

Visual interactive differential geometry

Lisbeth Fajstrup and Martin Raussen

August 27, 2008

Contents

1	Curves in Plane and Space	7
1.1	Vector functions and parametrized curves	7
1.1.1	Parametrization by vector functions	7
1.1.2	Parametrized curves	8
1.1.3	Fundamental properties of smooth vector functions	10
1.1.4	An important consequence	10
1.2	Tangents, velocity, and length	11
1.2.1	Secants and tangents	11
1.2.2	Velocity and arc length	14
1.2.3	Exercises	18
1.3	Curvature	19
1.3.1	Approximating and osculating circles	19
1.3.2	Principal normals and curvature functions	21
1.3.3	Tangent and normal indicatrix and curvature	23
1.3.4	Acceleration and curvature calculation	24
1.3.5	The Curvature Function characterizes a Plane Curve	29
1.3.6	The local canonical form of a plane curve	32
1.3.7	Exercises	33
1.4	Space Curves	34
1.4.1	Moving frame, osculating plane and associated indicatrices	34
1.4.2	Torsion and Frenet's equations	38
1.4.3	Curvature and torsion functions characterize a space curve	43
1.4.4	The local canonical form of a space curve	46
1.4.5	Exercises	47

1.5	Global properties	49
1.5.1	The four-vertex theorem for plane curves	50
1.5.2	Total curvature and Fenchel's theorem	50
1.5.3	Exercises	52
2	Surfaces in space	53
2.1	Surfaces in space - the first definitions	53
2.1.1	About coordinate systems.	61
2.2	Differentiable functions on surfaces.	64
2.3	Implicitly given surfaces	68
2.3.1	Regular values of a function	68
2.3.2	Is the solution set of an equation a surface?	68
2.4	Particular classes of surfaces	68
2.4.1	Surfaces of revolution	68
2.4.2	Ruled surfaces	68
2.5	A useful trick: extending a chart to a local diffeomorphism	68
2.6	Curves on a surface	68
3	Tangent planes and differentials	71
3.1	Tangent planes and normal vectors - definitions	71
3.1.1	Tangent planes	71
3.1.2	The differential of a smooth function.	74
3.1.3	Normal vectors	76
3.2	Oriented Surfaces	76
3.2.1	A Unit Normal Vector Field	76
3.2.2	The Gauss map of an oriented manifold	78
3.2.3	Exercises	78

4	Metric on a surface: The first fundamental form	79
4.1	Distances, angles and areas on a surface	79
4.1.1	The metric on the tangent plane	79
4.1.2	The first fundamental form and linear algebra	79
4.1.3	The first fundamental form and arc length	80
4.1.4	The first fundamental form and area	80
4.2	Appendix on bilinear forms	80
4.2.1	Bilinear forms. Quadratic forms	80
4.2.2	Bilinear forms and Gram matrices	82
4.2.3	Change of coordinates	82
4.2.4	Diagonalization	83
5	Curvature functions on regular surfaces	85
5.1	Vector fields	85
5.2	Normal sections and normal curvature	86
5.3	Normal curvature of arbitrary surface curves	87
5.4	The Gauss map and its differential	88
5.4.1	Tangent and normal vector fields along a curve.	88
5.4.2	Normal curvature and the differential of the Gauss map . .	89
5.4.3	The second fundamental form	90
5.5	Calculating the Weingarten matrix - linear algebra	91
5.6	Gauss curvature and mean curvature	92
5.6.1	Classification of points on a surface	92
5.6.2	An outlook at minimal surfaces	92
6	Isometries. Invariance of the Gauss curvature	93
6.1	Isometries between surfaces	93
6.2	Theorema egregium and consequences	93
6.3	A proof of Theorema Egregium	93
6.4	Christoffel symbols	93
6.4.1	Definition	93
6.4.2	The metric determines the Christoffel symbols	94
6.4.3	A second proof of Theorema egregium	95

7	Geodesics and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem	97
7.1	Geodesic curvature. Geodesics	98
7.1.1	Definitions	98
7.1.2	The geodesic differential equations	98
7.1.3	Existence and uniqueness	98
7.2	The local Gauss-Bonnet theorem	98
7.2.1	Relating geodesic curvature and Gaussian curvature	98
7.2.2	Green's Theorem	98
7.2.3	Hopf's Umlaufsatz	98
7.2.4	Proof: Putting it altogether	98
7.3	A note on geodesic triangles.	98
7.4	The global Gauss-Bonnet theorem	99
7.4.1	The Euler characteristic of a surface	99
7.4.2	Proof of the global Gauss-Bonnet theorem	99

1 Curves in Plane and Space

1.1 Vector functions and parametrized curves

You have certainly encountered curves before. Simple examples are straight lines, circles, ellipses; more complex ones the trajectory of a spacecraft or of a charged particle in an electromagnetic field. We want to describe a framework that allows us to describe and investigate general curves in plane and space.

1.1.1 Parametrization by vector functions

Many plane curves can be described as the graph of a function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. But such a simple curve as a plane circle cannot! And for space curves, it is obvious that one has to find other means of description.

Illustration 1.1 *graph of a function, circle, and space curve - do it yourself...*

We let $\mathbf{R}^n = \{[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \mid x_i \in \mathbf{R}\}$ denote ordinary n -dimensional space equipped with the orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{e}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, $\mathbf{e}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j. \end{cases}$

Definition 1.2 *Let $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ denote an open interval.*

1. Any function $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is called a vector function. A vector function can be described in coordinates as

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = \sum_i r_i(t) \mathbf{e}_i = [r_1(t), \dots, r_n(t)], \quad t \in I.$$

2. A vector function $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, $\mathbf{r}(t) = [r_1(t), \dots, r_n(t)]$ is called smooth (C^∞) if the coordinate functions $r_i(t)$ are infinitely many times differentiable on I . Its derivative $\mathbf{r}' : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is defined as $\mathbf{r}'(t) = [r'_1(t), \dots, r'_n(t)]$, $t \in I$.

Remark 1.3 An interval J can be of the form $]a, b[$, $]a, b]$, $[a, b[$ or $[a, b]$ for real numbers a, b . One can extend Def. 1.2 and define curves on intervals, which are not open - by requiring \mathbf{r} to be continuous on J and smooth on the interior. We may also consider intervals of the form $] - \infty, b[$, $] - \infty, b]$, $]a, \infty[$, $]a, \infty]$ and $] - \infty, \infty[= \mathbf{R}$. The interior $\text{int}(J)$ removes boundary points from J ; f.ex., $\text{int}([a, b]) =]a, b[$. This is needed to extend Def. 1.2 to general intervals, since differentiability only makes sense on open intervals!

1.1.2 Parametrized curves

Let us get started with some examples: A line in Euclidean n -space through P in direction $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ can be parameterized by the vector function

$$\mathbf{r} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n, \quad \mathbf{r}(t) = \overrightarrow{OP_t} = \overrightarrow{OP} + t\mathbf{x}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

The vector function $\mathbf{c} : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$, $\mathbf{c}(t) = [\cos t, \sin t]$, represents a circle C in the Euclidean plane with radius 1 and the origin as its center: The circle consists of all points P_t with $\overrightarrow{OP_t} = \mathbf{c}(t)$. In both cases, you may imagine the arrow $\overrightarrow{OP_t}$ pointing at P_t at time t .

Remark, that a circle *cannot* be represented as the graph of a function $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$: There are *two* elements $y = \pm\sqrt{1-x^2}$ corresponding to an element $x \in (-1, 1)$ with $[x, y] \in C$. In space, it is even less reasonable to represent curves as graphs of functions.

Example 1.4 The vector function $\mathbf{r} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$, $\mathbf{r}(t) = [a \cos t, a \sin t, bt]$ represents a helix winding around a cylinder of radius a with the z -axis as the central axis - above, resp. below a circle of radius a . The helix will be used as one of our central examples throughout this chapter.




Figure 1.1: A helix

Illustration 1.5 *Better have an applet here: An interval, the circle/helix, and a scrollbar allowing to scroll a point on the interval, the corresponding point on the helix, and an arrow from the origin to that point (moving when scrolled!) See the geometric lab.*

Definition 1.6 A smooth vector function $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is called a parametrization of the curve

$$C = \{P_t \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \overrightarrow{OP_t} = \mathbf{r}(t), t \in I\}$$

The curve C consists thus of all the points P_t “pointed to” by arrows $\overrightarrow{OP_t} = \mathbf{r}(t)$. Often, you will imagine I as a time interval, and the curve given by a “particle” at position P_t at time t .

Example 1.7 (important general example): *Is it always possible to represent a the graph of a function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ in the plane by a (vector function) parametrization? Yes! Here is the recipe:*

The curve $C = \{[x, f(x)] \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid x \in I\}$ can be parameterized by the vector function $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$, $\mathbf{r}(t) = [t, f(t)]$, $t \in I$. Then, the points $P_t : [t, f(t)]$ run through all the points on the curve C . For instance, $\mathbf{r}(t) = [t, \sin t]$ is a parametrization of the graph of the sine-function.

Illustration 1.8 *Scrollable interval and arrows to the graph.*

1.1.3 Fundamental properties of smooth vector functions

Since we shall express geometric properties of curves using vector functions and their derivatives (up to degree 3), a brief investigation into properties of such vector functions will prove to be a good investment. The following rules apply to vector functions and ordinary functions that can be described as composites (sums, products, dot products, cross=wedge-products, composite of maps) of vector functions (and ordinary functions). Make sure that you understand the meaning of each term!

Proposition 1.9 *Let $I, J \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ denote intervals; moreover, let $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ denote smooth vector functions, and let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and $s : J \rightarrow I$ denote (ordinary) smooth functions. The derivatives of compound functions satisfy the following rules at every $t \in \text{int}(I)$:*

1. $(\mathbf{r}_1 \pm \mathbf{r}_2)'(t) = \mathbf{r}_1'(t) \pm \mathbf{r}_2'(t)$;
2. $(f\mathbf{r}_1)'(t) = f'(t)\mathbf{r}_1(t) + f(t)\mathbf{r}_1'(t)$;
3. $(\mathbf{r}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2)'(t) = \mathbf{r}_1'(t) \cdot \mathbf{r}_2(t) + \mathbf{r}_1(t) \cdot \mathbf{r}_2'(t)$;
4. $(\mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{r}_2)'(t) = \mathbf{r}_1'(t) \times \mathbf{r}_2(t) + \mathbf{r}_1(t) \times \mathbf{r}_2'(t)$;
5. (The chain rule) $(\mathbf{r}_1 \circ s)'(t) = s'(t)\mathbf{r}_1'(s(t))$, $t \in J$.

Proof: Having described vector functions by their coordinates, the proofs are straightforward implications of the rules for derivatives of ordinary smooth functions. Note, that the rules for the dot product (3.) and the cross product (4.) have the form of the ordinary product rule, again. Note that the terms in (3.) are ordinary smooth functions!

□

1.1.4 An important consequence

The following consequence of the derivation rules above is completely elementary, but nevertheless a technically very important device:

Proposition 1.10 *(The fundamental trick).*

Let $c \in \mathbf{R}$ denote a constant, and $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ an interval.

1. Let $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ denote two smooth vector functions with

$$\mathbf{r}_1(t) \cdot \mathbf{r}_2(t) = c \text{ for every } t \in I.$$

Then, $\mathbf{r}'_1(t) \cdot \mathbf{r}_2(t) + \mathbf{r}_1(t) \cdot \mathbf{r}'_2(t) = 0$ for every $t \in \text{int}(I)$.

2. Let $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ denote a smooth vector function with constant length $|\mathbf{r}(t)| = c$ for every $t \in I$. Then $\mathbf{r}(t) \cdot \mathbf{r}'(t) = 0$ for every $t \in \text{int}(I)$.
In particular, $\mathbf{r}'(t)$ is perpendicular on $\mathbf{r}(t)$ for every $t \in \text{int}(I)$.

Proof: Apply the product rule (1.9.3) for vector functions .

□

1.2 Tangents, velocity, and length

1.2.1 Secants and tangents

For the graph of a function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, the *secant* through $[x_0, f(x_0)]$ and $[x_1, f(x_1)]$ with $x_0 \neq x_1$ is defined as the line through these two points on the graph. The *tangent* to the graph at $[x_0, f(x_0)]$ is defined as the limit position of these secant lines when x_1 tends to x_0 – if such a limit position exists. We know that it exists when f is smooth; in that case it is the line through $[x_0, f(x_0)]$ with slope $f'(x_0)$.

It is not very difficult to generalise these concepts to curves (in the plane, in 3-space or in n -space) given by a smooth parametrization. In fact, smoothness is not quite enough to guarantee the existence of a tangent line at every point. This can be seen in

Example 1.11 Let C denote the curve given by the parametrization $\mathbf{r} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$, $\mathbf{r}(t) = [1 + t^2, 1 + t^3]$, cf. Fig. 1.2. Apparently, this curve has a singularity at the point $\overrightarrow{OP_0} = [1, 1]$. The secant lines do have a limit position, which is the horizontal line through P_0 . But this line is not a good approximation to the curve near P_0 . Points in the 2. quadrant in the line do not approximate the curve.

Illustration 1.12 Scroll on the tangent line and on the curve using the differential, both at P_0 and at P_1 .

But in most cases, a tangent line yields a good approximation, e.g., at the point $P_1 : [2, 2]$ for the curve above. Why do we find different behaviour? Let us calculate derivatives:

$$\mathbf{r}'(t) = [2t, 3t^2]; \quad \mathbf{r}'(0) = \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{r}'(1) = [1, 1] \neq \mathbf{0}!$$




Figure 1.2: A curve with a singular point

Definition 1.13 1. A smooth vector function $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is called a regular parametrization of the curve $C = \{P_t \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \overrightarrow{OP_t} = \mathbf{r}(t), t \in I\}$ if and only if $\mathbf{r}'(t) \neq \mathbf{0}$ for all $t \in \text{int}(I)$.

2. A subset $C \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is called a regular curve if there is a regular parametrization $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ with $C = \{P_t \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \overrightarrow{OP_t} = \mathbf{r}(t), t \in I\}$.

Remark 1.14 1. There is nothing like the parametrization of a curve. You can move along a curve at different speed, and speed need not be constant.

2. A regular curve may have a non-regular parametrization. This is the case for the circle with the parametrization $\mathbf{c}(t) = [\cos t^2, \sin t^2], t \in \mathbf{R}$. Why?

3. The curve C from Ex. 1.11 does not possess any regular parametrization.

Tangents of regular curves

Given a (regular) curve C with a regular parametrization $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, it is easy to show that it has a tangent line at every point $P_t \in C$, $t \in \text{int}(I)$ and to determine it: Given a point $P_{t_0} \in C$ with $\mathbf{r}(t_0) = \overrightarrow{OP_{t_0}}$, $t_0 \in \text{int}(I)$. To get the tangent line to C at P_{t_0} , we only need a parallel vector as a limit vector from suitable parallel vectors of secant lines nearby. The secant between P_{t_0} and P_t with $\mathbf{r}(t) = \overrightarrow{OP_t}$ has parallel vector $\overrightarrow{P_{t_0}P_t} = \overrightarrow{OP_t} - \overrightarrow{OP_{t_0}} = \mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(t_0)$ (for $P_{t_0} \neq P_t$). For $t \rightarrow t_0$,

this difference vector gets shorter and shorter and thus tends to $\mathbf{0}$, hence does not give information on the direction of a possible tangent. Instead, we look at the *unit* parallel vector $\frac{\overrightarrow{P_{t_0}P_t}}{|\overrightarrow{P_{t_0}P_t}|} = \frac{\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(t_0)}{|\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(t_0)|}$ and its limit position for $t \rightarrow t_0$.

Illustration 1.15 *Applet with secants and tangents – See the geometric lab..*

Proposition 1.16 *Let $\mathbf{r} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ denote a regular parametrization of a curve C . Let $t_0 \in (a, b)$ and $\overrightarrow{OP_{t_0}} = \mathbf{r}(t_0)$. Then, the curve has a tangent line at P_{t_0} with parallel vector $\mathbf{r}'(t_0)$. A parametrization for the tangent line is $\mathbf{t}(t) = \mathbf{r}(t_0) + t\mathbf{r}'(t_0)$.*

Proof: For a regular parametrization, we take the limit of the unit direction vectors for $t \rightarrow t_0+$ from the left:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0+} \frac{\overrightarrow{P_{t_0}P_t}}{|\overrightarrow{P_{t_0}P_t}|} = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0+} \frac{\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(t_0)}{|\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(t_0)|} = \frac{\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0+} \frac{\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(t_0)}{t - t_0}}{\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0+} \frac{|\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(t_0)|}{t - t_0}} = \frac{\mathbf{r}'(t_0)}{|\mathbf{r}'(t_0)|},$$

and similarly,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0-} \frac{\overrightarrow{P_{t_0}P_t}}{|\overrightarrow{P_{t_0}P_t}|} = -\frac{\mathbf{r}'(t_0)}{|\mathbf{r}'(t_0)|}.$$

(Why does this not work for $\mathbf{r}'(t_0) = \mathbf{0}$?). In particular, these two limit vectors are the two unit vectors parallel to $\mathbf{r}'(t_0)$. Hence the line through P_{t_0} with parallel vector $\mathbf{r}'(t_0)$ is a limit for the secant lines through P_{t_0} – and thus rightly qualifies as the tangent line.

□

Illustration 1.17 *Applet firing tangents from points at a regular curve given by a parametrization after choice. Including coordinates of position and direction.*

Remark that a regular curve has a tangent vector at each point. On the other hand, a curve with a non-regular parametrization may have tangent lines, but Ex. 1.11 shows that there may be points without a tangent line (regardless the parametrization). In many cases, one can still define semi-tangents using the limits

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0+} \frac{\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(t_0)}{|\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(t_0)|}, \text{ resp. } \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0-} \frac{\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(t_0)}{|\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(t_0)|}$$

as the definition.

1.2.2 Velocity and arc length

Speed and unit tangent vectors

Proposition 1.16 shows that the derivative $\mathbf{r}'(t)$ of a regular parametrization of a curve C contains the geometric information needed to determine the tangent lines to C . But there is more (non-geometric) information hidden in the vector function $\mathbf{r}'(t)$. If you imagine $\mathbf{r}(t)$ tracing the trajectory of a particle at time t , differentiation should have something to do with the *speed* of that motion, as well.

How can one grasp the speed of a smooth vector function? As for functions of one variable, we look at the quotient $\frac{|\vec{P_{t_0}P_t}|}{|t-t_0|} = \frac{|\mathbf{r}(t)-\mathbf{r}(t_0)|}{|t-t_0|}$. For $t \rightarrow t_0$, we obtain the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \frac{|\mathbf{r}(t)-\mathbf{r}(t_0)|}{|t-t_0|} = |\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \frac{\mathbf{r}(t)-\mathbf{r}(t_0)}{t-t_0}| = |\mathbf{r}'(t_0)|$.

Definition 1.18 Let $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ denote a smooth vector function. At $t_0 \in \text{int}(I)$, it has speed $v(t_0) = |\mathbf{r}'(t_0)|$ and unit tangent vector $\mathbf{t}(t_0) = \frac{\mathbf{r}'(t_0)}{|\mathbf{r}'(t_0)|}$. In particular,

$$\mathbf{r}'(t_0) = v(t_0)\mathbf{t}(t_0) \text{ for all } t_0 \in \text{int}(I).$$

Illustration 1.19 *Parametrization: Interval, derivatives, tangents and speed curve.*

Reparametrizations

How are different parametrizations of the same regular curve related to each other? Well, they should give rise to the same tangent lines along the curve, whereas speed functions can be entirely different. Here is a method to *reparametrize* a regular curve with a given regular parametrization $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$: Choose a (strictly monotone) smooth bijective¹ function $f : J \rightarrow I$ from an interval J such that $f'(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \text{int}(J)$. Then the composite vector function $\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r} \circ f : J \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ parametrizes C – since $\mathbf{r}_1(t) = \mathbf{r}(f(t))$ or $\mathbf{r}(u) = \mathbf{r}_1(f^{-1}(u))$, and it is regular (Why? The chain rule in Prop. 1.9.5.)

Illustration 1.20 *Two intervals, a reparametrization, and the motion along the curve. (three moving spots)*

We use this approach to make the definition of a regular curve from Def. 1.13 more precise. Remark first that we may define an *equivalence relation* on regular parametrizations: $\mathbf{r} \simeq \mathbf{r}_1$ if and only if \mathbf{r}_1 is a reparametrization of \mathbf{r} ; cf. Exc. 1.2.3.5.

¹1-1 and onto

Definition 1.21 A regular curve is an equivalence class of regular parametrisations under the equivalence class \simeq above.

Since we only allow reparametrization functions f with $f' > 0$ everywhere, we can speak about an orientation (order) on the curve: $\mathbf{r}(t_0)$ comes before $\mathbf{r}(t_1)$ if and only if $t_0 < t_1$.

Arc Length

Let $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ denote a smooth vector function that is a regular parameterisation of a regular curve $C \subset \mathbf{R}^n$.

Definition 1.22 The length $l(C)$ of the curve C is defined as the non-negative real number $\int_I |\mathbf{r}'(t)| dt$.

For $\mathbf{r}(t) = [x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)]$, we obtain:

$$l(C) = \int_I \sqrt{(x'_1(t))^2 + \dots + (x'_n(t))^2} dt.$$

Example 1.23 1. For the helix from Ex. 1.4 with parametrization $\mathbf{r} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$, $\mathbf{r}(t) = [a \cos t, a \sin t, bt]$, we calculate:

$$|\mathbf{r}'(t)| = \sqrt{(a \sin t)^2 + (a \cos t)^2 + b^2} = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}.$$

Hence, one turn around the helix has length

$$l = s(2\pi) = \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} dt = 2\pi \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}.$$

Remark that you obtain the familiar formula for the arc length of a circle in case $b = 0$.

2. For the graph of a function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ from Ex. 1.7 with parametrization $\mathbf{r} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$, $\mathbf{r}(t) = [t, f(t)]$, $a \leq t \leq b$, we calculate the length l of the graph as follows:

$$|\mathbf{r}'(t)| = |[1, f'(t)]| = \sqrt{1 + (f'(t))^2}, \text{ and hence } l = \int_a^b \sqrt{1 + (f'(t))^2} dt.$$

The last example shows, that it is very often difficult or impossible to calculate the length of a curve in explicit terms. Many of the integrands involving square roots do not have explicit antiderivatives!

Here is a *motivation* for Def. 1.22: For $t \in \bar{I}$, let $I_t = I \cap]-\infty, t]$ denote the part of the interval containing numbers less than or equal to t . Then, the vector function $\mathbf{r}_t : I_t \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, $\mathbf{r}_t(u) = \mathbf{r}(u)$ is a regular parametrization of a section C_t of the curve C . Let $s(t)$ denote the length of C_t . The function $s : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called the *arc length function* of the parametrization. Note that s is monotonous: Increasing t yields increasing $s(t)$!

Arc length $s(t)$ and speed $v(t)$ of the parametrization \mathbf{r} should be related by the property: $s'(t) = v(t) = |\mathbf{r}'(t)|$, and hence $s(t) = \int_I |\mathbf{r}'(u)| du + c$, c a real constant. For $t_0 = \inf I$, the length of the empty curve C_{t_0} has to be zero, hence $c = 0$.

Illustration 1.24 *Scrollable interval, parametrization, section C_t , functions $s(t)$ and $s'(t)$.*

If the concept of length has any value, it should be independent of the choice of a regular parametrization of a given curve. This is indeed the case; see Exc. 1.2.3.6.

