# MA 205 Complex Analysis: CR Equations

B.K. Das IIT Bombay

July 19, 2018

#### Introduction

In the last class, we introduced complex numbers and studied complex valued functions defined on a domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We stated the fact that every polynomial with complex coefficients has a complex roots. This is called the fundamental theorem of algebra. We introduced complex-differentiability of a function  $f:\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$ , where  $\Omega$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . We also stated the fact that if f is once differentiable in  $\Omega$ , then it is infinitely many times differentiable in  $\Omega$ .

Today, first we'll derive the so called Cauchy-Riemann equations. There are two Cauchy-Riemann equations, and these are partial differential equations; i.e., equations containing partial derivatives. If f is complex differentiable at a point  $z_0 = a + \imath b$ , then these two equations will be satisfied at the point (a, b).

Let  $f:\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$  be differentiable at  $z_0\in\Omega$ . Thus,

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} = f'(z_0)$$

exists. In the last class, we have stressed the point that the existence of this complex limit means a lot; the limit exists as z approaches  $z_0$  along any path. To derive the CR equations, we'll in particular look at the existence of this limit as  $z \to z_0$  along the x-direction and the y-direction.

Let  $z = x + \imath y$  and  $f(z) = u(x, y) + \imath v(x, y)$ . Now, as  $z \to z_0$  in the x-direction:

$$f'(z_0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \left[ \frac{u(a+h,b) - u(a,b)}{h} + i \frac{v(a+h,b) - v(a,b)}{h} \right]$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{u(a+h,b) - u(a,b)}{h} + i \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{v(a+h,b) - v(a,b)}{h}$$

$$= u_x(a,b) + i v_x(a,b).$$

In writing the limit of a sum as the sum of the limits, we have used the fact that the individual limits exist. Why is this true in our situation?

Similarly, in the y-direction, we get

$$f'(z_0) = \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{f(z_0 + ik) - f(z_0)}{ik} = v_y(a, b) - iu_y(a, b).$$

Thus, differentiability of f = u + iv at  $z_0 = a + ib$  implies that  $u_x, u_y, v_x, v_y$  exist at (a, b) and they satisfy

$$u_{x} = v_{y} \& u_{y} = -v_{x}$$

at (a, b). These are the CR equations. If CR equations are not satisfied at a point, then f is not differentiable at that point.

Example: Consider  $f(z) = |z|^2$ . Here,  $u(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$ ,  $\overline{v(x,y)} = 0$ . Thus CR equations are satisfied only at the point (0,0). We conclude that f is <u>not</u> differentiable at any point other than (0,0). Can we conclude that f is differentiable at (0,0)? Well, we need to check; CR equations give only one direction. In other words, real and imaginary parts of f satisfying CR equations at a point is necessary but not sufficient for f to be differentiable at that point. In this example:

$$\lim_{z \to 0} \frac{f(z) - f(0)}{z - 0} = \lim_{z \to 0} \frac{|z|^2}{z} = 0.$$

#### Example:

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\overline{z}^2}{z} & \text{if } z \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

Here,

$$u(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^3 - 3xy^2}{x^2 + y^2} & \text{if } (x,y) \neq (0,0) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x,y) = (0,0), \end{cases}$$

$$v(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{-3x^2y + y^3}{x^2 + y^2} & \text{if } (x,y) \neq (0,0) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x,y) = (0,0). \end{cases}$$

Check that CR equations are satisfied at (0,0). You'll get  $u_x = v_y = 1$  and  $u_y = -v_x = 0$  at (0,0).

But, f is not differentiable at 0.

$$\lim_{z \to 0} \frac{f(z) - f(0)}{z - 0} = \lim_{z \to 0} \frac{\bar{z}^2}{z^2} = \lim_{(x,y) \to (0,0)} \frac{(x - iy)^2}{(x + iy)^2}.$$

If  $(x,y) \to (0,0)$  via either of the axes, this limit is 1. If  $(x,y) \to (0,0)$  via y=x, this limit is -1. So limit does not exist.

