



[Return to "Machine Learning Engineer Nanodegree" in the classroom](#)

DISCUSS ON STUDENT HUB

Capstone Proposal

REVIEW

CODE REVIEW

HISTORY

Meets Specifications

Great job finishing up your proposal! With your final capstone report, just remember to follow the [report template](#) and fulfill each rubric point to quickly pass the final review.

Best of luck with the project! 😎

Project Proposal

Student briefly details background information of the domain from which the project is proposed. Historical information relevant to the project should be included. It should be clear how or why a problem in the domain can or should be solved. Related academic research should be appropriately cited. A discussion of the student's personal motivation for investigating a particular problem in the domain is encouraged but not required.

Student clearly describes the problem that is to be solved. The problem is well defined and has at least one relevant potential solution. Additionally, the problem is quantifiable, measurable, and replicable.

The dataset(s) and/or input(s) to be used in the project are thoroughly described. Information such as how the dataset or input is (was) obtained, and the characteristics of the dataset or input, should be included. It should be clear how the dataset(s) or input(s) will be used in the project and whether their use is appropriate given the context of the problem.

Good discussion of the data from [Kaggle](#), including some helpful summary stats and descriptions of what the dataset contains. 😊

This [exploratory analysis](#) helps readers understand just how tricky a problem this is.

Student clearly describes a solution to the problem. The solution is applicable to the project domain and appropriate for the dataset(s) or input(s) given. Additionally, the solution is quantifiable, measurable, and replicable.

A benchmark model is provided that relates to the domain, problem statement, and intended solution. Ideally, the student's benchmark model provides context for existing methods or known information in the domain and problem given, which can then be objectively compared to the student's solution. The benchmark model is clearly defined and measurable.

Great work providing a reasonable [benchmark](#) for the project using the cited study results.

As an alternative, you could also fit your own plain vanilla CNN as a baseline to demonstrate how a final solution can be made more accurate by using [transfer learning](#) with pre-trained networks.

Student proposes at least one evaluation metric that can be used to quantify the performance of both the benchmark model and the solution model presented. The evaluation metric(s) proposed are appropriate given the context of the data, the problem statement, and the intended solution.

Student summarizes a theoretical workflow for approaching a solution given the problem. Discussion is made as to what strategies may be employed, what analysis of the data might be required, or which algorithms will be considered. The workflow and discussion provided align with the qualities of the project. Small visualizations, pseudocode, or diagrams are encouraged but not required.

Proposal follows a well-organized structure and would be readily understood by its intended audience. Each section is written in a clear, concise and specific manner. Few grammatical and spelling mistakes are present. All resources used and referenced are properly cited.

 [DOWNLOAD PROJECT](#)

[RETURN TO PATH](#)

[Rate this review](#)