Unit Speed Parametrization

It is somehow awkward to have too many parametrizations for the same curve. For theoretical purposes, one needs a particular nice one:

Definition 1.25 *A vector function $\mathbf{r}_{al} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is called a unit speed parametrization if its speed satisfies $v(s) = |\mathbf{r}'_{al}(s)| = 1$ for every $s \in \text{int}(I)$.*

In other words, the derivative of \mathbf{r}_{al} is the *unit* tangent vector $\mathbf{t}(s)$ at every element $s \in I$: $\mathbf{t}(s) = \mathbf{r}'_{al}(s)$. It has the following property which explains its second name – an *arc length parametrization*:

Proposition 1.26 *For $t_0 \leq t_1 \in I$, the arc length function s associated with a unit speed parametrization has the property: $s(t_1) - s(t_0) = t_1 - t_0$.*

Proof: $s(t_1) - s(t_0) = \int_{I_{t_1}} |\mathbf{r}'_{al}(s)| ds - \int_{I_{t_0}} |\mathbf{r}'_{al}(s)| ds = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |\mathbf{r}'_{al}(s)| ds = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} ds = t_1 - t_0$.

□

Example 1.27 The parametrization $\mathbf{r}(t) = [a \cos t, a \sin t, bt]$ for the helix from Ex. 1.4 yields (cf. Ex. 1.23): $v(t) = |\mathbf{r}'(t)| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$; in particular, it is a constant function. Hence, starting at $t = 0$, the arc length function is given as $s(t) = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}t$; and thus its inverse is $t(s) = \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}$. Substituting $t(s)$ into the parametrization \mathbf{r} yields the arc length parametrization for the helix, to wit:

$$\mathbf{r}_{al}(s) = \mathbf{r}(t(s)) = \left[a \cos \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}, a \sin \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}, b \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right].$$

Remark 1.28 1. The following gives an easy intuitive idea for the arc length parametrization of a given curve: Imagine a piece of rope with a scale (starting at 0) and bend it along the curve (without stretching!) Then, $\mathbf{r}_{al}(s)$ is the vector from the origin to the point corresponding to the mark s on the scale.

2. The advantage of the arc length parametrization is that it focusses on the geometric properties of the curve rather than the infinitely many possible different modes (with varying speed, acceleration etc.) to run through it. Using this parametrization, it will be much easier to define entities like the curvature; on the other hand, for concrete calculations, one usually does not dispose of a concrete arc length parametrization.

Does a regular curve always admit a unit speed parametrization? Well, in most cases, it is not possible to write down an explicit unit speed parametrization using familiar functions as components. Nevertheless, a unit speed parametrization always exists:

To find it, let us first assume that there is a unit speed parametrization $\mathbf{r}_{al} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ representing a curve C . Let $f : J \rightarrow I$ denote any bijection with $f'(t) > 0$ giving rise to the (re)parametrization $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_{al} \circ f$ of the curve C . The two parametrizations are linked via the arc length function $s : J \rightarrow [0, l(C)]$ associated to the parametrization \mathbf{r} , to wit:

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = \mathbf{r}_{al}(s(t)).$$

(“At time t , we trace the point at distance $s(t)$ from the start point”). How could one (re)construct \mathbf{r}_{al} given \mathbf{r} ? Well, if the function s had an inverse $t : [0, l(C)] \rightarrow J$, then

$$\mathbf{r}_{al}(s) = \mathbf{r}_{al}(s(t(s))) = \mathbf{r}(t(s)). \quad (1.1)$$

Illustration 1.29 Scrollable s and t -intervals and parametrization.

The function $s : J \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $s(t) = \int_I |\mathbf{r}'(t)| dt$ has the derivative $s'(t) = |\mathbf{r}'(t)| = f'(t) > 0$, and hence s is strictly increasing. Therefore, it has in fact a smooth inverse, and we can use (1.1) as the *definition* of an arc length (unit speed) parametrization. Remark that we have shown the existence of a unit speed parametrization using the existence of a function t inverse to s . In most cases, it is not possible to give a formula for $t(s)$ in terms of well-known functions. Just try for the graph of a differentiable function (cf. Ex. 1.7).

As you may have observed by now, it is customary to use the parameter s for an arc length parameter, and t for an arbitrary parameter. Whenever s is used in this text, we talk about a unit speed parametrization.

A geometric property of unit speed parametrizations

The following property of unit speed parametrizations is the main reason why geometers like them so much. It is an immediate consequence of the fundamental trick (Prop. 1.10):

Proposition 1.30 *Let $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ denote a unit speed parametrization. Then,*

$$\mathbf{r}''(s) \cdot \mathbf{r}'(s) = 0 \text{ for all } s \in \text{int}(I).$$

In geometric terms, the acceleration vector $\mathbf{r}''(s)$ is always perpendicular on the tangent vector $\mathbf{r}'(s)$.

1.2.3 Exercises

1. Calculate tangents of several curves and use applet to show them.
2. Determine a parametrization for the tangent line to the graph of the function $f :]a, b[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ (with parametrization $\mathbf{r} :]a, b[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$, $\mathbf{r}(t) = [t, f(t)]$ at $t_0 \in]a, b[$. Is the result familiar?
3. How can you determine from $\mathbf{r}'(t_0)$ whether the curve C has a horizontal/vertical tangent at P_{t_0} ?
4. Why can the curve from Ex. 1.11 not have any regular parametrization at $P_0 : [1, 1]$?
5. Show that the relation \simeq on regular parametrisations ($\mathbf{r} \simeq \mathbf{r}_1$ if and only if there is a bijective function $f : J \rightarrow I$ with $f'(t) > 0$ for every $t \in \text{int}(J)$)

with $\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r} \circ f$) is an equivalence relation (i.e., reflexive, symmetric, and transitive).

One may change the definition of \simeq by allowing bijective reparametrizations f with $f'(t) \neq 0$ for every $t \in \text{int}(J)$. How is the geometric meaning changed?

6. Show that the length of a regular curve C is independent of the choice of a regular parametrization.

(Hint: Let $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ denote a regular parametrization of C , let $f : J \rightarrow I$ denote a real function such that $\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r} \circ f : J \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is a regular reparametrization of C . Using the chain rule for derivatives and substitution for integrals, show, that

$$\int_J |\mathbf{r}'_1| \, du = \int_I |\mathbf{r}'| \, dt.)$$

7. Find a unit speed parametrization of the regular space curve C given by the parametrization $\mathbf{r}(t) = [t, \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}t^2, t^3]$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

1.3 Curvature

From now on, we restrict ourselves to (regular) plane and space curves, given by regular smooth parametrizations $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, $n = 2$ or $n = 3$.

What should the curvature of a curve be? Well, a line is not curved at all; its curvature has to be zero. A circle with a small radius is more "curved" than a circle with a large radius. Circles and lines have *constant* curvature. Curves that are not (pieces of) circles or lines will have a curvature *varying* from point to point.

1.3.1 Approximating and osculating circles

A tangent line to a curve C can be defined as the limit of the secant lines through *two* points on the curve. In much the same spirit, one can define curvature circles (= osculating circles) as the limit circles of circles passing through *three* points on the curve. The curvature of C at a point P is then defined as the inverse of the radius of this curvature circle at P .

Let C denote a plane or space curve given by a *unit speed* parametrization $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, $n = 2$ or $n = 3$. Let $s_0 \in \text{int}(I)$ and P_{s_0} the point on C with $\mathbf{r}(s_0) = \overrightarrow{OP_{s_0}}$.

To construct the curvature circle at P_{s_0} , choose s_1 and s_2 close to s_0 such that P_{s_0}, P_{s_1} and P_{s_2} are not contained in a line (This is possible unless the curve is coinciding with a line close to P_s). In Exc. 1.3.7.1, you are invited to show that there exists exactly one circle through the points P_{s_0}, P_{s_1} and P_{s_2} ; we denote its center by $C(s_0, s_1, s_2)$.

The idea is to find a limit circle when both s_1 and s_2 approach s_0 . To this end, we investigate the real smooth function

$$d(s) = (\mathbf{r}(s) - C(s_0, s_1, s_2)) \cdot (\mathbf{r}(s) - C(s_0, s_1, s_2)).$$

Its geometric meaning is the square of the distance between P_s and $C(s_0, s_1, s_2)$. By definition, $d(s_0) = d(s_1) = d(s_2)$, i.e., the square of the radius of the circle through these three points. By Rolle's theorem for smooth functions in one variable, there exist two intermediate values t_1, t_2 such that $d'(t_1) = d'(t_2)$, and, moreover an intermediate value u such that $d''(u) = 0$. The derivatives of d can be calculated as

$$d'(s) = 2\mathbf{r}'(s) \cdot (\mathbf{r}(s) - C(s_0, s_1, s_2)), \text{ resp.} \quad (1.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d''(s) &= 2(\mathbf{r}''(s) \cdot (\mathbf{r}(s) - C(s_0, s_1, s_2)) + 2\mathbf{r}'(s) \cdot \mathbf{r}'(s)) \\ &= 2(\mathbf{r}''(s) \cdot (\mathbf{r}(s) - C(s_0, s_1, s_2)) + 2). \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

To obtain the last equality, we used that r is a unit speed parametrization. At $s = u$, we obtain in particular: $\mathbf{r}''(u) \cdot (\mathbf{r}(u) - C(s_0, s_1, s_2)) = -1$.

Illustration 1.31 *Circles through 3 points on the curve converging to osculating circle. The function d and intermediate points. See geometric lab.*

Now, let s_1 and s_2 tend to s_0 to get a limiting curvature circle with centre $C(s_0)$ and radius $\rho(s_0)$. You are invited to show the existence of this curvature circle in Exc. 1.3.7.1. When s_1 and s_2 tend to s , t_1, t_2 and u tend to s_0 , as well. This allows us to derive from (1.2), that $\mathbf{r}'(s_0) \cdot (\mathbf{r}(s_0) - C(s_0)) = 0$, and that $\mathbf{r}''(s_0) \cdot (\mathbf{r}(s_0) - C(s_0)) = -1$.

We obtain the following geometric implications:

Lemma 1.32 • *The tangent line to C at P_{s_0} (parallel to $\mathbf{t}(s_0) = \mathbf{r}'(s_0)$) is perpendicular to the radial vector $\overrightarrow{P_{s_0}C_{s_0}}$ and, for a plane curve*

- *The acceleration vector $\mathbf{t}'(s_0) = \mathbf{r}''(s_0)$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{r}'(s_0)$ according to Prop. 1.30, and hence, it has to be parallel to $\mathbf{r}(s_0) - C(s_0)$; calculating lengths, we obtain: $|\mathbf{r}''(s_0)| |\mathbf{r}(s_0) - C(s_0)| = 1$, i.e., $|\mathbf{t}'(s_0)| = |\mathbf{r}''(s_0)| = \frac{1}{\rho(s_0)}$. The length of the acceleration vector is thus inverse to the radius of curvature at s_0 .*

This length $|\mathbf{t}'(s_0)| = |\mathbf{r}''(s_0)|$ is the absolute value of the curvature of the plane curve C at P_{s_0} . We shall introduce a refinement, the signed curvature for plane curves in the next Sect. 1.3.2.

Illustration 1.33 *Curve, $\mathbf{r}'(s_0), \mathbf{r}(s_0) - C(s_0), \mathbf{r}''(s_0), |\mathbf{r}''(s_0)|$. Scrollable.*

For a space curve C , one can follow the same line of argument; we need the existence of the osculating plane and its properties to complete the description of the curvature for space curves. See Sect. 1.4.1.

1.3.2 Principal normals and curvature functions

Let C be a regular smooth curve in the plane or in 3-space with unit speed parametrization $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, $n = 2$ or 3 . By Def. 1.25 – and its explanation, the vector $\mathbf{t}(s) = \mathbf{r}'(s)$ is a unit tangent vector to the curve at the point P_s . The vector function $\mathbf{t} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^i$ is called the *unit tangent vector field* moving along the curve. We are now going to analyse the information hidden in the derived vector field $\mathbf{t}' = \mathbf{r}''$ along the curve C . An application of Prop. 1.30 yields:

Corollary 1.34 1. *At every point P_s of the curve, the derivative $\mathbf{t}'(s)$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{t}(s)$: $\mathbf{t}'(s) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) = 0$.*

2. *For a plane curve C the vectors $\mathbf{t}'(s)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{t}}(s)$ are parallel.*

Definition 1.35 1. *The vector $\mathbf{t}'(s)$ is called the curvature vector at the point P_s on C with $\overrightarrow{OP_s} = \mathbf{r}(s)$.*

2. *A point P_s on C is called an inflection point if $\mathbf{t}'(s) = \mathbf{0}$.*

3. *The principal normal vector $\mathbf{n}(s)$ to the curve at P_s is defined as follows:*

(a) *For a plane curve C let $\mathbf{n}(s) = \hat{\mathbf{t}}(s)$.*

(b) *For a space curve C let $\mathbf{n}(s) = \frac{\mathbf{t}'(s)}{|\mathbf{t}'(s)|}$ at every non-inflection point $P_s \in C$.*

Definition 1.36 *The curvature $\kappa(P_s)$ of the curve C at the point P_s is defined as follows:*

1. *For a plane curve C let*

$$\mathbf{t}'(s) = \kappa(s)\mathbf{n}(s), \quad (1.4)$$

and thus $\kappa(s) = \pm|\mathbf{t}'(s)|$.

2. For a space curve C let

$$\kappa(s) = |\mathbf{t}'(s)| \geq 0. \quad (1.5)$$

and thus for $\kappa(s) \neq 0$: $\mathbf{t}'(s) = \kappa(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$.




Figure 1.3: Tangent and principal normal vectors and osculating circles at points P and Q . The radius of curvature is $\rho = 1/\kappa$

Remark 1.37 1. By Cor. 1.34, tangent and principal normal vectors are perpendicular to each other at every point of the curve: $\mathbf{t}(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}(s) = 0$.

2. An inflection point P_s on C is characterised by the property $\kappa(s) = 0$.

Illustration 1.38 Moving tangent, $\mathbf{t}'(s)$, $\mathbf{n}(s)$, osculating circle; view inflection points; moreover, plot curvature.

Here are several *motivations* for the definition of the curvature above:

1. The magnitude $|\mathbf{t}'(s)|$ of the derivative $\mathbf{t}'(s)$ measures the *rate of change* of the *direction* of the tangent vector field $\mathbf{t}(s)$ – since its length $|\mathbf{t}'(s)|$ is constant. The faster the direction of the tangent vector changes, the more curved is the curve.

2. Let us calculate the curvature for a plane circle with radius R . With center at the origin, the arc length parametrization of the circle is given by $\mathbf{r}_{al}(s) = [R \cos \frac{s}{R}, R \sin \frac{s}{R}]$. We calculate:

$$\mathbf{t}(s) = [-\sin \frac{s}{R}, \cos \frac{s}{R}], \quad \mathbf{t}'(s) = [-\frac{1}{R} \cos \frac{s}{R}, -\frac{1}{R} \sin \frac{s}{R}] = \frac{1}{R} \hat{\mathbf{t}}(s),$$

and according to (1.4), $\kappa(s) = \frac{1}{R}$ for every s . Hence, the curvature of a circle is constant and in inverse proportion to its radius – as it should!

For a general curve C , the curvature at a point P is in inverse proportion to the radius of the *best approximating circle* at P , the so-called osculating circle, cf. Fig. 1.3 and Sect. 1.3.1.

1.3.3 Tangent and normal indicatrix and curvature

In the plane, a unit vector field $\mathbf{v} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ takes values in the unit circle $S^1 := \{[x, y] \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1\} = \{[\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)] \mid \theta \in \mathbf{R}\}$. It can thus be seen as a continuous map $\mathbf{v} : I \rightarrow S^1$; if the curve does not have double points², one may view it as a map from C to S^1 , the so-called *indicatrix*. We shall later use a similar point of view to define the Gauss map on a surface.

Both the unit tangent vector field $\mathbf{t}(s)$ and the principal normal vector field $\hat{\mathbf{t}}(s)$ give rise to (perpendicular) *tangent* and *normal indicatrices*.

Illustration 1.39 *Tangent and normal indicatrices of a plane curve; simultaneously moving points on interval, curve and S^1 .*

To relate to curvature calculations, we choose a description of the unit tangent vector field as $\mathbf{t}(s) = [\cos \theta(s), \sin \theta(s)]$ with $\theta(s)$ the angle between $\mathbf{t}(s)$ and the horizontal vector \mathbf{i} , i.e., $\cos \theta(s) = \mathbf{t}(s) \cdot \mathbf{i}$ ³. A calculation of $\mathbf{t}'(s)$ using the chain rule (Prop. 1.9(5)) yields:

$$\mathbf{t}'(s) = \theta'(s)[- \sin \theta(s), \cos \theta(s)] = \theta'(s) \hat{\mathbf{t}}(s), \quad (1.6)$$

and hence: $\kappa(s) = \theta'(s)$. Hence, the curvature measures the *rate of change* for the *angle* between tangents, as it should. Moreover, we get an explanation for the *sign* of the curvature of a plane curve, to wit:

Corollary 1.40 *Near the point P_s , a plane curve C is curved*

²and neither converges to a point already on the curve at an end of the interval

³This can easily be done locally and then “lifted” along the entire curve. Try Exc. 1.3.7.2

- counter-clockwise if and only if $\kappa(s) > 0$,
- and clockwise if and only if $\kappa(s) < 0$.

In Fig. 1.3, the curvature is negative at P and positive at Q .

Illustration 1.41 Banchoff's curvature caterpillar. One needs to explain, that $\mathbf{n}'(s) = -\kappa(s)\mathbf{t}(s)$ – Exc. 1.3.7.?!

Indicatrices for space curves will be covered in Sect. 1.4.1.

1.3.4 Acceleration and curvature calculation

The definition of curvature above uses the unit speed parametrization of a given curve. But in general, you have only a *regular* parametrization $\mathbf{r} : J \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ at hand. In this case, the curvature at a given point P is “hidden” in the *acceleration vector* at that point. Let us first compare the derivatives of different parametrizations of the *same* curve C :

Let $\mathbf{r} : J \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ denote a regular smooth parametrization, let $s : J \rightarrow I = s(J) \subset \mathbf{R}$ denote the associated arc length function $s(t) = \int_{I_t} |\mathbf{r}'(u)| du$. Let $\mathbf{r}_{al} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ the unit speed (arc length) reparametrization (cf. Sect. 1) with the property

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = \mathbf{r}_{al}(s(t)).$$

Let us calculate the derivatives of the parametrization $\mathbf{r}(t)$ using the chain rule and the product rule from Prop. 1.9 and the definition of curvature from Def. 1.36:

$$\mathbf{r}'(t) = s'(t)\mathbf{r}'_{al}(s(t)) = v(t)\mathbf{t}(t);$$

$$\mathbf{r}''(t) = v'(t)\mathbf{r}'_{al}(s(t)) + (s'(t))^2\mathbf{r}''_{al}(s(t)) = v'(t)\mathbf{t}(t) + v(t)^2\kappa(t)\mathbf{n}(t). \quad (1.7)$$

(If $P_t \in C \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is the point with $\overrightarrow{OP_t} = \mathbf{r}(t)$, then $v(t)$ is the speed at P_t associated to the parametrization, $a(t) = v'(t) = s''(t)$ is the *scalar acceleration* at P_t , $\mathbf{t}(t)$ is the unit tangent vector to C at P_t , $\kappa(t)$ the curvature of C and $\mathbf{n}(t)$ the principal normal vector to C at P_t .)

Before using (1.7) to calculate the curvature of a given curve, let us look at the following attractive *interpretation in mechanics*: Equation (1.7) yields a decomposition of the *acceleration vector* $\mathbf{a}(t) = \mathbf{r}''(t)$ into a *tangential* component $\mathbf{a}_t(t)$ and a *normal* component $\mathbf{a}_n(t)$:

$$\mathbf{a}(t) = \mathbf{a}_t(t) + \mathbf{a}_n(t) = v'(t)\mathbf{t}(t) + v^2(t)\kappa(t)\mathbf{n}(t).$$

In particular, the magnitude of the *tangential* component is: $|\mathbf{a}_t(t)| = v'(t)$, which is the *scalar acceleration*, i.e., the rate of change of the speed. The magnitude of the *normal* component is: $|\mathbf{a}_n(t)| = v^2(t)\kappa(t)$. Hence, the force acted upon a particle *normal to its path* is proportional to the *square of its speed* and to the *curvature of the curve*. This is intuitively known to every car driver; when you drive through a narrow curve, you have to slow down drastically in order to avoid strong normal forces.

Curvature formulas

Proposition 1.42 1. Let C be a plane curve with parametrization $\mathbf{r} : J \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$. Its curvature $\kappa(t)$ at a point P_t with $\overrightarrow{OP_t} = \mathbf{r}(t)$ is given by

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{[\mathbf{r}'(t), \mathbf{r}''(t)]}{|\mathbf{r}'(t)|^3}. \quad (1.8)$$

The numerator $[\mathbf{r}'(t), \mathbf{r}''(t)]$ is the plane product of the vectors $\mathbf{r}'(t), \mathbf{r}''(t) \in \mathbf{R}^2$.

More explicitly, for $\mathbf{r}(t) = [x(t), y(t)]$, $t \in J$, we obtain:

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} x'(t) & x''(t) \\ y'(t) & y''(t) \end{vmatrix}}{(\sqrt{x'(t)^2 + y'(t)^2})^3}. \quad (1.9)$$

2. Let C be a space curve with parametrization $\mathbf{r} : J \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$. Its curvature $\kappa(t)$ at a point P_t with $\overrightarrow{OP_t} = \mathbf{r}(t)$ is given by

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{|\mathbf{r}'(t) \times \mathbf{r}''(t)|}{|\mathbf{r}'(t)|^3}. \quad (1.10)$$

More explicitly, for $\mathbf{r}(t) = [x(t), y(t), z(t)]$, $t \in J$, we obtain:

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{|[x'(t), y'(t), z'(t)] \times [x''(t), y''(t), z''(t)]|}{(\sqrt{x'(t)^2 + y'(t)^2 + z'(t)^2})^3}. \quad (1.11)$$

Proof:

- Using properties of the determinant of a (2×2) -matrix and (1.7), we obtain:

$$[\mathbf{r}'(t), \mathbf{r}''(t)] = [v(t)\mathbf{t}(t), v'(t)\mathbf{t}(t) + v^2(t)\kappa(t)\hat{\mathbf{t}}(t)] = [v(t)\mathbf{t}(t), v^2(t)\kappa(t)\mathbf{n}(t)] = v^3(t)\kappa(t)[\mathbf{t}(t), \hat{\mathbf{t}}(t)] = v^3(t)\kappa(t).$$

(The last equation uses that the plane product of a unit vector and its hat vector is one, since it measures the (signed) area of the rectangle spanned by both vectors.)

2. Using properties of the cross product and (1.7), we obtain:

$$\mathbf{r}'(t) \times \mathbf{r}''(t) = v(t)\mathbf{t}(t) \times (v'(t)\mathbf{t}(t) + v^2(t)\kappa(t)\mathbf{n}(t)) = v(t)\mathbf{t}(t) \times v^2(t)\kappa(t)\mathbf{n}(t) = v^3(t)\kappa(t)(\mathbf{t}(t) \times \mathbf{n}(t)).$$

The vector $\mathbf{t}(t) \times \mathbf{n}(t)$ is a unit vector, since $\mathbf{t}(t)$ and $\mathbf{n}(t)$ are mutually orthogonal unit vectors. Therefore, the vector $\mathbf{r}'(t) \times \mathbf{r}''(t)$ has length $v^3(t)\kappa(t)$.

