Aaron R. Baggett

Current Rank: Assistant Professor

Department: _		Psychology	College: Humanities and Sciences		Sciences		
	Evaluator : Use the "Criteria for Evaluating Faculty Performance" to assess the level of performance in each of the categories below. Level 1 ratings should be noted in the section below.						
th	Faculty : Use the "Criteria for Evaluating Faculty Performance" to assess your level of performance in the categories below. Please rate yourself in each category and provide support for any rating at Level 3 or 4. See the Criteria for additional explanation.						
	CATEGORIES*	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4	Multiplier	Weighted Score
	Teaching Effectiveness	5		\boxtimes		Level x 60	180
	University Service				\boxtimes	Level x 20	80
Profession Attainmer					\boxtimes	Level x 20	80
				SCORE =	340		
E۱	aluator's Expla	nation of any	Level 1 rating	s:			

* See "Criteria for Evaluating Faculty Performance".

Faculty Name:

GOAL ASSESSMENT for the previous 12 months (2018)

2018 Teaching Effectiveness Goal	Continue creating comprehensive R statistics software tutorial library.
Assessment	Ongoing. Additional software added to the tutorial library throughout the year.

2018 University Service Goal	Combine UMHB Honors and Scholars' Day events into one.
Assessment	Completed. A combined Honors and Scholars' Day was conducted on April 9, 2018.

2018 Professional Attainment Goal	Present poster/paper at Association for Psychological Science.
Assessment	Completed. A poster titled, <i>Modeling Ability and Decision Difficulty Among Expert Baseball Umpires</i> was presented at the Association for Psychological Science, May 2018.

GOALS for the next 12 months (Develop at least one goal for each category)

2019 Teaching Effectiveness Goal	Revise all lab assignments in PSYC 2316: Statistics for the Social Sciences.
Intended Assessment Method	All lab assignments will be bundled in the Github repo of the oilabs R package.

2019 University Service Goal	Partner with UMHB Director of Research, Rochelle Cade, to coordinate and host a campus speaker related to research. Notification of completion will be provided.	
Intended Assessment Method		

2019 Professional Attainment Goal	Obtain additional R statistics pedagogy/training certification.
Intended Assessment Method	Notification of completion will be provided.

Signatures:	
Dean:	Date:
Comments	
Provost:	Date:
Comments	
I have received and read this evaluation. I may attac	ch comments for inclusion in my personnel file.
Faculty Member	Date:
Routing:	
Original to Provost's office	
Signed copy to faculty member	

Page 4 of 23

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF LEVELS OF PERFORMANCE

Level 1

• Performance was below expectations. Corrective measures are indicated.

Level 2

- Consistently meeting satisfactory levels of performance
- Continued performance at this level is acceptable for a favorable annual evaluation; however, promotion and tenure require levels of performance beyond Level 2. See University policies ACA 7.3.2 ("Tenure") and ACA 7.3.3 ("Promotion in Rank") for more information.
- All faculty should be able to attain this level of performance in each category

Level 3

- Performance is clearly and consistently above an acceptable level
- Performance was worthy of commendation in the category

Level 4

- Far exceeds normal requirements for the position
- Superior nature of performance is evident to anyone in a position to observe and evaluate it
- Performance approaches the maximum possible for the position
- Indicates unusual competence and excellence

TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS (60%)

TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS: LEVEL 1

A faculty member who is not consistently meeting the acceptable level of expectations for teaching effectiveness as identified in Level 2.

Areas of deficiency should be noted by the evaluator on the faculty evaluation form.

TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS: LEVEL 2

A faculty member who is consistently meeting the acceptable level of expectations for teaching effectiveness. A faculty member at Level 2 should be encouraged to strive for Level 3. Level 2 performance is characterized by achieving all of the following:

Content Expertise (must check all to achieve level 2)

\boxtimes	Delivering up-to-date material on the subject taught.	
	2. Receiving student evaluations where the overall composite average is in the Similar category or above on the IDEA instrument. No individual course (or courses) give evidence of serious problems.	
Optiona	Optional comments:	

Course Management (must check all to achieve level 2)

