

# Multitasking, Invariants and Temporal Logic

AARON FELDMAN

University of California, Berkeley

## Chapter 11 EX 2:

To the best of my knowledge, there isn't a solution that would remove the necessity of locking `b` before `a` as in the example, since this is a requirement given by the development team and `a` will need to remain locked around the `if` statement on line 9, as it is possible that a context switch will occur and the value of `a` could change from another thread. Therefore I don't think there is a solution to this.

## Chapter 11 EX 4:

```

1
2 pthread_mutex_t lock = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
3 pthread_cond_t cond = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER;
4 int Queue_Size = 0;
5 int Waiters = 0;
6 void* producer (void* arg) {
7     int i;
8     for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
9         pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
10        while (Queue_Size > 5) {
11            Waiters++;
12            pthread_cond_wait(&cond, &lock);
13        }
14        send(i);
15        Queue_Size--;
16        pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
17    }
18    return NULL;
19 }
20 void* consumer(void* arg) {
21     while(1) {
22         pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
23         Queue_Size--;
24         printf("received %d\n", get());
25         if (Waiters > 0) {
26             pthread_cond_signal(&cond);
27         }
28         pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
29     }
30     return NULL;
31 }

```

## Chapter 13 EX 1:

- (a) False, consider a system where `p` toggles `p/¬p`, this satisfies
- (b) True,

## Chapter 13 EX 2:

- (a) False, consider input `x` present at (c), it can never transition to (b)

- (b) False, consider (c), it can never transition out and give output  $y = 1$
- (c) True
- (d) True
- (e) True
- (f) True
- (g) False, when in (a)  $\neg x$  will put us in (b), but a  $x$  at this point will keep us from (c)