□

Example 1.43 1. An ellipse E with semi-axes a and b has a parametrization $\mathbf{r}(t) = [a \cos t, b \sin t]$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. We calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{r}'(t) &= [-a \sin t, b \cos t], \\ \mathbf{r}''(t) &= [-a \cos t, -b \sin t],\end{aligned}$$

$$[\mathbf{r}'(t), \mathbf{r}''(t)] = \begin{vmatrix} -a \sin t & -a \cos t \\ b \cos t & -b \sin t \end{vmatrix} = ab.$$

Since $|\mathbf{r}'(t)| = \sqrt{a^2(\sin t)^2 + b^2(\cos t)^2}$, we obtain:

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{ab}{(a^2(\sin t)^2 + b^2(\cos t)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \quad (1.12)$$

2. The curve C_f given as the graph of a function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ (Ex. 1.7) can be parameterised by the vector function $\mathbf{r} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ given as $\mathbf{r}(t) = [t, f(t)]$. We calculate: $\mathbf{r}'(t) = [1, f'(t)]$, $\mathbf{r}''(t) = [0, f''(t)]$; hence, $[\mathbf{r}'(t), \mathbf{r}''(t)] = f''(t)$, $|\mathbf{r}'(t)| = \sqrt{1 + (f'(t))^2}$, and

$$\kappa(P_t) = \frac{f''(t)}{\sqrt{1 + (f'(t))^2}^3}. \quad (1.13)$$

In particular, C_f is curved counter-clockwise at P_t if $f''(t) > 0$ and clockwise if $f''(t) < 0$ (Cor. 1.40).

3. Let \mathbf{r} denote the parametrization for a helix from Ex. 1.4 given as

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = [a \cos t, a \sin t, bt], a, b > 0.$$

Its derivatives (velocity and acceleration vectors) are calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{r}'(t) &= [-a \sin t, a \cos t, b]; \\ \mathbf{r}''(t) &= [-a \cos t, -a \sin t, 0].\end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$




Figure 1.4: Ellipse and curvature function

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathbf{r}'(t)| &= \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}; \\
 \mathbf{r}'(t) \times \mathbf{r}''(t) &= [ab \sin t, -ab \cos t, a^2]; \\
 |\mathbf{r}'(t) \times \mathbf{r}''(t)| &= a\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

The curvature of the helix at P_t is calculated as

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{a\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}^3} = \frac{a}{a^2 + b^2}. \tag{1.16}$$

Note, that the curvature is constant along the helix. For $b = 0$, we get as a special case the curvature $\frac{1}{a}$ of a circle with radius a .

Osculating Circles

In Sect. 1.3.1, we motivated the curvature concept via the radii of osculating circles approximating the curve. Having determined the curvature of a curve with a given parametrization, we can now determine the osculating circles for a plane curve C given by a regular smooth parametrization $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$.

Proposition 1.44 *The osculating circle at P_t with $\overrightarrow{OP_t} = \mathbf{r}(t)$ has radius $\rho(t) = \frac{1}{|\kappa(t)|}$ and center C_t with $\overrightarrow{P_t C_t} = \frac{1}{\kappa(t)} \mathbf{n}(t)$.*

Proof: Exc. 1.3.7.4. Use Lemma 1.32.

□

In a similar way, one can determine the osculating circles along a space curve. In that case, one has to use that the osculating circle through P_t is contained in the osculating plane $\omega(t)$ through P_t (cf. Sect. 1.4.1).

The Evolute Curve

From a given curve C , one may obtain a new curve E_C , the *evolute* of C , by associating to every point $P \in C$ the corresponding *centre of curvature* C_P , i.e., the center of the osculating circle through P . It is easy to translate the description of this center in 1.44 into a parametrization of E_C :

Corollary 1.45 *Let C be a curve with parametrization $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$. The following is a parametrization for the evolute E_C of C :*

$$\mathbf{e}(t) = \mathbf{r}(t) + \frac{\mathbf{n}(t)}{\kappa(t)} = \mathbf{r}(t) + \frac{|\mathbf{r}'(t)|^3}{[\mathbf{r}'(t), \mathbf{r}''(t)]} \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{r}'}(t)}{|\mathbf{r}'(t)|} = \mathbf{r}(t) + \frac{|\mathbf{r}'(t)|^2}{[\mathbf{r}'(t), \mathbf{r}''(t)]} \widehat{\mathbf{r}'}(t).$$

Example 1.46 1. *The evolute of a cycloid is a translated cycloid.*

2. *The evolute of an ellipse is an astroid. Using Cor. 1.45, we obtain the parametrization $\mathbf{e}(t) = [\frac{a^2-b^2}{a}(\cos t)^3, \frac{b^2-a^2}{b}(\sin t)^3]$.*

Illustration 1.47 *Replace figure by an applet producing moving osculating circles and the evolute (as done by Robert for a specific curve)*

Proposition 1.48 *Let C denote a regular plane curve with constant curvature κ . For $\kappa = 0$, C is a straight line segment; for $\kappa \neq 0$, C is contained in a circle.*

Proof: Let \mathbf{r} denote a unit speed parametrization of C . If $\kappa = 0$, then $\mathbf{r}'' = \mathbf{0}$ (why?), and $\mathbf{r}'(s)$ is a constant vector \mathbf{v} . Hence, $\mathbf{r}(s) = s\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{b}$ for some constant vector \mathbf{b} ; the image of an interval is thus contained in a straight line.




Figure 1.5: Ellipse with osculating circles and evolute

Now suppose $\kappa \neq 0$. The evolute curve of a circle is a constant point $\mathbf{e}(t) = \mathbf{e}$. Let us first show, that the evolute curve of C is constant, too: By Cor. 1.45, it is given by $\mathbf{e}(s) = \mathbf{r}(s) + \frac{\mathbf{n}(s)}{\kappa}$. Hence,

$$\mathbf{e}'(s) = \mathbf{r}'(s) + \frac{\mathbf{n}'(s)}{\kappa} = \mathbf{t}(s) - \frac{\kappa}{\kappa} \mathbf{t}(s) = \mathbf{0}.$$

(The middle equation stems from Exc. 1.3.7.3(c)). As a result, $\mathbf{e}(s)$ is a constant vector \mathbf{e} , and $\mathbf{r}(s) - \mathbf{e} = \frac{\mathbf{n}(s)}{\kappa}$ has constant length $|\mathbf{r}(s) - \mathbf{e}| = \frac{1}{|\kappa|}$. Hence, the image of \mathbf{r} is contained in the circle with center at \mathbf{e} and radius $\frac{1}{|\kappa|}$.

□

1.3.5 The Curvature Function characterizes a Plane Curve

We have seen how to associate to a curve its curvature function.

Illustration 1.49 *Again. Curve and asociated curvature function.*

Is it possible to somehow reverse this process? More precisely: Let $k : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ denote a continuous function. Is there always a plane curve C (with unit speed

parametrization $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$) realizing this function as the curvature function associated to C ? How many such curves are there?

The answer is, that there is always such a curve, and it is *uniquely determined up to a rigid motion* of the plane, i.e., up to a combination of a translation and a rotation. First of all, it is clear, that a translation, resp. a rotation does not change the curvature function κ . On the other hand the following iterative construction shows why one should expect the existence of a curve with given curvature function⁴:

Choose a start point P_0 and a start direction given by a unit vector \mathbf{v}_0 (this choice corresponds to the choice of a translation and a rotation). Draw a circular arc of length s through P_0 with tangent vector \mathbf{v}_0 and radius $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{\kappa(0)}$. At the end of this little circle you obtain a point P_s and a tangent direction \mathbf{v}_s . Continue with a circular arc of length s through P_s with tangent vector \mathbf{v}_s and radius $\rho_s = \frac{1}{\kappa(s)}$ and obtain an end point P_{2s} and an end direction \mathbf{v}_{2s} . Keep on. The resulting curve will not be smooth everywhere, but for small s , the curvature will be a step function close to the original κ . Before the computer age, this method was in fact sometimes used to graph a curve given by a parametrization!

Illustration 1.50 *Curve consisting of circular arcs and curvature step function*

Let us now transform this intuitive reasoning into a theorem with a strict proof: Let $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ denote an open interval, let $C^k(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ denote the continuous (vector) functions $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ that are k times differentiable on I with continuous derivatives⁵. Associating to a unit speed parametrization of a plane curve its curvature function corresponds to a map

$$K : \{\mathbf{r} \in C^2(I, \mathbf{R}^2) \mid |\mathbf{r}'(s)| = 1 \text{ for all } s \in I\} \rightarrow C^0(I, \mathbf{R}).$$

A translation of the answer given initially into technical terms reads as follows:

Theorem 1.51 (*The fundamental theorem for plane curves*)

1. The map K is onto.
2. $K(\mathbf{r}_1) = K(\mathbf{r}_2)$ if and only if there exists a rigid motion $\Phi : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ such that $\mathbf{r}_2 = \Phi \circ \mathbf{r}_1$.

⁴Another way to introduce this construction is: How to find a curve whose curvature function is a step function

⁵Should we introduce this notation earlier?

Proof: The map K can be decomposed as

$$K : \{\mathbf{r} \in C^2(I, \mathbf{R}^2) \mid \mathbf{r}' \in C^1(I, S^1)\} \xrightarrow{D_1} C^1(I, S^1) \xrightarrow{D_2} C^0(I, \mathbf{R})$$

with D_1 denoting differentiation. To describe the map D_2 , note first that every vector function $\mathbf{t} \in C^1(I, S^1)$ can be parameterized by a C^1 - (angle) function $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $\mathbf{t}(s) = [\cos \theta(s), \sin \theta(s)]$ – with θ well-determined up to a constant $2\pi n$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ (cf. Sect. 1.3.3). We define $D_2(\mathbf{t}) = \theta'$ – the derivative of θ , which is well-determined! The discussion in Sect. 1.3.3, in part. (1.6), shows that $K(\mathbf{r}) = \kappa$, the curvature function associated to \mathbf{r} .

Let $k : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ denote a continuous function and let $s_0 \in I$. Define

1. angle functions $\theta : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ as $\theta(s) = \int_{s_0}^s k(u) du + \theta_0$ for arbitrary $\theta_0 \in \mathbf{R}$; those are all functions with $\theta' = k$.
2. unit vector functions $\mathbf{t} : I \rightarrow S^1 \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ by $\mathbf{t}(s) = [\cos \theta(s), \sin \theta(s)]$ for every θ in 1.; those are the only unit vector functions with $k(\mathbf{t}) = k$.
3. vector functions $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ by $\mathbf{r}(s) = [\int_{s_0}^s \cos \theta(u) du + x_0, \int_{s_0}^s \sin \theta(u) du + y_0]$ for every θ in 1. and arbitrary $[x_0, y_0] \in \mathbf{R}^2$; those are then the only vector functions with $K(\mathbf{r}) = k$.

To summarize, any of the parametrizations \mathbf{r} is essentially constructed by a *double integration* of the function k . It follows from the construction that $K(\mathbf{r}) = k$.

Uniqueness up to a rigid motion: Let $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2$ denote two parametrizations with $K(\mathbf{r}_1) = K(\mathbf{r}_2) = k$, corresponding to choices $\theta_0 = \theta_1$, resp. $\theta_0 = \theta_2$, and $[x_0, y_0] = [x_1, y_1]$, resp. $[x_0, y_0] = [x_2, y_2]$. Then, the associated unit vector functions \mathbf{t}_i are related: In fact, \mathbf{t}_2 is obtained from \mathbf{t}_1 through a *rotation* Φ_2 by the angle $\theta_2 - \theta_1$ around the origin. Note that the rotation Φ_2 is a linear map. Differentiation (and thus integration) commutes with linear maps; this is why the integral of \mathbf{t}_2 is still obtainable from the integral \mathbf{t}_1 through a rotation by the angle $\theta_2 - \theta_1$. Finally, letting Φ_1 denote the planar translation given by $[x_2 - x_1, y_2 - y_1]$, the vector function \mathbf{r}_2 can be obtained from the vector function \mathbf{r}_1 through the rigid motion $\Phi = \Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2$ of the plane.

□

This result might seem merely academic; but certain curves are *constructed in this way* for practical purposes. Roads leading to or from a highway frequently consist of parts with curvature *increasing* (or decreasing) *at a constant rate*. On such a road, the driver has to turn his/her driving wheel at a constant rate. An example of a function increasing with a constant rate is $\kappa(s) = s$. The resulting curve in Fig. 1.6 is called a *clothoid* curve – it has $\kappa(s) = s$ as the associated curvature function, and you have probably experienced it as a driver!




Figure 1.6: Curve with $\kappa(s) = s$, $-3 \leq s \leq 3$

Illustration 1.52 *Applet: Parametrization of κ , graph of κ , choice of coordinates of start point and start angle yield curve.*

1.3.6 The local canonical form of a plane curve

Locally, a smooth vector function can be approximated by corresponding Taylor polynomials. Let us try to rediscover curvature of a plane curve in the 2nd degree Taylor polynomial of a (unit speed) parametrization $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$. For simplicity, assume that $0 \in \text{int}(I)$, and (possibly after a rigid motion of the plane), that $\mathbf{r}(0) = \mathbf{0}$, that $\mathbf{r}'(0) = \mathbf{t}(0) = [1, 0]$, and that the curve has curvature κ at the origin. As a result of Def. 1.36, we obtain the 2nd derivative $\mathbf{r}''(0) = \kappa \hat{\mathbf{t}}(0) = [0, \kappa]$. In particular, the 2nd degree Taylor polynomial is of the form

$$\mathbf{r}_{(0)}^{(2)}(s) = [0, 0] + [1, 0]s + [0, \kappa] \frac{s^2}{2} = [s, \frac{\kappa}{2}s^2].$$

This representation (plus the corresponding error term) is known as the *local canonical form* of the curve in a neighbourhood of $P_0 = O$. It represents a parabola with curvature κ at its top point at O . The sign of κ determines, whether this approximating parabola (and the original curve close to P_0) is situated in the upper or in the lower half-plane. This corresponds to the result in Cor. 1.40.

Illustration 1.53 *Curves and 2nd degree Taylor polynomials at various points.*

1.3.7 Exercises

1. Given three points $P, Q, R \in \mathbf{R}^2$, not contained in a line $l \subset \mathbf{R}^3$. Show:
 - (a) There is a unique circle containing P, Q, R . Find the center $C(P, Q, R)$ and the radius $\rho(P, Q, R)$ of this circle.
 - (b) Show that $C(P_{s_0}, P_{s_1}, P_{s_2})$ and $\rho(P_{s_0}, P_{s_1}, P_{s_2})$ converge when s_1 and s_2 tend to s_0 .
2. (a) Let C be the (spiral) curve given by the regular parametrization $\mathbf{r} :]\varepsilon, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$, $\mathbf{r}(t) = [t \cos t, t \sin t]$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Determine the velocity $\mathbf{r}'(t)$, the speed $v(t) = |\mathbf{r}'(t)|$ and the unit tangent vector field $\mathbf{t}(t)$ associated to this parametrization. Plot the x -coordinate of $\mathbf{t}(t)$. Determine an angle function $\theta :]\varepsilon, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $\mathbf{t}(t) = [\cos(\theta(t)), \sin(\theta(t))]$. You cannot get the curvature function immediately by differentiating θ , since \mathbf{r} is not a unit speed parametrization.
 - (b) Calculate the associated curvature function $\kappa(t)$ and show that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \kappa(t) = 0$.
 - (c) Determine a parametrization for C 's evolute curve E_C .
3. Let C denote a plane curve with *unit speed* parametrization and associated unit tangent and normal vector fields $\mathbf{t}(s)$ and $\mathbf{n}(s) = \hat{\mathbf{t}}(s)$. Show (using Prop. 1.10):
 - (a) $\mathbf{n}'(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}(s) = 0$.
 - (b) $\mathbf{n}'(s) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) = -\kappa(s)$.
 - (c) $\mathbf{n}'(s) = -\kappa(s)\mathbf{t}(s)$.
4. (a) Show Prop. 1.44 about evolute curves using Lemma 1.32.
 - (b) Let C denote a regular plane curve with evolute E_C . Assume C given by a unit speed parametrization, and that κ is a smooth function of the parameter s . Show that the derivative of the associated parametrization for E_C at C_{P_s} is given by $-\frac{\kappa'(s)}{\kappa(s)}\mathbf{n}(s)$.
 - (c) Show that E_C is regular except at the points C_{P_s} corresponding to points $P_s \in C$ with critical values of κ , i.e., $\kappa'(s) = 0$.
 - (d) Let $P_s \in C$ and $C_{P_s} \in E_C$ be two associated points on C and its evolute curve E_C such that $\kappa'(s) \neq 0$. Show that the tangent lines to C at P and to E_C at C_P are perpendicular to each other.
 - (e) An exercise on involutes, e.g., the involute of a circle.
5. Reprove Prop. 1.48 using Thm. 1.51.

1.4 Space Curves

1.4.1 Moving frame, osculating plane and associated indicatrices

The moving frame

One of the major methods investigating curves (and later on surfaces) is to choose a nice perspective. In technical terms, we need *at every point* of the curve under investigation a basis of \mathbf{R}^2 , resp. \mathbf{R}^3 adapted to the local situation. For a plane curve C given by a (unit speed) parametrization \mathbf{r} and a point $P \in C$ with $\overrightarrow{OP} = \mathbf{r}(s)$, this *moving frame* is given by the unit tangent vector $\mathbf{t}(s)$ and its hat vector $\hat{\mathbf{t}}(s)$, providing us in fact with a moving *orthonormal* basis of \mathbf{R}^2 at every point of the curve.

For a space curve C given by a (unit speed) parametrization \mathbf{r} , we need *three* (mutually orthogonal unit) basis vectors at every point $P \in C$. One of them should again be the tangent vector to the curve. What to choose for the two other basis vectors? In the following, we have to assume, that the point $P \in C$ (with $\overrightarrow{OP} = \mathbf{r}(s)$) is a non-inflection point; cf. Def. 1.35. In that case, we are provided with the *principal normal vector* $\mathbf{n}(s)$ given by $\mathbf{t}'(s) = \kappa(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$. By definition, the principal normal vector $\mathbf{n}(s)$ is a unit vector; moreover, it is perpendicular to the unit tangent vector $\mathbf{t}(s)$ at P by Rem. 1.37. This leaves us with almost no choice for the third vector in an orthonormal basis:

Definition 1.54 Let $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ denote a unit speed parametrization of a space curve C ; assume that $P_s \in C$ given by $\overrightarrow{OP_s} = \mathbf{r}(s)$ is a non-inflection point. The moving frame at P_s consists of

- the unit tangent vector $\mathbf{t}(s) = \mathbf{r}'(s)$;
- the principal normal vector $\mathbf{n}(s) = \frac{\mathbf{r}''(s)}{|\mathbf{r}''(s)|}$;
- the binormal vector $\mathbf{b}(s) = \mathbf{t}(s) \times \mathbf{n}(s)$.

Illustration 1.55 Moving frame moving along a space curve chosen by the user.

For explicit calculations using a regular (non unit-speed) parametrization of a space curve C , we have to find formulas for the three vectors in the moving frame: Let \mathbf{r} denote such a regular parametrization, and let $\mathbf{t}(t), \mathbf{n}(t), \mathbf{b}(t)$ denote the moving frame vectors at a non-inflection point $P_t \in C$ with $\overrightarrow{OP_t} = \mathbf{r}(t)$.

Remark 1.56 1. The planes $sp(\mathbf{r}'(t), \mathbf{r}''(t))$ and $sp(\mathbf{t}(t), \mathbf{n}(t))$ agree; this is an easy consequence of (1.7).

2. The determination of the moving frame at the point P_t with $\overrightarrow{OP_t} = \mathbf{r}(t)$ is thus usually performed in the following three steps:

1. $\mathbf{t}(t) = \frac{\mathbf{r}'(t)}{|\mathbf{r}'(t)|}$;
2. $\mathbf{b}(t) = \frac{\mathbf{r}'(t) \times \mathbf{r}''(t)}{|\mathbf{r}'(t) \times \mathbf{r}''(t)|}$;
3. $\mathbf{n}(t) = \mathbf{b}(t) \times \mathbf{t}(t)$.

Example 1.57 Let us again look at a helix with parametrization

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = [a \cos t, a \sin t, bt], \quad a, b > 0.$$

(cf. Ex. 1.4 and 1.43). Using the formulas from Rem. 1.56, we obtain at P_t with $\overrightarrow{OP_t} = \mathbf{r}(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} [-a \sin t, a \cos t, b]; \\ \mathbf{b}(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} [b \sin t, -b \cos t, a]; \\ \mathbf{n}(t) &= [-\cos t, -\sin t, 0]. \end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

In particular, at every point P_t along the curve, the principal normal vector $\mathbf{n}(t)$ is parallel to the XY -plane pointing from the curve in direction of the z -axis.

Similar to Sect. 1.3.3, one can visualise the moving frame by three *curves*, their *indicatrices*. This time, those curves will be contained in the unit 2-sphere $S^2 := \{[x, y, z] \in \mathbf{R}^3 | x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbf{R}^3$; the tangent, principal and binormal vector fields can be viewed as maps $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b} : I \rightarrow S^2$; they have moreover the property of hitting mutually perpendicular vectors at any time.

Illustration 1.58 3 indicatrices of the same curve (chosen by the user) marked by points in 3 colours travelling on a unit sphere while another point moves along the curve.

Normal and osculating planes

Each pair of two out of the three vectors in the moving frame of a space curve C span a plane; at least two of them deserve to be mentioned here. We get a more adequate geometric picture when these planes are translated into parallel planes through the point $P \in C$ at which they are defined (just as the tangent line at P is obtained from the 1-dimensional subspace $sp(\mathbf{t})$ by translation into P).

Let again $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ denote a regular parametrization of a space curve C and $P_t \in C$ denote the point P_t with $\overrightarrow{OP_t} = \mathbf{r}(t)$. The *normal plane* η_t at P_t is the plane through P_t normal to the tangent vector $\mathbf{t}(t)$, or equivalently, with parallel plane $sp(\mathbf{n}(t), \mathbf{b}(t))$.

The *osculating plane* ω_t at P_t is the plane through the non-inflection point P_t normal to the binormal vector $\mathbf{b}(t)$, or equivalently, with parallel plane $sp(\mathbf{n}(t), \mathbf{b}(t))$, or equivalently, with parallel plane $sp(\mathbf{r}'(t), \mathbf{r}''(t))$; cf. Rem. 1.56.1. It is in fact the *best approximating plane* to the curve near P_t .




Figure 1.7: Moving frame, osculating plane and normal plane

1. It contains both the tangent line, and *for every* regular parametrization the line through P_t parallel to the acceleration vector $\mathbf{r}''(t)$. In a physical sense,

the kinematic forces pull within the osculation plane regardless the speed with which the curve is run through.

