol{\theta})h(\mathbf{x}) \exp[\sum_{j=1}^k w_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})t_j(\mathbf{x})]$, where $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is k dimensional, the statistic

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = (\sum_{i=1}^n t_1(\mathbf{X}_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n t_k(\mathbf{X}_i))$$

is minimal sufficient for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Example. Poisson(θ)

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from **Poisson(θ)**

Then

$$T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

is minimal sufficient for θ .

Example. Normal. $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\mu, \sigma^2)$ (both unknown).

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

Then

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2)$$

Is minimal sufficient for $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\mu, \sigma^2)$.

Remarks:

- Minimal sufficient statistic is not unique. Any two are in one-to-one correspondence, so are equivalent.

9. Complete Statistics

Let a parametric family $\{f(x, \theta), \theta \in \Theta\}$ be given. Let T be a statistic. Induced family of distributions $f_T(t, \theta), \theta \in \Theta$.

A statistic T is complete for the family $\{f(x, \theta), \theta \in \Theta\}$, or equivalently, the induced family $f_T(t, \theta), \theta \in \Theta$ is called complete, if $E_\theta(g(T)) = 0$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$ implies that $g(T) = 0$ with probability 1.

Example. Poisson(θ)

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from **Poisson(θ)**

Then

$$T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

is minimal sufficient for θ . Now we show that T is also complete.

We know that $T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda = n\theta)$

Consider any function $u(T)$. We have

$$E[u(T)] = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{u(t)e^{-\lambda}\lambda^t}{t!}$$

Because $e^{-\lambda} \neq 0$, setting $E[u(T)] = 0$ requires all the coefficient

$$\frac{u(t)}{t!}$$

to be zero, which implies $u(T) = 0$.

Example. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid from $\text{Bin}(1, \theta)$. Show $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete statistic. **(*Please read our text book for more examples – but the following result on the regular exponential family is the most important.)**

10. Exponential Families & Complete Statistics

Theorem. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid observations from the regular exponential family, with the pdf

$f(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = c(\theta)h(\mathbf{x}) \exp[\sum_{j=1}^k w_j(\theta)t_j(\mathbf{x})]$, and $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$. Then

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_1(\mathbf{X}_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n t_k(\mathbf{X}_i) \right)$$

is complete if the parameter space $\{(w_1(\theta), \dots, w_k(\theta)) : \theta \in \Theta\}$ contains an open set in R^k .

(This is only a sufficient condition, not a necessary condition)

Example. $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(\theta, 1), -\infty < \theta < \infty$.

Example. Poisson(θ); $0 < \theta < \infty$.

$$f(x; \theta) = \exp(-\theta) \frac{1}{x!} \exp[\ln(\theta) * x]$$

Example. Normal. $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$ **(both unknown).**
 $-\infty < \mu < \infty, 0 < \sigma^2 < \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x; \mu, \sigma^2) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x^2 - 2x\mu + \mu^2)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left[-\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x^2 - 2x\mu)\right] \end{aligned}$$

Example. $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(\theta, 1), \theta = 1, 2$, is not complete.

Example. $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(\theta, 1), -\infty < \theta < \infty$, is complete.

Example. $\{\text{Bin}(2, p), p = 1/2, p = 1/4\}$ is not complete.

Example. The family $\{\text{Bin}(2,p), 0 < p < 1\}$ is complete.

Properties of the Complete Statistics

(i) If T is complete and $S = \psi(T)$, then S is also complete.

(ii) If a statistic T is complete and sufficient, then any minimal sufficient statistic is complete.

(iii) Trivial (constant) statistics are complete for any family.

11. Theorem (Lehmann-Scheffe). (Complete Sufficient Statistic and the Best Estimator)

If T is complete and sufficient, then $U = h(T)$ is the Best Estimator (also called UMVUE or MVUE) of its expectation.

Example. Poisson(θ)

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from **Poisson(θ)**

Then

$$T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

is complete sufficient for θ . Since

$$U = \frac{T(X)}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}$$

is an unbiased estimator of θ – by the **Lehmann-Scheffe theorem we know that U is a best estimator (UMVUE/MVUE) for θ .**

12. Theorem (Basu)

A complete sufficient statistic \mathbf{T} for the parameter $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is independent of any **ancillary statistic** – that is, a statistic whose distribution does not depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

Example. Consider a random sample of size n from a normal distribution $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\mu, \sigma^2)$.

$$\text{Consider the MLEs for } \mu, \sigma^2 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \hat{\mu} = \bar{X} \\ \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that \bar{X} is a complete sufficient statistic for μ , for fixed values of σ^2 . Also:

$$\frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-1)$$

which does not depend on μ . It follows from the Basu Theorem that the two MLEs are independent to each other.