

Q1)

a. *Would you see any of the solar system planets transit?*

For an inclination of $i = 45^\circ$, transits are mostly observed when the orbital plane is edge on to the observer. It is plausible for some planets that is larger sized and orbit closer to ecliptic plane would transit the Sun given the direct line of sight.

b. *If you monitored the Sun with radial velocity (RV) measurements and your technology was precise enough that you could measure RV signals down to 1 m/s, show and discuss whether you're able to detect Venus.*

Given the semi-amplitude K of the radial velocity curve is given by

$$K = \frac{M_p \sin i}{(M_* + M_p)^{\frac{2}{3}}} \left(\frac{2\pi G}{P} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

We have

$$G = 6.674 \times 10^{-11} \text{m}^3 \text{kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$$

$$M_p = 4.87 \times 10^{24} \text{kg}$$

$$M_* = 1.989 \times 10^{30} \text{kg}$$

$$P = 224.7 \text{ days}$$

$$K = 4.87 \times 10^{24} \sin 45 \left(\frac{2\pi G}{224.7 \times 24 \times 3600} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \approx 0.061 \text{m/s}$$

Given the precision of the RV measurements is 1 m/s, we can conclude that Venus is not detectable with the current technology.

Venus induces a very small motion in the Sun due to gravitation pull, since RV is more sensitive to larger planets closer to their host stars.

c. Using the same RV measurements, show and discuss whether you're able to detect Jupiter

For Jupiter, we have

$$G = 6.674 \times 10^{-11}$$

$$M_p = 1.898 \times 10^{27}$$

$$M_* = 1.989 \times 10^{30}$$

$$P = 224.7 \text{ days}$$

$$K = 1.898 \times 10^{27} \sin 45 \left(\frac{2\pi G}{224.7 \times 24 \times 3600} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \approx 8.81 \text{ m/s}$$

We can conclude that Jupiter is detectable with the current technology.

This is due to Jupiter's significant mass and gravitational pull on the Sun, which induces a larger motion via the Doppler shifts.

d. If you knew that the Sun's mass is $1M$ and you successfully detected Venus and/or Jupiter using these RV data, could you measure either planet's absolute mass and why

Detecting a planet using RV allows us to measure planet's minimum mass, not absolute mass. This has to do with the inclination angle of its orbit ($\sin i$)

If the orbit is edge-on ($i = 90^\circ$), then RV gives the closest approximation to the planet's absolute mass. However, in this

case our $i = 45^\circ$, so we can only measure the minimum mass of the planet based on the assumption of an edge-on orbit.

e. If you also monitored the Sun with astrometric measurements and your technology was precise enough that you could measure signals down to $10 \mu\text{as}$ (i.e. micro-arcseconds), show and discuss whether you're able to detect Jupiter

The amplitude of astrometric signal a is given by

$$a = \frac{m_p}{m_*} \frac{a_p}{d}$$

where m_p is the mass of the planet, m_* is the mass of the star, a_p is the semi-major axis of the planet's orbit, and d is the distance to the star.

For Jupiter, we have

$$m_p = 1.898 \times 10^{27} \text{ kg}$$

$$m_* = 1.989 \times 10^{30} \text{ kg}$$

$$a_p = 5.2 \text{ AU}$$

$$d = 10 \text{ pc}$$

$$a = \frac{1.898 \times 10^{27}}{1.989 \times 10^{30}} \frac{5.2 \times 1.496 \times 10^{11}}{10 \text{ pc}} * 1e^6 \approx 496.21 \mu\text{as}$$

Therefore, Jupiter would be easily detectable.

The signal is the result of Jupiter's substantial mass and larger distance from the Sun.

f. Using the same astrometric measurements, show and discuss whether you're able to detect Venus

For Venus, we have

$$m_p = 4.87 \times 10^{24} \text{kg}$$

$$m_* = 1.989 \times 10^{30} \text{kg}$$

$$a_p = 0.72 \text{AU}$$

$$d = 10 \text{pc}$$

$$a = \frac{4.87 \times 10^{24}}{1.989 \times 10^{30}} \frac{0.72 \times 1.496 \times 10^{11}}{10 \text{pc}} * 1e^6 \approx 0.177 \mu\text{as}$$

Therefore, Venus would not be detectable.

The signal is the result of Venus's smaller mass and closer proximity to the Sun, therefore exert a smaller gravitational effect on the Sun's position.

g. If you knew that the Sun's mass is 1 M and you successfully detected Venus and/or Jupiter using these astrometric data, could you measure either planet's absolute mass and why?

