# Programming Assignment 1 CSC410 - Parallel Computing

Aaron G. Alphonsus

October 1, 2018

## 1 Circuit Satisfiability

### 1.1 Introduction

We are given a circuit and we want to find out the inputs for which it produces a true output. While this problem is NP-complete, we can solve it for small input values, and we can parallelize our solution to give us a speed-up. In the circuit we are given, there are 16 inputs. Since each input can be a 0 or 1, there are  $2^{16} = 65536$  different possible inputs. The circuit we were given can be seen in figure 1.




Figure 1: Circuit with 16 inputs (labeled a-p)

### 1.2 Algorithms and Libraries

We use a simple brute force algorithm where we test each of the 65536 possible inputs to see if any outputs 1. When we find one, we print the combination and we update our solution count. When all the tasks are done we print the number of solutions.

We parallelize the algorithm and check each of the 65536 combinations in parallel. The only dependency we need to be careful of is updating the solution counter and we use a reduction for this.

#### Libraries used:

- <omp.h>
- <math.h>
- <stdlib.h>
- <stdio.h>

### 1.3 Functions and Program Structure

The program has 5 functions:

- main
- usage
- check\_circuit
- time\_parallel
- time\_serial

#### 1.3.1 main

### Arguments:

- int argc: Number of command-line arguments.
- char\* argv[]: Pointer array storing each command-line argument.

Returns: 0 indicating normal termination.

### Description:

- Takes in 2 command-line arguments print and reps and checks them for valid range. Calls usage function if invalid.
- Declares variables and calls the parallel and serial functions to run and time each method.
- Prints the time taken by each method.

### 1.3.2 usage

### Arguments:

• char\* prog\_name: Number of command-line arguments.

Returns: void

Description: Prints a message explaining how to run the program.

#### 1.3.3 check\_circuit

#### Arguments:

- int id: ID of the process calling the function.
- int z: One of the 65536 possible inputs.
- int print: Contains a 0 or 1 to suppress or allow printing within the function.

Returns: 1 or 0 indicating a true or false output from the circuit.

### Description:

- Takes in an integer **z** representing one of the possible inputs to the circuit. Extracts each bit of **z** and stores it in an array.
- Uses an if statement to represent the circuit and test its satisfiability.
- Prints the input combination if it satisfies the circuit as well as the process id that calls the function.

### 1.3.4 time\_parallel

### Arguments:

- int inputs: Number of possible inputs (65536 in this case).
- int thread\_count: Number of threads to parallelize the for loop.
- int reps: Number of times to repeat the algorithm.
- int print: Contains a 0 or 1 to suppress or allow printing within the check\_circuit function.

Returns: Time taken to run the algorithm averaged over reps times.

### Description:

- Runs the algorithm to check the circuit satisfiability reps times. Keeps a track of how long each run takes.
- Evaluates the output for each of the 65536 inputs in parallel with thread\_count threads.
- Keeps a track of the number of inputs that satisfies the circuit and prints it out.

#### 1.3.5 time\_serial

### Arguments:

- int inputs: Number of possible inputs (65536 in this case).
- int reps: Number of times to repeat the algorithm.
- int print: Contains a 0 or 1 to suppress or allow printing within the check\_circuit function.

Returns: Time takes to run the algorithm averaged over reps times.

#### Description:

- Runs the algorithm to check the circuit satisfiability reps times. Keeps a track of how long each run takes.
- Evaluates the output for each of the 65536 inputs serially.
- Keeps a track of the number of inputs that satisfies the circuit and prints it out.

### 1.4 Compilation and Usage

Compilation: make all

Usage: ./prog1 <print> <reps>

Both programs can be compiled and linked at the same time using the Makefile. To get rid of the executables in the folder, run the command make clean.

To use the program, we have a couple of command-line options to make it easier to test and experiment as we time our parallel and serial functions.

- The <print> variable expects an input of 0 or 1 corresponding to 'suppress printing' or 'allow printing' respectively.
- The <reps> variable is so that we can run both the serial and parallel algorithms multiple times and get a meaningful time comparison. Expects a value greater than or equal to 1.