2. An alternative and geometric appealing construction of the osculating plane ω_t at P_t is as follows: Choose two points $P_{t_1}, P_{t_2} \in C$ close to P_t . If the three points P_t, P_{t_1}, P_{t_2} are not contained in a line, they determine a plane $\omega(t, t_1, t_2) \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ containing those three points. When t_1 and t_2 tend to t , these plane tends to a limit plane, which is the osculating plane; cf. Exc. 1.4.5.1

Illustration 1.59 *Moving normal and osculating planes along a (user chosen) space curve.*

Proposition 1.60 *A point $\mathbf{x} = [x, y, z]$ is contained in the osculating plane ω_t at $P_t \in C$ with $\overrightarrow{OP_t} = \mathbf{r}(t)$ if and only if*

$$\mathbf{b}(t) \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}(t) \cdot \mathbf{r}(t),$$

or equivalently, if the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}(t) \\ \mathbf{r}'(t) \\ \mathbf{r}''(t) \end{pmatrix}$ is singular.

Proof: Exc. 1.4.5.?. □

Example 1.61 *The osculating plane to the helix from Ex. 1.57 at P_t is given by the equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}(t) \cdot [x, y, z] &= \mathbf{b}(t) \cdot \overrightarrow{OP_0}, \text{ i.e.} \\ b \sin t \cdot x - b \cos t \cdot y + a \cdot z &= abt \end{aligned}$$

or by the parametrization

$$\mathbf{r}(u, v) = [a \cos t, a \sin t, bt] + u[-a \sin t, a \cos t, b] + v[-a \cos t, -a \sin t, 0], \quad (u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^2.$$

Also for a space curve, the *osculating circle* along a space curve C at $P \in C$ is the circle best approximating C at P . The circle through three points on C is of course contained in the plane determined by the three points. As the limit circle, the osculating circle at P is contained in the limit position for those planes, i.e., the osculating plane. Given a parametrization \mathbf{r} for the curve, we have thus the following analogue of Prop. 1.44 determining the osculating circle at P :

Proposition 1.62 *The osculating circle at P_t with $\overrightarrow{OP_t} = \mathbf{r}(t)$ is contained in the osculating plane ω_t determined by Prop. 1.60. It has radius $\rho(t) = \frac{1}{\kappa(t)}$, center C_t with $\overrightarrow{P_t C_t} = \frac{1}{\kappa(t)} \mathbf{n}(t)$.*

Illustration 1.63 *Osculating circle along a space curve.*

1.4.2 Torsion and Frenet's equations

Let $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ denote the unit-speed parametrization of a curve, and let $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ the associated moving frame (unit tangent, principal normal and binormal vector fields). At every (non-inflection) point $\mathbf{r}(s)$ along the curve, we can form the Frenet-matrix

$$\mathbf{F}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}(s) \\ \mathbf{n}(s) \\ \mathbf{b}(s) \end{bmatrix}$$

with the three vectors as the row vectors in $\mathbf{F}(s)$. Since the three vectors are mutually perpendicular unit vectors, the matrix $\mathbf{F}(s)$ is an *orthogonal* matrix for every $s \in I$, i.e., it satisfies

$$\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{F}(s)^T \mathbf{F}(s). \quad (1.18)$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{F}(s)$ has determinant 1, since $\det \mathbf{F}(s) = (\mathbf{t}(s) \times \mathbf{n}(s)) \cdot \mathbf{b}(s) = \mathbf{b}(s) \cdot \mathbf{b}(s) = 1$. A matrix satisfying (1.18) with determinant 1 is called *special orthogonal*; we write $\mathbf{F}(s) \in SO(3)$.

To see, how the moving frame changes along the curve given by the parametrization \mathbf{r} , we want to determine the derivative of the matrix $\mathbf{F}(s)$, i.e., the matrix

$$\mathbf{F}'(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}'(s) \\ \mathbf{n}'(s) \\ \mathbf{b}'(s) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $\mathbf{F}(s)$ is orthogonal, it is also invertible; in fact $\mathbf{F}(s)^{-1} = \mathbf{F}(s)^T$. Hence, with the matrix $\mathbf{A}(s) = \mathbf{F}'(s)\mathbf{F}(s)^T$, we get an equation

$$\mathbf{F}'(s) = \mathbf{A}(s)\mathbf{F}(s). \quad (1.19)$$

We want to determine the matrix $\mathbf{A}(s)$:

Lemma 1.64 *The matrix $\mathbf{A}(s)$ is skew-symmetric for every $s \in I$, i.e.,*

$$\mathbf{A}(s) + \mathbf{A}(s)^T = \mathbf{0}.$$

Proof: Differentiating (1.18) using the product rule yields

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{F}'(s)^T \mathbf{F}(s) + \mathbf{F}(s)^T \mathbf{F}'(s).$$

Using (1.19), we obtain:

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{F}(s)^T \mathbf{A}(s)^T \mathbf{F}(s) + \mathbf{F}(s)^T \mathbf{A}(s) \mathbf{F}(s) = \mathbf{F}(s)^T (\mathbf{A}(s)^T + \mathbf{A}(s)) \mathbf{F}(s).$$

Since both $\mathbf{F}(s)$ and its transpose are invertible, we conclude: $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{A}(s)^T + \mathbf{A}(s)$.

□

It is easy to determine the 1st row of the matrix $A(s)$: By the definition of curvature, we have (Def. 1.36): $\mathbf{t}'(s) = 0 \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) + \kappa(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}(s) + 0 \cdot \mathbf{b}(s)$. Combining this with the skew-commutativity of the matrix $A(s)$ (Lemma 1.64), we can determine most of the entries of that matrix, to wit:

$$A(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa(s) & 0 \\ -\kappa(s) & 0 & ?(s) \\ 0 & -?(s) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition 1.65 Let $P_s \in C$ denote a non-inflection point on a regular space curve C given by a unit speed parametrization \mathbf{r} such that $\overrightarrow{OP_s} = \mathbf{r}(s)$. The torsion of C at P_s is defined by the equation

$$\mathbf{b}'(s) = -\tau(s)\mathbf{n}(s). \quad (1.20)$$

Before interpreting this new invariant, let us note that we have proved

Theorem 1.66 (*Frenet's equations*)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}'(s) &= \kappa(s)\mathbf{n}(s) \\ \mathbf{n}'(s) &= -\kappa(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \tau(s)\mathbf{b}(s) \\ \mathbf{b}'(s) &= -\tau(s)\mathbf{n}(s) \end{aligned}.$$

Torsion: Interpretation and Calculation

The binormal vector $\mathbf{b}(s)$ is by definition a unit vector orthogonal to the osculating plane ω_{P_s} . Hence, the torsion as (the negative of) the rate of change of $\mathbf{b}(s)$ is equivalent to the rate of change of ω_{P_s} ; hence it measures, how quickly the osculating plane changes when moving away from P_s along the curve, or equivalently, how quickly the curve disappears or twists away from ω_{P_s} , in particular:

Proposition 1.67 *A space curve C without inflection points is contained in a plane if and only if its torsion is 0 everywhere.*



Figure 1.8: Frenet's equations

Proof: Let $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ denote a unit speed parametrization of the curve C .

If C is contained in a plane $\pi \subset \mathbf{R}^3$, then $\mathbf{r}(s) - \mathbf{r}(0)$ and all derivatives $\mathbf{r}^i(s)$ are contained in a parallel 2-dimensional vector space $\alpha \subset \mathbf{R}^3$. In particular, the binormal $\mathbf{b}(s)$ is a continuous vector function with values among the two vectors of length one perpendicular to α . Hence, $\mathbf{b}(s)$ is a constant vector, $\mathbf{b}'(s) = \mathbf{0}$, and hence $\tau(s) \equiv 0$.

From $\tau(s) = 0$, we conclude from the last equation in Thm. 1.66, that $\mathbf{b}'(s) = \mathbf{0}$, and hence that the binormal vector $\mathbf{b}(s) = \mathbf{b}$ is constant along C . Let $s_0 \in I$. The plane through $\mathbf{r}(s_0)$ perpendicular to \mathbf{b} is given by the equation

$$(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}(s_0)) \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0. \quad (1.21)$$

We show, that all vectors $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r}(s)$, $s \in I$ satisfy (1.21): This is obviously true for $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r}(s_0)$. Moreover, the function $(\mathbf{r}(s) - \mathbf{r}(s_0)) \cdot \mathbf{b}$ has derivative $\mathbf{r}'(s) \cdot \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{t}(s) \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0$, i.e., it is constant with constant 0.

□

Remark 1.68 *The curvature of a space curve C at a point P was defined to be non-negative; its torsion can be both positive, negative or zero. The sign in (1.20) is somewhat arbitrary, and some authors write $\tau(s)$ instead of our $-\tau(s)$.*

Frenet's equations (Thm. 1.66) can be used to derive a formula for the torsion $\tau(P)$ at a point P on our curve C in terms of the first three derivatives of a parametrization \mathbf{r} of the curve.

Proposition 1.69 *Let C be a curve with regular parametrization \mathbf{r} , and let $P_t \in C$ be a non-inflection point given by $\overrightarrow{OP} = \mathbf{r}(t)$. Then,*

$$\tau(P) = \frac{(\mathbf{r}'(t) \times \mathbf{r}''(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}'''(t)}{|\mathbf{r}'(t) \times \mathbf{r}''(t)|^2} = \frac{[\mathbf{r}'(t), \mathbf{r}''(t), \mathbf{r}'''(t)]}{|\mathbf{r}'(t) \times \mathbf{r}''(t)|^2}.$$

(The last numerator is the determinant of the matrix with the three derivatives as row vectors.)

Proof: We reuse the formulas (1.7) for the velocity and the acceleration vector in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}'(t) &= v(t)\mathbf{t}(s(t)); \\ \mathbf{r}''(t) &= v'(t)\mathbf{t}(s(t)) + v^2(t)\kappa(s(t))\mathbf{n}(s(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (1.22)$$

A calculation of their cross product yields:

$$\mathbf{r}'(t) \times \mathbf{r}''(t) = v^3(t)\kappa(s(t))\mathbf{b}(s(t)), \quad (1.23)$$

and thus,

$$(\mathbf{r}'(t) \times \mathbf{r}''(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}'''(t) = v^3(t)\kappa(s(t))(\mathbf{b}(s(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}'''(t)). \quad (1.24)$$

To calculate $\mathbf{b}(s(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}'''(t)$, note first that $\mathbf{b}(s(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}''(t) = 0$, since $\mathbf{r}''(t)$ is contained in the osculating plane ω_P at P , cf. Rem. 1.56. Hence, we may use first the fundamental trick (Lemma 1.10) and then the last of Frenet's equations, cf. Thm. 1.66, to obtain:

$$\mathbf{b}(s(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}'''(t) = -v(t)\mathbf{b}'(s(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}''(t) = v(t)\tau(s(t))(\mathbf{n}(s(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}''(t)).$$

Applying the second equation in (1.22) once again, we derive:

$$\mathbf{b}(s(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}'''(t) = v^3(t)\kappa(s(t))\tau(s(t)). \quad (1.25)$$

Substituting (1.25) into (1.24), we obtain:

$$(\mathbf{r}'(t) \times \mathbf{r}''(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}'''(t) = v^3(t)\kappa(s(t))(\mathbf{b}(s(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}'''(t)) = v^6(t)\kappa^2(s(t))\tau(s(t)).$$

On the other hand, (1.23) tells us, that $|\mathbf{r}'(t) \times \mathbf{r}''(t)|^2 = v^6(t)\kappa^2(s(t))$, which implies the formula in Prop. 1.69 for the torsion $\tau(P_t) = \tau(s(t))$.

□

Remark 1.70 From (1.25), we deduct, that the torsion $\tau(P_t)$ has the same sign as the entity $\mathbf{b}(P) \cdot \mathbf{r}'''(s)$ – since both speed v and curvature κ are positive entities. this observation can be given the following interpretation:

Euclidean space \mathbf{E}^3 is divided into two half-spaces by the osculating plane ω_P . The torsion τ_P is positive, if and only if $\mathbf{r}'''(t)$ lies in the half space that $\mathbf{b}(P)$ points into, i.e., if the piece of curve given by $\mathbf{r}(t + \varepsilon)$ for small values $\varepsilon > 0$ is contained in that half-space. It is negative, if and only if $\mathbf{r}'''(t)$ and thus the piece of curve given by $\mathbf{r}(t + \varepsilon)$ for small values $\varepsilon > 0$ is contained on the opposit half-space. The absolute value of the torsion $\tau(P)$ measures, given $\kappa(P)$, how fast the curve twists away from ω_P into one or the other half-space. See also Sect. 1.4.4.

Example 1.71 For the helix from Ex. 1.4 with parametrization $\mathbf{r} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$, $\mathbf{r}(t) = [a \cos t, a \sin t, bt]$, we calculate using the results of Ex. 1.43.3:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}'''(t) &= [a \sin t, -a \cos t, 0]; \\ \mathbf{r}'(t) \times \mathbf{r}''(t) &= [ab \sin t, -ab \cos t, a^2]; \\ (\mathbf{r}'(t) \times \mathbf{r}''(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}'''(t) &= a^2b; \\ \tau(P) &= \frac{b}{a^2 + b^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.26)$$

Note, that both curvature (cf. (1.16)) and torsion are constant along the helix.

Illustration 1.72 *Space curve with attached curvature and torsion plots and attached tangent and binormal indicatrix.*

1.4.3 Curvature and torsion functions characterize a space curve

We saw in Sect. 1.3.5 that a *plane* curve is characterized (up to a rigid motion) by its curvature function. This is no longer true for a space curve: A circle and a helix can have the same constant curvature function, cf. Ex. 1.43.3. But a result similar to Thm. 1.51 holds if the torsion function is adjoined as an additional invariant:

Theorem 1.73 (*The fundamental theorem for space curves*) *Let $\bar{\kappa}, \bar{\tau} : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ denote smooth functions with $\bar{\kappa}(s) > 0$ for all $a < s < b$. Then, there is a curve with unit speed parametrization $\mathbf{r} : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$, whose curvature and torsion functions are $\bar{\kappa}$, resp. $\bar{\tau}$. Furthermore, any two such curves differ by a proper rigid motion.*

Proof:

Existence Consider the function

$$\mathbf{A}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \bar{\kappa}(s) & 0 \\ -\bar{\kappa}(s) & 0 & \bar{\tau}(s) \\ 0 & -\bar{\tau}(s) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\bar{\kappa}(s)$ and $\bar{\tau}(s)$ as in Thm. 1.73. Remark that $\mathbf{A}(s)$ is a *skew-symmetric matrix* for every $a < s < b$.

In our first step, we attempt to find a moving frame $\{\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s), \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s), \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)\}$ satisfying the Frenet equations from Thm. 1.66. With

$$\mathbf{F}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) \\ \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) \\ \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s) \end{bmatrix},$$

we thus want a solution of the system of *linear* differential equations that in matrix form reads:

$$\mathbf{F}'(s) = \mathbf{A}(s)\mathbf{F}(s). \quad (1.27)$$

By an important result in the theory of ordinary differential equations, such a system has always a unique solution $\{\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s), \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s), \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)\}$ defined on the *whole interval* (a, b) with initial values $\{\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s_0), \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s_0), \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s_0)\}$.

Choose

$$\mathbf{F}(s_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{t}}(s_0) \\ \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s_0) \\ \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s_0) \end{bmatrix}$$

as an arbitrary *special orthogonal* matrix $\mathbf{F}(s_0) \in SO(3)$, i.e., $\mathbf{F}(s_0)^T \mathbf{F}(s_0) = \mathbf{I}$ and $\det \mathbf{F}(s_0) = 1$.

Lemma 1.74 *All matrix solutions $\mathbf{F}(s)$ of (1.27) are special orthogonal, i.e., $\mathbf{F}(s)^T \mathbf{F}(s) = \mathbf{I}$ and $\det \mathbf{F}(s) = 1$ for all $a < s < b$.*

Proof: (of Lemma 1.74:) We want to show that the matrix-valued function $\mathbf{G}(s) = \mathbf{F}(s)^T \mathbf{F}(s)$ takes the constant value $\mathbf{G}(s) = \mathbf{I}$ for all $a < s < b$. To get this result, we differentiate $\mathbf{G}(s)$ and obtain:

$$\mathbf{G}'(s) = \mathbf{F}'(s)^T \mathbf{F}(s) + \mathbf{F}(s)^T \mathbf{F}'(s).$$

Since $\mathbf{F}(s)$ solves (1.27) above, we have

$$\mathbf{G}'(s) = \mathbf{F}(s)^T \mathbf{A}(s)^T \mathbf{F}(s) + \mathbf{F}(s)^T \mathbf{A}(s) \mathbf{F}(s) = \mathbf{F}(s)^T (\mathbf{A}(s)^T + \mathbf{A}(s)) \mathbf{F}(s) = \mathbf{0}.$$

(The last equation relies on $\mathbf{A}(s)$ being skew-symmetric.) We conclude that the matrix-valued function $\mathbf{G}(s)$ is constant and takes the value $\mathbf{G}(s_0) = \mathbf{I}$ everywhere on the interval (a, b) . The determinant of a matrix function is continuous. Since the determinant of an orthogonal matrix can only take the values 1 or -1 , it must take the constant value $\det \mathbf{F}(s) = \det \mathbf{F}(s_0) = 1$.

□

To define the (unit-speed parametrization of the) curve $\mathbf{r}(s)$, we integrate

$$\mathbf{r}(s) = \int_{s_0}^s \bar{\mathbf{t}}(t) dt.$$

Let us find first the moving frame $\{\mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{n}(s), \mathbf{b}(s)\}$ and then the curvature and torsion functions $\kappa(s)$, resp. $\tau(s)$ associated to this curve:

Lemma 1.75 $\mathbf{t}(s) = \bar{\mathbf{t}}(s)$, $\mathbf{n}(s) = \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, $\mathbf{b}(s) = \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)$, $\kappa(s) = \bar{\kappa}(s)$, $\tau(s) = \bar{\tau}(s)$ for all $a < s < b$.

Proof: (of Lemma 1.75:) By the fundamental theorem of Analysis, $\mathbf{t}(s) = \mathbf{r}'(s) = \bar{\mathbf{t}}(s)$. In particular, \mathbf{r} is a unit speed parametrization. Moreover, $\mathbf{r}''(s) = \mathbf{t}'(s) = \bar{\kappa}(s) \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$, since $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(s)$ is the first row in the solution

of (1.27). Hence, $\mathbf{n}(s) = \frac{\mathbf{t}'(s)}{|\mathbf{t}'(s)|} = \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s)$. Since $\mathbf{F}(s)$ is *special orthogonal*, $\mathbf{b}(s) = \mathbf{t}(s) \times \mathbf{n}(s) = \bar{\mathbf{t}}(s) \times \bar{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \bar{\mathbf{b}}(s)$.

Finally, $\kappa(s)\mathbf{n}(s) = \mathbf{t}'(s) = \bar{\mathbf{t}}'(s) = \bar{\kappa}(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$ implies: $\kappa(s) = \bar{\kappa}(s)$, and $-\tau(s)\mathbf{n}(s) = \mathbf{b}'(s) = \bar{\mathbf{b}}'(s) = -\bar{\tau}(s)\mathbf{n}(s)$ implies: $\tau(s) = \bar{\tau}(s)$.

□

Uniqueness Let $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ denote two unit-speed parametrizations with the same associated curvature and torsion functions, i.e., $\kappa_1(s) = \kappa_2(s)$ and $\tau_1(s) = \tau_2(s)$ for all $s \in (a, b)$. After a suitable change of coordinates (a proper rigid motion, i.e., a rotation composed with a translation, we can furthermore assume, that the two curves *and* their Frenet basis agree in a chosen point, i.e., there is an $s_0 \in (a, b)$ such that

- $\mathbf{r}_1(s_0) = \mathbf{r}_2(s_0)$
- $\mathbf{t}_1(s_0) = \mathbf{t}_2(s_0), \mathbf{n}_1(s_0) = \mathbf{n}_2(s_0), \mathbf{b}_1(s_0) = \mathbf{b}_2(s_0)$.

Next, we can either apply the uniqueness part of the theorem on solutions of systems of ordinary differential equations with given initial conditions or the following more direct argument:

To prove that the two solutions are the same, it suffices to see that

$$|\mathbf{t}_1(s) - \mathbf{t}_2(s)|^2 + |\mathbf{n}_1(s) - \mathbf{n}_2(s)|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_1(s) - \mathbf{b}_2(s)|^2 = 0$$

for all s , since this implies that $\mathbf{r}'_1(s) = \mathbf{t}_1(s) = \mathbf{t}_2(s) = \mathbf{r}'_2(s)$; moreover, $\mathbf{r}_1(s_0) = \mathbf{r}_2(s_0)$. Hence, $\mathbf{r}_1(s) = \mathbf{r}_2(s)$ for all $s \in (a, b)$.

Let $\Delta\mathbf{t}(s) = \mathbf{t}_1(s) - \mathbf{t}_2(s)$ and similarly for \mathbf{n} and \mathbf{b} . Since we already know that the Frenet frames coincide at $s = s_0$, it suffices to see that

$$\langle \Delta\mathbf{t}'(s), \Delta\mathbf{t}(s) \rangle + \langle \Delta\mathbf{n}'(s), \Delta\mathbf{n}(s) \rangle + \langle \Delta\mathbf{b}'(s), \Delta\mathbf{b}(s) \rangle = 0 \quad (1.28)$$

This follows rather directly from the Frenet equations.

□

For the reader who knows more linear algebra, the following argument for equation 1.28 may be more enlightening. It shows that 1.28 is in fact a trace⁶:

⁶The sum of the values in the diagonal

Let

$$\mathbf{F}_i(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}_i(s) \\ \mathbf{n}_i(s) \\ \mathbf{b}_i(s) \end{bmatrix}, i = 1, 2, \text{ and let } \mathbf{A}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \bar{\kappa}(s) & 0 \\ -\bar{\kappa}(s) & 0 & \bar{\tau}(s) \\ 0 & -\bar{\tau}(s) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

denote the skew-symmetric matrix from above such that $\mathbf{F}'_i(s) = \mathbf{A}(s)\mathbf{F}_i(s)$. Let furthermore $\Delta F(s) = \mathbf{F}_1(s) - \mathbf{F}_2(s)$, and observe that

- $\mathbf{t}(s) = [1 \ 0 \ 0]F(s) = \mathbf{e}_1^T F(s)$, $\mathbf{n}(s) = [0 \ 1 \ 0]F(s) = \mathbf{e}_2^T F(s)$ and $\mathbf{b}(s) = [0 \ 0 \ 1]F(s) = \mathbf{e}_3^T F(s)$
- $\Delta F'(s) = \mathbf{A}(s)\Delta F(s)$ for all $s \in (a, b)$.
- $\Delta \mathbf{t}'(s) = \mathbf{e}_1^T F'(s) = \mathbf{e}_1^T A(s)F(s)$ etc.

Hence equation 1.28 can be rewritten:

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle e_i^T A \Delta F, e_i^T \Delta F \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^3 e_i^T A \Delta F (e_i^T \Delta F)^T = \sum_{i=1}^3 e_i^T A \Delta F \Delta F^T e_i$$

The last expression is the trace⁷ $\text{tr}(A \Delta F \Delta F^T)$. Since A is skewsymmetric and $\Delta F \Delta F^T$ is symmetric, we conclude by the following lemma:

Lemma 1.76 *Let A be a skewsymmetric $n \times n$ matrix and let X be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. Then $\text{tr}(AX) = 0$*

Proof:

$$\text{tr}(AX) = \text{tr}((AX)^T) = \text{tr}(-XA) = -\text{tr}(XA) = -\text{tr}(AX)$$

We used that $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$ for all A, B .