\boxtimes	1.	Receiving acceptable levels of DFW rates and grade distributions in all courses taught.	
\boxtimes	2.	Preparing an appropriate syllabus that is posted on the LMS before the first meeting of the	
		class.	
\boxtimes	3.	Clearly explaining requirements for courses and carefully following them. Follows the policies	
		and calendar as set forth in the syllabus.	
\boxtimes	4.	Making effective use of class time. Regularly meeting with the class during the entire	
		scheduled time unless there are extenuating circumstances	
\boxtimes	5.	Submitting course grades and other course information in a timely manner	
\boxtimes	6.	Returning examinations and assignments with comments (if appropriate) in a timely manner	
Optiona	Optional comments:		

Instruction Delivery/Design (must check all to achieve level 2)

\boxtimes	1. Being consistently prepared for classroom instruction (speaking to the topic area,		
	demonstrating preparation through logical and informative lectures, class exercises, or use of		
	other pedagogical tools/methods that engage students)		
\boxtimes	2. Incorporating library and technological resources into courses as appropriate		
\boxtimes	3. Communicating material effectively		
\boxtimes	4. Graded work reflects student performance. Aligning course content with testing and		
	assessment (e.g., preparing quality exams that test students over what has been taught).		
\boxtimes	5. Achieving the outcomes of courses taught.		
\boxtimes	6. Overall, courses are conducive to student learning.		
Optiona	Optional comments:		

Student-Focus (must check all to achieve level 2)

	·
\boxtimes	Being available in his/her office during posted office hours
\boxtimes	2. Consistently treating students with fairness and equity
\boxtimes	3. Creating a classroom atmosphere of respect and civility.
\boxtimes	4. Demonstrating an interest in student progress
\boxtimes	5. Responding to inquiries within two business days.
	6. Stimulating student thinking. Incorporating pedagogical practices that engage students in their learning (stimulating students to ask questions, use of case studies, debates, class presentations, strategic use of groups work, other participatory learning activities, other practices that promote active learning).
\boxtimes	7. Timely response to GradesFirst requests. Regularly contacting students who are struggling with attendance or class performance.
Optiona	Il comments:

Quality Improvement (must check all to achieve level 2)

	\boxtimes	1.	Using assessment results (i.e., results of student course evaluations, assessment of learning
			outcomes, etc.) to modify courses, curriculum, or teaching methods.
		2.	Making an objective effort to improve teaching as evidenced by attendance of CELT meetings on teaching, reading a recent book on pedagogy, or other clear, documented efforts to improve teaching.
Oı	ptiona	l co	mments:

TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS: LEVEL 3

The level of performance consistently elevates this faculty member above faculty whose performance is considered acceptable. Level 3 performance is marked by clear teaching effectiveness and demonstrated improvement in teaching.

Elements required to achieve Level 3 or above in teaching effectiveness (must be checked to continue):

\boxtimes	Meets all Level 2 teaching effectiveness requirements
\boxtimes	Using the GradeBook feature on the LMS to track student progress in all courses
Comments	

In addition to the required elements above for Level 3, *seven* of the elements below must be attained to achieve Level 3 teaching effectiveness:

Instructional Delivery/Design (IDD)

IDD #1: Re	IDD #1: Receiving student evaluations where the overall composite average is in the Higher category				
or above	or above on the IDEA instrument. No individual course (or courses) give evidence of serious				
problems.	problems.				
Attained	Attained Faculty				
	□ Reviewer Reviewer				

IDD #2: Demonstrating significant incorporation of pedagogical practices that engage students in their own learning in all courses taught.		
Attained	Faculty	Deep integration of team-based learning methods in all classes. Use of individual readiness assessment tests, team readiness assessment tests, graded end-of-module application team exercises, team statistics labs, and team-led lectures (see Appendix A, p. 22).
	Reviewer	

IDD #3: Su	IDD #3: Supporting University mission emphasis with explicit mission-emphasis course content (i.e., some				
course co	course content directly and explicitly tied to leadership, service, faith- informed discernment, global				
engageme	engagement) or other clear, explicit efforts at faith- discipline integration.				
Attained	Attained Faculty				
	Reviewer				

