Convex Programming Problem.

Problem.

CP: min f(x)

x &C

Lemma:

Let x* be a min. Then,

It is a global min.

C C RN

tonvex set.

f(x) & convex function.

Does a CP always have a min of

min ex

xER

Lim ex = 0

intex = 0

but His not achieved by an Rimite X.

Let $x \in [-1, +i]$, $P(-1) = e^{-1}$ is the global min.

all when we say min, can be multiple minumums at same cost?



But A066)

Proof: To the contrary, let there a local minimum at $xL = 5 \cdot t$. P(xL) > F(xL) > F(xL) > F(xL)

 $x := \lambda x_{G} + (\Gamma h) x_{L} \qquad \lambda \in [0, 1]$ $= x_{L} + \lambda (X_{G} - x_{L})$ By convexity of forev <

 $f(\lambda x_0 + (1-\lambda)x_1) \leq \lambda f(x_0) + (1-\lambda) F(x_0)$

 $P(x_{L+}) = [x_{L+}] + (x_{L-}) = P(x_{L})$ $P(x_{L+}) = P(x_{L}) = P(x_{L})$

This contradicts, the claim that fills is a local minimum. NE(XL) E>0

programming problem. XC+ 1 (XC-11)

Programming problem. XC+ 1 (XC-11)

 \rightarrow If \bar{x} & \bar{x} be two minums (global) to CP. Then h h \bar{x} + (1-h) \hat{x} is a get of minums (global) to CP.

(x) + (x)

- Further if the function

of is shortly convex, then

the minimum is unique.

Proof: Assume to the

contrary, that there are two global minimum x_{6i} , x_{62} , x_{63} , x_{64} (1-1) x_{62}) x_{62} x_{63} x_{64} (1-1) x_{62}) x_{62} x_{63} x_{64} x_{6

Example of maximizing consumer surplus.

CS = U (>>) - Px

max u(x)-PX

Conversely, for a concave

Annelson, if it has a maximum

then It is a gobal max.

 $u(x) = (-e^{-x})$

Assume differentiablity.

x9-(xlu KAM

720

uix -P = 0

p= 11(x*) >0

YCZI M ^ტ ჩყ*დ*(მ) convex let C

> may felx) atc

min - fr (x)

Punchus.

F(x) =-121 ロニャク

costs functions are usually convex. c(PG) = a + bPC + cPC c>0

Supplies

Equilibrium for $P = c'(x^*) = u'(x^*)$

market exist , FE.

Culpi-o) dx

= CS

warker clear

Linear Programming

on m $c^{T}x$. Ax = b C

a global min:

MAX ZIX d=kA O EX