□

1.4.4 The local canonical form of a space curve

Here is yet another way to grasp the meaning of the curvature and the torsion of a (space) curve C . For simplicity, we assume C given a unit speed parameterisation $\mathbf{r} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ with I an interval containing $s = 0$ in its interior. Furthermore, we assume (after a rigid motion in space), that $P_0 = O$ and that the Frenet

⁷see previous footnote...

moving frame vectors at O coincides with the coordinate unit vectors, i.e., $\mathbf{t}(0) = \mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{n}(0) = \mathbf{j}$, and $\mathbf{b}(0) = \mathbf{k}$. We want to analyse the curve C close to the point $P_0 \in \mathbf{E}^3$ by looking at the 3rd degree Taylor polynomial of the (components of the) vector function \mathbf{r} at O :

$$\mathbf{r}_0^{(3)}(s) = \mathbf{r}(0) + s\mathbf{r}'(0) + \frac{s^2}{2}\mathbf{r}''(0) + \frac{s^3}{6}\mathbf{r}'''(0). \quad (1.29)$$

By our assumptions and using Frenet's 2nd equation from Thm. 1.66 we obtain⁸:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}(0) &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathbf{r}'(0) &= \mathbf{t}(0) = [1, 0, 0], \\ \mathbf{r}''(0) &= \mathbf{t}'(0) = \kappa\mathbf{n}(0) = [0, \kappa, 0], \\ \mathbf{r}'''(0) &= (\kappa\mathbf{n})'(0) = \kappa'\mathbf{n}(0) + \kappa\mathbf{n}'(0) = \kappa'\mathbf{n}(0) + \kappa(-\kappa\mathbf{t}(0) + \tau\mathbf{b}(0)) = [-\kappa^2, \kappa', \kappa\tau]. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (1.29), we get for the 3rd degree Taylor polynomial:

$$\mathbf{r}_0^{(3)}(s) = [s - \frac{\kappa^2 s^3}{6}, \frac{\kappa s^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa' s^3}{6}, \frac{\kappa\tau s^3}{6}].$$

This representation (plus the corresponding error term) is known as the *local canonical form* of the curve in a neighbourhood of $P_0 = O$. Remark, that the torsion only enters as a coordinate of \mathbf{b} . Since κ is assumed to be positive (compare Rem. 1.70):



Corollary 1.77 *The osculating plane ω_O divides Euclidean space into two half-spaces. If $\tau > 0$, the curve C runs into the half-space containing the binormal vector \mathbf{b} for $t > 0$; if $\tau < 0$, it leaves that half-space for $t > 0$.*

The following figure shows a curve with given values κ and τ and its projections on the three planes spanned by two out of three of the vectors $\{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b}\}$ from the Frenet frame:

1.4.5 Exercises

1. Given three points $P, Q, R \in \mathbf{R}^3$, not contained in a line $l \subset \mathbf{R}^3$. Show:
 - (a) There is a unique plane $\omega_{P,Q,R}$ containing P, Q, R . Determine a unit normal vector $\mathbf{N}_{P,Q,R}$ to $\omega_{P,Q,R}$.

⁸We use the abbreviations: $\kappa = \kappa(0)$, $\kappa' = \kappa'(0)$, and $\tau = \tau(0)$.

Figure 1.9: A space curve and its projection to the **nt**-planeFigure 1.10: Projections to the **tb**-plane and to the **nb**-plane

- (b) Let $P = P_{s_0}$, $Q = P_{s_1}$ and $R = P_{s_2}$ as in Sect. 1.3.1 and Sect. 1.4.1. Show that the planes $\omega_{P_{s_0}, P_{s_1}, P_{s_2}}$ converge to a limit plane ω_{s_0} (the osculating plane).
 (Hint: Consider the planes $sp(\mathbf{r}(s_1) - \mathbf{r}(s_0), \mathbf{r}(s_2) - \mathbf{r}(s_1))$ and $sp(\frac{\mathbf{r}(s_1) - \mathbf{r}(s_0)}{s_1 - s_0}, \frac{\frac{\mathbf{r}(s_2) - \mathbf{r}(s_1)}{s_2 - s_1} - \frac{\mathbf{r}(s_1) - \mathbf{r}(s_0)}{s_1 - s_0}}{s_1 - s_0})$. Show that the two planes agree, and that the latter converges to $sp(\mathbf{r}'(s_0), \mathbf{r}''(s_0))$.
2. Show Prop. 1.60.
3. What is the limit position of the circles through three points on a curve at an inflection point?
4. Show that a space curve has constant positive curvature *and* constant torsion if and only if it is a helix.
 (Use Thm. 1.73).
5. A space curve C is called a *generalised helix* if there is a unit vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{R}^3$ (its axis) such that the angle θ between tangent lines along the curve C and \mathbf{u} is constant. Show:
- (a) A helix is a generalised helix.
 - (b) A curve with parametrization $\mathbf{r}(t) = [x(t), y(t), z(t)]$ such that $y'(t)^2 = 2x'(t)z'(t)$ for all t is a generalised helix with axis $\mathbf{u} = [1, 0, 1]$.
 - (c) A space curve without inflection points is a generalised helix if and only if the quotient $\frac{\tau}{\kappa}$ is constant.

Hints: Let $\{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b}\}$ denote the moving frame along C . Show for a generalised helix without inflection points:

- $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$.
- $\mathbf{u} = \cos \theta \mathbf{t} + \sin \theta \mathbf{b}$
- $\cot \theta = \frac{\tau}{\kappa}$.

Reverse this reasoning for a curve with constant $\frac{\tau}{\kappa}$ using appropriate *definitions* of θ and \mathbf{u} .

1.5 Global properties

Until now, we have focussed on *local* properties of curves, determined at a point by an arbitrarily small portion of the curve around it. The following section

deals with global geometric properties of *closed* smooth curves having a periodic parametrization $\mathbf{r} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ with period L , i.e., $\mathbf{r}(t + L) = \mathbf{r}(t)$. (Of course, one may restrict attention to a closed interval of size L as the domain. But we need also the (coinciding!) derivatives at the ends of such an interval.) Most results in this section are given without proofs.

1.5.1 The four-vertex theorem for plane curves

Definition 1.78 *Let C denote a plane smooth closed curve.*

1. C is called an oval if its oriented curvature is either non-negative or non-positive everywhere, i.e., if it turns either clockwise or anti-clockwise.
2. A point P_0 on C is called a vertex if one has for some (and thus any!) smooth parametrization $\mathbf{r} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ of C with $\mathbf{r}(t_0) = \overrightarrow{OP_0} : \kappa'(t_0) = 0$.

It is clear, that the differentiable curvature function κ attains both a maximal and a minimal value within a period interval. Hence, an oval must have at least two vertices.

Example 1.79 *An ellipse is an oval. Remark that its curvature has two maxima and two minima (within a period), cf. Fig. 1.4.*

The following much more general result is true:

Theorem 1.80 (*Four vertex theorem*) *Let C denote an oval with smooth parametrization $\mathbf{r} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ and period L . Then C has at least four vertices, i.e., for every $a \in \mathbf{R}$, there exist $a \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < t_4 < a + L$ such that $\kappa'(s) = 0$.*

Illustration 1.81 *closed curves and their vertices, ovals and non-ovals.*

1.5.2 Total curvature and Fenchel's theorem

Definition 1.82 *Let C denote a closed smooth curve with unit speed parametrization \mathbf{r} of period L . Its total curvature is defined as*

$$K(C) = \int_a^{a+L} \kappa \, ds.$$

For a *plane curve*— using oriented curvature as in Sect. 1.35 — there is a clean characterization of the total curvature: Let $\theta(a)$ denote the angle between $\mathbf{i} = [0, 1] \in \mathbf{R}^2$ and $\mathbf{t}(a) = \mathbf{r}'(a)$. There is a *continuous* angle function $\theta : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ extending $\theta(a)$ such that $\theta(s)$ is the angle between \mathbf{i} and $\mathbf{t}(s)$. (Remark that $\theta(s)$ is only well-determined up to integer multiples of 2π ; the continuity of θ requires to do a particular choice once $\theta(a)$ has been selected.) The *integer* $\frac{\theta(a+L)-\theta(a)}{2\pi}$ is called the *winding number* $w(C)$ of the curve C (with period L).

Proposition 1.83

$$K(C) = 2\pi w(C).$$

In particular, $w(C)$ is well-determined, i.e., independent of the choice of a .

Proof: In Sect. 1.3.3, we got for a plane curve with unit speed parametrization, that oriented curvature satisfies: $\kappa(s) = \theta'(s)$. We can apply the fundamental theorem in Calculus to yield:

$$K(C) = \int_a^{a+L} \kappa ds = [\theta(s)]_a^{a+L} = \theta(a+L) - \theta(a).$$

□

Illustration 1.84 *closed curves, turning tangent, angle function, total curvature, winding number*

The total curvatures of space curves are usually less rigid. Let us nevertheless report on the following results:

Theorem 1.85 (*Fenchel's theorem*) *The total curvature of every closed smooth curve C satisfies:*

$$K(C) \geq 2\pi.$$

Equality holds if and only if C is an oval plane curve (in some plane in \mathbf{R}^3).

(This result does not contradict Prop. 1.83: for a plane non-oval curve, oriented curvature switches sign, while the curvature of the same curve regarded as a space curve has constant sign and thus greater total curvature!)

Total curvature for space curves carries even more information. A space curve is called *unknotted* if it can be continuously deformed into the plane unit circle and *knotted* else.

Theorem 1.86 (*Fary-Milnor theorem*) *For a knotted closed curve C , the total curvature satisfies:*

$$K(C) \geq 4\pi.$$

Illustration 1.87 *closed unknotted and knotted curves and their total curvatures.*

1.5.3 Exercises

1. Determine the total curvature of the plane curve “figure 8” (with a double point).

Surfaces in space

2.1 Surfaces in space - the first definitions

We saw in the last chapters how to make a precise formalism covering the idea of a curve, i.e., a line which one bends and twists in plane or space. Here we want to make precise what we mean by a surface in space. To start with, one could think of this as a plane which is then bent, twisted and stretched at will in 3-space, but we have to be more precise.

If we imitate the definition of a curve, a surface should be the image of a function $f(u, v) = (f_1(u, v), f_2(u, v), f_3(u, v))$ of two variables, where we would then have to impose suitable restrictions on the f_i .

The differentiability is easy to generalize, but what should we ask for to get the equivalent of $\alpha'(t) \neq 0$? Remember the derivative of a function of several variables:

Definition 2.1 Let $f : U \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ be a differentiable function of n variables. The differential df_q of f at $q \in U$ is the linear map from \mathbf{R}^n to \mathbf{R}^k given by the Jacobi matrix Df_q of f at q :

$$df_q(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(q) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2}(q) & \dots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n}(q) \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1}(q) & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}(q) & \dots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n}(q) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_1}(q) & \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_2}(q) & \dots & \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_n}(q) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}$$

It turns out that the proper equivalent of $\alpha'(t) \neq 0$ for our more general case where the derivative is a linear map from \mathbf{R}^2 to \mathbf{R}^3 , is *injectivity* of the linear map.

This approach is taken at several places in the history of differential geometry and it leads to

Definition 2.2 A parametrized surface is a map $f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$, where $U \subseteq \mathbf{R}^2$ is an open subset, f is a smooth map and the differential $df_{(u,v)} : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ is injective for all $(u, v) \in U$

Example 2.3 Examples of such surfaces are in Fig. 2.1. We give parametrizations of them here:

- $U = \mathbf{R}^2$, $f(u, v) = (u, v, u^2 + v^2)$
- $U = \{(u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid u \neq 0 \text{ and } u \neq 1\}$

$$f(u, v) = \begin{cases} (u + 1, v, 0) & \text{for } u < 0 \\ (0, v, u) & \text{for } 0 < u < 1 \\ (0, v, 1 - u) & \text{for } u > 1 \end{cases}$$

- $U = \mathbf{R}^2$, $f(u, v) = (\mu_1(u), \mu_2(u), v)$ where $(\mu_1(u), \mu_2(u)) = (1, u)$ for $u \leq 0$ and $(\mu_1(u), \mu_2(u)) = (\cos(2\pi e^{-\frac{1}{u^2}}), \sin(2\pi e^{-\frac{1}{u^2}}))$ for $u > 0$




Figure 2.1: Parametrized surfaces. The last two are not regular surfaces

This is almost, but still not quite, the kind of surfaces we have in mind. We want a surface to be a subset of \mathbf{R}^3 which “looks like a plane” locally, i.e., for a small enough neighbourhood in \mathbf{R}^3 of each of its points. The last two examples above has a problem with that on the “intersection” line. No matter how small a neighbourhood we take in \mathbf{R}^3 around $p = (0, v, 0)$ in ex.1.3.2 or $p = (1, 0, v)$ in ex.1.3.3, the surface looks like the intersection of *two* planes in a line. It will become clear once the reader gets used to it, that the following definition is the right one for the concepts we want to introduce later, even if it may seem unnecessarily complicated in the beginning.

Definition 2.4 A subset $S \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ is a regular surface if for any point $p \in S$ there is an open subset $V \subseteq \mathbf{R}^3$ containing p , an open subset $U \subseteq \mathbf{R}^2$ and a bijective map $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow S \cap V$ such that

1. \mathbf{x} is smooth: If $\mathbf{x}(u, v) = (x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v))$, then each x_i has partial derivatives of all orders.
2. \mathbf{x} is regular: For any point $(u, v) \in U$, the differential $d\mathbf{x}_{(u,v)} : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ is injective.
3. $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow S \cap V$ is a homeomorphism: For any open subset $\tilde{U} \subset U$ there is an open subset $\tilde{V} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^3$ such that $\mathbf{x}(\tilde{U}) = \tilde{V} \cap S$.

The map \mathbf{x} is called a parametrization, a coordinate system or a coordinate chart around p . The set $V \cap S$ is a coordinate neighborhood of p .




Figure 2.2: A regular surface and a coordinate system

Condition 2 has many formulations as the reader may recall from linear algebra. Here are a few of them: The Jacobi matrix $D\mathbf{x}_q$ is

$$D\mathbf{x}_q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u}(q) & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial v}(q) \\ \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial u}(q) & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial v}(q) \\ \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial u}(q) & \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial v}(q) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence the following are equivalent:

- $d\mathbf{x}_q$ is injective.
- The column vectors of $D\mathbf{x}_q$ are linearly independent.
- At least one pair of row vectors of $D\mathbf{x}_q$ are linearly independent.

- At least one of the 2-by-2 submatrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u}(q) & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial v}(q) \\ \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial u}(q) & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial v}(q) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u}(q) & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial v}(q) \\ \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial u}(q) & \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial v}(q) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial u}(q) & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial v}(q) \\ \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial u}(q) & \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial v}(q) \end{pmatrix}$$

has non-zero determinant.

Lemma 2.5 *With notation from above, let $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow V \cap S$ be a continuous bijection and suppose there is a continuous map $F : V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ such that $F|_{V \cap S} = \mathbf{x}^{-1}$, then \mathbf{x} satisfies 2.4.3*

Proof: Let $\tilde{U} \subseteq U$ be an open subset. Since F is continuous, $\tilde{V} = F^{-1}(\tilde{U})$ is open in \mathbf{R}^3 . We have to see that $\mathbf{x}(\tilde{U}) = \tilde{V} \cap S$

\subseteq Let $q \in \tilde{U}$. Then $q = F(\mathbf{x}(q))$, so $\mathbf{x}(q) \in F^{-1}(\tilde{U}) = \tilde{V}$ and clearly $\mathbf{x}(q) \in S$.

\supseteq Let $r \in \tilde{V} \cap S$. Then $\mathbf{x}^{-1}(r) = F(r) \in \tilde{U}$, and $r = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}(r))$.

□

To give the reader another picture of what 2.4.3 means, we give the following lemma:


Lemma 2.6 *Let $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow V \cap S$ be a coordinate system on a regular surface S . Suppose $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ in $\mathbf{x}(U) \subseteq S \cap V$ converges (as a sequence in \mathbf{R}^3) to $q \in S \cap V$, then the sequence $(\mathbf{x}^{-1}(q_n))_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ converges to $\mathbf{x}^{-1}(q)$ in U .*

Proof: Let $\tilde{U} \subset U$ be a neighborhood of $\mathbf{x}^{-1}(q)$ and $\mathbf{x}(\tilde{U}) = \tilde{V} \cap S$, where $\tilde{V} \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ is open. Then there is an N such that $n \geq N \Rightarrow q_n \in \tilde{V}$ and hence $\mathbf{x}^{-1}(q_n) \in \tilde{U}$.

□

Remark 2.7 *If you are used to defining convergence in terms of open balls, the above proof could be stated as follows: Let $B(\mathbf{x}^{-1}(q), \varepsilon) \subset U$, then there is an open subset $\tilde{V} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^3$ s.t. $\mathbf{x}(B(\mathbf{x}^{-1}(q), \varepsilon)) = \tilde{V} \cap S$. Let $B(q, \delta) \subset \tilde{V}$. Then there is an N such that $n \geq N \Rightarrow q_n \in B(q, \delta)$ and hence $\mathbf{x}^{-1}(q_n) \in B(\mathbf{x}^{-1}(q), \varepsilon)$.*

Example 2.8 *The last two parametrized surfaces in Ex. 2.3 are not regular surfaces; they violate Lem. 2.6, which the reader may see by constructing suitable convergent sequences on the surfaces. And in particular they violate Def. 2.4 condition 3.*

Figure 2.3: The graphs of $(u^2 + v^2)$, $(u^3 + v^2)$ and $(u^2 - v^2)$.

Proposition 2.9 Let $U \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ denote an open set and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a smooth function. The graph of f is defined as $G = \{[u, v, f(u, v)] \in \mathbf{R}^3 \mid (u, v) \in U\} \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ and is a regular surface covered by the single parametrization

$$\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3, \quad (u, v) \mapsto [u, v, f(u, v)].$$

Proof: We have to see that \mathbf{x} is in fact a parametrization. It is clearly smooth, and we leave it to the reader to see, that it is a bijection from U to G . The Jacobian matrix for the differential of \mathbf{x} at $(u_0, v_0) \in U$ is

$$D\mathbf{x}_{(u_0, v_0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(u_0, v_0) & \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}(u_0, v_0) \end{pmatrix}$$

So condition 2 holds.

For the last condition, let $\pi : \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ be the map $\pi(x, y, z) = (x, y)$. This is a linear and hence continuous map and $\pi|_G = \mathbf{x}^{-1}$.

□

Corollary 2.10 Let U and f be as above, then the sets $[u, v, f(u, v)]$, $[u, f(u, v), v]$ and $[f(u, v), u, v]$ are regular surfaces. They are all called graphs of f .

Example 2.11 This already provides us with lots of regular surfaces, some of which may be seen in Fig. 2.3.

Definition 2.12 When $U \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ is open and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is smooth, a parametrization \mathbf{x} of the following kind

$$(u, v) \mapsto [u, v, f(u, v)] \quad (u, v) \mapsto [u, f(u, v), v] \quad (u, v) \mapsto [f(u, v), u, v] \quad (2.1)$$

will be called a graph coordinate system for $\mathbf{x}(U)$.

The sphere with the 6 graph coordinate systems.

Figure 2.4: The sphere with some coordinate systems

Example 2.13 Let Π be a plane in \mathbf{R}^3 . Then Π is a regular surface. The proof of this is an exercise.

Example 2.14 The sphere $S^2 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^3 | x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$ in Fig. 2.4 is a regular surface: Let $U = \{(u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^2 | u^2 + v^2 < 1\}$. We give a set of 6 parametrizations $\mathbf{x}_i : U \rightarrow V_i \cap \mathbf{R}^3$ covering all of S^2 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(u, v) &= (u, v, \sqrt{1 - (u^2 + v^2)}), \quad V_1 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbf{R}^3 | x_3 > 0\} \\ x_2(u, v) &= (u, v, -\sqrt{1 - (u^2 + v^2)}), \quad V_2 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbf{R}^3 | x_3 < 0\} \\ x_3(u, v) &= (u, \sqrt{1 - (u^2 + v^2)}, v), \quad V_3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbf{R}^3 | x_2 > 0\} \\ x_4(u, v) &= (u, -\sqrt{1 - (u^2 + v^2)}, v), \quad V_4 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbf{R}^3 | x_2 < 0\} \\ x_5(u, v) &= (\sqrt{1 - (u^2 + v^2)}, u, v), \quad V_5 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbf{R}^3 | x_1 > 0\} \\ x_6(u, v) &= (-\sqrt{1 - (u^2 + v^2)}, u, v), \quad V_6 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbf{R}^3 | x_1 < 0\} \end{aligned}$$

These are graph coordinate systems, and hence satisfy the conditions in Def. 2.4.

Example 2.15 Surfaces of rotation. Let $f :]a, b[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a smooth map with $f(t) > 0$ for all $t \in]a, b[$. Then the subset of \mathbf{R}^3 given by

$$S = \{(f(u) \cos(v), f(u) \sin(v), u) | a < u < b, 0 < v \leq 2\pi\}$$

is a regular surface.

We have to provide local coordinates around all points. Let $U_1 =]a, b[\times]0, 2\pi[$ and $U_2 =]a, b[\times]-\pi, \pi[$ and let $\mathbf{x}_i(u, v) = (f(u) \cos(v), f(u) \sin(v), u)$. We claim that this defines two coordinate charts covering S . Differentiability is clear. For \mathbf{x}_1 , let

$$V_1 = \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^3 | y = 0 \text{ and } x \geq 0\}.$$

We claim that \mathbf{x}_1 is a bijection to $V_1 \cap S$ and we prove this by giving an inverse: $F_1(x, y, z) = (z, \arccos(\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}))$ for $y \geq 0$ and $F_1(x, y, z) = 2\pi - \arccos(\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}})$ for $y < 0$. To see that $F_1 : V_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ is continuous, the only problem is at $y = 0$, but then $x < 0$, and hence $\arccos(\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2}}) = \arccos(-1) = \pi = 2\pi - \arccos(\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2}})$.

We leave it to the reader to see that the restriction of F to $S \cap V_1$ is an inverse to \mathbf{x}_1 , which is then a bijection $\mathbf{x}_1 : U_1 \rightarrow S \cap V_1$.

Regularity: We calculate the differential

$$D\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} f'(u) \cos(v) & -f(u) \sin(v) \\ f'(u) \sin(v) & f(u) \cos(v) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The 2-by-2 subdeterminants are $f'(u)f(u)$, $f(u) \sin(v)$ and $-f(u) \cos(v)$. Since $f(u) > 0$, at least one of the latter two are non-zero.

To see that \mathbf{x}_2 is a coordinate chart, imitate the above arguments with $V_2 = \mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \{(x, y, z) | y = 0 \text{ and } x \leq 0\}$ and a proper choice of $F_2 : V_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$.

Remark 2.16 We can define surfaces of rotation for more general plane curves. If a regular parametrized curve is rotated, we get a parametrized surface, and in general not a regular surface. To get a regular surface, the class of curves allowed are the curves which are locally homeomorphic to an interval in the same way as a regular surface is locally homeomorphic to a plane. We leave it as an exercise to make this precise.

Example 2.17 The torus is a regular surface.

From the examples above, we already know many regular surfaces. In theorem 2.21, we describe yet another “family” of regular surfaces.

Definition 2.18 Let $X \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be an open subset and let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ be a smooth function. A point $a \in \mathbf{R}^k$ is a regular value of f if for all $p \in f^{-1}(a)$ the differential $df_p : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ is a surjective linear map.