	Faculty	ailable to students at times other than posted office hours I explicitly state in all syllabi and in class my commitment and willingness to be
	lacuity	widely available to students during and outside of office hours. I provide and
Attained		encourage students to contact me on my office phone line and routinely meet
		with students outside normal office hours. See section 1.7 of all course syllabi
		,
	Devience	(see Appendix B, p. 23).
	Reviewer	
SE #3. Dro	vido acadom	ic support opportunities for students outside of normal class time (e.g. study
	test reviews,	
	Faculty	Held two open statistics lab sessions outside of normal class time.
Attained		1. September 28, 2018
\bowtie		2. October 26, 2018
_	Reviewer	
		to conferences
Attained	Faculty	
Ш	Reviewer	
	1	arch with students beyond typical course requirements
Attained	Faculty	
Attained	Reviewer	
_	•	
	•	students
☐ SF #5: Pul	Reviewer	students
	Reviewer	students
☐ SF #5: Pul	Reviewer olishing with s Faculty	students
SF #5: Pub Attained	Reviewer olishing with s Faculty Reviewer	students dent honors project
SF #5: Pul Attained	Reviewer olishing with s Faculty Reviewer	
SF #5: Pub Attained	Reviewer plishing with some service and service are service as the service are service are service as the service are service as	ident honors project
SF #5: Pub Attained SF #6: Spo	Reviewer plishing with s Faculty Reviewer pnsoring a sturn faculty	ident honors project
SF #5: Pul Attained SF #6: Spo	Reviewer Place of the service of th	ident honors project
SF #5: Pul Attained SF #6: Spo	Reviewer Place of the service of th	Ident honors project Sponsoring Deja Daniels' Honors Project
SF #5: Pul Attained SF #6: Spo	Reviewer plishing with some service and s	ident honors project Sponsoring Deja Daniels' Honors Project Ident for Scholars' Day
SF #5: Pul Attained SF #6: Spo Attained SF #7: Spo	Reviewer plishing with some service and s	Ident honors project Sponsoring Deja Daniels' Honors Project Ident for Scholars' Day 1. Sponsored Brett Cutts' spring 2018 Scholars' Day submission, An Application of Mixed Effects Modeling to eSports Data
SF #5: Pul Attained SF #6: Spo	Reviewer plishing with some service and s	dent honors project Sponsoring Deja Daniels' Honors Project Ident for Scholars' Day 1. Sponsored Brett Cutts' spring 2018 Scholars' Day submission, An
SF #5: Pub Attained SF #6: Spo Attained SF #7: Spo	Reviewer plishing with some service and s	Ident honors project Sponsoring Deja Daniels' Honors Project Ident for Scholars' Day 1. Sponsored Brett Cutts' spring 2018 Scholars' Day submission, An Application of Mixed Effects Modeling to eSports Data 2. Sponsored PSYC 4316: Experimental Psychology's fall 2018 Scholars' Day
SF #5: Pub Attained SF #6: Spo Attained SF #7: Spo	Reviewer plishing with some service and s	Ident honors project Sponsoring Deja Daniels' Honors Project Ident for Scholars' Day 1. Sponsored Brett Cutts' spring 2018 Scholars' Day submission, An Application of Mixed Effects Modeling to eSports Data 2. Sponsored PSYC 4316: Experimental Psychology's fall 2018 Scholars' Da submission, The Spotlight Effect in Social Judgment: A Multigroup

QI #1: Sho	QI #1: Showing evidence of continuous improvement of existing course content and delivery for all courses		
taught			
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		

•		
-		culty development initiatives focused on teaching improvement that require
moderate	levels of time	and effort beyond mere active attendance (i.e., Academic Leadership Seminar,
Voluntary	Peer Review o	or College initiatives). (Participation in two or more initiatives may be submitted as
separate i	tems.)	
Attained	Faculty	
	Reviewer	
QI #3: Par	ticipating in re	gional or national conferences directly related to pedagogy or student learning in
general (P	articipation in	two or more conferences may be submitted as separate items).
	Faculty	Attended and earned training certification at two-day pedagogy workshop
Attained		related to teaching R, Tidyverse Train-the-Trainer Certification Workshop,
\boxtimes		RStudio Conference, Austin, TX, January 15-16, 2019.
	Reviewer	
QI #4: Pre	senting a talk	or workshop on pedagogy at a formal venue within one's department.
A 1 1 - 1	Faculty	Presented Advantages and Disadvantages of Replicating Psychology Experiments
Attained		with Undergraduate Students, Monday, December 05, 2018.
\boxtimes	Reviewer	
Other (use	copy/paste to du	plicate the table below for more than one "other" attainment)
Other:		
Attained	Faculty	
	Reviewer	
		nent Elements
/	in at laast sa	a. alamanta ta ashiova laval 2 and advanas ta laval 4\

