Programming Assignment 1 CSC410 - Parallel Computing

Aaron G. Alphonsus

October 2, 2018

1 Circuit Satisfiability

1.1 Introduction

We are given a circuit and we want to find out the inputs for which it produces a true output. While this problem is NP-complete, we can solve it for small input values, and we can parallelize our solution to give us a speed-up. In the circuit we are given, there are 16 inputs. Since each input can be a 0 or 1, there are $2^{16} = 65536$ different possible inputs. The circuit we were given can be seen in figure 1.




Figure 1: Circuit with 16 inputs (labeled a-p)

1.2 Algorithms and Libraries

We use a simple brute force algorithm where we test each of the 65536 possible inputs to see if any outputs 1. When we find one, we print the combination and we update our solution count. When all the tasks are done we print the number of solutions.

We parallelize the algorithm and check each of the 65536 combinations in parallel. The only dependency we need to be careful of is updating the solution counter and we use a reduction for this.

Libraries used:

- <omp.h>
- <math.h>
- <stdlib.h>
- <stdio.h>

1.3 Functions and Program Structure

The program has 5 functions:

- main
- usage
- check_circuit
- time_parallel
- time_serial

1.3.1 main

Arguments:

- int argc: Number of command-line arguments.
- char* argv[]: Pointer array storing each command-line argument.

Returns: 0 indicating normal termination.

Description:

- Takes in 2 command-line arguments print and reps and checks them for valid range. Calls usage function if invalid.
- Declares variables and calls the parallel and serial functions to run and time each method.
- Prints the time taken by each method.

1.3.2 usage

Arguments:

• char* prog_name: Character array containing the name of the program.

Returns: void

Description: Prints a message explaining how to run the program.

1.3.3 check_circuit

Arguments:

- int id: ID of the process calling the function.
- int z: One of the 65536 possible inputs.
- int print: Contains a 0 or 1 to suppress or allow printing within the function.

Returns: 1 or 0 indicating a true or false output from the circuit.

Description:

- Takes in an integer **z** representing one of the possible inputs to the circuit. Extracts each bit of **z** and stores it in an array.
- Uses an if statement to represent the circuit and test its satisfiability.
- Prints the input combination if it satisfies the circuit as well as the process id that calls the function.

1.3.4 time_parallel

Arguments:

- int inputs: Number of possible inputs (65536 in this case).
- int thread_count: Number of threads to parallelize the for loop.
- int reps: Number of times to repeat the algorithm.
- int print: Contains a 0 or 1 to suppress or allow printing within the check_circuit function.

Returns: Time taken to run the algorithm averaged over reps times.

Description:

- Runs the algorithm to check the circuit satisfiability reps times. Keeps a track of how long each run takes.
- Evaluates the output for each of the 65536 inputs in parallel with thread_count threads.
- Keeps a track of the number of inputs that satisfies the circuit and prints it out.

1.3.5 time_serial

Arguments:

- int inputs: Number of possible inputs (65536 in this case).
- int reps: Number of times to repeat the algorithm.
- int print: Contains a 0 or 1 to suppress or allow printing within the check_circuit function.

Returns: Time taken to run the algorithm averaged over reps times.

Description:

- Runs the algorithm to check the circuit satisfiability reps times. Keeps a track of how long each run takes.
- Evaluates the output for each of the 65536 inputs serially.
- Keeps a track of the number of inputs that satisfies the circuit and prints it out.

1.4 Compilation and Usage

Compilation: make prog1
Usage: ./prog1 <pri>yrint> <reps>

Both programs can be compiled and linked at the same time using the command make all. To get rid of the executables in the folder, run the command make clean.

To use the program, we have a couple of command-line options to make it easier to test and experiment as we time our parallel and serial functions.

- The print variable expects an input of 0 or 1 corresponding to 'suppress printing' or 'allow printing' respectively.
- The reps variable is so that we can run both the serial and parallel algorithms multiple times and get a meaningful time comparison. Expects a value greater than or equal to 1.

To run the program once with printing of every solution:

```
./prog1 1 1
```

To time the program and suppress printing, bump up the number of repetitions and use 0 for the print variable:

```
./prog1 0 1000
```

1.5 Testing and Verification

To verify the program once we had our solution, we put our solution into Google and found that other people had published the same answer as us.

```
0) 1010111110011001
0) 0110111110011001
0) 1110111110011001
  1010111110111001
2) 0110111110111001
  1010111111011001
  0110111111011001
4) 1110111111011001
2) 1110111110111001
Number of solutions = 9
39413) 1010111110011001
39414) 0110111110011001
39415) 1110111110011001
39925) 1010111111011001
39926) 0110111111011001
39927) 1110111111011001
40437)
      1010111110111001
40438) 0110111110111001
40439) 1110111110111001
Number of solutions = 9
Parallel time 18.4957 ms
Serial time = 3.2901 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>
```

