Introduction to American Politics Week 11: Congress

Aaron A. Meek

4 April 2023

Attendance



Outline

- Group Project information
- Kernell et al. (Ch. 6)
- Smith, Binder, and Aldrich & Rohde
- Additional readings (if time)

Section 1

Group Project

Overview: Exposing Biased Ads

- Political scientists often use experiments to measure the political impact of "treatments" → here, that's whether telling a survey respondent that a political advertisement is biased affects the impact of the ad
- In groups of 4, you will:
 - Find a biased political ad
 - Write 2 scripts that the surveyors (you!) will employ
 - Design other questions to include in the survey
 - Distribute the survey (~40 respondents per group)
 - Give a brief (3-5 minute) presentation to the section elaborating on your hypotheses, survey design, and findings
- 5% of the final grade
- Due: Tuesday, April 25th

Section 2

Discussion

Overview: Congress & the Constitution

- Congress is the product of the Great Compromise between large and small states
- Extraordinarily powerful under Article I §8
 - Commerce clauses (e.g., coin money, levy taxes, regulate commerce)
 - Necessary and proper clause ("elastic" clause)
 - Declare war and raise an army
 - Senate's powers over treaty ratification and approving presidential appointments
- $\frac{2}{3}$ veto overrides
- Unique electoral provisions
 - Separate presidential and congressional elections
 - Plurality districts
 - Federalism and apportionment decisions

Congress & Elections

- The proximate goal is reelection
- Ebb and flow from party-centered to candidate-centered electoral politics, and (in the last ~2 decades) a return to party-centered elections
- As partisan voting has increased, incumbency advantage has declined
- Nationalization of congressional electoral politics
- Members have a stake in their party's image because they can't disassociate themselves easily

Legislative Organization (and Problems)

- Information and time deficits → division of labor and specialization (i.e., committees and MC "experts")
- ullet Coordination issues o party leaders and chamber procedures
- ullet Conflict resolution o rules, customs, and party control
- MCs working for personal vs. common goals (collective action)
- Parties and committees help solve (or at least mitigate)
 these problems → agenda control is a critical component
- Conditional party government → the degree of authority delegated and exercised by party leaders is conditional on the extent of ideological consensus within the party and the degree of ideological polarization between the parties

Congressional Work & The Reactions

- Kernell et al. does a pretty good job walking through the legislative process, so be sure to take a close look at that
- On the whole, people have conflicting attitudes about Congress:
 - In general terms, they approve of how the Constitution laid out the legislature as an institution
 - Most Americans also (usually) like their own representatives/senators...
 - ... but, most of the same Americans have a general disdain for Congress itself and "politicians"
- Approval of Congress tends to be very low, and this is a reflection of American pluralism

Smith: "Congress, the Troubled Institution"

- Congress has significant collective action problems
- Generally pretty low public approval from the post-New Deal/WWII era until $\sim\!9/11$ \to now even lower than pre-9/11
- Many MCs themselves complain about Congress, too
- Hyper-polarized environment, increased partisan sorting, and high political stakes cause nasty campaigns and gridlock
- Congressional inaction (or incapacity) encourages aggressive presidents/agencies and increasingly active courts, but also hampers these institutions by battling over appointments
- What to do?

Binder: "Legislating in Polarized Times"

- A revisitation of Binder's dialogue with Mayhew, who found that divided government did not impact the amount of "significant legislation" that gets through Congress
- Binder creates an alternative measure that she argues captures the degree of legislative deadlock itself by assessing the set of salient agenda items that fail for each Congress
- 2 key findings that go beyond just examining year-to-year changes:
 - Regardless of what polarization is a function of, intense partisan behavior results in struggles between MCs and the president to find solutions that are palatable to everyone
 - Interestingly, when accounting for polarization between the parties, unified governments aren't that much more productive than divided governments

Aldrich & Rohde: "Congressional Committees.

- Overview of the conditional party government theory → as parties become more internally homogeneous and more ideologically divergent from each other, rank-and-file members will be more willing to delegate to strong party leaders
- The committee system was created, first and foremost, to serve the interest of MCs
 - Standing committees with (relatively) non-partisan leadership assignments were prevalent from the Civil War era until the mid-20th century
 - Shifts away from seniority and towards the "partisan era" began in the 1950s and $1960s \rightarrow$ centralization of authority in partisan positions that then restructured committees to serve partisan ends

Additional materials

- Sherman & Palmer → McConnell as a ruthless politician, but still limited (sometimes) by the structures of Congress
- Tumulty → Pelosi as savvy and equally ruthless; biopic describing her rise to power
- McConnell → arguing for keeping the filibuster (plus some blaming of Democrats)
- ullet Toobin ullet the perils of gerrymandering and redistricting (and SCOTUS's role)
- \bullet VandeHei \to use of the Rules Committee by Republicans to hamper Democrats

Section 3

Outro

Outro

- Adjusted office hours today: 12:30-1pm & 2-2:30pm
- Coordinate with your groups for the project
 - Groups listed on section BB page
 - **Instructions** on *course* BB page
- Next paper assignment coming soon (exact date TBA)

Attendance

