Problem 1

1.1

Consider the following function of *n*:

$$egin{aligned} n^2 & \sum_{i=0}^n 5 \cdot i & n^3 \cdot \sqrt{rac{1}{n^3}} & n^2 + 2^n & (\Pi_{i=1}^9 i) & (\sum_{i=0}^{\log_2(n)} 2^i) + 1 & 7^{\ln(n)} \ & -\ln{(rac{1}{n})} & \ln{(2^n)} & 10 & n\log_2{(n^7)} & \sqrt{n^4} & n^n & 5n \end{aligned}$$

Group the above functions that have identical growth rate and order these groups on increasing growth. Hence:

- If you place functions $f_1(n)$ and $f_2(n)$ in the same group, then we must have $f_1(n) = \Theta(f_2(n))$;
- If you place function $f_1(n)$ in a group ordered before the group in which you place function $f_2(n)$, then we must have $f_1(n) = \mathcal{O}(f_2(n)) \wedge f_1(n) \neq \Omega(f_2(n))$.

Solution:

Theorem 3.25 states the following:

$$\lim_{n o \infty} rac{f(n)}{g(n)} ext{ is defined and is } egin{cases} \infty & ext{then } f(n) = \Omega(g(n)); \ c, ext{ with } c > 0 ext{ a constant} & ext{then } f(n) = \Theta(g(n)); \ 0 & ext{then } f(n) = \mathcal{O}(g(n)); \end{cases}$$

The following are the rules of thumb to determine the order of growth of functions:

$$egin{aligned} c \cdot f(n) &= \Theta(f(n)) \ \log_a(n) &= \Theta(\log_b(n)) \ \lim_{n o \infty} rac{n^c}{n^{c+d}} &= \lim_{n o \infty} rac{1}{n^d} = 0 o n^c = \mathcal{O}(n^{c+d}) \ \log_2(n)^c &= \mathcal{O}(n^d) \ orall c &= \mathcal{O}(d^n) \ orall c &> 0, d > 0 \ n^c &= \mathcal{O}(d^n) \ orall c &> 0, d > 1 \ d^{rac{n}{u}} &= \mathcal{O}(c^{rac{n}{v}}) \ orall c &\geq d \geq 1, u \geq v \geq 1 \ \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \cdot n^{d_i} &= \mathcal{O}(n^{d_i}) \ f(n) + g(n) &= \Theta(g(n)) \ h(n) \cdot n(n) &= \mathcal{O}(h(n) \cdot g(n)) \end{aligned}$$

Let's start with analysing the functions that have the same growth rate:

- n^2 : polynomial growth, $n^2 = \Theta(n^2)$ based on rule 3.
- $\sum_{i=0}^n 5 \cdot i$: polynomial growth, $\sum_{i=0}^n 5 \cdot i = 5 \cdot \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \frac{5}{2}n^2 + \frac{5}{2}n$, which is $\Theta(n^2)$ based on rule 7.
- $ullet n^3 \cdot \sqrt{rac{1}{n^3}}$: polynomial growth, $= n^3 \cdot rac{1}{n^{rac{3}{2}}} o \Theta(n^{rac{3}{2}})$ based on rule 9,3
- n^2+2^n : exponential growth, $=\Theta(2^n)$ based on rule 7
- $(\Pi_{i=1}^9 i)$: constant, $= 1 \cdot 2 \dots 9$ based on rule 9
- $\sum_{i=0}^{\log_2(n)} 2^i + 1$: linear, since the term is a geometrics series, such that $\sum_{i=0}^{\log_2(n)} 2^i + 1 = \frac{1\cdot(2^{\log_2(n)+1}-1)}{2-1} + 1 = 2\cdot 2^{\log_2(n)} = 2n$. Therefore based on rule 1 we have $\Theta(n)$
- $7^{\ln(n)}$: polynomial, since $7^{\ln(n)}=n^{\ln(7)}$. Apply rule 3 we have $7^{\ln(n)}=\Theta(n^{\ln 7}) o \mathcal{O}(n^2)$
- $-\ln(\frac{1}{n})$: logarithmic, since $-\ln(\frac{1}{n}) = \ln(n)$. Apply rule 1 yield $\Theta(\ln n)$.
- ullet $\ln(2^n)$: linear, since $\ln(2^n)=n\cdot \ln_2$ and rule 1 yield $\Theta(n)$
- 10: constant
- $n\log_2(n^7)$: polynomial, since $n\log_2(n^7)=n\cdot7\log_2(n)=7n\log_2(n)$. Based on rule 9 and 1 yield $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$
- $\sqrt{n^4}$: polynomial growth, = n^2 based on rule 3.
- n^n : super-exponential.
- 5n: linear based on rule 1, gives $\Theta(n)$

Thus the order from increasing rate:

•
$$10 \quad (\Pi^9_{i=1}i) \ (\textit{constant})$$

•
$$-\ln(\frac{1}{n})$$
 (logarithmic)

$$ullet \ \ln(2^n) \quad 5n \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\log_2(n)} 2^i + 1$$
 (linear)

$$ullet$$
 n^2 $\sum_{i=0}^n 5 \cdot i$ $n^3 \cdot \sqrt{rac{1}{n^3}}$ $\sqrt{n^4}$ $n \log_2(n^7)$ $7^{\ln(n)}$ (polynomial)