If z = x + iy, then,

$$x = \frac{z + \overline{z}}{2}, \ y = \frac{z - \overline{z}}{2i}.$$

Suppose for a moment that z and  $\bar{z}$  are independent variables! Formally applying chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{1}{2i} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right).$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right).$$

Motivated by this, we introduce the symbols:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right); \ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right).$$

Note that CR equations now can be written as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0.$$

We can of course view  $f:\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$  as a function of two real variables;

$$f(x,y) = (u(x,y), v(x,y)).$$

For such functions, in MA 105, you have seen the notion of the total derivative. Recall: f is differentiable at (a, b) if there exists a  $2 \times 2$  matrix Df(a, b) such that

$$\lim_{(h,k)\to(0,0)} \frac{\|f(a+h,b+k)-f(a,b)-Df(a,b)\begin{bmatrix} h \\ k \end{bmatrix}\|}{\|(h,k)\|} = 0.$$

Of course, if total derivative exists, then all the partial derivatives exist, and

$$Df = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} u_{x} & u_{y} \\ v_{x} & v_{y} \end{array} \right].$$

Existence of partial derivatives does not imply the existence of total derivative, but existence of partial derivatives which are continuous throughout the domain does imply the existence of total derivative.

#### Exercise

Exercise: Show that if f is complex differentiable, then f is real differentiable; i.e., f has a total derivative as a function of two real variables. Show that the converse is not true.

(At the moment solve this exercise assuming the continuity of the first partial derivatives of u and v. We shall see later that this assumption can be removed (it is automatic)).

Thus, complex differentiability implies:

- real differentiability
- real and imaginary parts satisfy CR.

What if we assume both these? Can we then say f is complex differentiable? And the answer is Yes.

<u>Proof</u>: Since f = u + iv is real differentiable,

$$\lim_{\substack{(x,y)\to(a,b)\\ (x,y)\to(a,b)}} \frac{\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u(x,y)\\ v(x,y) \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} u(a,b)\\ v(a,b) \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} u_x & u_y\\ v_x & v_y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x-a\\ y-b \end{bmatrix} \right\|}{\|(x-a,y-b)\|}$$

$$= 0.$$

Note that the numerator is nothing but

$$|f(z)-f(z_0)-\alpha(x-a)-\beta(y-b)|,$$

where  $\alpha = u_x + i v_x$ ,  $\beta = u_y + i v_y$ .

Define

$$\eta(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(z_0) - \alpha(x-a) - \beta(y-b)}{z - z_0}.$$

Observe that

$$\lim_{z\to z_0}\eta(z)=0.$$

Thus,

$$f(z) - f(z_0) = \alpha(x - a) + \beta(y - b) + \eta(z)(z - z_0),$$

with 
$$\eta(z) \to 0$$
 as  $z \to z_0$ .

Then

$$f(z)-f(z_0)=\frac{\alpha-\imath\beta}{2}(z-z_0)+\frac{\alpha+\imath\beta}{2}\overline{z-z_0}+\eta(z)(z-z_0).$$

Thus,

$$\frac{f(z)-f(z_0)}{z-z_0}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z_0)+\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{z}}(z_0)\frac{\overline{z-z_0}}{z-z_0}+\eta(z).$$

Question is whether the lhs limit exists as  $z \to z_0$ . This exists if and only if the rhs limit exists. Note that

$$\lim_{z\to z_0} \frac{\overline{z-z_0}}{z-z_0}$$

does not exist (why?) and  $\lim_{z \to z_0} \eta(z)$  exists.

Thus the rhs limit exists if and only if

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(z_0)=0.$$

i.e., CR equations are satisfied at  $z_0$ . Also, if this is the case,

$$f'(z_0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z_0),$$

since  $\lim_{z \to z_0} \eta(z) = 0$ .

Corollary: Let  $f:\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$  be such that the partial derivatives exists in a neighborhood of  $z_0$  and continuous at  $z_0$ . If they satisfy the CR equations at  $z_0$ , f is differentiable at  $z_0$ . (Proof?)

The assumptions in the statement of the corollary can be weakened. In fact, the following is true:

#### **Theorem**

Let f be continuous on  $\Omega$ . Suppose the partial derivatives exist and satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations at every point in  $\Omega$ . Then f is holomorphic in  $\Omega$ .

We shall not prove this theorem.

#### Exercise

Exercise: Show that  $f(z) = e^x(\cos y + i \sin y)$  is holomorphic throughout  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Note that f'(z) = f(z). This is the complex exponential function.

Exercise: Show that the CR equations take the form

$$u_r = \frac{1}{r} v_\theta \& v_r = -\frac{1}{r} u_\theta$$

in polar coordinates.