To run the program once with printing of every solution:

```
./prog1 1 1
```

To time the program and suppress printing, bump up the number of repetitions and use 0 for the print variable:

```
./prog1 0 1000
```

### 1.5 Testing and Verification

To verify the program once we had our solution, we put our solution into Google and found that other people had published the same answer as us.

```
0) 1010111110011001
0) 0110111110011001
0) 1110111110011001
  1010111110111001
2) 0110111110111001
  1010111111011001
  0110111111011001
4) 1110111111011001
2) 1110111110111001
Number of solutions = 9
39413) 1010111110011001
39414) 0110111110011001
39415) 1110111110011001
39925) 1010111111011001
39926) 0110111111011001
      1110111111011001
39927)
40437)
      1010111110111001
40438)
      0110111110111001
40439) 1110111110111001
Number of solutions = 9
Parallel time 18.4957 ms
Serial time = 3.2901 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>
```

Figure 2: Solutions to the circuit

Once we verified that we had the right answer, we moved onto timing the two versions of the program, the parallel and serial. As you can see in 6, running the program just once doesn't really give us an accurate idea of how long each implementation takes. So, we built in a way to suppress the printing and increase the number of times the algorithm is run.

```
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.6107 ms
Serial time = 2.3028 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.5252 ms
Serial time = 2.2934 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.6158 ms
Serial time = 2.2925 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.5130 ms
Serial time = 2.2921 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.5121 ms
Serial time = 2.2936 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>.
```

Figure 3: Comparing times for prog1

#### 1.5.1 Scheduling

We tried using the OpenMP loop scheduler to see if we could better our performance. While the schedule(static, 1) showed a marginal improvement in performance, like we saw in class, schedule(dynamic, 1) does not do very well. This can be seen in figure 8.

```
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
                                                        Parallel time = 1.8671 ms
Serial time = 2.2963 ms
Parallel time = 0.5315 ms
Serial time = 2.3012 ms
                                                        7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
                                                        Parallel time = 1.7629 ms
Parallel time = 0.5212 ms
                                                        Serial time = 2.2949 ms
Serial time = 2.2931 ms
                                                        7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.5104 ms
                                                        Parallel time = 1.8400 ms
Serial time = 2.2914 ms
                                                        Serial time = 2.3460 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
                                                        7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
                                                        Parallel time = 1.6759 ms
Serial time = 2.3469 ms
Parallel time = 0.5189 ms
Serial time = 2.2973 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.5168 ms
Serial time = 2.2948 ms
                                                        7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
                                                        Parallel time = 1.8369 ms
Serial time = 2.2953 ms
                                                         <sup>296837@linux101 program1 >></sup>
7296837@linux101 program1 >>
```

Figure 4: Comparison of the static and dynamic schedulers

It should be noted that the dynamic scheduler does achieve a comparable performance to the static scheduler when the chunk size is increased.

• Description of the program. • Description of the algorithms and libraries used. • Description of functions and program structure. • How to compile and use the program. • Description of the testing and verification process. • Description of what you have submitted: Makefile, external functions, main, etc.

### 2 Sieve of Eratosthenes

### 2.1 Introduction

We are looking to find all the prime numbers up to an integer n using Eratosthenes' method.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60
61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70
71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90
91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100

Figure 5: Sieve of Eratosthenes up to 100

We would also like to parallelize the algorithm to improve our speed in finding all the primes less than or equal to n.

### 2.2 Algorithms and Libraries

The sieve of Eratosthenes algorithm is as follows:

- 1. Create a list of consecutive integers from 2 through n: (2, 3, 4, ..., n).
- 2. Initially, let p equal 2, the first prime number.
- 3. Starting from p, enumerate its multiples by counting to sqrt(n) in increments of p, and mark them in the list. These will be 2p, 3p, 4p, etc. (p itself should not be marked).
- 4. Find the first number greater than p in the list that is not marked. If there was no such number, stop. Otherwise, let p now equal this new number (which is the next prime), and repeat from step 3.

When we look at this algorithm, it is clear that step 3 can be parallelized. We could also parallelize step 4 but we might do extra work, finding multiples of a number that isn't prime. While this won't give us an incorrect answer, it might slow us down. We have opted to only parallelize step 3 of the algorithm.

Libraries used:

- <ctype.h>
- <math.h>

- <omp.h>
- <stdlib.h>
- <stdio.h>
- <string.h>

### 2.3 Functions and Program Structure

The program has 5 functions:

- main
- usage
- time\_parallel
- time\_serial
- output
- $\bullet$  output\_testing

#### 2.3.1 main

Arguments:

- int argc: Number of command-line arguments.
- char\* argv[]: Pointer array storing each command-line argument.

Returns: 0 indicating normal termination.

Description:

- Takes in 2 command-line arguments print and reps and checks them for valid range. Calls usage function if invalid.
- Declares variables and calls the parallel and serial functions to run and time each method.
- Prints the time taken by each method.

### 2.3.2 usage

Arguments:

• char\* prog\_name: Number of command-line arguments.

Returns: void

Description: Prints a message explaining how to run the program.

#### 2.3.3 check\_circuit

#### Arguments:

- int id: ID of the process calling the function.
- int z: One of the 65536 possible inputs.
- int print: Contains a 0 or 1 to suppress or allow printing within the function.

Returns: 1 or 0 indicating a true or false output from the circuit.

### Description:

- Takes in an integer **z** representing one of the possible inputs to the circuit. Extracts each bit of **z** and stores it in an array.
- Uses an if statement to represent the circuit and test its satisfiability.
- Prints the input combination if it satisfies the circuit as well as the process id that calls the function.