(must attain at least seven elements to achieve level 3 and advance to level 4)

Number of elements attained:	7
------------------------------	---

TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS: LEVEL 4

A faculty member who is clearly, consistently exemplary in teaching effectiveness. Teaching effectiveness far exceeds expectations and is truly exceptional and clearly apparent. Level 4 attainment is for faculty who not only are exemplary in the classroom, but they are active models of teaching excellence for other faculty and they share their teaching expertise with them in formal settings. Level 4 performance is achieved by accomplishing the following:

Elements	required to a	chieve Level 4 (must be checked to continue):
	Meets Lev	el 3 teaching effectiveness requirements
	Receiving	student evaluations where the overall composite average is in the Higher category
	or above o	on the IDEA instrument. No individual course (or courses) give evidence of serious
	problems.	
Comment	:s	
In additio	n to the requ	ired element above, three of the elements below must be attained to
achieve Le	evel 4 teachii	ng effectiveness:
1. Recei	ving student e	valuations where the overall composite average is in the Much Higher category or
		instrument. No individual course (or courses) give evidence of serious problems.
Attained	Faculty	, , , ,
	Reviewer	
2. Doing	a presentatio	n on improving pedagogy at a formal venue that is open to your whole college or
_	•	y (i.e., CELT presentation or other appropriate venues)
Attained	Faculty	
	Reviewer	
	•	
3. Recip	ient of the Tru	stee Award for Excellence in Teaching
Attained	Faculty	
	Reviewer	
	•	
4. Prese	nting at a regio	onal or national conference on teaching (or at a pedagogy session of a discipline-
	ic conference)	
Attained	Faculty	
	Reviewer	
5. Co-pr	esenting with	students at a regional or national conference.
Attained	Faculty	
	Reviewer	
	•	
6. Demo	nstrating truly	exceptional incorporation of pedagogical practices that engage students in their
		courses taught.

Attained

Faculty

Reviewer

7. Other -				
Attained	Faculty			
	Reviewer			

Tally of Level 4 Attainment Elements
must attain at least three elements to achieve level

Number of elements attained:	
i Number of elements attained:	

UNIVERSITY SERVICE (20%)

University service incorporates any work, monetarily compensated or not, that is conducted for the sake of the University apart from normal teaching or scholarly expectations.

UNIVERSITY SERVICE: LEVEL 1

A faculty member who is not consistently meeting the acceptable level of expectations for university service as identified in Level 2.

Areas of deficiency should be noted by the evaluator on the faculty evaluation form.

UNIVERSITY SERVICE: LEVEL 2

A faculty member who is consistently meeting the acceptable level of expectations for university service. A faculty member at Level 2 should be encouraged to strive for Level 3.

University Service level 2 is characterized by achieving and checking all of the following:

	Consistent attendance and thoughtful contribution to committee assignments		
	Regular attendance and thoughtful contribution to department/college meetings		
	Regular attendance at commencement, convocation, and other significant academic events		
	Occasional attendance at non-academic activities at the University		
	Regularly treating students, faculty, staff, administration, and UMHB guests with courtesy and		
	respect		
	Meaningful contribution to program reviews		
	Contributing to department-led curriculum revisions		
	Providing administrative information in a timely manner		
	Providing assigned advisees effective, accurate, and timely advising		
	Participating in department and college assessment initiatives		
	Collegiality (positively cooperating with university personnel in the work of the university)		
	Active participation in a local church		
	Compliance with all University policies and procedures.		
Optiona	Optional comments:		

UNIVERSITY SERVICE: LEVEL 3

The level of performance elevates this faculty member above faculty whose performance is considered acceptable.