- $n^2 + 2^n$ (exponential)
- n^n (super-exponential)

1.2

Consider the following recurrence

$$T(n) = egin{cases} 7 & ext{if } n \leq 1; \ 3T(n-2) & ext{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

Use induction to prove that T(n)=f(n) with $f(n)=7\cdot 3^{[rac{n}{2}]}$

Solution:

base case:

Given $T(n)=f(n)=7\cdot 3^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}$ apply for n=0 and n=1:

$$ullet \ n=1 o T(n)=7\cdot 3^{[rac{1}{2}]}=7\cdot 3^0=7$$

$$ullet \ n=0 o T(n) = 7 \cdot 3^{[rac{0}{2}]} = 7 \cdot 3^0 = 7$$

Thus the base case holds.

induction hypothesis:

Assume T(n)=f(n) holds for n>1. We will prove that f(n+2)=T(n+2) also holds.

$$f(n) = T(n) \ T(n) = f(n) = 3T(n-2) = 3f(n-2) = 3\cdot 7\cdot 3^{[rac{n-2}{2}]} \ T(n+2) = 3T(n)$$

Therefore:

$$egin{aligned} T(n+2) &= 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 3^{[rac{n-2}{2}]} = 7 \cdot 3^{[rac{n-2}{2}+2]} \ T(n+2) &= 7 \cdot 3^{[rac{n+2}{2}]} = f(n+2) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the induction hypothesis holds.

conclusion:

$$T(n)=f(n)=7\cdot 3^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}$$
 is true for all $n\geq 0$.

Problem 2

Consider the following Count algorithm

```
Algorithm Count(L, v):
Pre: L is an array, v is a value
i, c := 0, 0
while i neq |L| do
   if L[i] = v then
      c := c + 1
   end if
   i := i + 1
end while
return c.
Post: return the number of copies of v in L
```

2.1

Provide an invariant for the while loop at Line 2

Solution:

$$0 \leq i \leq |L|, c = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} [L[j] = v]$$

2.2

Provide a bound function for the while loop at Line 2

Solution:

$$f(i) = |L| - i$$

2.3

Prove that Count algorithm is correct.

Solution:

Line 1: i, c := 0, 0

- L[0,i) with i=0 is L[0,0)
- ullet L[0,0) is empty, hence $c=\sum_{j=0}^{i-1}[L[j]=v]=0$
- ullet bound function f(i)=|L|-i starts at $|L|,|L|\geq 0$

Line 2: while i neq |L| do

- bound function f(i) stops at 0
- ullet invariant still holds, with i
 eq |L|

Now prove invariant holds again til reach the end of the m-th loop:

Line 3-5: if L[i] = v then case:

 $ullet \ L[i] = v \, {
m hence} \, v \in L[0,i]$

- ullet invariant still holds, with i
 eq |L| and L[v] = v
- $i_{
 m new}=i+1$ hence $0< i_{
 m new}\leq |L|$ implies \$ 0 \leq i{\text{new}} \leq |L|\$\$ and \$f(i{\text{new}}) = f(i) 1\$\$
- $ullet c_{
 m new} = c+1 = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} [L[j]=v] + 1 = \sum_{j=0}^{i_{
 m new}} [L[j]=v]$
- ullet f(i) strictly decreases after each iteration, $i_{
 m new}:=i+1$

Therefore the invariant still holds within the if statement.

L7: end while

- i = |L| hence f(i) = 0, the loop stops
- $ullet c = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} [L[j] = v] = \sum_{j=0}^{|L|-1} [L[j] = v]$

Therefore the invariant still holds at the end of the loop.

2.4

What is the runtime and memory complexity of Count algorithm?

Solution:

- L1 implies 2 instructions
- L2 implies 2 instructions |L| + 1 times,
- ullet L3-5 (if loop) implies 4 instructions |L| times
- L6 implies 2 instructions |L| times

Therefore number of work is 5+8N, thus runtime complexity would be $\Theta(5+8N)$.

Memory complexity is $\Theta(1)$, since only 2 variables are used.

Provide an algorithm FastCount (L, \vee) operates on ordered lists L and computes the same results as Count (L, \vee) but with a $\mathcal{O}(\log_2(|L|))$

Solution:

```
Algorithm FastCount(L, v):
Pre: L is an ordered array, v is a value
function binarySearchFirst(L, v)
 low, high := 0, |L| - 1
  results := -1
 while low ≤ high do
    mid := (low + high) / 2
    if L[mid] < v then
      low := mid + 1
    else if L[mid] > v then
      high := mid - 1
    else
      results := mid
      high := mid - 1
  end while
  return results
end function
function binarySearchLast(L, v)
  low, high := 0, |L| - 1
  results := -1
 while low ≤ high do
    mid := (low + high) / 2
    if L[mid] < v then
      low := mid + 1
    else if L[mid] > v then
      high := mid - 1
    else
      results := mid
      low := mid + 1
  end while
  return result
end function
```

```
firstIndex := binarySearchFirst(L, v)
if firstIndex = -1 then
  return 0
end if
lastIndex := binarySearchLast(L, v)
return lastIndex - firstIndex + 1
Post: return the number of copies of v in L
```