### 2.3.4 time\_parallel

### Arguments:

- int inputs: Number of possible inputs (65536 in this case).
- int thread\_count: Number of threads to parallelize the for loop.
- int reps: Number of times to repeat the algorithm.
- int print: Contains a 0 or 1 to suppress or allow printing within the check\_circuit function.

Returns: Time taken to run the algorithm averaged over reps times.

### Description:

- Runs the algorithm to check the circuit satisfiability reps times. Keeps a track of how long each run takes.
- Evaluates the output for each of the 65536 inputs in parallel with thread\_count threads.
- Keeps a track of the number of inputs that satisfies the circuit and prints it out.

#### 2.3.5 time\_serial

### Arguments:

- int inputs: Number of possible inputs (65536 in this case).
- int reps: Number of times to repeat the algorithm.
- int print: Contains a 0 or 1 to suppress or allow printing within the check\_circuit function.

Returns: Time takes to run the algorithm averaged over reps times.

#### Description:

- Runs the algorithm to check the circuit satisfiability reps times. Keeps a track of how long each run takes.
- Evaluates the output for each of the 65536 inputs serially.
- Keeps a track of the number of inputs that satisfies the circuit and prints it out.

### 2.4 Compilation and Usage

Compilation: make all

Usage: ./prog1 <print> <reps>

Both programs can be compiled and linked at the same time using the Makefile. To get rid of the executables in the folder, run the command make clean.

To use the program, we have a couple of command-line options to make it easier to test and experiment as we time our parallel and serial functions.

- The <print> variable expects an input of 0 or 1 corresponding to 'suppress printing' or 'allow printing' respectively.
- The <reps> variable is so that we can run both the serial and parallel algorithms multiple times and get a meaningful time comparison. Expects a value greater than or equal to 1.

To run the program once with printing of every solution:

```
./prog1 1 1
```

To time the program and suppress printing, bump up the number of repetitions and use 0 for the print variable:

```
./prog1 0 1000
```

### 2.5 Testing and Verification

To verify the program once we had our solution, we put our solution into Google and found that other people had published the same answer as us.

```
0) 1010111110011001
0) 0110111110011001
0) 1110111110011001
  1010111110111001
2) 0110111110111001
  1010111111011001
  0110111111011001
4) 1110111111011001
2) 1110111110111001
Number of solutions = 9
39413) 1010111110011001
39414) 0110111110011001
39415) 1110111110011001
39925) 1010111111011001
39926) 0110111111011001
39927) 1110111111011001
40437)
      1010111110111001
40438)
      0110111110111001
40439) 1110111110111001
Number of solutions = 9
Parallel time 18.4957 ms
Serial time = 3.2901 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>
```

Figure 6: Solutions to the circuit

Once we verified that we had the right answer, we moved onto timing the two versions of the program, the parallel and serial. As you can see in 6, running the program just once doesn't really give us an accurate idea of how long each implementation takes. So, we built in a way to suppress the printing and increase the number of times the algorithm is run.

```
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.6107 ms
Serial time = 2.3028 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.5252 ms
Serial time = 2.2934 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.6158 ms
Serial time = 2.2925 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.5130 ms
Serial time = 2.2921 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.5121 ms
Serial time = 2.2936 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>.
```

Figure 7: Comparing times for prog1

### 2.5.1 Scheduling

We tried using the OpenMP loop scheduler to see if we could better our performance. While the schedule(static, 1) showed a marginal improvement in performance, like we saw in class, schedule(dynamic, 1) does not do very well. This can be seen in figure 8.

```
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
                                                           Parallel time = 1.8671 ms
Serial time = 2.2963 ms
Parallel time = 0.5315 ms
Serial time = 2.3012 ms
                                                           7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
                                                           Parallel time = 1.7629 ms
Parallel time = 0.5212 ms
                                                           Serial time = 2.2949 ms
Serial time = 2.2931 ms
                                                          7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.5104 ms
                                                           Parallel time = 1.8400 ms
Serial time = 2.3460 ms
Serial time = 2.2914 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
                                                           7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.5189 ms
Serial time = 2.2973 ms
                                                           Parallel time = 1.6759 ms
Serial time = 2.3469 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.5168 ms
Serial time = 2.2948 ms
                                                           7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
                                                           Parallel time = 1.8369 ms
Serial time = 2.2953 ms
                                                            296837@linux101 program1 >>
7296837@linux101 program1 >>
```

Figure 8: Comparison of the static and dynamic schedulers

It should be noted that the dynamic scheduler does achieve a comparable performance to the static scheduler when the chunk size is increased.

### 3 Files Submitted

- prog1.pdf
- Makefile
- prog1.c
- prog2.c