Required to achieve Level 3 or above in University Service (must be checked to continue):

|--|

Additionally, to attain Level 3 university service is characterized by achieving six of the following:

1. Chairi	1. Chairing a committee (e.g., includes Faculty Assembly and University committees, official ad hoc			
comm	committees or Task Forces, or search committees)			
Attained	tained Faculty Chair, UMHB Research Committee; Director of Undergraduate Research			
\boxtimes	Reviewer			

2. Servin	2. Serving as sponsor for a student organization		
Attained	Faculty	Co-sponsor, Psychological Sciences Club	
\boxtimes	Reviewer		

3. Regul	3. Regular attendance at academic or non-academic activities of the University, not included in Level 2.		
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		

4. Leadi	4. Leading assessment efforts in one's department		
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		

5.	Leading departmental efforts in program review or curriculum revision (Participation in more than one
	review or revision may be turned in as separate items.)

6. Engag	Engaging in specific and explicit mission-emphasis activities with students outside the classroom			
(leade	(leadership, service, faith-informed discernment, global engagement).			
Attained	Faculty			

7. Havin	7. Having more than fifteen active advisees on average for the academic year (e.g. Fall and		
Spring Ser	Semester averaged together).		
Attained	Faculty	52 (as of January 30, 2019).	
\boxtimes	Reviewer		

Attained

Faculty Reviewer

Reviewer

8. Leadir	ng a significant	t, special departmental project
Attained	Faculty	
	Reviewer	
9. Partic	ipation on a de	epartment or university committee that requires a significant
amount o	f time and effo	ort, including search committees.
^++a:aad	Faculty	1. UMHB IRB
Attained		2. UMHB Research Committee
\boxtimes	Reviewer	
10. Partic	ipating in at le	ast two non-required admissions/recruiting/orientation functions over the course
		r that are outside of normal class times.
	Faculty	1. Sader Day
Attained		a. February 19, 2018
\boxtimes		b. April 13, 2018
	Reviewer	
11. Prese	nting a faculty	lecture or seminar in a formal setting at UMHB (does not include presentations
credit	ed in Teaching	g Effectiveness)
Attained	Faculty	Lunch and Learn: Introduction to R: Basics of Data Manipulation, Visualization,
Attailled		and Analysis
	Reviewer	
12. Servir	ng as a Progran	m Coordinator for a program which has demands beyond assessment and
curric	ular maintena	nce and revision.
Attained	Faculty	
	Reviewer	
13. Volun	teering for Fre	eshman Move-In Day and participating in at least one shift.
Attained	Faculty	
	Reviewer	
	l	
14. Servin	ng as a Faculty	Council representative.
Attained	Faculty	College of Humanities & Sciences School of Social Sciences Unit Representative
\boxtimes	Reviewer	
	1101101101	
15 Notah	ole community	service
Attained	Faculty	JCT VICE
	Reviewer	
		<u> </u>
16. Other	•	
Attained	Faculty	
	Reviewer	
	Neviewei	

Tally of Level 3 University Service Elements (must attain at least six elements to achieve level 3 and advance to level 4)

Number of elements attained:	7
------------------------------	---

UNIVERSITY SERVICE: LEVEL 4

A faculty member who is clearly, consistently exemplary in university service. University service far exceeds expectations and is truly exceptional and clearly apparent.

Required to achieve Level 4 or above in University Service (must be checked to continue):

Attained	Element Description	
\boxtimes	Meets all Level 3 University Service requirements	

Level 4 performance is achieved by additionally accomplishing one of the following:

Attained	Element Description		
1. Servin	Serving as a Faculty Council officer		
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		
2. Presei	nting a faculty	lecture or seminar in a formal setting at an institution other than UMHB (does not	
includ	e presentation	ns credited in Professional Attainment)	
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		
3. Chairi	. Chairing a labor-intensive committee (Promotion & Tenure, Curriculum, Professional Affairs, IRB)		
ام من معل	Faculty	Chair, UMHB Research Committee	
Attained		2. UMHB Director of Undergraduate Research	
	Reviewer		
4. In coo	In cooperation with the Development Division, facilitating a significant university gift		
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		
5. Havin	5. Having more than forty active advisees on average for the academic year (e.g. Fall and Spring Semester		
averaged together).			
Attained	Faculty	Fall 2018: 60; Spring 2018: 52	
\boxtimes	Reviewer		
6. Other			
Comment	s:		

PROFESSIONAL ATTAINMENT (20%)

PROFESSIONAL ATTAINMENT: LEVEL 1

A faculty member who is not consistently meeting the acceptable level of expectations for professional attainment as identified in Level 2. Areas of deficiency should be noted by the evaluator on the faculty evaluation form.