Figure 2: Solutions to the circuit

Once we verified that we had the right answer, we moved onto timing the two versions of the program, the parallel and serial. As you can see in figure 2, running the program just once doesn't really give us an accurate idea of how long each implementation takes. So, we built in a way to suppress the printing and increase the number of times the algorithm is run.

```
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.6107 ms
Serial time = 2.3028 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.5252 ms
Serial time = 2.2934 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.6158 ms
Serial time = 2.2925 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.5130 ms
Serial time = 2.2921 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.5121 ms
Serial time = 2.2936 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>.
```

Figure 3: Comparing times for prog1 with 1000 reps

1.5.1 Scheduling

We tried using the OpenMP loop scheduler to see if we could better our performance. While the schedule(static, 1) showed a marginal improvement in performance, like we saw in class, schedule(dynamic, 1) does not do very well. This can be seen in figure 4.

```
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0<u>100</u>0
                                                       Parallel time = 1.8671 ms
Serial time = 2.2963 ms
Parallel time = 0.5315 ms
Serial time = 2.3012 ms
                                                       7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
                                                        Parallel time = 1.7629 ms
Parallel time = 0.5212 ms
                                                       Serial time = 2.2949 ms
Serial time = 2.2931 ms
                                                       7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
                                                       Parallel time = 1.8400 ms
Serial time = 2.3460 ms
Parallel time = 0.5104 ms
Serial time = 2.2914 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
                                                       7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
                                                       Parallel time = 1.6759 ms
Serial time = 2.3469 ms
Parallel time = 0.5189 ms
Serial time = 2.2973 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
Parallel time = 0.5168 ms
Serial time = 2.2948 ms
                                                        7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog1 0 1000
                                                       Parallel time = 1.8369 ms
Serial time = 2.2953 ms
                                                        7296837@linux101 program1 >>
7296837@linux101 program1 >>
```

Figure 4: Comparison of the static and dynamic schedulers

It should be noted that the dynamic scheduler does achieve a comparable performance to the static scheduler when the chunk size is increased.

2 Sieve of Eratosthenes

2.1 Introduction

We are looking to find all the prime numbers up to an integer n using Eratosthenes' method.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
| 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 |
| 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 |
| 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 |
| 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 |
| 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 |
| 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 |
| 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 100 |

Figure 5: Sieve of Eratosthenes up to 100

We would also like to parallelize the algorithm to improve our speed in finding all the primes less than or equal to **n**.

2.2 Algorithms and Libraries

The sieve of Eratosthenes algorithm is as follows:

- 1. Create a list of consecutive integers from 2 through n: (2, 3, 4, ..., n).
- 2. Initially, let p equal 2, the first prime number.
- 3. Starting from p, enumerate its multiples by counting to sqrt(n) in increments of p, and mark them in the list. These will be 2p, 3p, 4p, etc. (p itself should not be marked).
- 4. Find the first number greater than p in the list that is not marked. If there was no such number, stop. Otherwise, let p now equal this new number (which is the next prime), and repeat from step 3.

When we look at this algorithm, it is clear that step 3 can be parallelized. We could also parallelize step 4 but we might do extra work, finding multiples of a number that isn't prime. While this won't give us an incorrect answer, it might slow us down. We have opted to only parallelize step 3 of the algorithm.

Libraries used:

- <math.h>
- <omp.h>
- <stdlib.h>
- <stdio.h>

2.3 Functions and Program Structure

The program has 6 functions:

- main
- usage
- time_parallel
- time_serial
- output
- output_testing

2.3.1 main

Arguments:

- int argc: Number of command-line arguments.
- char* argv[]: Pointer array storing each command-line argument.

Returns: 0 indicating normal termination.

Description:

- Takes in 3 command-line arguments n, print, and reps and checks them for valid range. Calls usage function if invalid.
- Declares variables and initializes the list tracking all the primes with 1s.
- Calls the parallel and serial functions to run and time each method.
- Prints primes until n and the time taken by the parallel and serial methods.

2.3.2 usage

Arguments:

• char* prog_name: Character array containing the name of the program.

Returns: void

Description: Prints a message explaining how to run the program.

2.3.3 time_parallel

Arguments:

- int reps: Number of times to repeat the algorithm.
- unsigned long long n: Used to calculate length of primes array.
- unsigned long long* primes: Pointer to array containing list of numbers to be 'sieved'.

Returns: Time taken to run parallel portion of the algorithm averaged over reps times.

Description:

- Runs the sieve of Eratosthenes algorithm parallelizing step 3 with thread_count threads. Times how long this section of the algorithm takes.
- Runs the algorithm reps times to get an average of the running time.

2.3.4 time_serial

Arguments:

- int reps: Number of times to repeat the algorithm.
- unsigned long long n: Used to calculate length of primes array.
- unsigned long long* primes: Pointer to array containing list of numbers to be 'sieved'.

Returns: Time taken to run step 3 of the algorithm averaged over reps times.

Description:

- Runs the sieve of Eratosthenes algorithm serially. Times how long step 3 of the algorithm takes
- Runs the algorithm reps times to get an average of the running time.