Yes, since astrometric measures the displacement of the star's position relative to distant background stars as it orbits around.

The amplitude of the astrometric signal is directly proportional to the mass of the planet, and inversely proportional to the mass of the star, therefore we can calculate the absolute mass of the planet, given the semi-major axis of its orbits and the mass of the stars (which is 1M in this case here).

Q2)

$$L_{\text{orb}} = \frac{2\pi a^2 \sqrt{1 - e^2}}{P} M$$

$$L_{\text{rot}} = I\omega$$

$$I = \frac{2}{5} MR^2$$

$$\omega = \frac{2\pi}{P_{\text{rot}}}$$

a. *Derive the expression for the ratio of orbital to rotational angular momenta. For this exercise, assume a circular orbit*

For ratio $\frac{L_{\text{orb}}}{L_{\text{rot}}}$ we have

$$L_{\text{orb}} = \frac{2\pi a^2}{P} M$$

$$L_{\text{rot}} = I\omega = \frac{2}{5} MR^2 \frac{2\pi}{P_{\text{rot}}} = \frac{4\pi MR^2}{5P_{\text{rot}}}$$

Therefore $\frac{L_{\text{orb}}}{L_{\text{rot}}} = \frac{5a^2 P_{\text{rot}}}{2R^2 P}$

b. *It is a common misconception that the planets in our solar system orbit the Sun. In reality, the planets and the Sun all orbit their common center of mass. As such, the Sun has a non-zero semimajor axis a_{\odot} . Let us approximate the solar system as a 1-planet system that contains the Sun and Jupiter. In this scenario, what is the expression for a_{\odot} in terms of Jupiter's semimajor axis a_J and both objects' masses?*

In a two-body system, the formula to derive the distance of the Sun from the barycenter is given by:

$$a_{\odot} = \frac{a_J M_J}{M_{\odot}}$$

where a_J is the semimajor axis of Jupiter, M_J is the mass of Jupiter, and M_{\odot} is the mass of the Sun.

The total distance D between the Sun and Jupiter is the sum of their distance to the center of mass: $D = a_{\odot} + a_J$

Thus, considering this, the distance of the Sun from the barycenter is given by:

$$a_{\odot} = \frac{a_J M_J}{M_J + M_{\odot}}$$

c. Using this expression, calculate the value of a in au

Given that $a_J = 5.2\text{AU}$, $M_J = 1.898 \times 10^{27}\text{kg}$, and $M_{\odot} = 1.989 \times 10^{30}\text{kg}$, we have

$$a_{\odot} = \frac{5.2 \times 1.898 \times 10^{27}}{1.898 \times 10^{27} + 1.989 \times 10^{30}} \approx 0.00496\text{AU}$$

d. Given your value of a_{\odot} , calculate the ratio of the Sun's orbital angular momentum to its rotation angular momentum. Is most of the Sun's angular momentum manifested as orbital or rotational?

Using the formula derived in part a, we have

$$\frac{L_{\text{orb}}}{L_{\text{rot}}} = \frac{5a_{\odot}^2 P_{\text{rot}}}{2R^2 P} = \frac{5 \times 0.00496\text{AU}^2 \times 25 \times 86400 \text{ sec}}{2 \times (6.96 \times 10^8)^2 \times 11.86 \times 3.153 \times 10^7} \approx 0.0164$$

This indicates that most of the Sun's angular momentum is manifested as rotational.

e. Now calculate the ratio of Jupiter's orbital angular momentum to its rotational angular momentum. Is most of Jupiter's angular momentum manifested as orbital or rotational?

Using the formula derived in part a, we have

$$\frac{L_{\text{orb}}}{L_{\text{rot}}} = \frac{5a_J^2 P_{\text{rot}}}{2R^2 P} = \frac{5 \times 5.2 \text{AU}^2 \times 9.93 \times 3600 \text{ sec}}{2 \times (7.149 \times 10^7)^2 \times 11.86 \times 3.153 \times 10^7} \approx 28287.8$$

This indicates that most of Jupiter's angular momentum is manifested as orbital.

f. In parts d) and e) above, you should have found that the total angular momenta of both the Sun and Jupiter are heavily dominated by either their own L_{orb} or L_{rot} . Using the dominant forms of angular momenta for each body, calculate the ratio $\frac{L_J}{L_{\odot}}$