PROFESSIONAL ATTAINMENT: LEVEL 2

A faculty member who is consistently meeting the acceptable level of expectations for professional attainment. A faculty member at Level 2 should be encouraged to strive for Level 3.

Level 2 performance is characterized by achieving all of the following:

Attained	Element Description		
	Attending a professional conference or workshop		
	2. Maintaining required licenses or certifications, if applicable		
	3. Maintaining membership in appropriate organizations or associations		
Comments:			

PROFESSIONAL ATTAINMENT: LEVEL 3

The level of performance elevates this faculty member above faculty whose performance is considered acceptable.

Required to achieve Level 3 or above in Professional Attainment (must be checked to continue):

Attained	Element Description	
	Meets Level 2 Professional Attainment requirements	

In addition, the faculty member noticeably exceeds expectations for professional attainment by achieving <u>three</u> of the following (note, level 4 does not require level 3 for professional attainment):

1. Attaining professional certification in a related field beyond what is required to teach at UMHB			
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		

2. Chairing or organizing a session at a regional or national conference			
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		

3. Submission of a proposal for a presentation at a regional or national conference (Each submission of a			
different paper will count as a separate item)			
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		
4. Subm	ission of a com	nposition, manuscript, or paper to a peer-reviewed publication	
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		
5. Public	cation of a non	peer-reviewed article or manuscript	
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		
6. Subm	ission of an ex	ternal grant proposal	
Attained	Faculty	O D P	
	Reviewer		
	1101101101		
7. Servic	re as an officer	in one's state or regional organization	
Attained	Faculty	in one 3 state of regional organization	
	Reviewer		
	Reviewei		
8. Prese	nting conforce	see nector or talky leading round tables at a conference	
Attained	1	ce poster or talk; leading round tables at a conference	
Attained	Faculty Reviewer		
	Reviewer		
0 Dublic			
		ook review or chapter reviews; journal reviews	
Attained	Faculty		
Ш	Reviewer		
		ons for state tests	
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		
11. Local	or regional ext	nibitions/performances/compositions	
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		
12. Other	-		
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		
	ı	1	
Tally of Lo	wal 2 Attains	nent Elements	
-			
-		ree elements to achieve level 3)	
Number of elements attained:			

PROFESSIONAL ATTAINMENT: LEVEL 4

A faculty member who is clearly, consistently exemplary in professional attainment. Professional attainment far exceeds expectations and is truly exceptional and clearly apparent.

Level 4 performance is achieved by accomplishing two of the following. <u>Attainment of Level 3</u>
<u>Professional Attainment is not necessary</u> for achievement of Level 4:

Attained	Element Description		
1. Presentation of a peer-reviewed paper, workshop, symposium, at a regional or national academic,			
profes	ssional, or ped	agogical conference (Each presentation of a different paper will count as a	
separa	ate item)		
	Faculty	1. Baggett, A. R. (2018). Modeling Ability and Decision Difficulty Among	
		Expert Baseball Umpires. Association for Psychological Science, May	
Attained		2018.	
Xttained		2. Baggett, A. R., Bias, J. P., Terrell, J. T. (2018). <i>Deploying and facilitating</i>	
		an online undergraduate psychology course in Canvas, CanvasCon Texas	
		2018	
	Reviewer		
T-			
2. Public	ation of a pee	r-reviewed article or manuscript	
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		
3. Award	d of an externa	l grant	
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		
4. Award	d of a Summer	Research Grant	
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		
5. Award	d of a Faculty D	Development Grant	
Attained	Faculty	·	
	Reviewer		
6. Recipi	ent of the Trus	stee Award for Scholarship and Professionalism	
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		
7. Public	ration of a boo	k (multi-year credit is possible)	
Attained	Faculty		
	Reviewer		
8. Servin	ng as an officer	in one's national organization	
	J 22 22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		