Example 2.19 Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ where X is open in \mathbf{R}^3 . Then for $q \in X$, the Jacobian matrix of df_q is

$$Df_q = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(q), \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(q), \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(q) \right)$$

so df_q is surjective if and only if $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(q) \neq 0$ or $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(q) \neq 0$ or $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(q) \neq 0$: Suppose $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(q) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(q) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(q) = 0$ then df_q is the zero map and certainly not surjective. Conversely suppose $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(q) \neq 0$ and let $b \in \mathbf{R}$. Then $b = df_q(0, b/\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(q), 0)$, so df_q is surjective. Similarly for the other cases - where another partial is non-zero.

Example 2.20 Let $f : \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be given by $f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$. Then any $a \neq 0$ is a regular value. To see this, calculate the Jacobian matrix:

$$Df_{(x_0, y_0, z_0)} = (2x_0, 2y_0, 2z_0)$$

So $df_{(x_0, y_0, z_0)}$ is surjective unless $x_0 = y_0 = z_0 = 0$. Hence $a \in \mathbf{R}$ is a regular value if and only if $f(0, 0, 0) \neq a$, i.e., if and only if $a \neq 0$.




Figure 2.5: Illustration for thm. 2.21

Theorem 2.21 *If $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^3$ is open, $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a smooth function and $a \in \mathbf{R}$ is a regular value for f , then $f^{-1}(a) = \{(x, y, z) \in X \mid f(x, y, z) = a\}$ is a regular surface.*

Proof: Let $p = (x_0, y_0, z_0) \in f^{-1}(a)$. We want to find a parametrization of a neighbourhood $V \cap f^{-1}(a)$ of p . The strategy is to use the inverse function theorem 2.22 on a suitably defined function $F : V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$.

Suppose, perhaps after renaming the axis, that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(p) \neq 0$. Let $F : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ be defined by $F(x, y, z) = (x, y, f(x, y, z))$, then

$$DF_p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(p) & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(p) & \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(p) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The determinant of dF_p is non-zero, so dF_p is a bijection. By the inverse function theorem, there is an open set $V \subseteq X$ containing p , an open set $W \subseteq \mathbf{R}^3$ containing $F(p) = (x_0, y_0, a)$ and a smooth function $F^{-1} : W \rightarrow V$ which is an inverse for the restriction of F to V , i.e., such that

1. For $(x, y, z) \in V$, $F^{-1}(F(x, y, z)) = (x, y, z)$
2. For $(u, v, w) \in W$, $F(F^{-1}(u, v, w)) = (u, v, w)$.

We claim that $U = \{(u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid (u, v, a) \in W\}$ and $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ given by $\mathbf{x}(u, v) = F^{-1}(u, v, a)$ is a parametrization of $V \cap f^{-1}(a)$.

From 1):

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y, z) &= F^{-1}(F(x, y, z)) = F^{-1}(x, y, f(x, y, z)) \\ &= (F_1^{-1}(x, y, f(x, y, z)), F_2^{-1}(x, y, f(x, y, z)), F_3^{-1}(x, y, f(x, y, z))), \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that $F_1^{-1}(u, v, w) = u$ and $F_2^{-1}(u, v, w) = v$.

Hence $\mathbf{x}(u, v) = F^{-1}(u, v, a) = (u, v, F_3^{-1}(u, v, a))$ is a graph. We have to see that the image $\mathbf{x}(U) = V \cap f^{-1}(a)$.

From 2):

$$(u, v, w) = F(F^{-1}(u, v, w)) = F(u, v, F_3^{-1}(u, v, w)) = (u, v, f(F^{-1}(u, v, w)))$$

Hence $\mathbf{x}(U) = F^{-1}(W \cap \{(u, v, a)\}) \subseteq f^{-1}(a) \cap V$: For $w = a$, $f(F^{-1}(u, v, a)) = F_3(F^{-1}(u, v, a)) = a$ and hence $F^{-1}(u, v, a) \in f^{-1}(a)$.

And $F^{-1}(W \cap \{(u, v, a)\}) \supseteq f^{-1}(a) \cap V$: If $q = (x, y, z) \in f^{-1}(a) \cap V$ then $F(q) = (x, y, a)$ and $F(q) \in W$, since $q \in V$.

Hence: F^{-1} is a bijection from $W \cap \{(u, v, a)\}$ to $f^{-1}(a) \cap V$.

□

The above theorem is a special case of the implicit function theorem. This is in fact equivalent to The Inverse Function Theorem, which we present here without proof:

Theorem 2.22 *Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ be an open set and let $F : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be a smooth map. Suppose $p \in X$ and $dF_p : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is a bijection. Then F has a local inverse: There is an open set $V \subseteq X$ containing p , and an open set $W \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ containing $F(p)$ such that $F : V \rightarrow W$ is a bijection and the inverse $F^{-1} : W \rightarrow V$ is smooth.*

Example 2.23 *The quadratic surfaces $\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^3 \mid \frac{x^2}{a^2} \pm \frac{y^2}{b^2} \pm \frac{z^2}{c^2} = 1\} = f^{-1}(1)$ where a, b and c are non-zero and $f(x, y, z) = \frac{x^2}{a^2} \pm \frac{y^2}{b^2} \pm \frac{z^2}{c^2}$ are all regular surfaces, since $Df_{(x_0, y_0, z_0)} = (\frac{2x_0}{a^2}, \pm \frac{2y_0}{b^2}, \pm \frac{2z_0}{c^2})$ is surjective except for $x_0 = y_0 = z_0 = 0$; and $f(0, 0, 0) = 0$, so $(0, 0, 0) \notin f^{-1}(1)$. The three different types of regular quadratic surfaces are depicted in Fig.2.6*

2.1.1 About coordinate systems.

A regular surface will have several choices of a set of coordinate systems. Since we may have recognized a subset of \mathbf{R}^3 as a regular surface by using Thm. 2.21 without specifying any coordinate systems, we would like to know what such coordinate systems could be like, and what we can demand. It is certainly not true, that all surfaces are graphs, but locally this does hold, as we prove in Prop. 2.25. In particular, we shall see another formulation of condition 3. And if we already know that S is a regular surface, we only have to check that a local parametrization satisfies conditions 1 and 2; condition 3 is for free.




Figure 2.6: The quadratic surfaces from Ex. 2.23.

Proposition 2.24 *Let $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow S$ be a coordinate system on a regular surface S and let $U_0 \subseteq U$ be an open subset. Then the restriction $\mathbf{x} : U_0 \rightarrow S$ is again a coordinate system on S .*

Proof: Left to the reader. □

For this to hold we really need the mysterious condition 3 from Def. 2.4. Actually, the proposition is equivalent to condition 3, which the reader may try to prove.

Proposition 2.25 *Let $S \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ denote a regular surface in 3-space, and let $p \in S$ denote an arbitrary point on S . Then there is an open subset $V \subseteq \mathbf{R}^3$ such that $V \cap S$ contains p and coincides with the image of a graph coordinate system.*

Proof: Let $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ denote an arbitrary parametrization of S with $U \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ open and $p \in \mathbf{x}(U)$. Let $q \in U$ such that $\mathbf{x}(q) = p$. Assume without loss of generality that the first two rows of the Jacobi-matrix $D\mathbf{x}_q$ are linearly independent.

Let $\pi : \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$, $\pi(x, y, z) = (x, y)$ denote the linear map projecting 3-space vertically onto the XY -plane. We want to show, that there is an open subset $V_0 \subseteq \mathbf{R}^3$ containing p such that the restriction of π to $V_0 \cap S$ has a smooth inverse, which can serve as graph coordinate system.

Consider the composite map

$$\pi \circ \mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2, \quad (u, v) \mapsto (x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v)).$$

Its Jacobi-matrix is the 2-by-2 matrix consisting of the first two rows of $D\mathbf{x}$ (at every point in U). In particular, the differential $d(\pi \circ \mathbf{x})_q$ has non-zero determinant

and hence it is a linear bijection. Applying the inverse function theorem to the map $\pi \circ \mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ yields:

There are open sets $U_0 \subset U$ containing q and $\tilde{U} \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ containing $\pi(p)$ such that the restriction $\pi \circ \mathbf{x} : U_0 \rightarrow \tilde{U}$ is a *diffeomorphism*. In particular, it has a smooth inverse $\psi : \tilde{U} \rightarrow U_0$. Our claim is, that the map

$$\mathbf{x} \circ \psi : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$$

is a graph coordinate system which certainly has p in its image. This is easiest explained by a look at the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & [x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)] \in \mathbf{x}(U_0) & \\ & \nearrow \mathbf{x} & \downarrow \pi \\ U_0 \ni (u, v) & \xleftarrow{\psi} (x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v)) \in \tilde{U} & \end{array}$$

Since U_0 is open, by Def. 2.4.3 there is an open subset $V_0 \subseteq \mathbf{R}^3$ such that $\mathbf{x} : U_0 \rightarrow V_0 \cap S$ is a bijection and thus $\mathbf{x} \circ \psi : \tilde{U} \rightarrow V_0 \cap S$ is bijective. As a composite of smooth maps, the map $\mathbf{x} \circ \psi$ is smooth itself; moreover, as can be seen from the diagram, it preserves the first two coordinates, as required.

□

We will use this theorem to simplify *local* arguments later, since we may always assume for a small enough neighborhood of a point $p \in S$ that it is a graph.

The following proposition tells us that if for some reason we already know that a subset $S \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ is a regular surface, then we can check if a map $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow S$ is a coordinate system without going through the third condition in Def. 2.4

Proposition 2.26 *Let $S \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ be a regular surface. Suppose $U \subseteq \mathbf{R}^2$ is open and $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow S$ is injective and satisfies conditions 1 and 2 in Def. 2.4, then condition 3 is also satisfied.*

Proof: Let $q \in \tilde{U} \subseteq U$ where \tilde{U} is open. We have to find an open set $\tilde{V} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^3$ such that $\mathbf{x}(\tilde{U}) = \tilde{V} \cap S$.

There is a graph parametrization $\mathbf{y} : W \rightarrow S$ of a neighborhood of $\mathbf{x}(q)$ constructed as in the proof of Prop. 2.25. Suppose $\mathbf{y}(s, t) = (s, t, f(s, t))$.

Let $\Pi(x, y, z) = (x, y)$, then $\Pi \circ \mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ is smooth and by construction of \mathbf{y} the differential $d(\Pi \circ \mathbf{x})_q$ is a linear isomorphism.

Hence, by the inverse function theorem, there are neighborhoods $\tilde{U}_q \subset \tilde{U}$ of q and \tilde{W}_q of $\Pi \circ \mathbf{x}(q)$ such that $\Pi \circ \mathbf{x} : \tilde{U}_q \rightarrow \tilde{W}_q$ is a diffeomorphism. Now let $\tilde{V}_q \in \mathbf{R}^3$ be an open subset such that $\mathbf{y}(\tilde{W}_q) = \tilde{V}_q \cap S$. Then, since $\Pi \circ \mathbf{x} : \tilde{U}_q \rightarrow \tilde{W}_q$ and $\mathbf{y} : \tilde{W}_q \rightarrow \tilde{V}_q \cap S$ are bijections, it follows that $\mathbf{x}(\tilde{U}_q) = \tilde{V}_q \cap S$.

Let $\tilde{V} = \cup_{q \in \tilde{U}} \tilde{V}_q$. Then

$$\mathbf{x}(\tilde{U}) = \mathbf{x}(\cup_{q \in \tilde{U}} \tilde{U}_q) = \cup_{q \in \tilde{U}} \mathbf{x}(\tilde{U}_q) = \cup_{q \in \tilde{U}} (\tilde{V}_q \cap S) = (\cup_{q \in \tilde{U}} \tilde{V}_q) \cap S = \tilde{V} \cap S$$

□

2.2 Differentiable functions on surfaces.

Now it is time for calculus on surfaces. We want to study functions which are defined on a surface, but not necessarily as a restriction of a function on \mathbf{R}^3 . We will define when such functions are differentiable and in chapter 3 we will define their differentials. First, we introduce the *trick of extending*.




Figure 2.7: Illustration for Lemma 2.27

Lemma 2.27 *Let $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow S$ be a coordinate system around $p \in S$. Let $p = \mathbf{x}(u_0, v_0)$ and let the cylinder on U be $\text{Cyl}(U) = \{(u, v, t) \in \mathbf{R}^3 | (u, v) \in U\}$. Then there is a smooth map $F : \text{Cyl}(U) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ such that*

1. $F(u, v, 0) = \mathbf{x}(u, v)$
2. $dF_{(u_0, v_0, 0)}$ is a linear bijection.
3. There is an open set $V \subseteq \text{Cyl}(U)$ containing $(u_0, v_0, 0)$ and an open set $W \subseteq \mathbf{R}^3$ containing p such that $F : V \rightarrow W$ is a bijection with differentiable inverse.

The cylinder over U and the image of this cylinder with a “cut”, $F(u, v, t_0)$ to illustrate that $x(U)$ is just translated up and down. Interactive illustration should allow the student to move this vertical cylinder around on the sphere to see why we want the assumption on $D\mathbf{x}$.

Figure 2.8:

After perhaps choosing smaller V and W , we have $\mathbf{x}_{|W \cap S}^{-1} = \pi \circ F_{|W \cap S}^{-1}$, where $\pi(x, y, z) = (x, y)$

Proof: Once we have defined F to satisfy 1) and 2), 3) follows by the inverse function theorem. So what should F be? We can assume by renaming the axis if necessary, that the first two rows of $D\mathbf{x}_q$ are linearly independent. With this assumption, let $F(u, v, t) = (x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v) + t)$. The geometric content of this definition (cf. Fig. 2.8) is that the cylinder over U is mapped to a cylinder over $\mathbf{x}(U)$.

For fixed $t = t_0$, $F(u, v, t_0)$ is $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ translated by the vector $(0, 0, t_0)$. The differential of F at $(u_0, v_0, 0)$ is

$$DF_{(u_0, v_0, 0)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_1}{\partial u}(u_0, v_0) & \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_1}{\partial v}(u_0, v_0) & 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_2}{\partial u}(u_0, v_0) & \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_2}{\partial v}(u_0, v_0) & 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_3}{\partial u}(u_0, v_0) & \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_3}{\partial v}(u_0, v_0) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which has nonzero determinant. Hence F satisfies 1) and 2) and we conclude by the Inverse Function Theorem.

Now choose \tilde{W} open such that $\tilde{W} \cap S = x(U)$; this is possible, since x is a coordinate chart. Let $\hat{W} = W \cap \tilde{W}$. Then on $\hat{W} \cap S$, \mathbf{x}^{-1} is the restriction of the differentiable function $\pi \circ F^{-1}$.

□

Notice that this is a sort of converse to Lemma 1.5 in the sense that the inverse of a local coordinatemap, \mathbf{x}^{-1} , is in fact the restriction of $\Pi \circ F^{-1}$, at least in a small enough neighborhood of p .

The following definition is the basis of all our analysis of regular surfaces

Definition 2.28 Let S be a regular surface and let $f : V \cap S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, where V is open in \mathbf{R}^3 . Let $p \in V \cap S$. The function f is differentiable at p , if there is a coordinate system $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow S$ around p such that $f \circ \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}(U) \cap V) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is differentiable at $q = \mathbf{x}^{-1}(p)$. Moreover, f is differentiable on $V \cap S$ if it is differentiable at all points in $V \cap S$.

Let S_1 and S_2 be regular surfaces, and let $\phi : V \cap S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ where V is open in \mathbf{R}^3 . ϕ is differentiable at $p \in V \cap S_1$ if there are coordinate systems $\mathbf{x} : U_1 \rightarrow S_1$ around p and $\mathbf{y} : U_2 \rightarrow S_2$ around $\phi(p)$ such that $\phi(\mathbf{x}(U_1)) \subset \mathbf{y}(U_2)$ and $\mathbf{y}^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \mathbf{x} : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ is differentiable at $\mathbf{x}^{-1}(p)$. ϕ is differentiable on $V \cap S_1$ if it is differentiable at all points in $V \cap S_1$.

If ϕ is a smooth bijection with smooth inverse, then ϕ is a diffeomorphism.




Figure 2.9: Differentiability

We defined differentiability in terms of a choice of coordinate system(s) on the surface(s), and we need to see that the definition does not depend on the choice of coordinates.




Figure 2.10: Change of coordinates

Proposition 2.29 *Let $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow S$ and $\mathbf{y} : \tilde{U} \rightarrow S$ be coordinate systems around $p \in S$. Then the change of coordinates*

$$\mathbf{x}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y}^{-1}(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{x}^{-1}(M)$$

where $M = \mathbf{y}(\tilde{U}) \cap \mathbf{x}(U)$, is a diffeomorphism.

Proof: It is clear that $\mathbf{x}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y}^{-1}(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{x}^{-1}(M)$ is a bijection. Let $r \in \mathbf{y}^{-1}(M)$, let $p = \mathbf{y}(r)$ and $q = \mathbf{x}^{-1}(p)$; we will prove that $\mathbf{x}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{y}$ is differentiable at r . By the extension trick 2.27, there is a neighborhood W of p in \mathbf{R}^3 and a smooth function $F^{-1} : W \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ such that $\mathbf{x}^{-1}|_{W \cap M} = \Pi \circ F^{-1}|_{W \cap M}$.

By Def. 2.4 there are open sets V and \tilde{V} in \mathbf{R}^3 such that $\mathbf{x}(U) = V \cap S$ and $\mathbf{y}(\tilde{U}) = \tilde{V} \cap S$. We have $M = V \cap \tilde{V} \cap S$ and $\mathbf{y}^{-1}(M \cap W) = \mathbf{y}^{-1}(V \cap \tilde{V} \cap W)$ which is open, since \mathbf{y} is continuous and $V \cap \tilde{V} \cap W$ is open in \mathbf{R}^3 . Thus it makes sense to talk about smooth functions on $\mathbf{y}^{-1}(M \cap W)$, and in particular, $\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y}^{-1}(M \cap W) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ is a smooth function.

Hence, restricting to the open set $\mathbf{y}^{-1}(M \cap W) = \mathbf{y}^{-1}(V \cap \tilde{V} \cap W)$ containing r , we have $\mathbf{x}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y}^{-1}(M \cap W) \rightarrow \mathbf{x}^{-1}(M)$ is $\Pi \circ F^{-1} \circ \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y}^{-1}(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{x}^{-1}(M)$, i.e., a composition of smooth functions.

To see that the inverse, $\mathbf{y}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{x}$ is differentiable at any point in $\mathbf{x}^{-1}(M)$ just interchange \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{x} in the above arguments.

□

Corollary 2.30 *Let $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow S$ be a coordinate system on S , then $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow \mathbf{x}(U)$ is a diffeomorphism, when U is considered as the regular surface $\{(u, v, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^3 | (u, v) \in U\}$.*

Proof: An exercise.

□

2.3 Implicitly given surfaces

2.3.1 Regular values of a function

2.3.2 Is the solution set of an equation a surface?

2.4 Particular classes of surfaces

2.4.1 Surfaces of revolution

2.4.2 Ruled surfaces

2.5 A useful trick: extending a chart to a local diffeomorphism

2.6 Curves on a surface

The main tool used to investigate the local properties of a regular surface S are the curves that are contained in S (short: curves on S). We look thus at a curve with a (smooth) parametrization $\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ such that $\alpha(t) \in S$ for all $t \in I$. How can one construct such a curve?

This is quite easy if a parametrization $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow V \cap S$, $U \subset \mathbf{R}^2$, $V \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ open, is available. Choose any parametrization $(u(t), v(t))$ for a *plane curve* contained in U . Then the composite

$$\alpha : I \rightarrow V \cap S, \alpha(t) = \mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t))$$

is, of course, a parametrization for a smooth curve contained in S . In fact, the opposite is also true, at least locally.

Proposition 2.31 *Let $\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ denote a smooth curve on S . Let $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow V \cap S$ denote a parametrization with $\mathbf{x}(u_0, v_0) = \alpha(t_0) \in S$. Then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ and a smooth parametrization $(u(t), v(t))$ for a curve in U defined on $(t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon)$ such that*

$$\alpha(t) = \mathbf{x}((u(t), v(t))) \text{ whenever } t_0 - \varepsilon < t < t_0 + \varepsilon. \quad (2.2)$$




Figure 2.11: Curves on a surface

Proof: Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\alpha(t) \in V \cap S$ for all $t_0 - \varepsilon < t < t_0 + \varepsilon$ (V is open, α is continuous). The curve $\mathbf{x}^{-1}(\alpha(t)) = (u(t), v(t))$ is a continuous curve on U satisfying (2.2). We need to show that u and v are smooth.

The extension trick Lem. 2.27 comes in handy: With notation from 2.27 we have $\mathbf{x}^{-1}(t) = \Pi \circ F^{-1} \circ \alpha(t)$ on $\alpha^{-1}(W) \cap]t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon[$ and both $\Pi \circ F^{-1}$ and α are smooth. So after perhaps choosing a smaller ε with $\alpha(]t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon[) \subset W$, the proposition holds.

□

Remark 2.32 *Actually the curve $(u(t), v(t)) + \mathbf{x}^{-1} \circ \alpha(t)$ is smooth whenever it is defined. To prove this look at the above proof; we prove that $(u(t), v(t))$ is smooth in a neighborhood of all points t with $\alpha(t) \in \mathbf{x}(U)$.*

Tangent planes and differentials

3.1 Tangent planes and normal vectors - definitions

At every point, a curve has a tangent line as its linear approximation. Curvature measures the rate of change for this direction. For a regular surface, we are now going to define tangent *planes*. Later on, we shall study rates of change for these objects thus getting a measure of some of the curvature properties of a surface.

3.1.1 Tangent planes

Definition 3.1 Let S denote a regular surface and $p \in S$ a point on S . The (linear) tangent plane $T_p S$ to S at p consists of all tangent vectors to curves on S through p (and at p), i.e.,

$$T_p S = \{\alpha'(0) \mid \alpha :]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[\rightarrow S, \varepsilon > 0, \alpha(0) = p\} \subset \mathbf{R}^3.$$

The affine tangent plane $\Pi_p S$ to S at p consists of all tangent lines to curves on S through p (and at p), i.e.,

$$\Pi_p S = p + T_p S.$$

Our first aim is to show that both linear and affine tangent planes in fact are *planes* at every point of a regular surface:



Figure 3.1: The tangent plane at p .

Figure 3.2: Tangent planes.

Proposition 3.2 *Let S denote a regular surface and $p \in S$ a point on S . Then the tangent plane $T_p S \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ is a linear subspace of dimension 2. Moreover, if $x : U \rightarrow V \cap S$ is a parametrization for S with $x(q)=p$, the tangent plane agrees with the image of the Jacobian $d\mathbf{x}_q$:*

$$T_p S = d\mathbf{x}_q(\mathbf{R}^2) = \text{sp}(x_u(q), x_v(q)). \quad (3.1)$$

In other words, the tangent plane is the subspace *spanned by the tangent vectors to the parameter curves*.