2.3.5 output

Arguments:

- unsigned long long n: Used to calculate length of primes array.
- unsigned long long* primes: Pointer to array of prime numbers.

Returns: void Description:

- Calculates padding value to print with correct formatting.
- Prints all the primes from 2 to n with 10 on each row.

2.3.6 output_testing

Arguments:

- unsigned long long n: Used to calculate length of primes array.
- unsigned long long* primes: Pointer to array of prime numbers.

Returns: void

Description: Prints all the primes from 2 to n matching the output format of the test file from http://www.mathematical.com/primesOto1000k.html so that we can run a diff on the two files.

2.4 Compilation and Usage

Compilation: make prog2

Usage: ./prog2 <n> <print> <reps>

Both programs can be compiled and linked at the same time using the command make all. To get rid of the executables in the folder, run the command make clean.

To use the program, we have a couple of command-line options to make it easier to test and experiment as we time our parallel and serial functions.

• n expects a value greater than or equal to 2. The program finds all the primes less than or equal to n.

- The print variable expects an input of 0 or 1 corresponding to 'suppress printing' or 'allow printing' respectively.
- The reps variable is so that we can run both the serial and parallel algorithms multiple times and get a meaningful time comparison. Expects a value greater than or equal to 1.

To run the program and print all primes less than 1 million:

```
./prog2 1000000 1 1
```

To time the program and suppress printing, bump up the number of repetitions and use 0 for the print variable:

./prog2 1000000 0 100

2.5 Testing and Verification

To verify the program once we had our solution, we looked for a list of primes online that didn't have a very challenging output formatting. This was so that we could adapt our print function with a few tweaks and run a diff on the two files.

```
---7661 lness: 2,3,5,7,11 13.17, 19.23 29.31,37.41,43,47,53 59.61 67.71,73,
999133,999149,999169,999181,999169,999181,999169,999181,999189,999233,999233,999269,
999287,999387,999329,999331,999359,999371,999379,999389,999431,999379,
999437,999451,999491,999499,999521,999529,999541,999533,999599,
999611,999613,999623,999631,999653,999661,999671,999683,999717,
999749,999763,999679,999773,999809,99983,999833,999807,999917,
999931,999953,999959,999961,99979,999983,

**Pairwestm.txt**

1,1 All output/m.txt*
1,1 All output/m.txt*
1,1 All output/m.txt*
1,1 All output/m.txt*
```

Figure 6: Running vimdiff on primes1m.txt and output1m.txt

We ended up picking the list on http://www.mathematical.com/primes0to1000k.html, creating one small file and one large file. The test files can be found in the testfiles/ directory. Files beginning with "primes*" are created from the website, "output*" are files created by our program. Running a diff on the pairs of files, the only difference should be the timing output statements at the end of the file. Figure 6 shows an example of the diff between a pair of files to verify our list of primes.

Once we verified that we had the right answer, we moved onto timing the two versions of the program, the parallel and serial. Like in prog1, running the program just once doesn't give us an accurate idea of how long each implementation takes. So again, we increase the number of times we run the algorithm and average the time.

```
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog2 1000000 0 100
Parallel time = 1.742086 ms
Serial time = 16.808022 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog2 1000000 0 100
Parallel time = 1.685466 ms
Serial time = 16.504568 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog2 1000000 0 100
Parallel time = 1.769338 ms
Serial time = 16.589967 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog2 1000000 0 100
Parallel time = 1.865173 ms
Serial time = 16.505511 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog2 1000000 0 100
Parallel time = 1.877261 ms
Serial time = 1.877261 ms
Serial time = 16.581185 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>.
```

Figure 7: Comparing times for prog2 with 100 reps

2.5.1 Scheduling

We tried using the OpenMP loop scheduler to see if we could better our performance. As expected, schedule(dynamic, 1) again gives very poor results - worse than the serial solution this time. Surprisingly, for this algorithm, even schedule(static, 1) shows a worse performance than if we do not specify the loop scheduler (Figure 8).

```
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog2 1000000 0 100
Parallel time = 2.553394 ms
Serial time = 16.597396 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog2 1000000 0 100
Parallel time = 4.816589 ms
Serial time = 16.453804 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog2 1000000 0 100
Parallel time = 2.619343 ms
Serial time = 16.445437 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog2 1000000 0 100
Parallel time = 2.579026 ms
Serial time = 16.464568 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog2 1000000 0 100
Parallel time = 2.579026 ms
Serial time = 16.388008 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog2 1000000 0 100
Parallel time = 59.205458 ms
Serial time = 19.126814 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog2 1000000 0 100
Parallel time = 58.993512 ms
Serial time = 16.380386 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>./prog2 1000000 0 100
Parallel time = 58.992950 ms
Serial time = 19.314393 ms
7296837@linux101 program1 >>.
```

Figure 8: Comparison of the static and dynamic schedulers

Again, it should be noted that by playing around with the chunk size parameter does achieve a better performance than sticking with the default value of 1.

3 Files Submitted

- prog1.pdf
- Makefile
- prog1.c
- prog2.c
- testfiles/
- latex/