For Jupiter's orbital angular momentum $L_{\text{orb},J}$, we have $L_{\text{orb},J} = M_J \sqrt{GM_{\odot} a_J}$, and for the Sun's rotational angular momentum $L_{\text{rot},\odot} = I_{\odot} \omega_{\odot}$, we have $L_{\text{rot},\odot} = \frac{2}{5} M_{\odot} R_{\odot}^2 \omega_{\odot} = \frac{2}{5} M_{\odot} R_{\odot}^2 \frac{2\pi}{P_{\text{rot},\odot}}$

Thus the ratio $\frac{L_J}{L_{\odot}}$ is given by

$$\frac{L_J}{L_{\odot}} = \frac{L_{\text{orb},J}}{L_{\text{rot},\odot}} = \frac{M_J \sqrt{GM_{\odot} a_J}}{\frac{2}{5} M_{\odot} R_{\odot}^2 \frac{2\pi}{P_{\text{rot},\odot}}}$$

Given that $a_J = 5.2 \text{AU}$, $M_J = 1.898 \times 10^{27} \text{kg}$, $M_{\odot} = 1.989 \times 10^{30} \text{kg}$, $R_{\odot} = 6.96 \times 10^8 \text{m}$, and $P_{\text{rot},\odot} = 25 \times 86400 \text{sec}$, we have

$$\frac{L_J}{L_{\odot}} \approx 17.20$$

g. Comment on where most of the angular momentum in the solar system is located.

Most of angular momentum in the solar system is located in the orbital motion of the planets, with Jupiter having the most significant contribution to the total angular momentum.

This is due to the angular momentum of an orbiting body is proportional to the mass of the body and the distance from the center of mass, and inversely proportional to the period of the orbit.

Q3)

$$v(\theta) = \sqrt{GM \left(\frac{2}{r(\theta)} - \frac{1}{a} \right)}$$
$$E = K + U = -\frac{GMm}{2a}$$

a. Use the conservation of angular momentum L and mechanical energy E to derive Eq. 4

The angular momentum L of a planet in orbit around a larger mass is given by

$$L = mrv_{\perp}$$

where:

- m is the mass of the planet
- v_{\perp} is the velocity of the planet perpendicular to the vector pointing from the Sun
- r is the distance from the planet to the larger mass.

In an elliptical orbit, the direction of velocity changes, but magnitude of angular momentum is conserved due to no external torques. Therefore

$$L = mr(\theta)v(\theta) \sin \phi = \text{constant}$$

The total mechanical energy E of a planet in orbit around a larger mass is given by

The kinetic energy K and the potential energy U of a planet in orbit around a larger mass is given by

$$K = \frac{1}{2}mv(\theta)^2$$

$$U = -\frac{GMm}{r(\theta)}$$

The total mechanical energy E of a planet in orbit around a larger mass is given by

$$E = K + U = \frac{1}{2}mv(\theta)^2 - \frac{GMm}{r(\theta)}$$

Given that the orbital velocity $v(\theta)$ is given by

$$v(\theta) = \sqrt{GM \left(\frac{2}{r(\theta)} - \frac{1}{a} \right)}$$

We can substitute $v(\theta)$ into the equation for K to get

$$K = GMm \left(\frac{1}{r(\theta)} - \frac{1}{2a} \right)$$

Thus the total mechanical energy E of a planet in orbit around a larger mass is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
E = K + U &= GMm \left(\frac{1}{r(\theta)} - \frac{1}{2a} \right) - \frac{GMm}{r(\theta)} \\
&= GMm \left(\frac{1}{r(\theta)} - \frac{1}{2a} - \frac{1}{r(\theta)} \right) \\
&= -\frac{GMm}{2a}
\end{aligned}$$

b. Use Eq. 4 to derive Eq. 3

$$E = K + U = \frac{1}{2}mv(\theta)^2 - \frac{GMm}{r(\theta)}$$

Since E remains constant, given that the total energy in a bound orbit is negative, we have

$$E = -\frac{GMm}{2a}$$

where a is the semi-major axis of the orbit.

We equate the two equations and solve for $v(\theta)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{GMm}{2a} &= \frac{1}{2}mv(\theta)^2 - \frac{GMm}{r(\theta)} \\
v(\theta)^2 &= \frac{GM}{r(\theta)} \left(\frac{2}{r(\theta)} - \frac{1}{a} \right) \\
v(\theta) &= \sqrt{GM \left(\frac{2}{r(\theta)} - \frac{1}{a} \right)}
\end{aligned}$$