Proof: It is enough to show (3.1): The image of the linear map $d\mathbf{x}_q$ is a linear subspace of \mathbf{R}^3 . It has dimension two, since it is spanned by the two *linearly independent* vectors $x_u(q)$ and $x_v(q)$. We show (3.1) in two steps:

$T_p S \subseteq d\mathbf{x}_q(\mathbf{R}^2)$ Let $\mathbf{v} \in T_p S$. By definition, there is a parameterized curve $\alpha :]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[\rightarrow S$ with $\alpha(0) = p$ and $\alpha'(0) = \mathbf{v}$. By Prop. 2.31, there is a plane curve with parametrization $(u(t), v(t))$, $t \in]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[$ such that $q = (u(0), v(0))$ and $\alpha(t) = \mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t))$, $t \in]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[$. The chain rule for differentiation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v} = \alpha'(0) &= x_u(u(0), v(0))u'(0) + x_v(u(0), v(0))v'(0) = \\ &= x_u(q)u'(0) + x_v(q)v'(0) \in \text{sp}(x_u(q), x_v(q)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$d\mathbf{x}_q(\mathbf{R}^2) \subseteq T_p S$ Let $\mathbf{w} = ax_u(q) + bx_v(q)$, $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$. A glance at (3.2) shows that we can produce a curve $\alpha(t)$ on S through p with tangent vector \mathbf{w} if we can find a curve $(u(t), v(t))$ in U with $q = (u(0), v(0))$ and $u'(0) = a$, $v'(0) = b$. This is easy: Choose $(u(t), v(t)) = q + (at, bt)$ in U on a sufficiently small interval $] -\varepsilon, \varepsilon[$.

□

Corollary 3.3 *With notation from above: $d\mathbf{x}_q : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow T_p S$ is a linear isomorphism.*

Proof: The vectorspace structure on the linear subspace $T_p S$ is inherited from \mathbf{R}^3 and $d\mathbf{x}_q : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ is a linear map, as it is the differential of a smooth map. Hence $d\mathbf{x}_q : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow T_p S$ is a linear map. Moreover, $d\mathbf{x}_q$ is injective, since \mathbf{x} is a parametrization, and it is surjective by 3.2.

□

¹You might have to choose a smaller value of ε !

Remark 3.4 Since $d\mathbf{x}_q : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow T_p S$ is an isomorphism, it makes sense to talk about $(d\mathbf{x}_q)^{-1}(w)$ where $w \in T_p S$. Since \mathbf{x}^{-1} is only defined on a subset of S , we cannot however make sense of “ $d(\mathbf{x}^{-1})_p$ ”, since this is only defined (so far) for smooth functions from \mathbf{R}^m to \mathbf{R}^n . For $w \in T_p S$, $w = \alpha'(0)$ for a curve $\alpha(t) = \mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t))$, it follows from the above proof, that $(d\mathbf{x}_q)^{-1}(w) = (u'(0), v'(0))$ and that this does not depend on the choice of α .

3.1.2 The differential of a smooth function.

For local analysis of smooth functions from \mathbf{R}^k to \mathbf{R}^n we use the differential Def. 2.1 - for instance in the inverse and implicit function theorems and in general for approximations. For surfaces, this local analysis will also be important. We define the differential of a smooth map from a surface to a surface and leave it to the reader to figure out what the definition has to be for maps from regular surfaces to \mathbf{R}^k .




Figure 3.3: The differential of a map in local coordinates. OBS: The map $\hat{\varphi}$ is $\mathbf{y}^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \mathbf{x}$

Definition 3.5 Let $\varphi : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ be a smooth map from a regular surface S_1 to the regular surface S_2 and let $p \in S_1$. Let $\alpha :]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[\rightarrow S_1$ be a smooth curve on S_1 with $\alpha(0) = p$. The differential $d\varphi_p$ is the map

$$d\varphi_p : T_p S_1 \rightarrow T_{\varphi(p)} S_2$$

defined by $d\varphi_p(\alpha'(0)) = (\varphi \circ \alpha)'(0)$.

Proposition 3.6 *Definition 3.5 defines a linear map from $T_p S_1$ to $T_{\varphi(p)} S_2$.*

Proof: There are two things to prove:

1. That it defines a map, i.e., given $w \in T_p S_1$, if $w = \alpha'(0) = \tilde{\alpha}'(0)$, where α and $\tilde{\alpha}$ are curves on S_1 , then $(\varphi \circ \alpha)'(0) = (\varphi \circ \tilde{\alpha})'(0)$.
2. That the map is linear.

This follows from the following consideration in local coordinates. Let $\mathbf{x} : U_1 \rightarrow S_1$ be a coordinate system around p and let $\mathbf{y} : U_2 \rightarrow S_2$ be a coordinate system around $\varphi(p)$ s.t., $\mathbf{y}^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \mathbf{x} : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ is smooth.

Let $\alpha(t) = \mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t))$ for t small enough, and define $\hat{\varphi}(u, v) = \mathbf{y}^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \mathbf{x}(u, v) = (\hat{\varphi}_1(u, v), \hat{\varphi}_2(u, v))$. Denote $\mathbf{x}^{-1}(p)$ by q and $\mathbf{y}^{-1}(\varphi(p))$ by r . We will prove that

$$d\varphi_p(\alpha'(0)) = d\mathbf{y}_r(d\hat{\varphi}_q((d\mathbf{x}_q)^{-1}(\alpha'(0))))$$

By Cor. 3.3 and Rem. 3.4, the maps on the right hand side are all linear and independent of the choice of α , and hence the theorem will follow.

Now

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\varphi \circ \alpha)(0) = \frac{d}{dt}(\varphi \circ \mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t)))|_{t=0} = d\mathbf{y}_r\left(\frac{d}{dt}(\hat{\varphi}(u(t), v(t)))|_{t=0}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\hat{\varphi}(u(t), v(t))|_{t=0} &= \frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}}{\partial u}(q)u'(0) + \frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}}{\partial v}(q)v'(0) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}_1}{\partial u}(q) & \frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}_1}{\partial v}(q) \\ \frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}_2}{\partial u}(q) & \frac{\partial \hat{\varphi}_2}{\partial v}(q) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u'(0) \\ v'(0) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d\varphi_p(\alpha'(0)) = d\mathbf{y}_r(d\hat{\varphi}_q(u'(0), v'(0))) = d\mathbf{y}_r(d\hat{\varphi}_q(d\mathbf{x}_q)^{-1}(\alpha'(0)))$.

□

3.1.3 Normal vectors

A vector $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{R}^3$ is called normal to S at the point p if \mathbf{n} is perpendicular to every tangent vector $\mathbf{v} \in T_p S$. The set of all normal vectors at p is the 1-dimensional subspace $N_p S = T_p S^\perp$. Since $N_p S$ is 1-dimensional, there are precisely *two* unit normal vectors to S at p - having opposite direction.

How can one calculate a (unit) normal vector? Let $x : U \rightarrow V \cap S$ denote a parametrization for S with $x(q) = p \in V \cap S$. The vectors $x_u(q)$ and $x_v(q)$ span the tangent plane $T_p S$; hence the vector $x_u(q) \times x_v(q)$ is perpendicular on both $x_u(q)$ and $x_v(q)$ and hence on every vector in $T_p S$; moreover, $x_u(q) \times x_v(q) \neq \mathbf{0}$ as the wedge product of linearly independent vectors. A *unit* normal vector is thus found as

$$N(p) = \frac{x_u(q) \times x_v(q)}{\|x_u(q) \times x_v(q)\|}.$$

If we choose another parametrization $y : U' \rightarrow V' \cap S$ with $y(q') = p$, then the vector $\frac{y_u(q') \times y_v(q')}{\|y_u(q') \times y_v(q')\|}$ is a unit normal vector, too. We conclude:

$$\frac{y_u(q') \times y_v(q')}{\|y_u(q') \times y_v(q')\|} = \pm \frac{x_u(q) \times x_v(q)}{\|x_u(q) \times x_v(q)\|}. \quad (3.3)$$

How can one find the correct sign in (3.3)?

Lemma 3.7 *The sign in (3.3) coincides with the sign of the determinant of the Jacobian of the change of coordinates map $h = y^{-1} \circ x$ at q .²*

Proof: By definition of the Jacobians and the chain rule, we obtain $d\mathbf{x}_q = d\mathbf{y}_{h(q)} \circ dh_q$ and hence the matrix equation

$$[x_u(q), x_v(q)] = [y_u(q'), y_v(q')] \circ Dh(q)$$

which by ex. 1 implies: $x_u(q) \times x_v(q) = (y_u(q') \times y_v(q')) \det dh_q$.

□

3.2 Oriented Surfaces

3.2.1 A Unit Normal Vector Field

Definition 3.8 *A unit normal vector field on a regular surface S is a smooth map $N : S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ such that for all $p \in S$*

²By the way: This sign is constant on (connected components of) the domain of h , since $\det Jh$ is a continuous function never attaining the value 0.

- $|N(p)| = 1$ and
- For all $v \in T_p S$, $N(p)$ is perpendicular to v .

Not all surfaces have a normal vector field

Example 3.9 *The Möbius band.*

Remark 3.10 *If N is a unit normal vectorfield on S , then $-N$ is also a unit normal vectorfield, and these are the only two unit normal vectorfields on S , if S is connected.*

Definition 3.11 *A regular surface S is orientable if there is a unit normal vector field $N : S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ on S . A specific choice of a unit normal vector field on S is called an orientation. A surface with an orientation is an oriented surface.*

Theorem 3.12 *A regular surface S is orientable if and only if there is a set of parametrizations $\mathbf{x}^i : U_i \rightarrow S$, $i \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathbf{x}^i(U) = S$ and for any pair $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^i(U_i) \cap \mathbf{x}^j(U_j) \neq \emptyset$, the Jacobian determinant $\det(D((x^i)^{-1} \circ x^j)) > 0$*

Proof: Suppose S is orientable and let N be an orientation of S . Let $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^i : U_i \rightarrow S$, $i \in \mathcal{I}$ be a set of parametrizations covering S and suppose each U_i is connected. Now construct the $\mathbf{x}^i : U_i \rightarrow S$ as follows: Let $p_i \in U_i$. If $N(p_i) = \frac{\tilde{x}_u^i \times \tilde{x}_v^i}{|\tilde{x}_u^i \times \tilde{x}_v^i|}$, then this holds for all $p_i \in U$ (U is connected) and we let $\mathbf{x}^i(u, v) = \tilde{x}^i(u, v)$, otherwise let $\mathbf{x}^i(u, v) = \tilde{x}^i(v, u)$.

The Jacobian for change of coordinates in this atlas is positive as the reader may see from exercise 1.

Suppose now that there is a set of parametrizations as stated in the theorem. Then define $N(p) = \frac{x_u^i \times x_v^i}{|x_u^i \times x_v^i|}$. This is well-defined by exercise 1, and it is clearly a unit normal vector field.

□

3.2.2 The Gauss map of an oriented manifold

3.2.3 Exercises

1. Let $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$, $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, w_3)$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, b_3)$ and suppose that

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 & w_1 \\ v_2 & w_2 \\ v_3 & w_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \\ a_3 & b_3 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}$$

where \mathbf{X} is a 2 by 2 matrix. Prove that $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} \cdot \det(\mathbf{X})$

4

Metric on a surface: The first fundamental form

4.1 Distances, angles and areas on a surface

4.1.1 The metric on the tangent plane

4.1.2 The first fundamental form and linear algebra

Definition 4.1 *The 1. fundamental form I_p on $T_p S$ is defined as the restriction of the usual dot-product in \mathbf{R}^3 , i.e.,*

$$I_p : T_p S \times T_p S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad I_p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}.$$

Remark 4.2 *The associated quadratic form $\overline{I}_p : T_p S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is given by $\overline{I}_p(\mathbf{v}) = \|\mathbf{v}\|^2$.*

Let us calculate Gram matrices (Def. 4.7) associated to I_p ; those *depend on the choice of a basis* for $T_p S$. We assume given a parametrization $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow V \cap S$ with $\mathbf{x}(q) = p \in V$ giving rise to the basis $\{\mathbf{x}_u(q), \mathbf{x}_v(q)\}$. Since the dot-product in \mathbf{R}^3 is symmetric, so is the first fundamental form, and the coefficients of the

Gram matrix $B_{\mathbf{x}}$ are given as

$$\begin{aligned} E(p) &= I_p(\mathbf{x}_u(q), \mathbf{x}_u(q)) = \mathbf{x}_u(q) \cdot \mathbf{x}_u(q) \\ F(p) &= I_p(\mathbf{x}_u(q), \mathbf{x}_v(q)) = \mathbf{x}_u(q) \cdot \mathbf{x}_v(q) \\ G(p) &= I_p(\mathbf{x}_v(q), \mathbf{x}_v(q)) = \mathbf{x}_v(q) \cdot \mathbf{x}_v(q). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we obtain for $\mathbf{v} = a_1\mathbf{x}_u(q) + a_2\mathbf{x}_v(q) \in T_pS$ and $\mathbf{w} = b_1\mathbf{x}_u(q) + b_2\mathbf{x}_v(q) \in T_pS$:

$$I_p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = [a_1, a_2] \begin{bmatrix} E(p) & F(p) \\ F(p) & G(p) \end{bmatrix} [b_1, b_2]^T.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{bmatrix} E(p) & F(p) \\ F(p) & G(p) \end{bmatrix} = (D\mathbf{x}_q)^T D\mathbf{x}_q$$

where $D\mathbf{x}_q = [\mathbf{x}_u(q), \mathbf{x}_v(q)]$ is the Jacobi matrix for \mathbf{x} . If we choose another parametrization $\mathbf{y} : U' \rightarrow V' \cap S$ with $\mathbf{y}(q') = p \in V'$, we have to determine

the Gram matrix $B_{\mathbf{y}} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{E}(p) & \bar{F}(p) \\ \bar{F}(p) & \bar{G}(p) \end{bmatrix}$ with respect to the basis $\{\mathbf{y}_u(q'), \mathbf{y}_v(q')\}$.

The connection between the two Gram-matrices is given by

Corollary 4.3 $B_{\mathbf{x}} = D(\mathbf{y}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{x})_q^T B_{\mathbf{y}} D(\mathbf{y}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{x})_q$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\mathbf{x}} &= (D\mathbf{x}_q)^T D\mathbf{x}_q = (D(\mathbf{y} \circ \mathbf{y}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{x})_q)^T D(\mathbf{y} \circ \mathbf{y}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{x})_q = \\ &= (D\mathbf{y}_{q'} D(\mathbf{y}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{x})_q)^T D\mathbf{y}_{q'} D(\mathbf{y}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{x})_q = (D(\mathbf{y}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{x})_q)^T (D\mathbf{y}_{q'})^T D\mathbf{y}_{q'} D(\mathbf{y}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{x})_q = \\ &= D(\mathbf{y}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{x})_q^T B_{\mathbf{y}} D(\mathbf{y}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{x})_q. \end{aligned}$$

□

4.1.3 The first fundamental form and arc length

4.1.4 The first fundamental form and area

4.2 Appendix on bilinear forms

4.2.1 Bilinear forms. Quadratic forms

Definition 4.4 Let V denote a real vector space.

1. A map $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called a **bilinear form** if and only if it is linear in both variables, i.e., if

$$(a) \quad B(a\mathbf{v}_1 + b\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}) = aB(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{w}) + bB(\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w});$$

$$(b) \quad B(\mathbf{v}, a\mathbf{w}_1 + b\mathbf{w}_2) = aB(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}_1) + bB(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}_2).$$

for all vectors $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in V$ and real numbers a, b .

2. A bilinear form $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called

symmetric if and only if $B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = B(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v})$ for all $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$.

non-degenerate if $B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{w} \in V$ implies: $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$.

positive definite if $B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) > 0$ for all $\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{v} \in V$.

3. A bilinear form $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ induces a quadratic form $\bar{B} : V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined as $\bar{B}(\mathbf{v}) = B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$.

Example 4.5 1. The usual dot-product on \mathbf{R}^n is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form on \mathbf{R}^n (and thus non-degenerate).

2. The map $B' : \mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $B'([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = x_1 y_1$ is a degenerate symmetric bilinear form: $B'([0, 1], [y_1, y_2]) = 0$ for all $[y_1, y_2] \in \mathbf{R}^2$.

3. The quadratic forms associated to the examples above are

$$(a) \quad \bar{B} : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad \bar{B}(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2.$$

$$(b) \quad \bar{B}' : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad \bar{B}'([x_1, x_2]) = x_1^2.$$

Remark 4.6 1. A bilinear form $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ can be made symmetric using the definition $B_s : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $B_s(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2}(B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) + B(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}))$. The quadratic forms associated to B and to B_s agree: $\bar{B} = \bar{B}_s$.

2. The following formula shows how to recover a symmetric bilinear form from the quadratic form it induces:

$$B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{4}(\bar{B}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) - \bar{B}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w})).$$

4.2.2 Bilinear forms and Gram matrices

Let $\mathbf{E} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ denote a basis for the *finite-dimensional* vector space V . Let $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ denote a bilinear form on V .

Definition 4.7 *The Gram matrix $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{E}}$ associated to B is the $n \times n$ -matrix with entries $(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{E}})_{ij} = B(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)$.*

Example 4.8 *The Gram-matrices associated to the bilinear forms in the examples above with respect to standard bases \mathbf{E}_n on \mathbf{R}^n , resp. \mathbf{E}_2 on \mathbf{R}^2 are*

1. $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{E}_n} = \mathbf{I}_n$, the identity matrix;

$$2. \mathbf{B}'_{\mathbf{E}_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

A linear map is determined by its values on all basis vectors. In the same spirit, a bilinear form is determined by the values on all *pairs* of basis vectors, i.e., by the associated Gram matrix. This is expressed in

Lemma 4.9 *Let $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ denote a bilinear form on the vector space V . Let $\mathbf{E} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ denote a basis for V , and let $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{E}}$ denote the associated Gram matrix with respect to E . Let $\mathbf{v} = a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\mathbf{v}_n$ and $\mathbf{w} = b_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + b_n\mathbf{v}_n$ be two vectors in V . Then*

$$B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = [a_1, \dots, a_n] \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{E}} [b_1, \dots, b_n]^T.$$

Proof: Straightforward calculation using the bilinearity of B . □

4.2.3 Change of coordinates

Let V denote a vector space with the two bases $\mathbf{E} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ and $\mathbf{F} = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n\}$. There is a uniquely determined regular $n \times n$ -matrix \mathbf{S} (change-of-base matrix) with the property: $[\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n] \mathbf{S} = [\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n]$. Coordinates wrt. the two bases are then transformed with the inverse matrix \mathbf{S}^{-1} (change-of-coordinates matrix), i.e., if $a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\mathbf{v}_n = a'_1\mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + a'_n\mathbf{w}_n$, then

$$\mathbf{S}[a'_1, \dots, a'_n]^T = [a_1, \dots, a_n]^T;$$

$$[a'_1, \dots, a'_n]^T = \mathbf{S}^{-1}[a_1, \dots, a_n]^T. \quad (4.1)$$

Let $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ denote a bilinear form on V . How are the Gram matrices $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{E}}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{F}}$ associated to B with respect to the two bases related to each other?

Proposition 4.10 $\mathbf{B}_F = \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{B}_E \mathbf{S}$.

Proof: Let $\mathbf{v} = a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + a_n \mathbf{v}_n = a'_1 \mathbf{w}_1 + \cdots + a'_n \mathbf{w}_n$, $\mathbf{w} = b_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + b_n \mathbf{v}_n = b'_1 \mathbf{w}_1 + \cdots + b'_n \mathbf{w}_n \in V$. We obtain:

$$[a_1, \dots, a_n] \mathbf{B}_E [b_1, \dots, b_n]^T = B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = [a'_1, \dots, a'_n] \mathbf{B}_F [b'_1, \dots, b'_n]^T.$$

Applying (4.1) to the left hand side, we obtain:

$$[a'_1, \dots, a'_n] \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{B}_E \mathbf{S} [b_1, \dots, b_n]^T = [a'_1, \dots, a'_n] \mathbf{B}_F [b'_1, \dots, b'_n]^T.$$

Since this is correct for all column vectors $[a'_1, \dots, a'_n]^T, [b'_1, \dots, b'_n]^T \in \mathbf{R}^n$, we conclude:

$$\mathbf{B}_F = \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{B}_E \mathbf{S}.$$

□

4.2.4 Diagonalization

A matrix version of the spectral theorem from linear algebra can be phrased as follows:

Proposition 4.11 *Let \mathbf{A} denote a real symmetric $n \times n$ -matrix. Then there exists an orthonormal eigenvector basis $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ of V , i.e., there are real eigenvalues λ_i with*

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i.$$

Another way to phrase this property is in terms of the change of base matrix associated to the standard basis and the eigenvector basis:

Corollary 4.12 *There exist a diagonal matrix $\mathbf{\Delta} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and an orthogonal matrix $\mathbf{S} \in O(n)$ such that*

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{S}.$$

Proof: Apply the change of base matrix \mathbf{S} with $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{v}_i$ to get: $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{S}$.

□

There is an interesting application to quadratic forms (amongst others used in the classification of conic sections):

Corollary 4.13 *Let $\bar{B} : V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ denote the quadratic form associated to a bilinear form $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. There exists an orthonormal basis $\mathbf{E} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ of V and real numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ such that*

$$\bar{B}(a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\mathbf{v}_n) = \lambda_1 a_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_n a_n^2.$$

Proof: According to Cor. 4.12, the Gram matrix $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{E}}$ associated to B with respect to the eigenvector basis \mathbf{E} is a diagonal matrix.

□

5 Curvature functions on regular surfaces

We already know how to measure the curvature of a curve, and we want to use this idea to measure the curvature of a surface. But there are some problems:

- Through each point of a surface there are infinitely many curves.
- Even if the surface is a plane, there will be for instance very small circles, i.e., curves with large curvature, and this is not really a result of “curvature” of the plane.

We will find out how to separate curvature of these curves into *normal curvature* which is curvature resulting from the surface being curved and *geodesic curvature*, which comes from the curve being curved relative to the surface.

Moreover, it turns out, that there is a systematic description of all the possible normal curvatures of curves through a given point on the surface. This will imply that the curvature properties of the infinitely many curves through a given point, can be captured by just two real numbers.

5.1 Vector fields

We will only need very special cases of vector fields here, but since the general concept is easy to define, we do that:

Definition 5.1 A smooth vector field on a regular surface S is a smooth map $\chi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$. A tangent vector field on S is a vectorfield such that $\chi(p) \in T_p S$ for all $p \in S$.

Lemma 5.2 Let $\chi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ be a tangent vector field on S and let $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow S$ be a local parametrization. Then $\chi \circ \mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ can be written $\chi \circ \mathbf{x}(u, v) = a(u, v)\mathbf{x}_u(u, v) + b(u, v)\mathbf{x}_v(u, v)$, where $a, b : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ are smooth functions.

Given smooth functions $a, b : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, then $\chi(p) = a(\mathbf{x}^{-1}(u, v))\mathbf{x}_u(\mathbf{x}^{-1}(p)) + b(\mathbf{x}^{-1}(u, v))\mathbf{x}_v(\mathbf{x}^{-1}(p))$ is a smooth vector field on $\mathbf{x}(U) \subset S$.