Attained	Faculty	
	Reviewer	
9. Exhib	itions/perform	ances/compositions that are considered national in scope and notoriety
Attained	Faculty	
	Reviewer	
10. Other	:	
Attained	Faculty	
	Reviewer	

APPENDIX A

Justification for IDD #2, p. 8:

1.5 Class Structure

1.5.1 Team Based Learning

This course is delivered using a team based learning (TBL) format. Accordingly, you will be assigned to a team with approximately 4-5 members. Teams are created in class during the first week and remain permanent for the duration of the course. Teams will be formed using a team-formation software application. You will receive more information about completing the team-formation requirements during the first week of class. Teams will be guided through the following course learning modules.

Modules have a sequence of 3 discrete learning phases:

1. Outside Reading and Preparation:

In this phase, you complete all specified readings outlined in the Tentative Course Calendar by the date due. The purpose of this phase is to prepare you for individual and team quizzes. I recommend you do the following when preparing for quizzes: (a) read the chapter(s), (b) outline the chapter(s) using the notes/prompts provided in the text; (c) define the terms and answer the review questions at the end of each chapter; (d) make the review questions into multiple choice questions; (e) think about how you can apply the information to yourself.

2. Readiness Assessment:

At the conclusion of each module you will complete an individual readiness quiz (IRQ) and a team readiness quiz (TRQ). These quizzes measure your comprehension of the assigned readings and lecture content. After completing the IRQ, you join your team and, together, retake the quiz. Once the individual and team testing periods have concluded, the instructor may give a mini-lecture to clarify concepts that are not well understood as evidenced by the individual quiz scores. The purpose of this phase is to ensure that you and your teammates have sufficient foundational knowledge to progress through the course material.

A. Readiness Assessment Described:

• Individual Readiness Quizzes (IRQs):

This assessment process requires that you complete a 20 question, multiple choice quiz taken individually. Questions from each IRQ are based on the reading for each module, outlined in the Tentative Course Calendar.

• Team Readiness Quizzes (TRQs):

Following the IRQ, the same multiple choice quiz is re-taken with your team. Your team will be provided with a scratch-off-like answer card. Your team will receive 1 point if you uncover the correct answer on the first scratch, 0.5 point for a second scratch, and 0.25 point for a third scratch. Correct answers are indicated by a small star (\bigstar) .

3. In-Class Applications:

In this phase, teams will *apply* foundational knowledge, acquired in the first two phases, by completing a variety of in-class team activities. Team application exercises will pose a question/scenario using research articles, case studies, et al. and ask you, as a team, to arrive at a consensus by selecting a "best" solution out of options provided. Your Team will need to poll each member, listen to each member's ideas and their explanation of why their idea would work, and then reach a team consensus. At the end of your deliberation, all of the teams will share their findings, followed by a class discussion.

At the conclusion of each module each team will complete a graded team application exercise (GTAE) that synthesizes the concepts to date. GTAEs are worth 20 points and will be based on dimensions of knowledge demonstration and application, communication, and team functioning. Six (6) team exercises will be graded. You will have the opportunity to complete one (1) ungraded TAE at the start of the semester for the purpose of practice and familiarizing yourself with the process. The scoring rubric will be posted online and will be discussed in detail during the practice module.

APPENDIX B

Justification for SF #1, p. 9:

1.7 Course Communication

1.7.1 Email

Most all course communication outside of class will take place via email. I will routinely email you course updates and announcements to your UMHB-assigned email address. Thus, you should check your email frequently. Likewise, due to the nature of this class and the corresponding assignments, you will likely need to contact me with questions. I am committed to responding as quickly as possible to your questions via email. As a result, you can expect me to respond, on average, within several hours of your email—often sooner. However, in some circumstances, a personal visit during office hours or other scheduled appointment may be more efficient than email. You are welcome to call me on my office line: (254) 295-4553. This can be an even more efficient method for quick troubleshooting inquiries.