Proof: Since χ is smooth, $\chi \circ \mathbf{x}$ is smooth, by definition of smooth functions. Moreover, $\chi \circ \mathbf{x}(u, v) \in T_{\mathbf{x}(u, v)} S$, so $a(u, v)$ and $b(u, v)$ are uniquely given as the coordinates of $\chi \circ \mathbf{x}(u, v)$ in the basis $\mathbf{x}_u(u, v), \mathbf{x}_v(u, v)$. These are smooth, since

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(u, v) \\ b(u, v) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E(u, v) & F(u, v) \\ F(u, v) & G(u, v) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (\chi \circ \mathbf{x}(u, v)) \cdot \mathbf{x}_u(u, v) \\ (\chi \circ \mathbf{x}(u, v)) \cdot \mathbf{x}_v(u, v) \end{pmatrix}$$

Given a, b smooth, the function $\chi(p) = a(\mathbf{x}^{-1}(u, v))\mathbf{x}_u(\mathbf{x}^{-1}(p)) + b(\mathbf{x}^{-1}(u, v))\mathbf{x}_v(\mathbf{x}^{-1}(p))$ is a smooth vectorfield on $\mathbf{x}(U)$, since $\chi \circ \mathbf{x}$ is smooth.

□

Example 5.3 normal vector field $\mathbf{N} : S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$

5.2 Normal sections and normal curvature

Let S denote an oriented regular surface and let $p \in S$. How can we get hold on curvature of the surface S close to the point p ? A single number can't possibly give the answer: The surface might curve differently in different directions. You had better look at one curvature for every direction – which yields infinitely many of them. It will turn out later, that it is enough to know just *two* of them.

Let $\mathbf{N}(p)$ denote the unit normal vector at p corresponding to the chosen orientation.

Definition 5.4 Let $\mathbf{v} \in T_p S$ denote a tangent vector of length 1: $\|\mathbf{v}\| = 1$. The normal plane $\eta_{\mathbf{v}}$ through p in direction \mathbf{v} is the (affine) plane through p spanned by the tangent vector \mathbf{v} and the normal vector $\mathbf{N}(p)$. The normal section $\alpha_{\mathbf{v}}$ at p in direction \mathbf{v} is the curve that is obtained as the intersection of the normal plane $\eta_{\mathbf{v}}$ and the surface S .

Remark 5.5 One may apply the implicit function theorem to show that sufficiently close to p , every normal section $\alpha_{\mathbf{v}}$ in fact is a regular curve on S . In the following, we will even assume that $\alpha_{\mathbf{v}}$ is parametrized by arc length and that $\alpha_{\mathbf{v}}(0) = p$. Moreover, its (unit) tangent vector is contained in both $T_p S$ and in the normal plane $\eta_{\mathbf{v}}$. Hence, by choosing the proper direction, we obtain: $\alpha'_{\mathbf{v}}(0) = \mathbf{v}$. What about the second derivative $\alpha''_{\mathbf{v}}(0)$? It is contained in the plane $\text{sp}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{N}(p))$ and perpendicular to \mathbf{v} and hence has to be parallel to $\mathbf{N}(p)$.

Example 5.6 This is more or less an exercise for the reader:

Let $S = \{(u, v, f(u, v)) | (u, v) \in U\}$ and suppose that $f_u(u_0, v_0) = f_v(u_0, v_0) = 0$. Then $N(u_0, v_0) = (0, 0, 1)$ is a normal vector at (u_0, v_0) and all normal sections are graphs over a line $(u, v) = (u_0 + at, v_0 + bt)$. Hence a normal section is parametrized by $\alpha_{(a,b)}(t) = (u_0 + at, v_0 + bt, f(u_0 + at, v_0 + bt))$. These curves are regular and the normal curvatures can be calculated directly. Since all surfaces have local parametrizations as a graph, this example covers all cases.

Exercise:

- Prove that the normal sections are regular curves.
- Calculate the normal curvatures.
- Where is the implicit function theorem used here? (Remember, that it is equivalent to the inverse function theorem).

Definition 5.7 At the point p , the surface S has a normal curvature $k_n(\mathbf{v})$ in the tangent direction $\mathbf{v} \in T_p S$ given as the (signed) curvature of the normal section $\alpha_{\mathbf{v}}$:

$$\alpha''_{\mathbf{v}}(0) = k_n(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{N}(p).$$

5.3 Normal curvature of arbitrary surface curves

While Def. 5.7 is best in order to understand the *meaning* of the term normal curvature, it is not nice to use it in calculations. In fact, the curves $\alpha_{\mathbf{v}}$ are only defined implicitly. To circumvent this problem, we define normal curvatures for *arbitrary* curves on the surface S : Let α denote a unit speed parametrization of a curve on S , and assume $\alpha(0) = p$.

Definition 5.8 The normal curvature of the curve α at p is defined as the (signed) length of the projection of the curvature vector $\alpha''(0)$ on the normal vector $\mathbf{N}(p)$ of the surface:

$$k_n(\alpha, p) = \alpha''(0) \cdot \mathbf{N}(p).$$

As a dot product, this normal curvature can be calculated/interpreted using the curvature $\kappa(p)$ of the curve α at p and the *angle* θ between the principal normal vector \mathbf{n} of the curve at P and the normal vector $\mathbf{N}(p)$ to the surface, to wit:

Lemma 5.9

$$k_n(\alpha, p) = \kappa(p) \cos \theta.$$

Remark 5.10 For a normal section, the two definitions 5.7 and 5.8 agree:

$$k_n(\alpha_{\mathbf{v}}, p) = k_n(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{N}(p) \cdot \mathbf{N}(p) = k_n(\mathbf{v}).$$

Proposition 5.11 Normal curvature depends only on the tangent direction \mathbf{v} and not on the curve α . In particular,

$$k_n(\alpha, p) = k_n(\alpha'(0)) = II_p(\alpha'(0), \alpha'(0)).$$

Proof: The proof is contained in the section on moving tangent and normal vector fields, i.e., the calculation of normal curvature via the second fundamental form:

$$k_n(\alpha, p) = \alpha''(0) \cdot \mathbf{N}(p) = -dN_p(\alpha'(0)) \cdot \alpha'(0) = II_p(\alpha'(0), \alpha'(0)).$$

□

Outlook. The fact, that normal curvatures can be expressed via a bilinear form, is the key to using (bi)linear algebra towards their determination. The extremal *principal* curvatures are determined (up to sign) as the *eigenvalues* of the differential dN_p of the Gauss map; all other normal curvatures are *sandwiched* between the principal curvatures in a sense made precise by Euler's formula.

5.4 The Gauss map and its differential

5.4.1 Tangent and normal vector fields along a curve.

Some remarks aimed at giving a more systematic approach to the calculations of principal curvatures.

In this section, we study vector fields defined along a curve on a surface.

Definition 5.12 Let S be a regular surface and let $\alpha : I \rightarrow S$ be a smooth curve on S . A smooth map: $\mathbf{w} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ is a tangent vector field along α if $\mathbf{w}(t) \in T_{\alpha(t)}S$ for all $t \in I$.

Remark 5.13 • When $\mathbf{v} : S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ is a tangent vector field, then $\mathbf{v} \circ \alpha$ is a tangent vector field along α .

- A vector field along a curve on S is a tangent vector field, if it consists of vectors which are tangent to the surface. An example is $\mathbf{v}(t) = \alpha'(t)$. This is then also tangent to the curve.

Example 5.14 Let $\alpha(t) = (\cos(t), \sin(t), 0)$. Then α is a curve on the surface $S = \{(x, y, 0) | (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2\}$. The vector field $\mathbf{v}(t) = (1, 0, 0)$ is a tangent vector field along α in S , since $T_p S = \{(x, y, 0) | (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2\}$ for all $p \in S$.

Now consider α as a curve in S^2 . Then \mathbf{v} is not a tangent vector field: For instance $(1, 0, 0)$ is not in $T_{\alpha(0)} S^2 =$ the $x - z$ plane.

5.4.2 Normal curvature and the differential of the Gauss map

Lemma 5.15 let S be an oriented regular surface with normal vector field \mathbf{N} . Let $p \in S$ and let $\alpha : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow S$ be a curve on S with $\alpha(0) = p$. Then $\mathbf{N}(\alpha(t))$ is the normal vector field along α , and we let $\mathbf{w}(\alpha(t))$ be a tangent vector field along the curve.

Then

$$-dN_p(\alpha'(0)) \cdot \mathbf{w}(p) = \mathbf{N}(p) \cdot \frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{w}(\alpha(t)))|_{t=0}.$$

Proof: The equation $\mathbf{N}(\alpha(t)) \cdot \mathbf{w}(\alpha(t)) = 0$ holds for all t . Take the derivative with respect to t .

□

Corollary 5.16 Let S be an oriented regular surface and let $v \in T_p S$. Then

$$II_p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = k_n(\mathbf{v}).$$

Proof: We insert in the lemma: Let $\alpha_{\mathbf{v}}$ be the arc length parametrization of a curve on S with $\alpha_{\mathbf{v}}(0) = p$ and $\alpha'_{\mathbf{v}}(0) = \mathbf{v}$; and let $\mathbf{w}(\alpha_{\mathbf{v}}(s)) = \alpha'_{\mathbf{v}}(s)$. When $\mathbf{v} \in T_p S$ we have

$$II_p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = -dN_p(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{v} = -dN_p(\alpha'_{\mathbf{v}}(0)) \cdot \alpha'_{\mathbf{v}}(0) = \mathbf{N}(p) \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \alpha'_{\mathbf{v}}|_{s=0} = \mathbf{N}(p) \cdot (\kappa(0) \mathbf{n}(0)) = k_n(\mathbf{v}).$$

Here \mathbf{n} denotes the normal vector of the curve. When $\alpha_{\mathbf{v}}$ is a normal section, this is (plus or minus) the normal vector $\mathbf{N}(p)$ of the surface.

□

Corollary 5.17 *The differential of the Gauss map, $dN_p : T_p S \rightarrow T_p S$ is self adjoint and the second fundamental form is symmetric. The coefficients in the hence symmetric Gram matrix for the second fundamental form are $e = N(p) \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uu}$, $f = N(p) \cdot \mathbf{x}_{vu}$ and $g = N(p) \cdot \mathbf{x}_{vv}$.*

Proof: Since $II_p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = -dN_p(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{v} \cdot (dN_p)^*(\mathbf{w})$, where $(dN_p)^*$ is the adjoint of dN_p with respect to the inner product on \mathbf{R}^3 , symmetry of II_p is equivalent to selfadjointness of dN_p . For this, it suffices to see, that $-dN_p(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{v} \cdot (dN_p)(\mathbf{w})$ when \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} are a basis for $T_p S$.

Insert the four combinations of the following (parameter) curves on S and tangent vectorfields along the curves in the lemma:

$$\alpha_u(t) = \mathbf{x}(u_0 + t, v_0), \quad \alpha_v(t) = \mathbf{x}(u_0, v_0 + t), \quad \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{x}_u, \quad \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{x}_v.$$

This gives

1. $e = -dN_p(\mathbf{x}_u) \cdot \mathbf{x}_u = N(p) \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uu}$;
2. $f = -dN_p(\mathbf{x}_u) \cdot \mathbf{x}_v = N(p) \cdot \mathbf{x}_{vu}$;
3. $f' = -dN_p(\mathbf{x}_v) \cdot \mathbf{x}_u = N(p) \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uv} = f$;
4. $g = -dN_p(\mathbf{x}_v) \cdot \mathbf{x}_v = N(p) \cdot \mathbf{x}_{vv}$.

Notice that 2. og 3. give the same result; this proves that dN_p is self adjoint.

□

5.4.3 The second fundamental form

Let $N : S \rightarrow S^2$ denote the Gauss map for an oriented regular surface S and let $p \in S$.

Definition 5.18 *The second fundamental form on the tangent plane $T_p S$ is given by*

$$II_p : T_p S \times T_p S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad II_p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = -I_p(dN_p(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{w}) = -dN_p(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w}.$$

Remark 5.19 The associated quadratic form $\overline{\Pi}_p : T_p S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is given by $\overline{\Pi}_p(\mathbf{v}) = -dN_p(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{v}$.

Since dN_p is self adjoint, the second fundamental form is symmetric, and so is the Gram matrix with respect to any basis. The Gram matrix associated to Π_p with respect to the basis $\{\mathbf{x}_u(q), \mathbf{x}_v(q)\}$ arising from a parametrization $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow V \cap S$ as above has coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} e(p) &= \Pi_p(\mathbf{x}_u(q), \mathbf{x}_u(q)) = -dN_p(\mathbf{x}_u(q)) \cdot \mathbf{x}_u(q) \\ f(p) &= \Pi_p(\mathbf{x}_u(q), \mathbf{x}_v(q)) = -dN_p(\mathbf{x}_u(q)) \cdot \mathbf{x}_v(q) \\ g(p) &= \Pi_p(\mathbf{x}_v(q), \mathbf{x}_v(q)) = -dN_p(\mathbf{x}_v(q)) \cdot \mathbf{x}_v(q). \end{aligned}$$

In the following section, these coefficients will be calculated in more familiar terms. Moreover, we will uncover the connection between the quadratic form $\overline{\Pi}_p$ and the normal curvatures at p .

5.5 Calculating the Weingarten matrix - linear algebra

This section gives a calculation of the matrix of the differential of the Gauss map, $dN_p : T_p S \rightarrow T_{N(p)} S^2 = T_p S$, with respect to the basis $\{\mathbf{x}_u, \mathbf{x}_v\}$ of the tangentplane $T_p S$. This 2×2 -matrix is called the *Weingarten*-matrix \mathbf{W} .

Recall that the two fundamental forms I_p and Π_p on the tangentplane are defined as follows: Let $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in T_p S$, then

$$\begin{aligned} I_p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) &= \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} \\ \Pi_p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) &= -I_p(dN_p(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{w}) = -dN_p(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w}. \end{aligned}$$

In the basis $\{\mathbf{x}_u, \mathbf{x}_v\}$ for the tangentplane $T_p S$ we have a description via the Gram-matrices of these *symmetric* bilinear forms:

$$\begin{aligned} I_p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) &= \mathbf{v}^T \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ F & G \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}, \\ \Pi_p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) &= \mathbf{v}^T \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ f & g \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} are now the coordinates of these vectors in the basis $\{\mathbf{x}_u, \mathbf{x}_v\}$. Where is it used that the bilinear forms are symmetric?

The linear map $dN_p : T_p S \rightarrow T_p S$ is given in this basis by the matrix \mathbf{W} , i.e.: $dN_p(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{v}$ for $\mathbf{v} \in T_p S$.

By definition of the second fundamental form, we have

$$\mathbf{v}^T \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ f & g \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} = II_p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = -I_p(dN_p(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{w}) = -(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{v})^T \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ F & G \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{W}^T \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ F & G \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}.$$

Since this holds for *all* vectors $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in T_p S$, we conclude:

$$\begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ f & g \end{bmatrix} = -\mathbf{W}^T \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ F & G \end{bmatrix}.$$

Transpose this matrix equation and multiply by the inverse of the Gram-matrix for the 1. fundamentalform. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W} &= - \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ F & G \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ f & g \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{EG - F^2} \begin{bmatrix} -G & F \\ F & -E \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ f & g \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The Gauss curvature, $K(p)$, is the determinant of the linear map dN_p , so:

$$K(p) = \det \mathbf{W} = \frac{\det \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ f & g \end{bmatrix}}{\det \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ F & G \end{bmatrix}} = \frac{eg - f^2}{EG - F^2}.$$

And we can calculate the *mean curvature*, which is defined by $H(p) = -\frac{\text{tr } dN_p}{2} = -\frac{\text{tr } \mathbf{W}}{2}$, so:

$$H(p) = \frac{eG - 2fF + gE}{2(EG - F^2)}.$$

Using these two curvatures, we can now calculate the *principal curvatures* k_1 og k_2 at the point p . Since k_1 og k_2 are the eigenvalues of the linear map $-dN_p$ they are roots of the characteristic polynomium $x^2 + (\text{tr } dN_p)x + \det dN_p = x^2 - 2H(p)x + K(p)$, i.e.:

$$k_{1/2}(p) = H(p) \pm \sqrt{H(p)^2 - K(p)}.$$

5.6 Gauss curvature and mean curvature

5.6.1 Classification of points on a surface

5.6.2 An outlook at minimal surfaces

6

Isometries. Invariance of the Gauss curvature

6.1 Isometries between surfaces

6.2 Theorema egregium and consequences

6.3 A proof of Theorema Egregium

6.4 Christoffel symbols

This is a section on a technical device which is indispensable both in the proof of Gauss' Theorema egregium and when handling geodesics and geodesic curvature.

6.4.1 Definition

In the following, we fix a parametrization $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow V \cap S$ for the surface S . At every point $p = \mathbf{x}(q)$, the three vectors $\mathbf{x}_u(q)$, $\mathbf{x}_v(q)$ and $\mathbf{N}(p)$ form a (moving) basis for \mathbf{R}^3 . Let us express the double derivatives $x_{uu}(q)$, $x_{uv}(q)$ and $x_{vv}(q)$ as

linear combinations of these basis vectors (we omit the ps and qs and regard the derivatives etc. as vector fields):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}_{uu} &= \Gamma_{11}^1 \mathbf{x}_u + \Gamma_{11}^2 \mathbf{x}_v + \Gamma_{11}^3 \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{x}_{uv} &= \Gamma_{12}^1 \mathbf{x}_u + \Gamma_{12}^2 \mathbf{x}_v + \Gamma_{12}^3 \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{x}_{vv} &= \Gamma_{22}^1 \mathbf{x}_u + \Gamma_{22}^2 \mathbf{x}_v + \Gamma_{22}^3 \mathbf{N}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.1}$$

with unknown real coefficient functions $\Gamma_{ij}^k : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Since the vector fields $\mathbf{x}_u, \mathbf{x}_v$ and \mathbf{N} are linearly independent, the system (6.1) has a *unique* solution at every point $p \in S$.

6.4.2 The metric determines the Christoffel symbols

We know already the coefficients Γ_{ij}^3 of \mathbf{N} :

Lemma 6.1 *The coefficients Γ_{ij}^3 coincide with the coefficients e, f and g of the second fundamental form:*

$$\Gamma_{11}^3 = e, \quad \Gamma_{12}^3 = f, \quad \Gamma_{22}^3 = g.$$

Proof: Perform the dot-product with the normal vector \mathbf{N} to the three equations in (6.1). The result is:

$$\begin{aligned}e = \mathbf{x}_{uu} \cdot \mathbf{N} &= \Gamma_{11}^3 \\ f = \mathbf{x}_{uv} \cdot \mathbf{N} &= \Gamma_{12}^3 \\ g = \mathbf{x}_{vv} \cdot \mathbf{N} &= \Gamma_{22}^3.\end{aligned}$$

□

Hence, we can reformulate (6.1) in matrix language as follows: Let $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ denote the 3×3 -matrix

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{11}^1 & \Gamma_{12}^1 & \Gamma_{22}^1 \\ \Gamma_{11}^2 & \Gamma_{12}^2 & \Gamma_{22}^2 \\ e & f & g \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, the following matrix equation holds:

$$[\mathbf{x}_{uu} \ \mathbf{x}_{uv} \ \mathbf{x}_{vv}] = [\mathbf{x}_u \ \mathbf{x}_v \ \mathbf{N}] \mathbf{\Gamma}.\tag{6.2}$$

Multiplying this equation (from the left) with the 3 by 3-matrix $[\mathbf{x}_u, \mathbf{x}_v, \mathbf{N}]^T$, we obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uu} & \mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uv} & \mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_{vv} \\ \mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uu} & \mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uv} & \mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_{vv} \\ \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uu} & \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uv} & \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{vv} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_u \\ \mathbf{x}_v \\ \mathbf{N} \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{x}_{uu} \ \mathbf{x}_{uv} \ \mathbf{x}_{vv}] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_u \\ \mathbf{x}_v \\ \mathbf{N} \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{x}_u \ \mathbf{x}_v \ \mathbf{N}] \mathbf{\Gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} E & F & 0 \\ F & G & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Gamma},$$

and hence

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{EG - F^2} \begin{bmatrix} G & -F & 0 \\ -F & E & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & EG - F^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uu} & \mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uv} & \mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_{vv} \\ \mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uu} & \mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uv} & \mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_{vv} \\ \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uu} & \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uv} & \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{vv} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6.3)$$

We conclude:

Proposition 6.2 *The Christoffel-symbols Γ_{ij}^k , $1 \leq i, j, k \leq 2$ are intrinsic entities, i.e., they depend only on the metric (first fundamental form) along the surface S .*

Proof: Since the Christoffel symbols are the first two rows of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$, it is enough to show that the first two rows of the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uu} & \mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uv} & \mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_{vv} \\ \mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uu} & \mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uv} & \mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_{vv} \\ \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uu} & \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uv} & \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{vv} \end{bmatrix}$ are expressible in terms of the coefficients E, F, G of the first fundamental form. To this end, we form the partial derivatives of the functions E, F, G with respect to the variables u and v and obtain the following six equations:

$$E_u = 2\mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uu}, \quad E_v = 2\mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uv}, \quad F_u = \mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uu} + \mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uv}, \quad F_v = \mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uv} + \mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_{vv},$$

$$G_u = 2\mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uv}, \quad G_v = 2\mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_{vv}.$$

This system of equations has the following solutions:

$$\mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uu} = \frac{E_u}{2}, \quad \mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uv} = \frac{E_v}{2}, \quad \mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_{vv} = F_v - \frac{G_u}{2}, \quad \mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uu} = F_u - \frac{E_v}{2}, \quad \mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_{uv} = \frac{G_u}{2}, \quad \mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_{vv} = \frac{G_v}{2}.$$

□

6.4.3 A second proof of Theorema egregium

7

Geodesics and the Gauss-Bonnet

theorem

7.1 Geodesic curvature. Geodesics

7.1.1 Definitions

7.1.2 The geodesic differential equations

7.1.3 Existence and uniqueness

7.2 The local Gauss-Bonnet theorem

7.2.1 Relating geodesic curvature and Gaussian curvature

7.2.2 Green's Theorem

7.2.3 Hopf's Umlaufsatz

7.2.4 Proof: Putting it altogether

7.3 A note on geodesic triangles.

As an example for an interpretation of the local Gauss Bonnet theorem, we have immediately from McCleary 12.4

Corollary 7.1 *For a geodesic triangle $T \subset S$ contained in a coordinate system, we have*

$$\int \int_T K = \psi_0 + \psi_1 + \psi_2 - \pi$$

where ψ_i are the interior angles in the triangle.

Written in local coordinates, where $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow S$ is a parametrization containing T , the left hand side of the equation says

$$\int \int_{\mathbf{x}^{-1}(T)} K(u, v) |\mathbf{x}_u \times \mathbf{x}_v| du dv =$$

$$\iint_{\mathbf{x}^{-1}(T)} K(u, v) \sqrt{EG - F^2} du dv$$

Now the area $\text{Area}(T)$ is

$$\iint_{\mathbf{x}^{-1}(T)} 1 |\mathbf{x}_u \times \mathbf{x}_v| du dv = \iint_{\mathbf{x}^{-1}(T)} \sqrt{EG - F^2} du dv$$

Let $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of geodesic triangles converging to p , i.e., for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq N$ implies $T_n \subset B(p, \varepsilon)$.

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\iint_{\mathbf{x}^{-1}(T_n)} K(u, v) \sqrt{EG - F^2} du dv}{\iint_{\mathbf{x}^{-1}(T_n)} \sqrt{EG - F^2} du dv} = K(p)$$

and hence

$$K(p) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{Area}(T_n)} (\psi_{0n} + \psi_{1n} + \psi_{2n} - \pi)$$

This is clearly invariant under isometries, since geodesic curves, angles and areas are. Hence it provides an illustration of Theorema Egregium.

7.4 The global Gauss-Bonnet theorem

7.4.1 The Euler characteristic of a surface

7.4.2 Proof of the global Gauss-Bonnet theorem